

MODERN DEMOCRACIES

BY

JAMES BRYCE

(VISCOUNT BRYCE)

AUTHOR OF

THE HOLY EGMAN EMPIRE THE AMPRICAN COMMONWEALTH PTC

IN TWO VOLUMES

MACMLIAN AND CO, LIMITED ST MÁITIN'S STREET, LONDON 1923

COPYRIGHT First Edition March 1911

Reprinted May 1921, 1923

PAGE 437

CHAPTER LXIV

ECOND CHAMBERS

CHAPIER LXV PRECT LEGISLATION BY THE PEOPLE

458 ,

506

523

536

545 Ç.

CHAPTER LXVI ME RELATION OF CENTRAL TO LOCAL GOVERNMENT

478 CHAPTER LXVII MPARISON OF THE SIX DENOCRATIC GOVERNMENTS EXAMINED 490

CHAPTER LXVIII

S OF DEMOCRATIC GOVERNMENT 2

CHAPTER LXIX MONEY POWER IN POLITICS

CHAPTER LXX

THE PA

THE ACTUAL ER LXXII

LETTERS AND APTS 56g

	PART	III				
Part II of	ion and criticism of the facts descri f the working of (CHAPTERS LV	bed in the six demo	ratic go	contaire	d in	
B Observations	on certain phe	nomena	which	bear on	the	
working o	f Democracy ev	erywhere				
	(CHAPTERS LX		•			
taken, the that are n	ent suggested by changes it has low affecting it	r a study undergon	of the e, and th	forms it	t has	
	(CHAPTERS LX	XIII to E	.nd)			
	CHAPTE	D 1371	īτ			
		K LVI	11			PAGE
THE DECLINE OF LE	CISLATURES	-	•		•	367
	CHAPT	ER LIZ	K			
THE PATHOLOGY OF	LEGISLATURES					378
	CHAPT	ER LX				
THE EXECUTIVE IN	DEMOCRACY					391
	CHAPT	ER LX	Ί			
DEMOCRACY AND FOREIGN POLICE						40
				,	•	٠.
	CHAPT	ER LX	II			
THE JUDICIARY						421
-	•	•	•	•	•	т".
	СНАРТЕ	R LVI	11			
CHECKS AND BALANCE		W 17VI	+1			
AND DALANCE						428

UNITED STATES

PREFATORY NOTE

THE chapters that here follow are not an abridgment of the full description of the constitution and government of the United States presented in my book entitled The American Commonwealth, which was first published more than thirty years ago, and has been since enlarged and frequently revised. They have been written as a new and independent study of American institutions, considered as founded on democratic theories and illustrating in their practice the working out of democratic principles and tendencies Desiring to present a general view of what popular government has achieved and has failed to achieve. I have dealt with those details only which are characteristic of democratic systems, omitting as beyond the scope of this treatise all matters, such as the structure of the Federal Government and its administrative methods. which do not bear directly upon it or illustrate its peculiar features Neither has it been my aim in these or any other chapters to bring contemporary history up to date It is safer not to touch, and I have carefully abstained from touching the controversial questions of the moment, questions which indeed change their aspects from month to month My wish has been throughout the book to give the reader materials for estimating the merits and defects of each form which popular govern ment has taken, and for this purpose events that happened ten or twenty years ago are just as profitable as those VOL. II

4

No nation, more pharked on its career with happier auguries for the sess of popular government. The friends of liberty its crope indulged the highest hopes of what Liberty could be complish in a new land, exempt from the evils which the folly or selfishness of monarchs and nobles had inflicted on the countries of Europe. The Americans themselves, although the Revolutionary War left them impoverished as well as vexed by local jealousies, were full of pride and confidence. There was nuch to justify this confidence. Their own racial quality, and the traditions they inherited, the favouring features of their physical environment and the security from external dangers which isolation promised, made up; taken in conjunction, a body of conditions for a peaceful and prosperous political life such as no other people had ever enjoyed. Those who settled Spanish America. had an equally vast and rich territory open before them. Those who settled Australia and New Zealand had an equally noble inheritance of freedom behind them. But in neither of these cases were the gifts of Nature and those of a splendid Past bestowed together in such ample measure on the founders of a State.

Let us pass these gifts in brief review.

Temperate North America was a vast country fit to be the home of a North European race, and a practically unoccupied country, for the aboriginal tribes, though most of them fierce and brave, were too few to constitute an obstacle to settlement. There was land for everybody; and nearly all of it, as far as the Rocky Mountains, available for cultivation. It is only to-day, three centuries after the first English colonists settled in Virginia and on the shores of Massachusetts Bay, nearly a century and a half after the Declaration of Independence, that the unappropriated arable areas have become scarce. Besides the immense stretches of rich soil, their were superb forests and mineral deposits it will take many centuries to exhaust

In such a country everybody could find means of sustenance. Among the earlier settlers and almost down to CH XXXVIII THE PEOPLE 5
OUT OWN time there was no economic distress, no pauper ism nor ground for apprehending it Nobody was rich, nobody very poor Neither were there my class antagon isms Though the conditions of colonial life had created a land of equality inhonown to old countries, certain distinctions of rank existed, but they were not resented, and caused no friction, either social or political. The people were nearly all of English or (in the Middle States) of Dutch or Scoto-Insh stock, stocks that had already approved themselves industrious in peace, valiant in war, adventurous at sea. All were practically English in their ways of thinking, their beliefs, their social usages, yet with an added adaptability and resourcefulness such as the simpler or rougher life in a new country is fitted to implant. In the northern colonies they were well educated, as education was understood in those days, and mentally alert. The habit of independent thinking and a general interest in public affairs had been fostered both by the share which the laity of the northern colonies took in the management of the Congregational churches and by the practice of civil self government, brought from England, while the principles of the English Common Law, exact yet flexible, had formed the minds of their leading men. Respect for law and order, a recognition both of the rights of the individual and of the authority of the duly appointed magistrate were to them the foundations of civic duty.

Though there were wide economic and social different terms of the complete of the complete of the foundations of civic duty. foundations of civic duty

Though there were wide economic and social differ-Though there were wide economic and social differences between the Northern colonies, where the farmers and seafaring men constituted the great bulk of the population and the Southern, in which large plantations were worked by slave labour, these differences did not yet substantially affect the unity of the nation for the racial distinctions were negligible, and no language but English was spoken, except by some Germans in Pennsylvinia Such divergences in religious doctrine and church

¹ There were very few negroes in the North though slavery existed in 178 n all States except Rhode Is and Pennsylvan a and possibly (for the point seems doubtful) in Massachusetts and New Hampshire

government as existed were too slight to be a basis for parties or to create political acrimony. Finally, it was their good fortune to be safe from any external dangers. The power of France had, since 1759, ceased to threaten them on the side of Canada, and on the south neither from Florida nor from Louisiana, both then in the hands

of Spain, was there anything to fear.

With conditions so favourable to peace only a small navy and still smaller army were needed, circumstances which promised security against the growth of a military caste or the ascendancy of a successful general.

These caste or the ascendancy of a successful general. I nese fortunate conditions continued to exist for many years. Once, however, the unity of the nation was imperilled. The maintenance of negro slavery, which wise statesmen had hoped to see disappear naturally, and the attempt to extend its area so as to retain for the Slave States an equal power in the government, led to a long struggle between the Free and the Slave States which ended in the War of Secession, a war that retarded the progress of the South and has left behind it a still unsolved internal of the South and has left benind it a sain unsolved internal problem. Nevertheless, the cohesive forces proved strong enough to reassert themselves when the fight was over. The present generation knows no animosities, and honours alike those who, between 1860 and 1865, fought on one or other side. The old Slavery issues belong to a dead past, and need seldom be referred to in the to a dead past, and need sendon be releved to it not appear that follow, for the tendencies that characterize popular government have developed themselves upon lines with which slavery had little to do, so the phenomena which we have to-day to study would (except as respects the suffrage in, and the political attitude of, the Southern States) have been much the same if no slave-ship had ever brought a negro from Africa.

What were the tendencies of thought and feeling wherewith the nation started on its course and which constituted the main lines of its political character?

¹ The European Wars, which begin in 1792 and ended in 1814, raised controverses with Entain which culminated in the war of 1812-14, but thereafter questions of foreign policy affected but slightly the politics and general constitutional development of America down till our ewa time

Some were inherited, some the outcome of colonial conditions

There was a strong religious sense, present everywhere, but strongest in New England, and there fostering a somewhat stern and almost grim view of duty. This has continued to be a feature which sharply distinguishes native American thought and conduct from all revolutionary and socialistic movements on the European continent. There has never been any unti-Christian or anti-clerical sentiment, such as has embittered politics and disrupted parties in France, Italy, Spain, and Mexico.

There was a vehement passion for liberty, dating, in embryo, from the early Puritan settlements in New England and keen also among the Scoto-Irish of Virginia, the Carolinas and Pennsylvania, who had fled from the oppressions suffered by the Presbiterians of Ulster Intensified by the long struggle against King George III, this passion ran to excess when it induced the belief that with Liberty in the van all other good things would follow During the War of Independence the men of conservative opinions, branded as enemies of freedom, had been mostly silenced or expelled. The victory of the People over arbitrary power had glorified both Liberty and the People It was natural to assume that the one would be always victorious and the other always wise

With the love of Liberty there went a spirit of individualistic self reliance and self-help, not indeed excluding associated action, for that they possessed in their town meetings and colonial assemblies, but averse to official control or supervision. In the great majority of the people these tendencies coexisted with a respect for law and a sense of the value of public order. But there were, especially in the wilder districts, restive elements which gave trouble to the Federal Government in its early days and obliged it to use military force to overcome resistance to the enforcement of revenue statutes. Law-lessness has never been extinguished in the mountainous regions of East Kentucky and East Tennessee

8

Neither did the respect for constituted authority, general in the older and best-settled parts of the country, prevent a suspicious attitude towards officials, including even members of the legislatures. Here the individualism characteristic of the Puritan and of the settler asserted itself. Any assumption of power was watched with a jealousy which kept strictly within the range of their functions those whom the people had chosen for public service.

Lastly, there was a spirit of localism which showed itself in the desire to retain as much public business as possible under local control and entrust as little as possible to a central authority. The attachment to self-govern-ment in each small community was rooted, not in any theory, but rather in instinct and habit. Nobody thought of choosing any one but a neighbour to represent him in an elected body. This showed itself especially in the northern colonies which had grown up out of little rural Towns. The Town was not a mere electoral area but a community, which thought that no one but a member of the community could represent it or deal with its affairs. These tendencies were fundamentally English, though

more fully developed in America, as an orchard tree, grown for centuries in one country may, when placed in a new soil under a new sun, put forth more abundant foliage and fruit of richer flavour. The Americans, however, began soon after the Revolution to think of themselves, and the less instructed sections among them have continued so to think, as a new people. They fancied their history to have begun from 1776, or at earliest from 1607 and 1620, forgetting, in the pride of their new nationalism, that both their character and their institutions were due to causes that had been at work centuries before, as far back as Magna Charta and even as the Folk Mots of their primitive ancestors in the days of Ecghbert and Alfred. Rather were they an old people, the heirs of many ages, though under the stimulus of a new nature and an independent life renewing their youth even as the age of an eagle.

9

Such was the land and such the people in which the greatest of modern democracies began to build up its frame of government On what foundations of doctrine was the structure made to rest?

The Americans of the Revolution started from two fundamental principles or dogmas. One was Popular Sovereight, From the People all power-came at their pleasure and under their watchful supervision it was held for their benefit and theirs alone was it to be exercised. The other principle was Equality. This had from the first covered the whole field of private civil rights with no distinctions of privilege. Equality of political rights was for a time incomplete, voting power being in some States withheld from the poorest as not having a permanent stake in the community, but in course of time all the States placed all their citizens on the same footing

Along with these two principles certain other doctrines were so generally assumed as true that men did not stop to examine, much less to prove them Neurly all believed that the possession of political rights, since it gives selfrespect and imposes responsibility, does of itself make men fit to exercise those rights, so that citizens who enjoy liberty will be sure to value it and guard it Their faith in this power of liberty, coupled with their love of equality, further disposed them to regard the differences between one citizen and another as so slight that almost any public functions may be assigned to any honest man, while fairness requires that such functions should go round and be enjoyed by each in turn These doctrines, however, did not exclude the belief that in the interest of the people no one chosen to any office must enjoy it long or be allowed much discretion in its exercise, for they held that though the private citizen may be good while he remains the equal of others, power is a corrupting thing, so the temptation to exceed or misuse functions must be as far as possible removed

CHAPTER XXXIX

THE FRAME OF GOVERNMENT: STATE, LOCAL, AND FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONS

Holding these dogmas and influenced by these assumptions, the people began after they had declared their independence to create frames of government for the colonies they had turned into States, and then in 1787-9, to substitute for the loose Confederation which had held them together, a scheme of Federal Government. J'O use the terms of our_own_day_they turned a Nationality into a Nation, and made_the Nation

a State by giving it a Constitution.

The instruments which we call Constitutions are among the greatest contributions ever made to politics as a practical art; and they are also the most complete and definite concrete expressions ever given to the fundamental principles of democracy. What we call the British Constitution is a general name including all the laws, both statutes and common law doctrines embodied in reported cases, which relate to the management of public affairs. What, an American Written or Rigid Constitution is a single legal instrument persecting, the structure, scope, powers, and machinery. of a government. Act is, moreover, an instrument set in a category by itself, raised above ordinary laws by the fact that it has been enacted and is capable of being changed not in the same way as statutes are changed by the ordinary modes of legislation, but in some specially prescribed way, so as to ensure for it a greater permanence

and stability This was virtually a new invention, a legitimate offspring of democracy, and an expedient of practical value, because it embodies both the principle of Liberty and the principle of Order It issues from the doctrine that power comes only from the People, and from it not in respect of the physical force of the numerical majority. but because the People is recognized as of right the supreme lawgiving authority. Along with the principle of Liberty, a Constitution embodies also the principle of Self restraint. The people have resolved to put certain rules out of the reach of temporary impulses springing from passion or captice, and to make these rules. put certain rules out of the reach of temporary impulses springing from passion or caprice, and to mike these rules the permanent expression of their calm thought and deliberate purpose. It is a recognition of the truth that majorities are not always right, and need to be protected against themselves by being obliged to recur, it moments of haste or excitement, to maxims they had adopted at times of cool reflection. Like all great richievements in the field of constructive politics, and like nearly all great inventions in the fields of science and the arts, this discovery was the product of many minds and long experience. Yet its appearance in a finished shape, destined to permanence, was sudden, just as a liquid composed of several fluids previously held in solution will under certain conditions crystillize rapidly into a solid form solid form

THE CONSTITUTIONS OF THE STATES

The student of these American instruments must note some features which distinguish the State Constitutions from that of the Federal or Nutional Government, which we shall presently examine. The former came first, and express the mind of the people in the days of the Revolutionary War, when liberty seemed the greatest of all goods. These early constitutions have been from time to time amended, or redrafted and re enacted, and thus they record the changes that have passed upon public opinion. Those dating from the years between 1820

12

and 1860 show a movement towards a completer development of popular power, while those from 1865 to our own time present certain new features, some of a highly radical quality, some enlarging the functions of government, some restricting the powers of legislatures.

To describe in detail the variations in these instruments and the changes each underwent might confuse the reader's mind. It will suffice to indicate in outline the principles from which the authors of the first Constitutions set out, and to which the nation has in the main adhered, though the mode of their application has varied according to the particular aims it has from time to time striven to attain and the evils it has sought to cure.1

These principles were:

To secure the absolute sovereignty of the People.
To recognize complete equality among the citizens.
To protect the people against usurpation or misuse

of authority by their officials, In particular, with a view to this protection, to keep

distinct the three great departments of government-Legislative, Executive, and Judicial.

What a very high authority 2 says of the Federal Constitution applies to the State Constitutions also. "The peculiar and essential qualities of the Government

established by the Constitution are:

"It is representative.

"It recognises the liberty of the individual citizen as distinguished from the total mass of citizens, and it protects that liberty by specific limitations upon the power of government.

"It distributes the legislative, executive, and judicial powers into three separate departments and specifically limits the powers of the officers in each department.

"It makes observance of its limitations necessary to

influenced countries so different as Switserland, Australia, and Argentina Mr Elihu Root in an admirable little book entitled Experiments in Government

and the Ettentials of the Constitution (1913)

¹ This outline of the scheme of American government is given in order to enable shore renders who dave not time to study the Federal and State Corsutt tors to understand the institutional conditions under which democracy works and which have

the validity of laws, to be judged by the Courts of Law in each concrete case as it arises"

These leading characteristics of the Constitutions as documents flow from the aforesaid three fundamental principles. Let us now see how these principles were worked out, and in what forms these characteristic features appear in the Constitutions, taking first those of the States, both as elder in date, and as most fully expressing the democratic ideas of the time which saw their birth

Every State has to-day

(a) Its Constitution, enacted by the whole body of

citizens voting at the polls 1

(b) A Legislature of two Houses, both elected by manhood (or universal) suffrage for terms varying from one to four years, but most frequently of two years. The smaller House, which is elected by larger constituencies, is called the benate. In both the members receive salaries. The powers of both are substantially equal, though in a few States finance bills must originate in the larger House, and in a few the Senate is associated with the Governor in making appointments to office. In a few it sits as a Court to try imperchaments. (c) A Governor, elected usually for two or for four

(e) A Governor, elected usually for two or for four years by the cutzens voting at the polls He is the head of the Executive, and has (except in North Carolina) a veto on bills passed by the legislature, which, however, can be (though it seldom is) overruled by a two-thirds

vote in both Houses 2

(d) A number of administrative officials, some acting singly, some in Boards, elected by the citizens at the polls, or in a few cases by the legislature, and usually for short terms. These officials discharge functions prescribed by statute, and are independent of the legislature, though in some cases directed or supervised by the Governor.

There have been a few cases in which there was no direct popular enactment See the author 2 directs Communical h vol 1 chap XXXIII
There is also usually a L cuttant Governor who succeeds to the Governor f

the latter des or res gas and who in some States pres des over the Senate

(e) Other minor officials, appointed, for short terms, either by the Governor or by the legislature or by the officials or Boards aforesaid.

(f) Judges, elected either for the whole State by its citizens voting at the polls, or for local areas by the citizens resident in those areas, and for terms of years usually short. In three States, however, the judges of the highest court are appointed for life by the Governor (subject to confirmation by the legislature, or by the Senate alone), and are removable only by impeachment, and in four others they are appointed by him (subject as aforesaid) for a term of years, while in four others they are elected by the legislature for terms, longer or shorter.

The salaries of these officials vary according to the wealth of the State and the importance of the particular post, but are mostly small, averaging about \$6000

(f.1200).

14

LOCAL GOVERNMENT

Local Government has had such profound importance for democracy in America that the forms it has taken deserve to be described. Though every State has its own system, both for rural and for urban areas, all systems can be referred to one or other of a few predominant types. Those in force for rural areas, while varying from State to State, are the three following:

The New England type has its basis in the Town, a rural circumscription, dating from the first settlement of the country, which was originally small in population as well as in area. The Town, corresponding roughly to the English Parish, its governed by a general meeting of all the resident citizens, held at least once a year, in which the accounts of town expenses and receipts are presented, the general affairs of the community are discussed, the Selectmen (a small locally elected administrative council) are interrogated, and the officials for the ensuing year are elected. This Town meeting corresponds to the general meeting of the inhabitants of the Communic (Gemeinde) in Switzerland, and is the child of

the old English Vestry, which was already decadent when the first settlers came to New England No American institution has drawn more praise from foreign as well as American observers, and deservedly, for it has furnished a means of political training and an example of civic co-operation to every class of citizens, all deliberating together on the same level. It has been both the school and the pattern of democracy. It still flourishes in the agricultural parts of the six New England States, but works less well where a large industrial population has sprung up, especially if that population consists of recent immigrants. Above the Town stands the County, which exists chiefly for the purposes of highways and as a judicial district, and which (in most States) elects its judges. It is governed by officials elected by the citizens for short terms, each official (or Board) having specific statutory functions.

In the Southern States there are (broadly speaking) no Towns or Townships, and the County has always been the unit of local government It has no council, but a number of officials elected by the citizens, each with his own prescribed functions of the smaller local authorities are the elected School

Committees

CH XXXIX

In the Middle and Western States both the Town ships (for this is the name here given to the small local areas) and the Counties are important. In the latter single officials or small administrative Boards are elected for short terms. As their respective duties are prescribed by statute it has not been deemed necessary to have a council to supervise them. In those States which have been settled from New England, a Township has its Town meeting working on the old New England lines, but enlisting to a less extent the active interest of the people. The many different forms of local government that belong to this third type need not detain us. It is enough to say that in all the Northern, Middle, and Western States, though in varying degrees, the manage

ment of local affairs is entirely in the hands of the inhabitants, and thus receives more attention, and stimulates more sense of public duty, than it does in most of the free countries of Europe.

In Towns and Townships elections are generally conducted without reference to political parties, but County offices are frequently contested, this being due not so much to zeal for the public interest as to the influence much to zeal for the public interest as to the influence of party spirit desiring to reward party services. The salient feature of rural local government is that everywhere local affairs are in the hands of persons locally elected, not, as in many parts of the European continent, of officials appointed by the Central Government. The citizens, looking to no central authority for guidance, nor desiring (except for special purposes, such as education) the supervision which the central government gives in England, are content with such directions as general statutes give to the officials. statutes give to the officials.

The principles of popular government are applied with unswerving consistency to the political arrangements of cities, both large and small. There are two forms of municipal government. One, which till very recently was almost everywhere the same in its general lines, follows in most respects the model of a State Govern-

ment.

There is a Mayor, but he is elected not by the City

There is a Mayo, but he is elected not by the City Council but by the whole body of citizens at the polls, and for a period nowhere exceeding four years.

There is a Legislature consisting in some cities of one Chamber, in others of two, elected in wards for a period which nowhere exceeds four years, and receiving

salaries.

There are, in the larger cities, or many of them, officials, or Boards, also directly elected by the citizens for a period nowhere exceeding four years, as well as other inferior officials appointed either by the Mayor or by the Legislature.

t "City" is the term used in the United States to describe any community organized as a municipality

There are judges and police magistrates elected by the citizens for terms of years, generally short All these elections are on the basis of manhood, or

All these elections are on the basis of mannood, or universal, suffrage The Mayor, being directly chosen by the people, enjoys large powers, and has in many cittes a veto on acts of the city legislature He receives a salary

which in the greater cities is large

The other form of municipal government was introduced in 1901 in the city of Galveston in Texas, and having worked well there has spread widely, especially in the form of City Manager government into which it has recently developed. As it was adopted in order to cure evils conspicuous under the pre existing system, and is an offspring of the new reforming movement, I reserve the account of it till these evils have been described (see Chapter XLV)

THE FRAME OF NATIONAL GOVERNMENT

The Federal or National Constitution was drafted in 1787 when the country was depressed by economic troubles and the State legislatures had shown signs of feebleness and univision, was enacted in 1788, and took effect in 1789. It resembles in its general lines the Constitutions of the thirteen original States (as they existed in 1787), subject to those variations which the nature of the case prescribed. The Convention which prepared it was not only under the influence of a rection from the over sanguine temper of war time, but contained many men of larger experience and more cautious minds than those who had led the States in the work of constitution making. Thus the National Constitution is not only a more scientifically elaborated but also a more "conservative" document, in the American sense of the word, than the State Constitutions. Moreover, some of the more 'radical' or "democratic" provisions which were suitable to small communities, such as the States then were—only one had a population exceeding 500,000—were ill suited to a country so large as the whole Union,—

and were therefore omitted. Ten amendments were made in 1791 in order to satisfy those who disliked some features of the instrument, two others in 1798 and 1804 respectively, and three others just after the War of Secession in the years 1865-70. Four others have been made between 1911 and 1920, yet none of these materially affects the structure of the National Government. Under this Constitution there exist in the United States—

ment. Under this Constitution there exist in the United States—

(a) A Legislature, called Congress, of two Houses. One, the House of Representatives, is elected, for a two_rears_term, by large districts approximately equal in population. The electoral franchise was that fixed by the law of the particular State from which the representative comes, viz. manhood suffrage in some States, universal suffrage in those which gave the vote to women, but now the right of voting in Federal elections has been extended to all women. Nearly all the Southern States have passed enactments which, without directly contravening the constitutional amendment of 1870 designed to enfranchise all the coloured population, have succeeded in practically excluding from the franchise the large majority of that population, although it is, in some States, nearly one half of the whole.³ There are at present 415 members, and the number is periodically increased, according to population, after every decennial census. The other House, called the Senate, consists of two persons from each State, large or small, elected for six years. One-third of the number retire every two years. Formerly the Senators were chosen in each State by its legislature, but now, by an amendment to the Federal Constitution adopted in 1913, they are elected by the citizens of each State on a "general ticket," i.e. a vote not by districts but over the whole State. The Senate has the right of considering and, if so advised, confirming nominations.

1 One of these transfers the right of chosens are also led effectively before the Peoples of the States. The senate has the right of considering and, if so advised, confirming nominations. 4 One of these transfers the right of choosing senators from the Legislatures to the Peoples of the States, another forbids the production and sale of intoxicating liquors, and

a third exterds the suffrage to women over the whole Union.

In S. Carolina and Mississipps it was in 1961 rather more than half.

to office made by the President, and also of approving, by a two-thirds majority. Iteaties negotiated by him. It also sits as a Court of Justice to try impeachments preferred by the House of Representatives against civil officials (including the President or his Ministers, or Federal judges), a two-thirds majority being required for conviction. The salaries of members are large in proportion to those paid in Europe or in the British colonies, being at present fixed at \$7500 (£1500), as also in proportion to the salaries of Federal officials.

are large in proportion to those paid in Europe of in the British colonies, being at present fixed at \$7,500 (£1500), as also in proportion to the salaries of Federal officials

(b) A President, head of the Executive, elected for four years by persons specially chosen by the people in each State for that purpose 1 As these persons have been, in and since the election of 1796 always elected merely for the purpose of casting their votes for the particular candidate whom the voting citizen wishes to see chosen, this election by electors has become in practice a vote by the whole people Each State chooses a number of Presidential Electors proportioned to its representatives in Congress, i.e. in effect proportioned to its population, but as all the votes belonging to a State are counted for the same candidate, irrespective of State are counted for the same candidate, irrespective of the number of votes cast by the citizens within that State for one or other set of the electors pledged to elect him, it may happen that the toral vote given by the Presidential electors gives a different result from the total popular vote cast, ie a candidate may be elected (and has been more than once elected) who had not received a majority of the total number voting. The President frequently uses his right of vetoing a Bill passed by Congress, but his veto may be overriden if both Houses repass the Bills with the weather than the same thank or the same tha each by a two-thirds majority

(e) Executive heads of departments, and a large number of other officials, the more important of what including those popularly called 'the Cabinet' 'itut appointed by the President with the consent c

¹ They may be elected e ther by a general tacket vote over the whole vational data states according to the laws of each State. But the general tackes supreme now numerical.

Senate, as aforesaid. Minor officials are appointed, some by the President, some by higher officials or Boards, as the law may prescribe, but none either by Congress or directly by the people. The Cabinet Officers are responsible to the President, not to Congress, and, like all other Federal officials, are incapable of sitting in either House

(a) A Judiciary, consisting of a Supreme Court and such inferior Courts as may be created by law. The judges, appointed for life by the President with the consent of the Senate, are removable only by impeachment. Several have been so removed. Inferior Federal Courts have been created all over the country, and from them an appeal lies to the Supreme Court, which also enjoys original jurisdiction in some kinds of cases.

This Frame of Government is less democratic than that of the States in respect of the length of the Senatorial that of the States in respect of the length of the States in respect of the lettern, of the life-tenure of the judges, and of the provision that both administrative officials and judges are appointed, instead of being directly elected by the people, but is equally democratic in respect of its placing the source of executive as well as legislative power in direct popular election, and of the shortness of the term of service allowed to Representatives.

Let us note how consistently the general principles have been followed, both in the State Governments and in that of the nation.

in that of the nation.

In the States the principle of Popular Sovereignty is carried out (a) by entrusting as many offices as possible, (ven (in most States) judgeships, to direct popular bection, so that the official may feel himself immediately adponsible to the people, holding office by no pleasure Stathetis; (b) by making terms of office short, in order but he may not forget his dependence, but shall, if he of cos a renewal of his commission, be required to seek it a commission of the functions registed efficial to one particular kind of work. Smillarly states are the functions registed efficial to one particular kind of work.

sion of the electoral franchise, in the absence of any kind of privilege, in the prohibition of all public titles of honour, and practically also in the usage which, taking little account or special fitness, deems everybody fit for any office he can persuade the people to bestow. Both in the States and in the National Government the upprehension felt regarding the possible abuse of power by holders of office, found expression (a) in the division of the Legislature into two Houses, (b) in the granting of a veto on legislation, in the State to the Governor and in the nation to the President, (c) in requiring the consent of the Federal Senate, and (in some States) of the State Senate, to appointments made by the Executive, (d) in the provisions for the removal of officials by impeachment, (e) by the Constitutional restrictions placed upon legislative and executive action. In these points we are reminded of the desire of the Athenian democracy to retain all power in the hands of the Assembly, and to watch with suspicious vigilance the conduct of all its officials, short as were the terms of office allowed to them

Note also how the same principles run through the schemes of Local Government Officials are all chosen by the direct election of the people, except those (a now increasing number) whose functions are of a technical character, such as surveyors or city engineers or public health officers. Many matters which would in Europe be assigned to elective county or city councils are left to the elected officials, who, uncontrolled by the super vision of a representative body, are simply required to act under statutes prescribing minutely to them their respective duties. This is supposed to guard the rights of the people, though in fact it makes the due discharge of those duties depend on whatever vigilance, often far too slight, some one in the people may display in instituting a prosecution for neglect or misfeasance.

which there are everywhere two authorities, the National Government and the State Government, each supreme in its own sphere, concerns us here only in so far as it emphasizes and illustrates the American practice of limiting all elected authorities, whether persons or bodies. The powers of the National Government are defined and limited by the National Constitution, just as the powers of each State Government are defined and as the powers of each State Government are defined and limited both by the National Constitution, which has taken from them some of the attributes of sovereignty, and by the Constitution of the particular State. Further-more each branch of the Government, executive and and by the Constitution of the particular State.¹ Furthermore each branch of the Government, executive and legislative, both in Nation and in State, is limited. Congress has no such range of power as belongs to the legislature of Great Britain or of a British self-governing Dominion, but is debarred by the Constitution from interfering with the functions allotted to the executive and to the judiciary. So in each State the legislature, executive, and judiciary are each confined by the State Constitution to a particular field of action, which is further narrowed, as respects the legislature, by the exclusion of a long list of subjects from legislative competence. This fundamental principle of American public law needs to be constantly remembered, because it has not only restrained popular impulses, delayed changes, and protected vested rights, but also created a strongly marked legal spirit in the people and accustomed them to look at all questions in a legal way. It has, moreover, by placing many matters outside the scope of legislative action, compelled the direct intervention of the people as the ultimate power capable of dealing with such matters. Whatever powers cannot be exercised by an elected authority have been reserved to the people, who exert them by amending the Constitution. That stability in great things co-existent with changefulness in small things, which is characteristic of the United States, is largely due to this doctrine and practice of limited powers, a feature foreign to the French scheme of government, and less marked

I But whereas Congress possesses only such powers as have been expressly granted, a State legislature possesses all that have not been expressly withheld.

in some other Federal Governments with Rigid constitu tions, such as those of Switzerland, Canada, and Australia

Other points in which the observance of democratic

principles appears are the following

All members of legislatures receive salaries, so that
no one shall be debarred by want of independent means from entering them

v Elections are frequent, so that no one shall ever forget his constant dependence on the people

> No official of the Federal Government is eligible to sit in Congress, no official of the Government of a State to sit in its legislature This provision, a tribute to the famous doctrine of the Separation of Powers, was meant to prevent the Executive from controlling the Legislature Its effect has been to make the two powers legally independent of one another, but (as will be seen presently) it has not prevented the exercise of extra legal influence, for just as Congress may hamper a President (or a State Legislature its Governor) by legislation narrowly restricting the sphere of his action, so a President may put pressure on Congress, or a Governor on his State Legislature, by appealing to the people against them, while a President may act upon the minds of individual legislators by granting, or refusing, requests made to him by them for the exercise of his patronage in the way they desire

SUPERVENIENT CHANGES

We have now seen (1) what were the favouring physical and economic conditions under which the United States began its course as a nation, (2) what were the doctrines and beliefs, the hopes and apprehensions with which the schemes of government—State and Local and Federal—were framed, and (3) how these ideas and sentiments found expression in the institu-tions of which the frames consist. To test the soundness of the doctrines we must examine their results as seen in the actual working of the American government But before considering these let us regard another factor,

viz. the economic and social changes which have passed upon the United States during one hundred and thirty years of national life. The machinery has worked under conditions unforeseen when it was created. Never, perhaps, has any nation been so profoundly affected by new economic and racial phenomena, while retaining most of its institutions and nearly all its original political ideas.

The first of these changes was territorial extension. In 1789 the United States stretched westward only to the Mississippi, and did not reach the Gulf of Mexico, the coasts of which then belonged to France. The area of the thirteen States was then about 33,000 square miles, and the present area of the forty-eight States is now nearly 3,000,000 square miles. Its (free) population was then about 3,000,000, and is now (1920) over 110,000,000.

As the settlers moved into the interior, amazing natural resources were disclosed, an immense expanse of extremely fertle soil, vast deposits of coal, iron, silver, copper, and other minerals, forests such as had never been known to the Old World. The native free population grew swiftly, and had by 1840 risen to nearly 15,000,000. Soon afterwards a flood of immigrants began to come from Europe.¹ They and their descendants now form a majority of the American people. But as they came from many countries, and much the larger number from well-educated countries, such as the United Kingdom, Germany, and the Scandinavian kingdoms, and as those who settled on the land were quickly intermingled with and assimilated to the native population, the general standard of intelligence and conduct did not suffer in the rural districts. It was otherwise in the cities and mining regions. The growth of manufacturing industries, with the volume of trade that poured outward and inward from the great scaports, created enormous aggregations of labouring people fresh from the more backward parts of Europe, who being

¹ Many have recently come from Western Asia also,

herded together were but slowly diffused into the pre existing population. The gift of American citizenship, hastily conferred, found them unft for its responsibilities. Another new factor was introduced by the Civil War, when slavery was first practically and then legally extinguished. The Stites were in 1870 forbidden to withhold the electoral suffrage from any citizen on the ground of "race, colour, or previous condition of servitude." This amendment to the Constitution placed under Federal sanction the right of voting conferred by Acts of Congress and Stite constitutions previously enacted upon a large mass of coloured citizens, the vast majority of whom were unfitted to exercise political rights with advantage either to the State or to themselves.

Meanwhile the material progress of the country had produced other not less significant changes The development of agriculture, mining, and manufactures, the growth of commerce, foreign and domestic, which the use of steam for navigation and the construction of railroads had raised to gigantic proportions, created immense wealth, and concentrated a large share of it in the hands of comparatively few men 1 Three results followed The old equality of fortunes disappeared, and though such dis tinction of ranks as had existed in colonial days melted away, the social relations of different classes lost their simplicity and familiarity when the richlived in one quarter of great cities and the poorer were crowded together in others That personal knowledge which made the feeling of a common interest a bond between the citizens was weakened The power which money inevitably carries with it went on growing as the means of using it multiplied Railroads and other business enterprises came to be worked on so vast a scale that it was worth

¹ The improvement in the cond ton of the poorer class has however more this tep race with the growth of mi one are said it may be doubted whether these will be so immerous and play so large a part in the fitting as they have done during that had featury it is not true to say of Amere at that the poor are poorer and the growth of the contract o

while to obtain facilities for starting or conducting them by the illegitimate expenditure of large sums. The number of persons rich enough to corrupt legislators or officials increased, and as the tempters could raise their offers higher, those who succumbed to temptation were more numerous. Thus the power of money, negligible during the first two generations, became a formidable factor in politics.

As material interests grew more prominent and the passion for money-making more intense, policies and projects were more and more judged by the pecuniary prospects they opened up. That this did not exclude the influence of moral or humanitarian ideals is shown by the history of the Slavery controversy, for America, like England, is a country in which two currents of feeling have been wont to run side by side, sometimes apart, some-times each checking or disturbing the course of the other. While the economic aspect of every question came more insistently into view, and tinged men's opinions on public issues, so also business enterprises had a greater attraction for men of ability and energy, diverting into other careers talents and ambitions which would in earlier days have been given to the service of the State. Men absorbed in business did not cease to vote, but were apt to leave their votes at the disposal of their political leaders. None of these changes could have been foreseen by the framers of the early Constitutions, for although Jefferson and some of his contemporaries predicted for America a boundless growth of wealth, population and prosperity, they did not envisage the social and political consequences to follow.

The results of these geographical and economic changes may be summarized in a brief comparison:

The political institutions of the United States were created—

For a territory of which only about 100,000 square miles were inhabited.

For a free white population of little over 2,000,000.

CH XXXIX RESULTS OF CHAI

For a population five sixths of which dwelt in rural tracts or small towns

For a people almost wholly of British stock 1

For a people in which there were practically no rich and hardly any poor

For a people mainly engaged in agriculture, in fishing, and in triding on a small scale

These institutions are now being applied-

To a territory of 2,974,000 square miles, threefourths of which is pretty thickly inhabited To a nation of over 110,000,000

To a population fully one third of which dwells in

cities with more than 25,000 inhabitants
To a people less than half of whose blood is of British
origin and about one tenth of whom are of African

descent
To a people which includes more men of enormous

To a people which includes more men of enormous wealth than are to be found in all Europe

To a people more than half of whom are engaged in manufacturing, mining, or commerce, including transportation

It would not be strange if these institutions should bear signs of the unforeseen strain to which they have been subjected. The wonder is, not that the machinery creaks and warps, but that it has stood the strain at all. But before examining the results of the changes referred to we must take note of a phenomenon of supreme importance which has affected in many ways the development of the institutions aforesaid. This is the growth of Party, and in particular of Party Organizations the most complete and most powerful that the world has seen. They constitute a sort of second non legal government which has gained control of the legal government.

There were about 150 000 Cermans in Pennsylvan a but the o her small non 8 tish elements had been protty thoroughly American zed by 1789

CHAPTER XL

THE PARTY SYSTEM

THE three chief contributions which the United States has made to political science regarded as an Applied Science or Practical Art have been.

Rigid or so-called Written Constitutions, which, as being the expressions of the supreme will of the people, limit the powers of the different branches of government.

limit the powers of the different branches of government.

The use of Courts of Law to interpret Rigid Constitutions and secure their authority by placing their provisions out of the reach of legislative or executive action.

The organization of political parties.

Of these the first two are precautions against, or mitigations of, faults to which democracy is liable; while the third has proved to be an aggravation of those faults, undoing part of the good which the two former were doing, and impairing popular sovereignty itself. Yet party organization is a natural and probably an inevitable incident of democratic government. It has in itself nothing pernicious. Its evils laye sprung from

civitable incident of democratic government. It has in itself nothing pernicious. Its evils have sprung from its abuses. We can now perceive that these evils are an outgrowth of the system likely to appear wherever it attains full development. But are they inevitable evils? Could they have been prevented if forescen? Can they now be cut away without impairing such utility as the system possesses? This is a problem the American people have been trying to solve and their efforts deserve to be studied.

Before describing the structure of the Organizations, let us enquire how Party came to cover the field and affect the working of politics more widely in America

The political issues on which parties formed them-selves after the establishment of the Federal Constitution The first of these grose between were National issues were National issues. The first of these arose between those who sought to limit it in the interest of the rights of the States. This issue presently became entingled with that of the tarift, some groups desiring to use import duties for the protection of home industries, others preferring a tariff for revenue only. The question of the extension of slavery into the States which were from time to time formed out of the unorganized territories of the Union induced that bitter antigonism which ultimately led to the war of Secession These issues overtopped and practically superseded all State and other local issues, and marked the lines of division between parties over the whole country The fact that the Federal senators were chosen by the legislatures of the States made it the interest of each National party to fight every election of a State legislature on party lines, in order to obtain in that body a majority which would secure the choice of senators of its own persuasion, so State legislatures came to be divided on strict party lines, i e the lines of the National parties, though nearly all the questions which these legislatures dealt with had nothing to do with National issues From the States the same habit spread into local elections, so that contests in cities and counties were also fought on party lines, though the work of these local bodies lay even more apart than did that of the States from the questions which divided the nation It became a principle to maintain the power of the National parties in all elected bodies and by all means available, for the more the party was kept together in every place and on every occasion for voting, so much the stronger would it be for national purposes 1

¹ This was however never the case n the Towns the smallest areas of local self government and s not so generally the case n local hod es to day as t was forty years ago

Thus the partisan spirit extended itself to the choice of those administrative officials who were directly elected by the citizens, such as the State Governor and State Treasurer, the mayor of a city, the county commissioners. These elections also were fought on party lines, for a victory redounded to the credit and strength of the

National party. Personal character and capacity were little regarded. The candidate was selected, in manner to be presently described, by the Primary or the Nominating Convention (as the case might be), as a party man, entitled to party recognition; and the party machinery worked for him as zealously as it did for the candidate

seeking election to Congress. A further downward step was to require any official who had to appoint subordinate officers, or even to employ persons for some humble public service, to prefer members of his party for selection to the office or work. The official, himself chosen as a party man, was expected to serve the party by filling every place he could with men bound to vote for party candidates and otherwise serve the party. Even a labourer paid by weekly wages got employment on the condition of his voting and working for the party. Thus politics came to mean party politics and little else. People thought of party success as an end in itself, irrespective of the effect it would have upon the administration of many matters were aggravated by the fact that the public service was not permanent As the elected officials served for short terms, posts became frequently vacant. The tenure of those who were not directly elected but appointed lasted no longer than that of the authority who had appointed them, so when power passed from one party to another after an election, the employees appointed by the outgoing party had, however efficient they might be, no claim to be continued. They were dismissed, and their places given to successors appointed by the in-coming party, which thus rewarded its friends and strengthened its influence. This practice, known as CH XL

the Spoils System, began in the State of New York early in the nineteenth century, and thence spread not only to other States but into the National Government also, so that the President, who by this time had an enormous number of posts at his disposal, was expected to use them as rewards for party services

The Frame of Government, the outlines of which have been already described, was constructed in the have been already described, was constituted in the belief that the people, destring, and knowing how to secure, their own good, would easily effect their pur-poses by choosing honest legislators, and also by choosing officials who would be trustworthy agents, administering public affairs in accordance with the people's wishes In a New England township, and even in the far larger county area of Virginia, the men of the eighteenth century knew personally the fellow citizens whom they trusted, and could select those whose opinions they approved and whom they deemed capable, so, though the existence of parties was recognized, as were also the dangers of party spirit, the choice of legislators and officials seems to have been regarded as a simple matter, and it was not perceived that when population increased and offices became more important the old simple methods would not suffice, since elections must involve more and more work, and the selection of candidates be more difficult Party organizations grew up unnoticed be-cause unforeseen There had been none in England, the only country where popular elections were known and party spirit had sometimes been furious [Thus it befell that in the United States, though parties appeared from the early days of the National Government, and their antagonisms were already fierce when the fourth presi-dential election was held in 1800, party organizations grew slowly, and attracted little attention. Tocqueville,

¹ The phrase, the spo is to the v ctors was first used by Marry of New York who deare but as a the pract ce a force on is State. It had been a suppressed of in prince by the statemen of the first generation such as Jefferson and Mad son who saw its diagress and desired to get the lolders of Federia offices a permanent tenure. But Pres dem Jackson emp oyed it freely and the general treatment of offices as as pol is dates from hs time, 1820 1820;

writing in 1832, never mentions them, yet they were already strong in his day, and had covered the whole country before the Civil War broke out in 1861. Some sort of associated action is incidental to every

representative government, for wherever power is given to elected persons, those citizens who desire their particular views to prevail must band themselves together to secure the choice of the persons best fitted both to express their own views and to attract the votes of other citizens.

Whether they devise a method for selecting a candidate or simply accept the man who presents himself, they must work in unison to recommend him to the voters generally, canvassing for him and bringing up their friends to the poll. Without concerted action there will be confusion, disorder, loss of voting power. An Election Committee formed to help a candidate pledged to its cause is the simplest form of party organization, legitimate and possibly inexpensive. Beyond this form party organization in England did not advance till our own time.

In the United States it was found necessary to go further. Under the constitutions of the several States elections were frequent, because many administrative as well as all legislative posts, both State and municipal, were filled by popular vote, and because these posts were held for short terms. As the population of cities and electoral areas generally grew larger, so that

most citizens ceased to have personal knowledge of the candidates, it became more needful to inform them of the merits of those who sought their suffrages; more needful also to have lists of the voters and to provide for "getting out the vote." The selection of candidates also became important. In England, so long as the structure of rural society retained an old-fashioned semifeudal character, some one belonging to an important land-owning family was usually accepted, while in the towns (after pocket boroughs had vanished) a wealthy merchant or manufacturer, especially if he had filled

some municipal office, was likely to find favour. But

in America, where Equality prevailed, neither wealth nor rank gave a claim to any post. The principle of Popular Sovereignty suggested that it was for the citizens not only to choose members or officials by their votes, but to say for what persons votes should be cast Hence where any post was to be filled by local election, the local adherents of the party were deemed entitled to select the man on whom their voting force was to be concentrated This was a logical development of the principle Instead of letting a clique of influential men thrust a candidate upon them, or allowing a number of candidates to start in rivalry and so divide their votes, the party met before the election to choose the man they preferred to be their local standard bearer, and it was understood that the votes of all would be given to whomsoever the majority chose A meeting of this kind was called a Party Primary, and it became the duty of the party committee which managed elections to make the arrangements for summoning, and naturally also for advising, the Primary

These being the two aims which called party organization into being, I pass to its main features, substantially, though not in minor details, the same over the whole country, and will describe it as it stood in 1888, before recent changes which cannot be understood till an account has been given of the system as it existed before their adoption. Though it has been almost everywhere altered, it may revert to type, and in any case it has been a product of democracy too remarkable to be ignored, for it showed how organizations—essentially-oliganche in structure—though professing to be democratic, can become tyrannical under democratic forms. A

The work of every Party Organization is twofold, corresponding to the two aims aforesaid One branch of it was to select party candidates by the process called Nomination, as practised before the recent changes. The other is to promote the general interests of the party in every electoral area. Each party has, in most States, a party. Committee in every city ward, in every Vol. II.

city, in every township and State Assembly district and Congressional district, in every county, in every State, and at the head of all a National Committee for the whole United States, appointed to fight the approach-ing Presidential Election. Each of these Committees is elected either by those who are enrolled as members of the party in its meeting in a Primary (to be presently described) or else by a Convention composed of delegates from the Primaries. The Committees are appointed annually, the same persons, and especially the Chairman, being usually continued from year to year. They have plenty to do, for the winning of elections is a tolk out and costly business. Funds have to be raised, meeting organized, immigrants recruited for the party and enrolled as its members, lists of voters and their resi dences prepared, literature produced and diffused, and other forms of party propaganda attended to, and when the day of election arrives party tickets must be provided and distributed,2 canvassers and other election workers organized and paid, voters brought up to the polls. Each Committee keeps touch with the Committee next above it in a larger electoral area, and with that below it in a smaller, so that, taken together, these bodies constitute a network, strong and flexible, stretching over the whole Union. They are an army kept on a war footing, always ready for action when each election comes round; and everything except the nomination of candi-dates and formulation of party programmes is within their

Nominations belong to the other set of party authorities. These are either Primaries or Conventions. The Primary was—until recent legislation, of which mire hereafter—the party meeting for the smaller election areas, in which a large proportion of the voters belonging to the party could be brought together in one room. It had

¹ In some States at is only the larger given that turne Committion, the county using the most important one after that for the State. There is also a primarine Compressional Committee appointed by members of the 1900 House from that own number of the 1900 House from that own outputs a state of the work, his, however, now generally passed to the official who important elections. Party processions, note extensively used, are obsolected.

two duties. One was to select a candidate or candidates for any elective office within its area, thereby putting its official stamp upon each person chosen as being the "regular candidate" in entitled to the votes of all good and true members of the party. The other duty was to choose delegates to proceed to, and represent it in, a Nominating Convention for some larger election area or areas within which its own area lay. Thus a Ward Primary in a city would send delegates to a City Convention which nominates candidates for the mayoralty and other municipal offices, and also to a State Assembly District Convention, a State Senatorial District Convention, a Congressional District Convention, which nominates a candidate for Congress, and a State Convention which nominates a candidate for the Governorshua and other elective State offices?

The Nominating Convention consists (for Conventions are not extinct) of the delegates from the Primaries (or minor Conventions) within some large election area. Its function is to select candidates for elective offices within that area, such as members of the State Legislature, members of the Federal House of Representatives, the Governor and higher judges of the State. It selects and stamps as "regular" the candidate it prefers, and in some cases it also selects delegates to proceed from it to a Convention of higher rank and wider compass, viz a State Convention or the National Convention which nominates the party candidate for the Presidency. A Convention also passes resolutions enouncing the views and aims of the party. These, however, being usually cut and direct, seldom arouse discussion.

¹ The State Con onto has now been a many States abol shed by recent legs hat on but while existed twan a mportrant pot of the mach neity. Sometimes as in New York City there may be a Pr mry for as Assembly Darrier and a small cites an Pr mry from youther for the whole city. It would be impossible to present within the "response of mrs an account of the strategements now in force in the several States I for these are nearly a cryptom required by the they are nearly cryptom required to the strateging of the strate which rawy from State to State Federal leg slat on does not touch his subject.
Whoever deer set to understand the which mechanism of the system as it stoods.

to 1887 may refer to chipters lx lxx of The American Commonwealth vol 11 of to M O trogorisks svalu ble book La Dêmo rate et les pares polit ques new ed tion of 1912. The local Ward Leader is an important factor in cites

PT. II

36

All these arrangements scrupulously respected the Sovereignty of the People. No member of a Committee, no delegate to a Convention, was self-appointed. All were chosen by the members of the party. Nobody was re-cognized as a candidate unless he had been chosen by a party meeting. In theory, nothing could be more correct. Now let us look at the practice.

Even before the system had matured and still more after its full development, tendencies appeared dis-closing inherent dangers. Those new phenomena, due to the growth of population and wealth, which have heen already described, strengthened these tendencies, giving rise to grave perversions.

The Primary was in theory open to all members of the party resident within its area, but in order to prevent persons who did not belong to the party from entering and turning it into a public instead of a private party meeting, it became necessary to have a roll of party members, so that every one claiming to vote could prove his title. Now the rolls were kept by the local party Committee already referred to, a body composed of the most active and thoroughly partisan local politicians. Wishing to make sure of a subservient primary, this Committee took care to place on the rolls only those whom it deemed to be trusty party men, so any citizen suspected of independence was not likely to be enrolled. If he were alleged to have failed to vote for the "regular" party candidate at the last preceding election, that might be taken as a ground for omitting him, and if, discovering that he was not on the roll, he demanded to be entered, the demand might be evaded. Prima facie, therefore, the Committee could make pretty sure that when a Primary was held, it would choose the persons they

desired to have nominated. Now the Primaries were usually held in the evening, especially in the cities, and it was chiefly in the cities that the nomination methods here described were employed. The attendance was seldom large, but it was sure to include all the local party "workers," and others on whose votes the managing Committee could count Often it consisted entirely of persons belonging to the humbler strata of the party. The richer sort, including the larger taxpavers, though they had the strongest interest in entrusting administration to men who would conduct it economically, seldom attended, preferring their social engagements, or a quiet evening at home with their families Few troubled themselves to see that their names were on the roll Still fewer desired the local posts, or cared to serve as delegates to a Conven-tion, so the choice of nominees for the offices, and for the function of delegate, was usually left to the Committee, who, bringing their list cut and dried, proposed and carried it without trouble. Now and then there was opposition, if there happened to be a feud within the party, or if some among the better sort of citizens fear ing the nomination of exceptionally unfit men, thought it worth while to make a fight However, the Committee could usually command a majority, and as the chairman was ready to rule every question in their favour, opposition rarely succeeded Thus the Committee, being master of the situation, almost always put through its nominations both for the local posts and for the choice of delegates. That having been done, the Committee itself was reappointed, and the rule of the local managers thereby duly prolonged from one year to another

When the delegates proceeded to the Convention they met other delegates from other Primaries within the Convention area, persons similarly chosen, and similarly bound to carry out the instructions which their respective Primaries had given them Sometimes these instructions directed them to vote in the Convention for the nomination of the person whom the party managers had already fixed on as the party candidate for any particular office, but even if no direction had been given, they followed the managers' lead—It need hardly be said that the petty local politicians who managed the Primaries were in close touch with the larger political figures in charge of the party business of the county, and with the still more exalted

beings similarly charged with its interests in the State. If the Primary elections had been well handled, there was little trouble in getting the Convention to accept the list of nominations prepared by the managers, and this list, being official, then commanded the votes of all sound party men. The whole procedure was, in point of form, strictly democratic. The Voice of the People rang out in the Primaries. The delegates trans-

mitted it to the Convention; so those whom the Convention nominated as party candidates were the people's choice. Hence the trouble taken to secure the Primaries was none too great. They were the key of the position. Why did these methods succeed? Since about 1870, if not earlier, the more observant and thoughtful citizens

had known the realities which previously, cloaked under democratic forms, had passed almost unnoticed. Yet

for many a year they submitted tamely to the perversion of those forms, taking no pains to have good candidates selected, and voting for whatsoever candidates the Organization presented to them. Several reasons may be assigned for this tolerance : (a) The better sort of citizens, i.e. the educated and intelligent men, whatever their social status, who

might have been expected to have an interest in good administration, were too indolent, or too busy with their own affairs, to attend the Primaries. (b) The offices to which the Primary nominated were

insignificant, and they did not care who filled them.

(c) The post of delegate had no attraction. brought them into contact with persons whose company was distasteful; and if they went to a Convention they would have to choose between subservience to the managers and a troublesome and probably unsuccessful resistance.

(d) They did not, especially in the larger cities, know which candidates deserved support, for the offices to be filled were numerous, and how were they to select from a list of names that meant nothing to them? They wanted guidance, and as the party nominations gave

it, they voted for the party nominees, asking no questions

(e) Some of them had business interests which made it worth their while to stand well with party leaders in

it worth their while to strind well with pirty leuters in the city legislature, or Stite legislature, or Compress

(f) Most of them were so possessed by the notion that democratic Equality means that every citizen is good enough for any place he can get, that they thought it mattered little who filled any but the highest posts (g) Nearly all were governed by the sentiment of party loyalty, exceptionally strong in America, from 1840 to 1890, since which date it has been declining among

the more thoughtful citizens

All this implies that the citizens did not live up to the standard of civic duty which their democratic system contemplated It does not me in that they were below the level of citizens elsewhere. On the contrary, they were probably above the point at which that level of indo in Europe What it does mean is that the level duty imposed on them of voting frequently and the nonlegal duty of sharing in party mana, rement were, tiken together, too numerous and trouble ome for were, human nature Overmuch wa demanded from them If less had been asked, more might have been given Nevertheless a time came when the combine influence

of all these causes could no longer tifle it output The worm turned From about 1990 (n wart', disatisfaction grev so strong trat a demand for a reform of the Primanes, beginning in the great I a term citie spread over the cruntry and secured it really every State the enactment of statute interded to root out the abuses described and delizer the party werer from hi trunts. These changes will be de crited when we can e to a general survey of the effects recently made to so prove the working of American in the criters.

These vast party crear zations, control the country from ocean to ocean with a network of Co-mittees managing Primaries and Convertions, fulfitry the end-less elections, raising and spending large sing (fine

PT . beings similarly charged with its interests in the State.

If the Primary elections had been well handled, there was little trouble in getting the Convention to accept the list of nominations prepared by the managers, and this list, being official, then commanded the votes of all sound party men. The whole procedure was,

in point of form, strictly democratic. The Voice of the People rang out in the Primaries. The delegates transmitted it to the Convention; so those whom the Convention nominated as party candidates were the people's choice. Hence the trouble taken to secure the Primaries

was none too great. They were the key of the position. Why did these methods succeed? Since about 1870, if not earlier, the more observant and thoughtful citizens had known the realities which previously, cloaked under democratic forms, had passed almost unnoticed. Yet

for many a year they submitted tamely to the perversion of those forms, taking no pains to have good candidates selected, and voting for whatsoever candidates the Organization presented to them. Several reasons may be assigned for this tolerance : (a) The better sort of citizens, i.e. the educated and intelligent men, whatever their social status, who

might have been expected to have an interest in good administration, were too indolent, or too busy with their own affairs, to attend the Primaries. (b) The offices to which the Primary nominated were insignificant, and they did not care who filled them.

(c) The post of delegate had no attraction. brought them into contact with persons whose company was distasteful; and if they went to a Convention they would have to choose between subservience to the

managers and a troublesome and probably unsuccessful resistance. (d) They did not, especially in the larger cities, know which candidates deserved support, for the offices to be filled were numerous, and how were they to select from

a list of names that meant nothing to them? They wanted guidance, and as the party nominations gave it, they voted for the party nominees, asking questions

(e) Some of them had business interests which made

(e) some of them had business interests which made it worth their while to stand well with party leaders in the city legislature, or State legislature, or Congress (f) Most of them were so possessed by the notion that democratic Equality means that every citizen is good enough for any place he can get, that they thought it mattered little who filled any but the highest posts (g) Nearly all were governed by the sentiment of party loyalty, exceptionally strong in America from 1830 to 1890, since which date it has been declining among

the more thoughtful citizens

All this implies that the citizens did not live up to the standard of civic duty which their democratic system contemplated It does not mean that they were below the level of citizens elsewhere On the contrary, they were probably above the point at which that level stands in Europe 'What it does mean is that the legal duty imposed on them of voting frequently and the nonlegal duty of sharing in party management were, taken together, too numerous and troublesome for average human nature Overmuch was demanded from them If less had been asked, more might have been given

Nevertheless a time came when the combined influence of all these causes could no longer stifle discontent The worm turned From about 1890 onwards, dissatisfaction grew so strong that a demand for a reform of the Primaries, beginning in the great Eastern cities, spread over the country and secured in nearly every State the enactment of statutes intended to root out the abuses described and deliver the party voter from his tyrants These changes will be described when we come to a general survey of the efforts recently made to improve the working of American institutions

These vast party organizations, covering the country from ocean to ocean with a network of Committees, managing Primaries and Conventions, fighting the endless electrons, raising and spending large sums of money

needed, and still need, a number of men to work them said to exceed that of all the elected officials of the country, if we omit those of ward and township. "The [machinery of [party] control in American Government probably requires more people to tend and work it than all other political machinery in the rest of the civilized world."

These workers, except the secretaries and clerks, are almost all unpaid. Many chairmen of the more important Committees give their whole time to the work. Many of the humbler sort, who look after voters in the wards of crowded cities, throw zeal as well as labour into the duties assigned to them. What are the inducements? Whence comes the remuneration? One must distinguish three classes of persons.

From time to time, when some exciting issue rouses hope or alarm, men will work out of disinterested attachment to party doctrines. Many more, especially among the humble and less educated, are stirred by party spirit pure and simple, fighting for victory as in a football match. Keen is the pleasure of strife and competition, especially in America. The sympathy that springs from co-operation feeds this spirit. It is a joy to stand shoulder to shoulder, especially with a prospect of success. But the largest number of workers in all ranks work for their own interests, those at the top aiming at high political office, which may carry with it opportunities of gain exceeding its salary, those lower down desiring either a humbler public post or perhaps a profit to be made out of the Administration when their friends are installed in it, those at the bottom seeking employment in the police, or the fire service, or the gas service, or some other department of municipal work.

Thus the main inducement is Office, or the assured prospect of receiving an office when the party one serves is in power. "What are we here for except the offices?" was the oft-quoted deliverance of a politician at a National Convention. The Organization can confer

¹ Professor H. J. Ford, Rite and Growth of American Politics, p. 312.

the office and recognizes the obligation to do so, because it controls nominations and can require its nominees, when elected, to reward service rendered to it by bestowing any emolument, legitimate or illegitimate, that lies within the range of their official power or covert influence. It is largely self supporting, like an army that lives off the country it is conquering, but while the party forces are paid by salaried posts, legislative, administrative, or judicial, the funds of the Organization are also replenished by contributions exacted from business firms or corporations which its power over legislation and administration can benefit or injure. In this material aspect, the Organization is called by Americans the Machine, because it is a well-compacted and efficient set of contrivancer which in its ordered working provides places for the professional staff who serve its purposes by helping to wan elections.

Who were responsible for the rule of professional politicians? Where were the good citizens while all these things were going on? Why did they vote at State and City elections for candidates of whom they knew nothing except that they were the Machine

nominees ?

The system had grown up naturally as the business of winning elections became more and more a matter needing constant attention and labour. Those who had created the original Committees came to be permanent party managers, and had worked out of party spirit before they began to work for their selfish interests. The 'good citizens,' occupied in making money and developing the resources of the country, acquiesced and became unconscious accomplices. Many of the urban constituencies had grown so large by the increase of population that very few of the voters knew, or could know, who were the fittest candidates. The bulk were too much engrossed with their own business to be at the trouble of enquiring for themselves, so when the party gave them guidance by nominating candidates, they took thankfully what was given. In exciting times the

look a candidate's defects and accept any one who had received the party stamp from nomination by the Primary or the Convention. In duller times, they cared so little about the matter that while many stayed away from the polls, others voted the ticket like automata. Seldom was any protest raised in a Primary or Convention.

From time to time questions arose which so deeply touched either the emotions or the pocket of the good citizen as to make him ready to swallow any candidate and turn a blind eye to a want of honour in party leaders. The zealous Anti-Slavery men of New England pardoned everything for the sake of that cause; and in later days the Protectionists of Pennsylvania allowed their State to be dominated by a succession of unscrupulous chiefs because the unity of the high tariff party must be at all costs maintained, and, even apart from any such motives, the loyalty to the old historic party was more deeply ingrained in the American nature than it had ever been in any other country where Party had no racial or religious basis. Thus it befell that party spirit supported the Organization through evil-doing and well-doing. Without such a spirit the Machine could not have won and kept power. But neither could the spirit have shown such tenacity of life without the Organization which gathered in and drilled recruits from the masses, turning into fervent Republicans or Democrats crowds of brand-new citizens who, neither knowing nor caring what the tenets of their party were, liked to be associated in a body which brought them into the life of their adopted country. They became partisans without principles, the solidest kind of voters. It must also be remembered that the party managers were not all professionals, at least in the lower sense of the term. Some were eminent statesmen who loved the party for the party's sake, and who, though not soiling their own hands, could not afford to scrutinize too closely the methods of the Bosses who controlled the votes which the party needed.

This brings us to another aspect of the subject. Who were those that led and ruled each Party, not as a professional machine with pecuniary aims, but as an association of citizens desiring to shape the policy of the nation? Who determined in what wise its traditional principles should be from time to time adapted to the circumstances and needs of the moment? Since a main object of every party is to foresee and follow the public opinion of the majority so is to catch votes at elections, it must, for this purpose, consider what views on current issues should be announced beforehand, what plans formulated and promises made

The fundamental doctrine of democracy prescribes

that the only authorized exponent of the views of the people is the People itself, and this means, for a party, all its members assembled by their representatives in a Convention. Accordingly every State Convention held before a State election adopted a Platform, which, though it might touch upon any important State issue, was chiefly concerned with national issues, and professed to express concerned with national issues, and processed to express the national policy of the party. Still more authoritative of course is the platform adopted by the National Convention when it selects the party candidate for the Presidency. But in neither body is there any real discussion of the planks in the platform. There is not time enough, and a National Convention is a body of more than a thousand delegates meeting in the presence of ten thousand spectators. The State Committee or National Committee (as the case may be) prepares the platform in advance, and the Convention usually adopts it after two or three de-clamatory speeches, though alterations are often made, especially if needed to "placate any critical or possibly recalcitrant section of the party that may be represented in the hall. The part played by the Convention is formal.1 Those who determine beforehand the contents of the platform are, though the real leaders of the

¹ Althouga large gatherings claiming to speak on behalf of each party meet annually, little weight attackes (except in the case of the Labour or Socialist Parties, Wirtually without authoritative personal leadersh jo to the releverances

party, persons whom it is hard to define and impos-sible to enumerate. In England the Prime Minister and Cabinet declare the policy of the party in power, and are usually accepted as speaking on its behalf; while the leader of the parliamentary Opposition and the ex-Cabinet do the like for the party in opposition. But the existing Cabinet in America counts for little in such a matter, and the last preceding Cabinet for nothing at all. So far as there is a leader of the "party in power," it is the President, because he is the choice of the people, assumed to retain their confidence till some event shows that he has lost it. Next to him in authority would come the Speaker of the House of Representatives, but only if personally influential, together with a few of the leading senators of the party, and some other adroit and experienced politicians, especially if they are in touch with the President. But with such men leadership depends on personal qualities and reputation, not upon any official position. They will often be found in the permanent Congressional party Committee, which includes the shrewdest of the party men in the House of Representatives, and also in the National Committee, which, though formed only for the temporary purpose of each Presidential election, has become a sort of permanent party executive. But the public, knowing little of many among the members of these two Committees, is disposed to look chiefly to the President for leadership. Congress is not the centre of America's political life, as the House of Commons still is in England, and as are the Chambers in France, while the rank and file of those who fill the Conventions are not primarily concerned with policy but with the getting and keeping of

places.

Two phenomena that have struck European observers deserve only a passing mention, because they are due to causes which have little or nothing to do with democracy. One is the fact that two great parties have since 1836 maintained themselves (except, of course, during the Civil War) in tolerably equal strength, neither able to

CH XL

disregard its opponent. The other is that the minor parties which have been from time to time created have either died down or been pretty quickly reabsorbed, like the Know Nothings of 1852, the Populists of 1890-96, and the Progressives of 1912, or else have fulled to attain truly national importance. This latter fact shows that democratic governments do not invariably, as some have inferred from the cases of France and Italy, cause the splitting up of parties into groups.

Note that this party organization forms another government, unknown to the law, side by side with the legal government established by the Constitution. It holds together an immense number of citizens in small party aggregates all over the country, each subordinated to and represented in larger State aggregates, and these in their turn represented in one huge party meeting, the National Convention which assembles once in four years to declare party policy and choose a presidential candidate Thus the whole vast body is induced to follow a few leaders and to concentrate its voting power upon the aims and purposes which the majority prescribe Though Bills are sometimes men tioned in a platform, legislation is not one of the chief aims of party, and many of the most important measures such as the Prohibition amendment and the Woman Suffrage amendment, have had no party character 2 Its chief purpose is to capture, and to hold when captured, the machinery, legislative and administrative, of the legal government established by the Constitution That machinery, when captured, is used mainly of course for discharging the normal routine work of legislation and administration, most of which has nothing to do with party doctrines and proposals, to some extent also for carrying out those doctrines by legislative action, but

 $^{^1}$ The Republican party was founded in 1854 on the runs of the crumbling Whig party and maintained the two party that ton

It is reacted that a most op of car in how as survey ng the land cape from the back platfor no fara oad can mo on was warned by the coor of potter that he must not stand theer and when he emaked that he thought a platform was meant to stand on the daky replied Oh no sah a platform an trenant no stand on Its meant to get in one.

largely also for putting into public office "sound men,"

being those who profess the tenets of the party, and have rendered service to it. If the constitutional government of the country be compared to a vast machine set up in a factory to be worked by electric power, the party system may be likened to the dynamo engine that makes the electric current which, when turned on, sets all the machinery in motion.] The two governments, the legal and the party, are in their structure very different things, but it is from the non-legal party machinery that the legal machinery of government derives, its motive power.]

Party organization has done much to unify the people of the United States and make them homogeneous, for it has brought city and country, rich and poor, native American and Old World immigrant into a common allegiance, which has helped them to know, and taught them to co-operate with, one another. Had the parties been based on differences of race or religion, those elements of antagonism which existed in the population

American and Old World immigrant into a common them to co-operate with, one another. Had the parties been based on differences of race or religion, those elements of antagonism which existed in the population would have been intensified. But they have been in fact reduced. Most of the Irish immigrants joined the Democratic party, most of the German the Republicans, but there were always plenty of German Protestants among the Democrats and of Irish Catholics among the Republicans. So, too, the Organizations have mitigated such inconveniences as arise from the provisions of the Constitution which disjoin the Executive from the Legislative power, for when the President belongs to the same party as the majority in Congress, he and the latter, having a common interest in the prestige of the party, are likely to work well together, though, conversely, when they belong to different parties, the majority in Congress become the more disposed to "play politics" against him.

become the more disposed to "play politics" against him.
As compared with the legal Frame of National Government, the party system is more compactly built together and attains a completer concentration of power. <u>Ht is an admirable contrivance for centralizing control and making effective the rule of a majority, and indeed the best instru-</u>

ment for the suppression of dissident minorities demo-cracy has yet devised J Thus it has generally shown itself a conservative force, for in order to command a majority at elections it is obliged—except when it can take advan-tage of some sudden impulse sweeping over the country— to conclude various sections of opinion and try to keep them within its fold—It will even condescend to suffer cranks gladly, or to exploit temporary fads and follies, so long as it can do so without alienating its saner members When a new question emerges, raising serious differences of opinion, the Organization usually tries to hedge fumbles and quibbles and faces both ways as long as it But when one section has gained the mastery of the party, the Organization may become almost ferociously intolerant, and enforce by the threat of excommunication 1 whatever it then declares to be its orthodoxy servative in another sense also, for it tends to restrain personal ambition and imposes a check upon the too obtrusive selfishness of prominent men One who has risen by party support is rarely so indispensable, or so risen by party support is ratery so incispensation, of so great a hero to the mass of voters, as to become dangerous by leading his party into violent courses or making it the accomplice in his schemes of personal ambition. He will have learnt that only by watching and following general opinion can power be retained.

Thus it may be said that Party Organization, which has done some great disservices to America, shows also a good side It has, so far as concerns the lower strata, demoralized politics, and made them sorded. It has fallen under the control of an oligarchy But it has also steadied the working of government over a vast-country wherein are many diverse elements, by giving an authoritative solutive to popular majorities. The tendency to abuse power, frequent in small communities, is reduced in this large country, because the party majority is held together by respecting the various elements of

Thus n 1896 the Free Siver Democrats crushed opposition and (for a time) are the Gold Standard men out of the party just as after 1903 the Protection six expelled the Free Trade men from the Conservative party is England

which it is composed, while as the party for the time being in the minority has also a strength and cohesion through its organization, it can criticise those who hold the reins of power and deter them from extreme courses. The greatest fault of the system, next to the selfishness and corruption its perversions have bred, has lain in the irre sponsible secrecy of its influence over the official organs of government. An American party is, in one sense, so far made responsible that when its policy has been condemned by the results, it loses support, and may suffer defeat. But the leaders who direct its policy are usually so numerous, and some of them so little known, and the share of each in a misdeed committed so unascertainable, that it is hardly too much to say that in

48

PT. II

the State Governments only one person can be held responsible as a party leader, the Governor, and in the National Government only one person, the President.

It may be thought that the description here given exaggerates the novelty of the American party system, seeing that Party rules both in Britain and her self-governing Dominions, and in France, and in some of the smaller free countries. Usur it must be remembered not only

that the American Organization is incomparably more fully developed, but also that it stands forth more conspicuously as a system standing quite outside of the legal Government. In France, legislation and administration are carried on not by one party but by combina-tions of groups frequently formed, dissolved, and then re-formed. In England party conflicts fought all over the country come only once in three, four, or five years, at a General Election; and when one party goes under and another comes to the top, only some thirty or forty persons change places, so the general machine of adminis-tration seems but slightly affected, and few are those who directly lose or gain. Party policy, moreover, rests with half-a-dozen Parliamentury figures on each a Nevertheless, a State Governor, though the choice of his party and presumably entitled to the support of his party friends in the legislature, may have less power than

the State Boss who holds in his hands the threads of the Organization

side, ie the leaders of the two Houses and their closest advisers and associates, whereas in the United States the National Convention is the supreme exponent of party doctrine and policy, universally recognized as the party oracle, though its deliverances may in practice be conveniently forgotten [Thus the American system, though it purports to regard measures rather thin men, expends nearly all its efforts and its funds in getting men into places, and though it claims to give voice to the views and will of the whole party does in reality express those of an oligarchy which becomes, subject to the necessity of regarding public opinion, the effective ruler of the country, whenever the party holds both the Legislature and the Executive.

VOL II

CHAPTER XLI

THE ACTUAL WORKING OF THE NATIONAL AND STATE GOVERNMENTS

WE may now return to the legal frame of Government, examining each of its branches, and noting how the working of each has been modified, and to some extent warped from its original purpose, by the influence of the parallel non-legal government constituted by the Party Organization.

First, as the foundation of all else, comes the part assigned by the Constitutions, State and Federal, to the direct action of the People at elections.

ELECTORAL SUFFRAGE

The electoral suffrage is left by the Federal Constitution to the States. In them, it was at first limited to citizens possessed of some property, often freehold land or a house, but in the period of the great democratic wave which passed over the country between 1820 and 1840 it was almost everywhere extended to all adult men; and since 1869, when Wyoming (then a Territory) gave it to women, many States have followed that example.¹ In 1919 Congress proposed an amendment to the Constitution granting equal suffrage everywhere to women and this was ratified by the requisite number of State

I In 1919 eleven States had given the suffrage to women, viz Wyoming, Colorado, Montana, N. Dakota, S. Dakota, Arizona, Oregon, Washington, California, New York, Massachusetts

Legislatures in 1920 The change is the longest step towards pure democracy ever taken in America

Whether the admission of women has made any, and if so what, practical difference, remains still obscure, a matter for conjecture rather than proof, since under the ballot there is nothing to show how far women vote differently from men. It was, however, believed that in 1916 the women electors (who voted in ten States) had turned the Presidential election, they being more eager than men to keep the United States out of the war then raging in Europe Though it is often said that women generally vote for restricting or forbidding the sale of intoxicants, occasions are mentioned when this does not appear to have happened. Such evidence as is available indicates that women mostly vote much as men do, following the lead of their husbands or brothers and of the party organizations, that adminis-trative government is in the woman suffrage States neither better nor worse than in others, and that the general character of legislation remains much the same Nowhere does there seem to be any Women's Party, specially devoted to feminine aims. Only one woman has so far been elected to Congress, and few to State Legislatures

In 1868 and 1870 Constitutional amendments were passed (Amendments XIV and XV) intended to secure the suffrage to the (then recently emancipated) negroes, but the apparently sweeping provisions of the latter enactment have been in nearly all of the former Slave States so far nullified by State Constitutions ingeniously contrived to exclude the coloured people, that less, per haps much less, than one fifth of these now enjoy voting rights Members of Congress from the North and West at first resented, and sought means of defeating, these contrivances, but when a new generation arose, little

influenced by memories of the Anti-Slavery struggle and the Civil War, interest in the question subsided Common sense regained its power, and the doctrine that every adult human being has a natural right to a vote,

though never formally abandoned, has been silently ignored.

The question whether any educational qualification should be prescribed, and how soon immigrants should be allowed to vote, is still discussed. Some States prescribe such a qualification, some fix a term during which the immigrant must have resided in America. Others register him as a voter even before he has been naturalized as a citizen, arguing that this tends to accelerate the process of Americanization. There is force in this view as respects rural areas and small towns, where the newcomer quickly learns English and acquires the habits and ideas of his native neighbours. But in great cities and thickly-peopled mining districts, where he remains one of a mass of Italians, or Greeks, or Serbs, or Finns, or Rumans, or Polish Jews, he learns far less readily how to use his new citizenship, and falls an easy victim to the party agents, often of his own race, who sweep him into their net and use him as so much voting stock.

ELECTIONS

The number of direct elections by the people is far larger in America than in any other country, (a) because there are three sets of elections, Local (in which many offices may have to be filled), State, and National; (b) because the terms of office are short, so that the elections to each post recur frequently; (c) because many offices (including judgeships), which in other countries are filled by Executive appointment, are here filled by the direct act of the People. This constant summoning of the citizens to vote has one of two results. If National and State and Local elections are held at different times, the elector, teased by these frequent calls, is apt to refuse

As a rule, a citizen can in the United States vote only in one place, that where he resides and pays local taxes

Most States exclude from the suffrage eriminals and persons receiving public relief Minor differences between State and State as respects qualifications are not worth mentioning

to go to the poll If, on the other hand, these elections are fixed for the same day, he is besuldered by the number of candidates for various posts between whom he is expected to choose The American practice has usually been for each party to put on one piece of paper, called a Slip Ticket, and often adorned with a party symbol, the names of all the candidates it nominated for the various offices to be filled at the election could mark with his cross all the names on the list, or could "vote the ticket" simply by dropping it as it stood into the ballot box If, however, he approved of some of the candidates, but disapproved of others, pre some of the candidates appearing on another party ticket, he erased from his party slip ticket those names (this is called "scratching") and substituted other names from the other ticket or tickets. Where, however, as is now frequently done, the names of all the candidates of all the parties are printed upon one sheet, each name opposite the office for which each has been nominated, that sheet becomes enormous, and the voter cannot, with the best will in the world, exercise an intelligent choice by selecting the man he thinks best from the different party columns in which their names appear, so he usually abandons the task in despair and votes the names the party recommends With the rise of every new party, however numerically weak, the confusion becomes greater by the addition of a new set of candidates The result is to make all but impossible that judicious selection of the fittest men for each particular post which the system of popular elections was meant to secure, a result which has of course played

into the hands of the party managers

The gravity of the evil has provoked demands for curing it by expedients to be presently mentioned Meantime note that a democratic principle may be so pushed to excess as to defeat itself. The more numerous are the nominations a party makes, the less likely are the bad to be detected. Where the voter is expected with scarcely any personal knowledge to select men fit for

PT. II

fifteen or twenty posts, he ceases to try. Had there been only five he might have succeeded. To ask too much may be to get nothing. A beast of burden that will carry half a ton's load to market will get nowhere if the load is doubled.

Elections are now quietly conducted, neither side disturbing the meetings of its opponents (as often happens in England), nor are voters at the polls molested, unless perhaps in a Ring-ruled city where the police

are directed by an unscrupulous party superintendent. Personation and repeating used to be frequent in some States. Ballot-box stuffing and false counting were habitually employed in the South until less troublesome and more effective means were invented for reducing the negro vote. All these malpractices have diminished, except, perhaps, in a few ill-governed cities, in one of which an effective remedy was found by providing glass ballot-boxes, so that the voters who came as soon as the polls opened in the morning could assure themselves that the officials in charge had not been beforehand with them. The proportion of electors who vote, naturally much affected by the interest which the issues

before the country excite, is highest in Presidential elections, and varies from 65 to 80 per cent, a figure which compares favourably with every other constitutional country except perhaps Switzerland. No State has adopted the plan of a Second Ballot, to be taken in case no candidate obtains an absolute majority of the votes cast, nor has proportional representation, though much discussed, already adopted in some cities, and regarded with growing favour, been tried long enough or on a large enough scale to enable its merits to be The cost of elections varies greatly, but is in general lower than in England. Official expenses connected

with the polling do not fall on the candidate, and he is seldom, unless personally wealthy, left to bear the whole of the other expenses. Each party is required by

Federal law to render at all Federal elections a full official

account of its "campugn expenditure," with the names of the contributors and the sums they pay, while business corporations are now forbidden to subscribe to party funds Similar legislation has been enacted in some States The practice, now regrettably frequent in England, of gifts by members or candidates to various local purposes, such as charities and athletic clubs, gifts made at other times than elections, but with a purpose not purely altruistic, hardly exists in America

America

Bribery is, or recently was, common in some districts, a such as parts of Ohio and South-Eastern New York, as well as in some cities, where a section of the less intelligent voters, especially the negroes in the Middle Stries, have been corruptible. Though prosecutions are sometimes instituted, the offence more often goes unpunished, the two parties agreeing not to rip up one another's misdeeds. The commonest method of corruption has misueus I ne commonest method of corruption has been to give an agent a lump sum for all the votes he can deliver, and many of these he got without payment, perhaps by persuasion, perhaps, until Prohibition began to conquer State after State, by drinks and cigars Regarding elections as the means by which the will of the sovereign people is expressed, we may say that in the United States that will is—

(a) Expressed freely, under no intimidation or undue ınfluence

(b) Not widely perverted either by bribery or by

(e) Not widely perverted either by bribery or by fraudulent handling of the votes
(c) Expressed by as large a proportion of the registered voters as in any other country
(d) Largely controlled by the party organizations
(e) Likely to be better expressed if the elections were less numerous and the number of offices filled by election

were not so large

From the People, acting directly by their votes, we may now pass to those whom they choose as their representatives to act on their behalf, that is to say,

¹ A remarkable gatagice occurred very recently at a senator all elect on

PT. II

to the Legislatures. Here there are four topics to be considered: 1. The quality of the men who fill the legislatures.

2. The methods by which legislation is conducted.

3. The value of the product, i.e. the statutes passed, and of the debates, in respect of their influence on the Executive and on public opinion.

4. The position of the Legislature in the system of government and the feelings of the people towards it.

1. The Members of the Legislatures

These are a great multitude, for besides the two Houses of Congress there are forty-eight State Legisla-

tures, each of two Chambers. They are citizens little above their fellows in knowledge and intellectual gifts. The average is higher in Congress than in any State, because a seat in Congress has a higher salary, carries more power, opens a better career, draws to itself a much larger proportion of well-educated men. About one-half of them are lawyers. But even Congress, drawn from more than one hundred and ten millions of people, and wielding wide authority, contains few men who, uniting conspicuous talents to a well-stored mind and width of view, possess the higher gifts of statesmanship. It is not that such men are wanting in the nation, for they abound. It is that they either do not wish, or are not able, to find their way into the National Legislature. The three reasons for this cast so much light on the working of

· democracy that they need to be stated. A seat in Congress fails to attract many men of high intellectual quality because much of the work it involves is dull and tiresome, for it consists in satisfying the demands of constituents for places, pensions, and help in their business undertakings, as well as in trying to secure grants of public money for local objects. One who has experience of the British House of Commons, where few such services are expected, is astonished to

find how many of the calls upon a Congressman, or even a Senator, have nothing to do with the work of legislation Moreover, the methods by which business is conducted in Congress, nearly all of it in Committees whose proceedings are not reported, allow few opportunities for distinction and give a member, at least during his earlier legislative years, few chances of proving his powers. Add to this the fact that a man of eminence who follows a profession, such as that of law or university teaching or journalism, cannot leave the city where he practises or teaches to live in Washington Such a man living at home in London or Paris may continue his profession with a seat in Parliament

The obstacles that block the path by which Congress is entered have still more to do with reducing the quality of its members A custom, old, universal, and as strong as law itself, forbids any aspirant to offer himself for election in any Congressional district except that in which he resides, and the same rule obtains in elections to State Legislatures It is mere usage that imposes the restriction, for legally any citizen resident within the State is eligible for Congress or for the State Legislature, but the electors hardly ever dream of going outside the district To do so would be to give away a good thing, and would seem to cast a slur on the district, as implying there was no one in it fit for the post Eloquence, wisdom, character, the fame of services rendered to the nation or the party, make no difference Europeans are surprised at the strength of this habit, and Englishmen especially, for they remember that nearly all the most brilliant members of the House of Commons during the last two centuries had no connection of residence, perhaps not even of family or previous personal acquaintrinceship, with the constituencies they represented, and they know also that even where local interests are concerned—little as these come up in British parliamentary life—a capable man residing elsewhere is quite as fit to understand and advocate such interests as a resident can be. In the United States, as in other countries, the ablest and most

58

energetic men have been drawn to the cities, and especially to the great cities where opportunities for success abound. New York, Boston, Philadelphia, Chicago, Cleveland, St. Louis, could furnish eminently gifted candidates for more than all the seats in the States in which these cities are respectively situated, but such men could be chosen only in those cities themselves. Moreover, the city

where such men are obliged by their professions to reside may be so entirely in the hands of one party that no member of the other party can find in it a district offering a chance of success, so that half or more of the talent such a city contains is lost to political life. This is the result of a habit deemed democratic. The habit is perhaps more natural in a Federation than in countries which have long had only one supreme legislative body, for in a Federal country each man is apt to feel it his first duty to represent his own State or Canton or Province, and this spirit of localism extends its influence to smaller divisions also. Where a State or a district thinks itself interested in a particular protective duty on imports, its representative is expected to fight hard for that object without regard to the general interest. There is said to be more of this spirit now than before the Civil War, when national issues filled men's

minds. Local feeling disposes the member to deem himself a Delegate rather than a Representative. Being chosen not solely or chiefly because he is qualified by talent, but largely because his residence in his district enables him to declare its views and wishes, he comes to think that to "voice" them is his chief duty, and is all the more disposed to subordinate his independent judgment to what is called in America "the opinion of the corner store." Yet with all this eagerness to catch and obey the slightest indication of public opinion, congress is a less perfect mirror of the opinion of the nation than are some European Parliaments of countries, begause its members have been not the spontaneous choice of their constituents but the nominees of party organizations with selfish ends to serve. They are not and feel a more direct responsibility to the party managers than they may do to their electors The Organization is interposed as a sort of imperfectly conductive medium between the member and the citizens

by whom he is chosen This spirit of localism becomes explicable when one remembers the circumstances of the early colonies and

States In New England the Towns were autonomous communities out of which the State was built up. The settlers who went West carried their local feelings with them, and similar conditions strengthened the original habit So too the County meant a great deal to the men of the South and they did not think of going outside it for a representative Perhaps it is rather the English habit of going outside than the opposite American habit which is exceptional, and the habit did not, till recently, hold good in the English counties It is right to add that although American localism excludes many of the best men from politics, it may be credited with also excluding such undesirable adventurers — city demagogues, for instance—as might by money or by plausible rhetoric win support from electors who knew httle of their chartery. acter, and thereby obtain access to legislatures they would be ill fitted to adorn In the United Stries the constituency, however far away from Washington, expects the member to keep a residence within its bounds, and thus, having him among them for a part of the year, can form a personal judgment of his quality. If they wish him to be as like themselves as possible, thinking less of the interests of the United States than of what is desired in Oshkosh, Wis, they attain that end There may be less. knowledge and wisdom in the legislature, but they may

deem it a more exact sample of the electors as a whole.

I do not suggest that a great deal of first rate talent is needed to make a good legislature, for such a body might easily have too much of some kinds of talent. An assembly composed of orators all wishing to speak could ruin any country But Congress has not enough either of that high statesmanship which only the few attain, or of those sensible men, mostly silent, who listen with open yet critical minds, and reach sound conclusions upon arguments presented.

2. Methods of Legislation

The methods by which legislation is conducted in Congress require a brief notice, not because they are specifically due to democratic principles, but because their defects have reduced the effectiveness of Congress, exposing it, and the whole Frame of Government, to strictures which ought to be directed rather against the methods than against these principles. The mass of work which the National Legislature has

to deal with, and the want in it of any leadership such as the President or his Ministers could give if present, has made it necessary to conduct all business by means of Committees. Many of these are small, consisting of from seven to fifteen members, and they are usually smaller in the Senate than in the House. They deliberate in private. The party which has a majority in the Chamber has always a majority in the Committee, and the Chairman belongs to that party, so that a sort of party colour is given to all Bills into which any controversial issue may enter, while even in dealing with non-partisan Bills there is a tendency for the members of each party to act together. Ministers are sometimes asked to appear before these Committees to explain their views on Bills, and especially on the estimates for the public services, such as the army and navy, and on any administrative matters falling within the sphere of a Committee. But the Committee need not follow the advice tendered by the Minister nor grant his request for an appropriation, and it can recommend appropriations for which he has not asked. The Chairman, usually a man of some experience, enjoys a larger power than is yielded to the Chairman of a Parliamentary Committee in England or even to the rapporteur in a French Commission. He always

belongs to the party holding a majority in the House (or Senate), and, in the case of some important Committees, practically occupies the position of a Minister, independent of the President's Ministers and sometimes quite as powerful, because he can influence Congress more than it may be possible for a Minister to do, especially if the party opposed to the President has a majority in either House. Thus the Chairmen of the Committees on Ways and Means and on Appropriations have at times more control of finance than the Secretary of the Treasury or the heads of the spending departments, a consequence of the disjunction of the Executive from the Legislature

Another consequence is the want of that official leader ship which in parliamentary countries such as England, France, Canada, and Australia is given by the Ministry Since every legislative Chamber would without guid ance be a helpless mob, means have been found in Congress for providing a sort of leadership. In the House of Representatives the Speaker, who is always not only chosen by the majority but allowed to act as a party man even in the Chair (though required by usage to give a fair share of debate to the minority), was formerly allowed to exercise great power over the course of business, especially in and since the days of Thomas B Reed, an exceptionally able and resolute man In 1910, however, the stringent rule of one of his successors provoked a revolt, which transferred the arrangement of business to the Committee on Rules (familiarly called the Steering Committee), while also transferring the selection of members of the Committees to the the selection of members of the Committees to the House itself Another figure, now almost as prominent as the Speaker, is the Chairman of the Committee of Ways and Means who is recognized by the Majority Party as their "Hoor Leader," though they do not always follow him Finally, when a question of importance arises on which the members of either party are not agreed, they meet in a separate room to debate it among themselves and decide on their course. This is called "going into 62

caucus," and the decision arrived at is usually respected and given effect to by a vote in the Chamber. In these ways a general direction is given to the majority's action, and business goes on, though with a loss of time and waste of energy which the existence of a recognized and permanent leadership vested in a Cabinet might avoid. The rules for closing debate and for limiting the length of speeches are in constant use, being an indispensable instrument against obstruction, here called "filibustering."

3. The Quality of Legislation

Few Bills, except those relating to finance, are adequately debated, and the opportunities for members to distinguish themselves are scanty. All have a chance of doing useful work in Committees, but it is work unknown to the public.

The great majority of the Bills introduced are what would be called in England "private," i.e. they have a local or personal object; and most of these used to be "Pension Bills" to confer war pensions upon persons who had, or were alleged to have, served in, or had perhaps deserted from, the Northern armies in the Givil War, and who for some reason or other did not come within the scope of the general Pension Acts, wide as that scope was. Members found in such a Bill an easy way—of gratifying a constituent and his relatives. The practice was grossly abused, and indeed the Pension Acts as a whole, both general and special, have been a public scandal. In the fifty years that followed the Civil War (1865-1915) more than \$4,000,000,000 (880,000,000,000 sterling) were expended in this way. Nothing like this could have happened had there been in Congress any Minister of Finance charged with the duty of proceeding the public treasury. Private Bills in general have been a source of endless waste and jobbery, be-

t This number is enormous. In the sixty-second Congress (1913) it had reached 29,000 in the House of Representatives and 9000 in the Senate. Few pass

..ause regulations similar to those which exist in England have not been prescribed for examining into their provisions and for securing their impartial consideration by a small Committee which no lobbist and not even a Parliamentary colleague should be permitted to approach

As in most modern countries, many public bills are unsound in principle and meant to earn credit for their introducer from some section of the people

made and many some obtains of the pro-

The Senate 🗸

So far I have spoken of Congress as a whole, and it its character of a legislative body. The Senite, however enjoys executive functions also, and is so peculiar and important a part of the general frame of government a to need a more particular description, being indeed the most original of American institutions, and one whose example has influenced other countries owes its origin to the Federal character of the United States, and was created primarily in order to allay the fears of the States that they would be absorbed or overridden by the National Government, partly also from a wish to provide a check both upon the imagined impetuosity of the popular House and upon the possible ambitions of a President trying to make himself a dictator It was meant to be a cool, calm, cautious, conservative body composed of elder striesmen, and chosen not by the people but by the egislatures of the States, who, being themselves picked men, would be qualified to choose as Senators their own best citizens This mode of choice was supposed by European observers, following Tocqueville, to have been the cause of its superiority in personal quality to the Flouse, and thereby also of the preponderance over the House which it acquired This superiority was, however, really due not to the mode of choice but to the fact that its longer term of service, six years instead of two, its continuity, for it is a permanent body, constantly renewed but never

64

dissolved, and its wider powers, made a seat in it specially desirable, and therefore drew to it the best talent tha entered political life. In course of time the plan o choice by State legislatures disclosed unforeseen evils It brought national politics into those bodies, dividing

them on partisan lines which had little or nothing to do with State issues. It produced bitter and often longprotracted struggles in the legislatures over a senatorial election, so that many months might pass before, a choice could be made. It led to the bribery of venal legislators by wealthy candidates or by the great incorporated com-panies which desired to have in the Senate supporters sure to defend their interests. Thus after long agitation an amendment to the Constitution was carried (in 1913) which transferred the election to the citizens of each State, voting at the polls. This change has been deemed likely to reduce the partisan character of the State legislatures. But this may not happen: habits often outlive their original causes. Whether popular

be seen. The labour and cost of an election campaign conducted over a large State are heavy, and give an advantage to wealthy men and to those who command the support of powerful newspapers.² The strength of the Senate consists not only in the higher average talent in its members, but also in their longer experience, for they have not only a six-years term, but are more likely to be re-elected than are members of the House, while the small size of the body

election will fill the Senate with better men remains to

offers to able and pushful men better opportunities for displaying their gifts. There was no closure of debate until, in 1917, a rule was passed permitting it to be

A As is well observed by Prof Gannaway (Comparative Free Government, pp 120-130), this 17th Amendment finally disposed of the old theory, which, however had scarcely counted in later practice, that a Senator represents his State as a distinct political entity. But it does not affect the justice of Mr Woodrow Wilson's remark that the equal representation of States in the Senate has had the excellent result of securing full expression of the wishes of the less populous and especially the newer regions of the country. Under an election by large districts based on population three regions would have been virtually awamped

The "senatorial primaries" to be bereafter mentioned have increased the fatigue and expense of a candid cy

imposed by a two-thirds majority ¹ Real debate, which in the House is practically confined to financial Bills, exists upon all Bills in the smiller Chamber, and attracts some attention from the public Even in finance the Senate has established itself as at least equally powerful with the House, although this does not seem to have been contemplated by the Constitution Leadership with the House, although this does not seem to have been contemplated by the Constitution Leadership belongs not to the presiding officer, who is the Vice-President of the United States, nor to any officially designated leader of either party, but falls to the man or the group deemed best able to lead, seniority being also regarded Important issues are debited in a party caucus, while much influence is exercised by the chairmen of the principal Committees, who have now and then, when they added capacity to exprience, become a sort of ruling oligarchy. The deference pand to seniority in the United States is a product of the respect professed for the principle of Equality. To prefer one man to another on the ground of superior ablity would seem to offend against that principle, so length of service in a Committee gives, often with regrettable results, a title to its Chairmanship. That which makes a seat in the Senate the goal of a politician's hopes is the wider range of its powers, which are executive as well as legislative, since the more important administrative and judicial appointments made by the President require its concurrence A Senator has thus a means of asserting his position in his State and in his party by threatening to "hold up" the President's noninations unless a certain number of these got to the persons whom he recommends. This of these go to the persons whom he recommends This control of patronage is the subject of a constant process either of bickerings or more frequently of what is called a "trade" i.e. a give and take between the President and the Senators of his own party. Every treaty negotiated by the Executive is laid before the Senate, and

After closure has been imposed each spee h is I mited to one hour. This rule leaves an opening for fill bustering when undertaken in the interests of a minority amounting to one third.

requires for its validity the approval of two-thirds of the Senators. Here is another engine of power, which can be effectively wielded to induce the President to oblige the Senators in various ways.

Though the Senate has filled a useful part in the constitutional scheme, it has never been, and is certainly not now, an assembly of sages. Jealous of its own power, it often allows that power to be misused by Senators who care more for the interests or demands of their own State than they do for the common good. It is as much moved by partisanship as is the House, and just as ready to "play politics," even in the sphere of foreign relations, when some party gain is expected. But the critics who have drawn from these defects conclusions adverse to the principle of a Second Chamber ought to consider what might have happened had there been no Senate. Neither the exercise of patronage nor the conduct of foreign affairs could safely have been left to a President irremovable (except by impeachment) for four years, and whose Ministers do not sit in the Legislature and are not answerable to it, nor could those matters have been assigned to a body so large and so shortlived as the House, which would have been even less responsible to the nation, and which is, under its stringent rules, unable to debate either Bills or current administrative issues with a thoroughness sufficient to enlighten the country. It is no more conservative in spirit than the House, contains fewer rich men than it did twenty years ago, and is no longer in marked sympathy with wealth. While with its smaller size, it gives men of talent more chance of showing their mettle and becoming known to the nation at large, it also does something to steady the working of the machinery of government, because a majority of its members, safe in their seats for four or six years, are less easily moved by the shifting gusts of public feeling. Whatever its faults, it is indispensable.

4 Position and Influence of Congress, and the feeling of the People towards it

How far has the Federal Legislature, considered as a whole lived up to the ideal of a body which shall represent the best mind of a democratic nation? Does it give the kind of legislation that the people desire? Does it duly supervise administration, advising, co-operating, restraining, as the case may require? Does it truly mirror the opinion of the people, and enjoy their respect ?

It is not that hasty and turbulent body which the Fathers of the Constitution feared they might be creating Storms of passion rarely sweep over it Scenes of disorder are now unknown Party discipline is strict, an atmosphere of good fellowship prevails, the rules of procedure are obeyed, power rests with comparatively few persons. It is eager, even unduly eager, to discover and obey the wishes of its constituents, or at least of the party organizations Partisanship is no stronger than in Canada, and apparently weaker than in England The tendency to split up into groups, marked in France, and now visible in England, hardly exists, for the two great parties have held the field. Though there is plenty of jobbery and log rolling, the latter not necessarily corrupt, but mischievous and wasteful even when no bad motive is present, and though some members are under suspicion of being influenced by wealthy corporations, there is little direct corruption and the standard of purity has risen in recent years

Nevertheless Congress does not receive the attention and enjoy the confidence which ought to belong to a and enjoy the controlled the little starts of the people represented in it, and whence the blood should flow from all sections of the people represented in it, and whence the blood needed to noursh all the parts should be constantly

propelled to every part of the body | Why is this?

PT. II

of the functions allotted to it. It seldom "faces right up" to the great problems, not even always to the lesser problems of legislation. It fumbles with them, does not get to the root of the matter, seems to be moved rather by considerations of temporary expediency and the wish to catch every passing breeze of popular demand than by a settled purpose to meet the larger national needs. In the handling of national finance it is alternately narrow-minded in its parsimony and extravagant in its efforts to propitiate some class or locality. The monstrous waste of money on war pensions, a waste for which both parties are almost equally to blame, was prompted by mere vote-catching. Every year sees the distribution from what is called "the Pork Barrel" of grants of money to particular districts or cities for so-called " local public works"—it may be for making a harbour which is sure to be silted up, or improving the navigation of a stream where there is just enough water to float a canoe.1 These things bring money to the neighbourhood, and "make work," so a member earns merit with his constituency by procuring for them all he It is nobody's business to stop him; and others who wish to earn ment in a like way would resent the discourteous act. Another cause may be found in the fact that Congress does not impress the nation by its intellectual power any more than by its moral dignity. Men who care for the welfare of the country as a whole . - perhaps more numerous in the United States than in any other free country-do not look to it for guidance. The House scarcely ever enlightens them by its debates, and the Senate less now than formerly. Its proceedings, largely conducted in the dim recesses of committee rooms, do not greatly interest the educated classes, and still less the multitude. The Legislatures of France and England and Canada, whatever their defects, have a dramatic

2 Some instructive facts regarding the Pork Barrel and the amazing expenditure of public money in appropriations for local purposes and in the distribution of pensions by private or special Bills (as distinct from the general Persions Acts) may be found in the National Manageal Review for December 1919 in an article entitled Park.

take them for leaders The House and Senate want that scenic attraction, and their have a rival in the President The people read his speeches and do not read the Congressional Record He is a Personality, a single

We must also remember that Congress does not draw into itself enough of the best political talent of

figure on whom the fierce light beats

the nation How often is the observer surprised to find that in the House there is a difficulty in finding any men marked out for the posts of Floorleader or Speaker . How often do the parties realize, when the time for presidential nominations comes, that neither in the House nor perhaps even in the Senate do they discover more than two or three persons who can be thought of as candidates available for the great post, though Congress ought to be the arena in which the champions of parties or causes might have been expected to display their gifts. Why, then, does a Congressional career tail to attract ? One explanation has already been indicated In no country are there so many other careers which open so

many doors to men of ambition, energy, and practical capacity The opportunities for power, as well as for winning wealth in the world of business, are proportionate to the size and resources of the United States, that is to say, they are unequalled in the world To be president of a great railway system, covering many States, or of some vast manufacturing industrial company, gives a scope for financial and administrative talent which touches the imagination. The Bar is another career in which the pecuniary prizes, as well as the fame, are immense, and it can seldom be combined with political distinction, as it so frequently and successfully is in Europe If a man who loves study feels that he has also the power of attracting and guiding young men, the large number of the American universities and the influence their leading figures can exert as presidents or professors - an influence greater than anywhere in Europe—offer another attractive prospect to one who

PT. II

desires to serve his country. In America political life can hardly be called a career, for it is liable to be interrupted by causes, irrespective of personal merits, which the lawyer, the university teacher, and the man of It is also a career the entrance to which is in most

business have not to reckon with. places neither easy nor agreeable. Services are exacted, pledges are demanded, which a man of high spirit does not like to render or to give. The aspirant to a seat

in Congress, unable to make his way alone with a constituency, must get the party nomination, which is

generally obtainable only by the favour of a Boss. The path is sentinelled by the party machine, which values party loyalty more than ability, and usually selects in each district the man who either possesses local influence or has earned his place by local party service. It may seem paradoxical to suggest that in a country where every representative comes from the place of his residence, and he is eager to win favour by deference to every local wish, there is nevertheless a certain want of contact between the member and his constituents. Yet this impression does rise to the mind of whoever, having sat for many years in the British House of Commons, compares the relation a member holds towards his electors with that which seems to exist between the American Congressman and his district. The former is in direct touch with his constituents, holds his own meetings, manages his own canvas, and though of course on good terms with the local party organization, need not cringe to it. Many a Congressman seems to feel himself responsible primarily and directly to the Organization, and only secondarily

to his constituents. European critics used to attribute the defects of American legislatures in Nation, State, and City to the fact that the members, instead of working from motives

of patriotism or ambition, receive salaries. Though it might be wished that no temptation of personal interest should draw a man to politics, or influence him there, it is doubtful whether, other things being what they are, the United States legislatures would be better if unpud Cynics used to say "Perhaps they would steal worse" Anyhow, the question is purely academic. In a country so large, and with a leisured class so relatively small, men could not be expected to quit their homes and avocations to reside in Washington without a renuneration to compensate for the loss of their means of livelihood as well as to defray the cost of residence in one of the most expensive places in the world. Even in the State legislatures the farmer or lawyer who leaves his work for weeks or months to do the business of the State must be paid for his time.

THE PRESIDENT

That popular election has not succeeded in producing efficient legislative bodies is undernable. But in America the people have other means of showing their capacity as judges of men. They elect the heads of the Executive, a President for the nation, a Governor in every State. To these let us pass, enquiring what it is that they look for in a high executive official, how they proceed to find what they desire, how they treat the man of their choice when they have found him, and what place he fills in the working of their system. The Presidency is one of the two or three greatest offices in the world; for only to the Pope do a greater number of human beings look; and it is the only office to which a man is chosen by popular vote. What are the gifts which commend a man to the people, and to those party managers who search for a candidate likely to please the people? These are matters in which we may study the tastes and discernment of the nation as a whole

That which most attracts the people is the thing we call a Strong Personality They want a Man, some one who is to be more than a name or a bundle of estimable

72 UNITED STATES

can sympathize, whom they can follow because they trust his ability to lead. Courage and energy are accordingly the gifts that most attract them. Some measure of intellectual power, some cleverness and command of language, are required, for without these qualities no man could have got high enough to come into the running. But neither statesmanlike wisdom, nor eloquence, though often deemed the road to power in popular governments, is essential. The average citizen has seldom either the materials or the insight that would enable him to judge the presence of the former. He does not think of his statesmen as above his own level. Eloquence he can feel, and by eloquence he is sometimes captivated. Yet it is not indispensable.

qualities, a living reality whom they can get to know, to whom they can attach themselves, with whom they

PT. 11

No President, except Lincoln, has been a true orator: many, and good ones too, have not risen above the level of sensible and effective talk.

Honesty, or at least a reputation for honesty, there must be. It is assumed, in the absence of evidence to the contrary, and rightly assumed. A few Presidents have been surrounded by corrupt men, and have been too lenient to their faults. But against none has any charge of personal turpitude or of making any gain out of his office been seriously pressed. Such an offence would destroy him. Not far behind these prime essentials of Honesty and Force comes what is called Geniality, the qualities whether of heart or only of manner which make a man popular—the cheery smile, the warm handshake, the sympathetic tone in the voice. This gift seems to count for so much in English as well as in American election!

sympathetic tone in the voice. This gift seems to count for so much in English as well as in American electoral campaigns that people are apt to deem its absence fatal. Nevertheless, there have been Presidents who wanted it, and some who failed even in the tact which, if it cannot always make friends, can at least avoid making enemies. A forectful will, honesty, and practical sense being the chief qualities needed, what evidence of fitness do the Parties look for since some is required, whatever the

field of action whence it is driwn? The candidate must be a man known as having "made good" in some branch of public life—it may be in Congress, it may be as State Governor, or Maior of a great city, or a Cabinet Minister, or possibly even as an ambassador or a judge, or as an unusually prominent journalist. The two first named careers provide the best truining for the Presidency, and the best test of fitness for it. To be successful, a State Governor needs firmness, judgment, leadership, and the skill required for dealing with that troublesome body, his State legisliture. A man who has had experience and won authority in Congress has the advantage of knowing its ways. Of the presidents chosen since Lincoln only four (Hayes, Girtield, Hirrison, and McKinley) sat there Hayes, Clevelind, Roosevelle, and Wilson hid been State Governors

Roosevelt, and Wilson had been State Governors
These being the merits looked for, the party leaders
proceed to make their selections of candidates by
searching not so much for a good President as for a
good candidate, i.e. a min likely to rope in votes in the
largest measure from the largest number of quarters. To
ascertain this vote gathering quality other things have to
be considered besides talents and experience, so the
choice may fall on a person with neither force nor
brilliance. There is the reputation ilreidy acquired or
the hostility a man may have incurred, according to the
French dictum, "It is an advantage to have done
nothing, but one does not abuse it. There are the
popular gifts summed up in the word "magnetism"
There is also the hold which a man may possess over a
particular State which has a special importance for the
election, because its electoral vote is large, or because
the parties in it are so equally divided that if one of
its critzens is selected as candidate he will make sure
of its vote. These considerations may militate against

1 The voting a by States each having as many votes as it has represents ves and tenutors and the smallers major ty in a state a sufficient to give all the votes of that State (New York in 4.5 and Penniyana 3.8) to the candidate who has carr of it New York and Ohio have long been doubtful States. Pennsylvan a safely Republican errunce the Cruil War.

UNITED STATES 74 the selection of the person fittest in respect of character and talents, and often draw the selection to States like

PT. 11

Ohio and New York. It goes without saying that the party must be united on its candidate, for division would mean defeat. Who then shall decide between the various aspirants? In the early days of the Republic this function was assumed

by the members of Congress who belonged to each party, and their decision was acquiesced in. But presently this assumption was resented as an usurpation of the rights of the people. In 1828 extra-Congressional gatherings began to make nominations, and ever since 1840 party conventions of delegates from the whole country have met, discussed the claims of their whole country have me, and nominated the man whom they preferred. The plan is so plainly con-formable to democratic doctrine that it is accepted as inevitable. The power of the people would not be complete if it failed to include not only the right of choosing its Chief but also the right for the members of any section to determine on whom the section should concentrate its voting force. Thus the Party Convention which nominates a candidate has become as real and effective a part of the constitutional machinery as if it had formed a part of the Constitution.

The framers of the Constitution contemplated nothing like this. They committed the election of the President to a College of Electors specially elected for this sole purpose, men who, possessed of wisdom and experi-ence and animated by pure patriotism, would be likely to select the citizen whom their impartial judgment preferred. Boards of this type were twice elected, and on both occasions chose George Washington, who was the obvious and indeed the inevitable person. But the third College was elected (in 1796) largely, and the fourth (1800) wholly on party lines, and being expected to choose a party leader acted in a partisan spirit. Their example has been followed ever since, and what was to have been a council of impartial sages has consisted

of nonentities, a mere cogwheel in the machinery of election, recording mechanically the wishes of the people. Much depends on the questions before the nation at the time when the election approaches, and the amount of interest these questions evoke from those who think seriously about them, and influence their fellow-citizens Such men desire to have in the Head of the Nation some one who will worthily represent their ideals, not merely a skilful party leader or administrator, but a man likely to guide the nation by his wisdom and courage along the lines which its needs prescribe. The mood of the nation influences its judgment on the candidates presented to it 1

During two years or more before each election of a President, rumour and criticism are busy with the names of those persons in each party who are deemed "available," or, to use the popular term, "Presidential Timber "2 Sometimes there is one leader who so overtops the rest that his adoption is a foregone conclusion. But more frequently party opinion divides itself between several competitors, the adherents of each drawn to him either by sympathy with his views or by something captivating in his personality. Thus before the moment for choice arrives there are practically several factions within the party, each working for its own favourite.

The decision between these favourites is entrusted to a body called the National Convention, which meets about four months before the Presidential election in some great city, and consists of more than one thousand

The Convention picks out a party leader from the body of the nat on not that it expects its nominee to direct the interior government of the party but that it expects him to represent it before public opin on and to stand before the country as its representative man, a true type of what the country may expect of the party itself in purpose There is no nat onal party cho ce except that of President No one and principle cise represents the people as a whole exercising a national choice and inasmuch as his strictly executive duties are in fact subordinated so far as all detal is concerned, the Pres dent represents not so much the party a governing efficiency as its controlling ideas and principles He is not so much part of its organization as its vital link of connection with the thinking nation His is the only national so e in affairs position takes the imagination of the country -Const tutional Government in the United States, by Mr Woodrow Wilson (then President of Princeton University) published in 1958.

The term conveys the same idea as the Italian word papabili used of men who

delegates from State Conventions. These State Conventions, it will be remembered, themselves consist of delegates from smaller local conventions or from those Primary meetings which have been already described, so the National Convention is a body representing the party over the whole United States, and representing it upon a population basis just as Congress does. Ilt is in fact a sort of Congress, not of the nation but of a Party, charged with the double function of selecting a candidate and of discussing and enouncing that legislative and administrative programme upon which the party makes its appeal to the nation. Most of the delegates come instructed by their respective State Conventions, or by so-called Direct Presidential Primaries, to vote for some particular person, since the merits of each aspirant have been already canvassed in those Conventions; but if they find themselves unable to carry their own favourite, they must ultimately turn over their support to some other aspirant, perhaps under instructions from their State Convention, or from the Direct Primaries,2 perhaps at their own discretion, because not all the contingencies that may arise can be foreseen. All the delegates from a State are expected to vote together, but do not always follow this rule. They meet from time to time in secret to review the situation and discuss their course, for the situation changes from hour to hour, according to the rising or declining prospects of each aspirant. In the hall the

A full description of the National Convention may be found it American Compan-

enealth, vol. u chaps live and lee.

Recently the laws of some States have superseded the choice of delegates by a State Convention, and have provided for "Preferential Primary" elections, which are not private party meetings but public votings by ballot, at which the party voters in the State are given an opportunity of declaring their preference for a particular aspirant as the person to be chosen by their delegates as the party candidate. This effort to place the choice of a candidate in the people's hands has, however, not so far worked perfectly, for, apart from other objections, it does not meet the difficulty that circumstances may so change before, or in the course of, the sittings of the National Convention that the chances of the aspirant indicated may have been reduced, perhaps to a vanishing point. It has, moreover, developed the practice of starting preliminary popular campaigns in behalf of particular aspirants, a process which may involve heavy expenditure. In the National Convention of 1916 not much regard, and in that of 1920 (when these Primaries were used in twenty States) still less regard, was paid to the preferences declared. Many think the plan a failure.

CH XLI THE NOMINATING CONVENTION 77
proceedings are public—secrecy would be impossible
with such numbers—and are watched by some ten

with such numbers—and are watched by some ten thousand eager spectruors. The presence of the multitude, acclaiming everything said in praise of the aspirant in whom each section rejoices, adds to the excitement which prevails, an excitement which, stimulated by bands of music and by displays of colours, badges, and emblems, grows hotter the longer the contest lists and the more doubtful its issue appears. Sometimes this excitement, blazing into enthusiasm for one name proposed, sweeps like a prairie fire over the crowd and makes his nomination inevitable. But more frequently each faction persists in fighting hard for its favourite, so ballotings may continue for days or even weeks. As man, as forty nine and even fifty three have been taken in the Convention of one or other party. When the struggle is thus prolonged, and it is seen that the knot cannot be cut but must be united, efforts are made to reconcile the opposing factions and effect an arrangement which may unite them in the support either of one or other of the leiding aspirants or of some other person not objectionable to either Negotiations proceed in the vacant hours before and after the forenoon and afternoon sittings of the Convention, sometimes even within the sittings of the Convention, sometimes even within the hall while speech making goes on Compromises which might be impracticable if principles were at stake become possible because the party managers who support one or other aspirant have a personal interest in the unity of the party stronger even than their attachment to their own man, since a disruption of the party would in destroying its chance of success shake their own influence and extinguish their hopes for all that victory could bring them Each (or at least most) of the influential party chiefs commands a large number of delegates from one or more States, and can turn over a number of their votes to the aspirant who seems most likely to be either acceptable to the party as a whole, or to have a good chance of winning the election. Thus the few leading men—for here, as always and everywhere, real

TES

PT. II

direction rests with a few—usually arrive, in secret conclave, at some sort of settlement, even if the candidate ultimately nominated be one for whom at the opening of the Convention no one prophesied victory. (That such a method of choice, a strange mixture of Impulse and Intrigue, should not have borne worse fruit than it has in fact produced, may excite surprise.) Now and then a Convention has seemed to be drifting straight on to the rocks. There have been cases when a majority of the delegates persisted in voting for an aspirant whom all men of discernment knew to be unfit to be President, and hardly fit to be even talked of as a candidate. But somehow or other the minority, just strong enough to hold out, prevailed at last and averted a disastrous choice.

Sometimes the need for a compromise gives the prize to a mediocre, but never to a palpably incompetent man, nearly all having had a creditable if possibly common-place record: and when the selections have been least happy, the candidate has been rejected by the people. I have gone into these details because they show how the power of the party machine is limited by the need for pleasing the People, and show also how out of all the con-

I have gone into these details because they show how the power of the party machine is limited by the need for pleasing the People, and show also how out of all the confused cross-currents of sentiment and interest, patriotism, selfishness, and partisanship, there may emerge a tolerably good result. A nominating Convention is the supreme effort a wast democracy makes to find its leader, and the difficulties of the process are instructive. The experience of eighty years has not lessened them.

It is a fear of the people that deters Conventions, bodies mainly composed of professionals, from nominating persons whom the more unscrupulous among the party managers would prefer. The delegates may be subscrivent or short-sighted, but the people have a sort of instinct which, asserting itself when a serious issue arises, saves the nation from windy demagogues and plausible impostors. The choice purporting to be democratic, because made by the cltizens through their delegates, is at least as much oligarchic, arranged by

a few skilful wire-pullers. In each delegation there are

a very few only who count, and real control may rest with one man, perhaps belonging to another delegation or to none \(\frac{1}{2} \) et the influence of public opinion remains in the fact that no one can be chosen to be candidate. \(\frac{1}{2} \) ho is not likely to attract the people\(\frac{1}{2} \) He must be

in the fact that no one can be chosen to be candidate, who is not likely to attract the people! He must be a man to win with Thus things have on the whole gone better than might have been predicted. Not many Presidents have been brilliant, some have not risen to the full moral height of the mostlon. But none has

restignts have need ordinary, some nave not risen to the full moral height of the position. But none has been base or unfaithful to his trust, none has tarnished the honour of the nation.

The fear, once loudly expressed, that the President

might become a despot has proved groundless, and this is due, not merely to the fact that he has no great standing army at his command, but rather to the skill with which the framers of the Constitution defined his powers, and above all to the force of general opinion which guards the Constitution. The principles of the American Government are so deeply rooted in the national mind that an attempt to violate them would raise a storm of disapproval. It may seem unfortunate that the head of the nation, having been elected by a party, is obliged to be also that party schef, and to look specially to it for support. He is, however, expected not to let his duty to the party prejudice his higher duty to the nation, and a politic President will try to win from the public opinion of both parties the backing he may need to overcome sectional opposition within his own. When he gives bold leadership in an evidently patriotic spirit he will find that backing, sometimes even among those who voted against him. The nation values initiative, loves courage, likes to be led, as indeed does every assembly, every party, every multitude.

every assembly, every party, every multitude

The power which the Executive can exert over legislation is conditioned by the party situation in

^{1 &}quot;In the view of the makers of the Constitution the President was to be legal executive per haps the eader of the nations extra nlynot the leader of the party at any rate while in office. But by the operation of forces otherent in the very nature of Go must be has become all three and by next table con equamer the most heavily burdened officer in the world (Cos. in song) Core, most in risk U.S. already quoted).

Congress. If his own party controls both Houses he can accomplish much; if either House is hostile, and especially if there is a strong hostile group in the Senate, comparatively little, so far as regards controversial topics. But in any event he possesses five important powers. He is Commander-in-Chief of the Army and Navy.

He suggests to Congress topics on which legislation is required, setting forth in his messages or in speeches the substance of the measures needful, and getting some member to embody them in a Bill. function, little used previously, has become frequent within the last twenty years, and helps to cure defects in the frame of government due to a too rigid deference

to the doctrine of the Separation of Powers. He has, and uses freely, the right of Veto, i.e. of refusing to sign Bills passed by Congress. His dissent can be overridden if the Bill is repassed by a two-thirds majority in each House, but as such a majority is seldom attainable, and the President is likely to have

some good reason for his action, he is rarely overruled. He has the function of nominating to the more important administrative, diplomatic, and judicial posts in the National Government.

Lastly, he has the conduct of foreign affairs. In these two last-mentioned functions, however, his power is limited by the right of the Senate to refuse its consent to appointments, and by the provision that the consent of two-thirds shall be needed for the approval of a treaty. The power of declaring war is reserved to Congress, but the Senate cannot prevent Executive action dangerous to peace from being taken, or negotiations from being brought to a point where war becomes almost inevitable.1

The adjustment of relations between Erecutive and Legislature in the conduct of foreign affairs has been in many free countries one of the most difficult and

¹ As to the conduct of foreign affairs by the joint action of the President and the As to the conduct of foreign ansists of the joint action of the present and use Senate, see American Compression(1), vol. chaps vs. and as The plan of the U.S Constitution does not work smoothly, for the Senate has frequently rejected treated negotisted by Presidents, but neither has any other plan given satisfaction in other constitutional countries, for though wherever, as in France, Italy and England. Ministry leads a Parliamentary majority, that majority almost invariable accepts the engagements contracted by the Ministry, these engagements are sometimes distasteful to the people, and shake such confidence as it may have in the Ministry

CH XLI THE PRESIDENT AND CONGRESS 81

Into questions bearing on the personal relations of the President to Congress I need not enter, for they throw no direct light on those aspects of democracy which concern us It may suffice to say that both the want of co-operation between the administrative de partments and the Committees of Coursess and the imperfect touch be ween the President himself and Congress as a whole have come to be recognized as defects to be cured & Pres den Roosevelt was more active than his predecessors in pressing Congress to deal with matters he de-med urgent President Wilson went further, for he trequently addressed Congress in person. In both cases the nation showed no disapproval There is nothing in the Constitution to limit the interchange of views between the Executive and the Legislature Congress has been realous of its rights, but it might well gain rather than lose by more frequent personal intercourse with the President

It used to be feared that a President, moved by personal ambition, or desiring to strengthen his position at home, might lead the nation into a policy of aggression abroad That danger seems to have vanished More recently alarm has been expressed that his influence might be used to bring about projects of sweeping constitutional or legislative change. This, however, he could not do without the support of Congress and of public opinion. In all these matters public opinion must be the ultimate safeguard

The powers of the Executive, considerable at all

times, are of course most important in a crisis of suited also at e process of practical police. At Rome it was divised between the Corvan and the Senate, the latter generally exerting a performant and execution. the Greek demonst to dres the were made by the popular Assem by the Neuke the Greek demarks to first old were there by the popular Asset W. In Venne All a supported provenment presents a small Could both charge, at this as the pina sko tid in the Sams democracy we can know the Assemith has a right to be formed and to the free of encentry. It is the Pulmentary continue as Execute in Demark in theory to the Executive. It is "Summitty must be encounting at make some of ben's at a to carry their majority with them. In the limit States the Constitution, to divining it between the President and the Secretary assuming that these two powers wil mantara friends relations and do then best to work to other does not prove e for the case of a commet a carrierce between them. There have go e most smoothly when a tactful Secretary of Sum his exerted him and to keep the Secrete in good himsur by a forming them of the progress of negotiations and occasions which their advices decisive action, such as an assembly can rarely take, is demanded from the executive head of the

nation, and is acquiesced in, even if it seems to go beyond the lines of the Constitution. At all times, however, much depends on the personal character of the President. It might almost be said that his powers are what his employment of them makes them. Looking at the succession of Presidents, and noticing how the nation is influenced by a chief magistrate whose energy impresses it or whose gifts take its fancy, we are reminded of the great emperors of the Middle Ages, such as Henry the Third and the two Fredericks of Hohenstaufen, whose personal character made all the difference to the support they could evoke, and still more reminded of those monarchs who ruled by the Word and not by the Sword, such as Pope Gregory the Seventh and Pope Innocent the Third. These latter ruled because they could command spiritual allegiance. A President prevails just so far as he can carry public opinion with him, according to the familiar dictum, "With the people everything succeeds: without the people, nothing." With opinion behind him, he may prove stronger than both Houses of Congress. Cases have arisen in which, when a Congress and the President were at variance, the sympathy of the people seemed to go more to the latter than to the former. Both he and they are the choice of the people, but if he is forceful and attractive, they take a personal interest in him which they do not feel for a large number of elected representatives, the vast majority of whom are to them mere names. If the elected king who governs as well as reigns during his, allotted term shows himself worthy of the great position, he draws to himself, as personifying the Nation, something of that reverent regard which monarchs used to inspire in Europe.

CHAPTER XLII

THE STATE GOVERNMENTS IN THEIR WORKING

FROM the National Government let us turn to the State Governments and observe how the democratic principles on which they were constructed have worked out in practice. Though the earliest State Constitutions existed before the Federal Constitution, they have been so often amended and so many new Constitutions have been enacted for both the older and the newer States, that the State Constitutions as a whole are now of a more democratic colour than is that National constitutional system whose workings have just been examined

We have already seen that every State Legislature is elected either by manhood suffrage (except so far as coloured cutzens are excluded 1) or by universal suffrage, that each has two Houses, with practically equal legislative powers, and that neither the Governor nor any other official can sit in either. The men who compose the smaller House (Senate) and the larger one, both of them selected by the party Machines, are of the same quality, a quality nowhere high, but in which three grades of ment, or dement, may be distinguished. The legislatures of some of the older Eastern States where there is a large rural element are respectable,

A very recent dees on of the Supreme Court has declared invalid one of the laws, adopted in some Southern States which had the effect of excluding a number of coloured criticus from the Suffrage but it remains to be seen what practical effect this decision will have in increasing the coloured vote.

² The new Direct Primstrue (see Chip VLV pp 141 145) have improved matters a little but in most States there is a large professional element, and as the other members, sepsentilly the often interpresenced and credition starters hold the reaches a short time only, the professionals have everywhere an influence d aproport onat—to the rumbers.

with a small proportion of half-educated men and a still smaller one of corrupt men. This grade shades off into a second, including the newer States in the Middle West and North-west. Their legislatures contain many farmers and many petty lawyers from the smaller towns, who are mostly honest, well-meaning persons, but of a limited outlook and a pronenses to be captured by plausible phrases and to rush into doubtful experiments. Here, too, the quality of the legislatures is highest where the rural element is largest and the party machines are least powerful. The third class, more distinct from the second than is the second from the first. includes States whose politics have been demoralized by large cities where Rings flourish and party Bosses distribute spoils to their adherents. Six or seven State distribute spoils to their adherents. Six or seven State Legislatures, among which those of Pennsylvania, New York, and Illinois are the worst, belong to this category. In these the level of honour and probity is low, for few men of public spirit, likely to disobey the party organization, would be permitted to enter them wherever the Bosses could close the door. Still their virtue has risen

reformers may be found.

Legislation is conducted by a system of Committees resembling that of Congress, which in most States gives little opportunity for debate in public, though in many (as in Massachusetts) a Committee sits with open doors and receives evidence from all who come to offer it.¹ Debates excite little interest. Finance plays a smaller part here than in Congress, for the State revenue is not large, local requirements being provided for by county and municipal taxes. The tendency to borrow recklessly for public improvements, marked at one time, was checked by amendments to the State Constitutions. The stream of statutes flows freely, especially in the Western States, where new ideas "catch on" readily, the

a little of late years, and in some of them a group of

¹ This plan is most fully applied in Massachuseits, where it has worked usefully. See Mr. A L. Lowell's book, Public Opinion and Popular Government, p 230, for an instructive description and estimate

ardour of philanthropic progress being much in evidence These social reform Acts are better than the men who pass them, because they are often dictated by groups of moral reformers whose zeal, though it outruns their discretion, is a wholesome factor in the community. If not defeated by the covert arts of persons interested in defending the abuses they are aimed at, they are passed with a glow of conscious virtue by those who find this kind of virtue easy, but such liws often full to be enforced, sometimes because it is the business of nobody in particular, sometimes because they are pricually urenforceable, so that, as an American philosopher has observed, "Western statute books are a record rather of

aspiration than of achievement "

It is the special or "private Bill 'legislation (to use the English term) which is the happy hunting-ground of the professional politicians who mostly compose the membership of these bodies, especially those of the six or seven States above mentioned. This is what draws most of these professionals into the Legislature, for it is in this quarter that the opportunities for illicit gain are to be found. The special or private Bills confer privileges or exemptions upon particular individuals or corporate bodies, authorizing them to do things the general law might not permit, as for instance to take private pro perty for a public utility Such Bills are brought in perty for a public utility Such Bins are bublic Bills of general operation, being subject to no such provisions for a quasi judicial scrutiny of their preambles and enacting clauses as the system of Standing Orders and the rules of Private Bill Committees established long ago in England In these legislatures there is no duty new in Linguista in these registratures there is no duty thrown on any one to criticize faults or secure protection for any interest which the Bill may affect, so the door stands wide open to abuses of legislative power for the benefit of private persons or companies. Through that door many filch their gains 1

 $^{^{1}}$ I describe these e. Is as they were twenty years ago, because they and cate one of democracy s diseases, but they have now been reduced in many States.

PT. II

The carnival of jobbery and corruption which such Bills have induced in State legislatures has done more than anything else to discredit those bodies. Secret arrangements are made between the lobbyists who act for the promoters of the Bill, the members whom these lobbyists approach, and other members who usually have similar jobs of their own, and thus by the system called "log-rolling" support is obtained sufficient to put the Bills through. Unscrupulous members use their powers in another way, introducing Bills designed to injure some railway company or other wealthy corporation, and then demanding to be bought off. This form of blackmail is called a Strike, and has been frequent in almost every State where there are large corpora-tions to be squeezed. The threatened interests, obliged to defend themselves, justify their methods by the plea that their shareholders must be protected, and when legitimate means fail, because the composition and rules of the legislatures afford no protection, illegitimate means must be employed. When a Governor happens to be upright, courageous, and vigilant, he applies a remedy by vetoing the Bills he knows to be bad. But not all States have such Governors, nor can the most vigilant keep an eye upon every trick. In States where on the one side stand railway companies, street-car companies, and other great corporate undertakings commanding immense capital and anxious to obtain from the State what the Americans call "public franchises," rights of immense pecuniary value, and on the other side a crowd of men, mostly obscure, from whose votes these rights can be purchased with scant risk of detection and little social slur upon either the briber or the bribed if detection should follow, corruption must be looked for. The best evidence of the gravity of these evils is to be found in the attempts made by the better citizens to extirpate them, efforts which began many years ago and have taken more and more drastic forms. I reserve an account of these for the general survey of reform movements on a later page.

CH XLII

Every Governor is elected by the people of the whole State, having been nominated by a party convention. The qualities he ought to possess, while generally similar to such as are required in a President, are more distinctly those of a good man of business, viz firmness, tact, common sense, alert watchfulness, and of course a pleasant manner, which helps to soften his refusals of the insidious requests that beset him He need not have a creative mind, but must have a strong will His chief tasks are those of vetoing bad private Bills, and inducing the legislature to pass good public Bills His activity in this direction has recently increased in many States, and with good results, for legislatures need leading, and what he gives is likely to be better than that of party Bosses The tempta tion to abuse his patronage is not great, since the chief State officials and Boards are directly elected by the citizens, and appoint their own subordinates, but that system is faulty, for it impurs administration which might be more efficient if the Governor were to appoint the heads of the chief departments and use them as a sort of Cabinet As head of the Executive he is responsible for the maintenance of order, no easy function when industrial disputes lead to noting, and he has to choose between doing his duty under the law and the anger which his enforcement of it will rouse in a large section of the voters Most Governors have done their duty So in the Southern States the merit of a Governor is tested by his determination to protect the coloured population and enjoin a spirit of good feeling towards

As in these various ways a strong man may show his mettle, the office attracts those who have begun to dream of the Presidency of the United States, the possibility of reaching that giddy eminence being always in the background of ambitious minds. It trains a man for the post, for it needs, though in arrower sphere, the same gifts of leidership, firmness, and insight into men, coupled with the skill needed in

dealing with legislatures, singular bodies which are both better and worse than are the individuals who compose them. The judgment of the citizens on a Governor after his first year of office is almost always fair and sound. The tendency for the State Governor to overshadow

his legislature illustrates afresh the disposition of the masses to look to and be interested in a Man rather than an Assembly. The Man becomes real to them, gets credit for what he accomplishes, can be held accountable for failure or neglect. Much is gained by fixing on a conspicuous official the responsibility which a hundred inconspicuous representatives elude. When he appeals to the people against the politicians,

the politicians may complain of his autocratic ways, but the people are pleased and generally side with him, as they did with Mr. Hughes when he defied the powerful party machine which controlled his own party in New York State. As he was their own direct choice, they did not care how much he threatened legislators who had been forced upon them by the Organization rather than chosen by themselves. Yet the Governor may not be the chief power. States could be named in which there may stand above him, as there has often stood in New York and has stood for many a year in Pennsylvania, the mightier figure of the Boss,

who as head of the Machine commands the Legislature, its members sitting by his favour. His extra-legal power is greater than any the laws of the State confer. So the State of California was ruled for a generation by a railway company, one of whose officials exercised the authority though he did not bear the name of a Boss; and that yoke lasted unbroken into the present century, till at last the Company grew tired of maintaining it.

CHAPTER XLIII

THE JUDICIARY AND CIVIL ORDER

Two features in the American judicial system have a

special interest for the student of institutions. One is the part, more important here than in any other country, which the Judiciary holds in the constitutional frame of government, its functions under the Constitution making it, in fact as in name, an independent branch of the covernment side by side by the Executive and

of the government side by side with Executive and Legislature. The other is the different effects on the quality of the persons chosen to the Bench which are traceable to the different methods of choice, and to the longer or shorter tenure of office. Let us note the

results of the way in which certain principles held to

be democratic have been applied (1) The place assigned to the Judiciary by the Constitution has turned out to be greater than the founders foresaw, because no country had, in 1787, tried the experiment of setting up a Rigid Constitution to limit

the powers of a legislature In the United States, as in every State of the Union, a supreme Instrument of Government, the Constitution, stands above ordinary laws, so that if the Legislature should pass any statute or resolution

the Legislature should pass any statute or resolution contravening the Constitution, that piece of legislation is null and youd, because inconsistent with the higher law contained in the Constitution Whether such inconsistency exists in any given case is a pure matter of law, to be determined by examining their respective

PT. 11

terms, setting the two documents side by side so as to ascertain whether and in what respects the law of less authority passed by the Legislature transglesses the law of greater authority enacted by the people in the Constitution. It is a question of legal interpretation. The interpreting Court does not review matters of policy, i.e. the intrinsic wisdom or propriety either of the statute or of the Constitution itself, but merely decides whether the former conflicts with the latter. But as it is often hard to decide whether the general words used in a Constitution are, or are not, consistent with the terms of the statute which is alleged to transgress those general terms, there is often room for difference of opinion as to what the Constitution really means, s.e. what the people who enacted it meant by the words they have employed. This may seem to leave a discretion to the judges. It is hardly to be called a discretion, for the honest and competent judge tries only to ascertain the meaning and allows no personal bias to affect his decision, but many persons are ready to think that interpretation has been coloured by a Court's own views, and may therefore complain when it decides against what they desire. Thus the charge is made that the judges are legislating under the guise of enactment, and are, when they declare a statute invalid, overruling the will of the people as expressed by the legislature. The answer is that the will of the people is expressed in the Constitution also, and there expressed directly, not through representatives, so that the Con-stitution is a law of higher degree, the legislature having no more power than the Constitution allows to it. Only a Court can decide whether the two enactments in question conflict, for if that decision were left to the legislature, a Constitution would be useless, because the legislature would always decide in its own favour.1 Any one can see what importance this duty of inter-

pretation gives to the American Courts. They become 1 See as to the Swiss view of this subject, Vol. I Chap XXVIII.

what may be called the hving voice of the people, because they are in each State the guardians of that Constitution through which the people have spoken and are still speaking till such time as it pleases them to amend the fundamental instrument. The judges need to be the fundamental instrument. The judges need to be not only able and learned, but also courageous, firm to resist any popular agitation, faithful to the constitution they are set to guard. This is true of State Judges, who have to interpret the constitutions of the several States in which they hold office. It is especially needed in the Federal Judges, who have to interpret the Federal constitution, declaring invalid any provision of a State constitution or of a State law, or of a Federal act passed. by Congress, which transgresses that Constitution which is the supreme law of the land Most of all is it needed in the Supreme Court of the United States, to which all questions affecting the Federal Constitution come ultimately either directly or by way of appeal from inferior Courts

Though that Court has been expound ing and applying the Constitution for one hundred and thirty years, new questions raised by changing economic and social conditions are continually coming before it for determination Its decisions as to what Congress may and may not do, and as to what the State legislatures may and may not do, have often an importance greater than any Act either of Congress or of a State legislature

And now as to the judges and their tenure The Federal judges, as already observed, are all appointed by the President with the consent of the Senate, and all hold office for life, though removable by impeachment Those who constitute the Supreme Court, at present nine in number, always have been men of high character and distinguished ability. Those of inferior rank, Execut and District judges, are sound largers, though seldom first rate, for the salaries do not suffice to attract the most eminent men. Their integrity has been usually, though not always, above suspicion

The State judges of every grade are elected by the

citizens, except in seven States in which they are appointed by the Governor (with the approval of the Council or of the Legislature), and in four in which they are elected by the Legislature. Where the people elect, either by a State vote or in local areas by a local vote, the candidates are nominated by the political parties, like other elective officials, and usually stand on the same ticket with those officials as party candidates, though occasionally a non-party judiciary ticket is put forward by citizens dissatisfied with the party nominations. Such action, when taken, is apt to proceed from leading members of the local Bar. It seldom succeeds, and as a rule the best chance of securing good candidates is through the influence of the Bar upon those who control the party nominations.

The tenure of judicial office varies greatly. In two of the seven States where the Governor appoints, the judge sits for life, i.e. is removable only by impeachment or upon an address of both Houses of the legislature. In one of those where the legislature elects this is also the practice. In the remaining forty he is either elected or appointed for a term which varies from two years1 to twenty-one, eight or ten years being the average. Re-elections are frequent if the judge has satisfied the

Bar of his competence and honour.

The salaries vary in proportion to the population and wealth of the State, \$6000 (about £1200) being the average. Only in one State (New York), and only to some of its judges, is a salary so large as \$17,500 (£3500) paid,2 even this sum being less than one-fifth

of what some lawyers make by private practice. No one will be surprised at what is, in most States, the combined effect on the quality of the Bench of these three factors—low salaries, short terms, and election by a popular vote controlled by party managers. The ablest lawyers seldom offer themselves: the men elected owe their election and look for their re-election to persons

^{*} In England a judge of the High Court receives (5000, nearly \$25,000

most of whom neither possess nor deserve the confidence of the better citizens We must, however, discriminate between different

sets of States, for the differences are marked Three classes may be roughly distinguished In some six or seven States, including those in

which the Governor appoints, the judges of the highest Court, and as a rule the judges of the second rank also. are competent lawyers and upright men Some would do credit to any court in any country

In most of the other States (a majority of the total number) the justices of the highest Court are tolerably competent, even if inferior in learning and acumen to the ablest of the counsel who practise before them Almost all are above suspiction of pecuniary corruption, though some are liable to be swayed by personal or political influences, for the judge cannot forget his re election, and is tempted to be complaisant to those who can affect it In these States the justices of the lower courts are of only mediocre capacity, but hardly ever venal

Of the few remaining States it is hard to speak positively. A general description must needs be vague, because the only persons who have full opportunity for gauging the talents and honesty of the judges. are the old practitioners in their courts who see them frequently and get to 'know their ins and outs' These practitioners are not always unbiassed, nor always willing to tell what they know All that can safely be said is that in a certain small number of States the Bench as a whole is not trusted In every court, be it of higher or lower rank, there are some good men, probably more good than bad But no planntiff or defendant knows what to expect If he goes before one of the upright judges his case may be tried as fairly as it would be in Massachusetts or in Middlesey. On the other hand, fate may send him to a court where the rill of legal knowledge runs very thin, or to one where the stream of justice is polluted at its source The use of

the mandatory or prohibitory power of Court to issue injunctions, and of the power to commit for some alleged contempt of Court, is a fertile source of mischief. In-junctions obtained from a pliable judge are sometimes moves in a stock-gambling or in a political game, especially if the lawsuit has a party colour.

Taking the States as a whole, one may say that in most of them the Bench does not enjoy that respect which ought to be felt for the ministers of justice, and that in some few States enough is known to justify distrust. In these the judges of lower rank are not necessarily less scrupulous than are those of the highest Courts, but their scanty equipment of legal knowledge means that justice is not only uncertain, but also slow and costly, because the weaker the judge the greater the likelihood of delay and appeals, since American practitioners can always find some technical ground for a postponement or for trying to upset a decision. All these things considered, it is surprising not that

the defects described exist, but that they and the results they produce are not even worse. Worse they would be but for the sort of censorship which the Bar exercises, making all but the blackest sheep amenable to

the public opinion of their State or neighbourhood. How do these defects tell upon the daily administration of justice between man and man? As respects civil cases, seeing that the great majority of cases in contract or tort, or affecting property, come into State Courts, one hears fewer complaints than might have been expected. Evils of long standing are taken for

granted: people have in many parts of the Union ceased to expect strong men except in the Federal Courts and those of a few States. Law is a costly luxury, but it is costly in all countries. In America its march is slow, but in many States the rules of procedure are antiquated and absurdly technical, and most of the codes of procedure adopted in some States have been ill-drawn and cumbrous. The intelligence of juries, the learning and ability of the Bar (legal education is probably nowhere CH XLIH DEFECTS OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE 95

so thorough as in the United States), help the weak judge over many a stile, while favouritism and corruption, at all times hard to prove, attract little no countess the case affects some public interest. Nevertheless, even if things are less bad than the causes at work might have made them, clear it is that the incompetence of judges does in many States involve immense waste to litigants through appeals and other delays, and through the un certainty into which the law is brought by decisions in inferior courts likely to be reversed on appeal. Though the administration of civil justice leaves

much to be desired, that of criminal justice is far worse There are few States, perhaps only two or three outside New England—New Jersey is one—where it is either prompt or efficient. All through the rest of the country, South and West, trals are of inordinate length, and when the verdict has been given, months or years may elapse before the sentence can be carried into effect Many offenders escipe whom everybody knows to be guilty, and the deterrent effect of punishment is corre spondingly reduced. From imong the high authorities who have described and deplored this state of things it is sufficient to quote ex President William H Taft, who with exceptional experience, and a judgment universally respected, has pointed to the lax enforcement of the criminal law as one of the greatest evils from which the people of the United States suffer i

Many causes have combined to produce this in efficiency. One is the extreme length of trials, especially trials for murder. First of all, there is the difficulty of getting a jury. In some States the jury lists are not fairly made up, but even where they are, the exercise of the right of challenging, on the ground that the person summoned is prejudiced or has already formed an opinion, is carried to extreme lengths. Some times hundreds of persons are rejected by one side or the other. There was a State prosecution in California a few years ago in which more than two months were

spent in challenges before a jury was at last impanelled. Then there are the numerous intricacies of procedure and the highly technical rules of evidence. Every possible point is taken and argued on behalf of the prisoner if he has the means of retaining a skilful counsel. Objections taken to the judge's rulings on points of evidence, or to the terms of his charge, are reserved for subsequent argument before the full Court; and it is often a year or more before the Court deals with them. Distrust of authority and "faith in the people" have led nearly all States to limit strictly the functions of the judge. He may declare the law and sum up the evidence, but is not permitted to advise the jury as to the conclusions they ought to draw from the evidence, and he has generally less power than an English judge enjoys of allowing amendments where a purely technical mistake, not prejudicing the prisoner, has been committed.

Juries themselves are not always above suspicion. There are in many cities lawyers who have a reputation as "jury fixers"; and where unanimity is required by the law of the State, the process of fixing may be none too difficult.

If a verdict of guilty has been delivered, and if, months or possibly even years afterwards, all the legal points taken for the defence have been overruled by the Court, the prisoner has still good chances of escape. There is in the United States an almost morbid sympathy for some classes of criminals, a sentiment frequently affecting juries, which goes on increasing when a long period has elapsed since the crime was committed.1 A conviction for murder, especially if there was any emotional motive present, is usually followed by a torrent of appeals for clemency in the press, while the Governor is besieged with letters and petitions demanding a reprieve or commutation of the sentence. Hardly a voice is raised on behalf of the enforcement

¹ Says Mr Taft (p 225 of book above referred to) . "The lax administration of the criminal law is due in a marked degree to the prevalence of maudin sentiment among the people and the alluring limelight in which the criminal walks if only he can give a little sensational colouring to his mean or sordid offence."

of the law Sometimes the matter gets into politics, and a Governor's sense of duty may be weakened by those who urge that his leniency will win popular favour

The sentimental weakness which is indulgent to crime because it pities the individual offender while forgetting the general interests of society is common in democratic peoples, and perhaps even commoner in America than in Italy or France. It now and then appears in Australia. When to all these causes we add the intellectual mediocrity of so many among the State judges, the frequent failures of criminal justice become intelligible, and one wonders not at the prac-tical impunity accorded in many States to violent crime, but at the indifference of the public to so grave an evil Recently the Bar Association of New York has bestirred itself to secure reforms, but there are States where the conditions are far worse than in New York, and where the frequency of homicide and the feebleness of the law in coping with it rouse little comment. This is especially the case in the Southern States, where the habits of violence formed in the days of slavery have not died out, and where racial feeling is so strong that it is just as difficult in many districts to secure the punishment of a white who has injured or even killed a negro as it has been to obtain justice in a Turkish court for a Christian against a Muslim The practice of lynching is the natural concomitant of a tardy or imperfect enforcement of the law. Though not rare in some parts of the West, and sometime applied to white offenders, it is specially frequent in the Southern States, but not confined to them. In 1910, at the little town of Coatesville in Pennsylvania, a negro criminal lying in the town hospital awaiting trial was seized by a mob, dragged out of the town, and roasted alive, no one interfering. Several persons were indicted, but all escaped punishment. This is one of the many cases in which there was no excuse for a violent interference with the regular process of VOL. II

law, for the victim would undoubtedly have been found guilty and executed for murder.

It is not solely from the incompetence of State judges and the defects of criminal procedure that public order and the respect for law have been suffering. In

some States the executive officials fail to arrest or bring to trial breakers of the peace. In some few, bands of ruffians have been allowed for months or years to perpetrate outrages on persons whose conduct displeased them; and this, in the case of the White Caps in Indiana and the Night Riders in Kentucky, with practical impunity, the legislatures having provided no rural police. Train robberies by brigands resembling the dacoits of India have not quite ceased in parts of the West, though they no longer receive that indulgent admiration of their boldness which made Robin Hood a hero in mediaeval England. On the Pacific coast the Federal Government has found it hard to induce the State authorities to secure to immigrants from Eastern Asia the rights which they enjoy by treaty or by a sort of common law of nations. It is urged by way of extenuation, both for the prevalence of lynching and for other failures to enforce the law, that habits of disorderbeing a legacy from the days when a wild country was being settled by bold and forceful frontiersmen, and men had to protect themselves by a rude justice-disappear slowly, that the regard for human life is still imperfect, that the custom of carrying pistols is widespread, and that the cost of policing thinly peopled regions is dis-

United States facts do not warrant the claim that democratic government creates a law-abiding spirit among the citizens.

Why is there not a stronger sense of the harm done to the community by failures of justice and the consequent disregard of human life? Why does not a public opinion which is in most respects so humane and

proportionate to the frequency of the offences committed. Whatever weight may be allowed to these palliations, it remains true that in many parts of the enlightened as is that of the American people, put forth its strength to stamp out the practice? As respects the defects of criminal procedure in general, it must be remembered that an evil which has become familiar ceases to be shocking The standard custom has set comes to be accepted it is only the stranger who is amazed Those good citizens in the states referred to who are shocked and desire a reform find it hard to know how or where to begin The lower sort of lawyers, numerous in the legislatures, dislike reforms which would reduce their ficilities for protracting legal proceedings to their own profit, and are apt to resist improvements in procedure. The ordinary legislator has not the knowledge to enable him to prepare or put through bills for the purpose No body in a State is responsible for pushing reforms forward for the Governor is not represented in the Legislature and the members are often jealous of his intervention These explanations, the best that are supplied to the enquirer, leave him still surprised at the tolerance extended to the enemies of public peace and order ¹
Some one may ask, ¹ Since the inferiority of the

State judges is a palpable and evident source of weakness, and one which could be removed by improving their position, why is that not done? Why not give better salaries with longer terms and drop popular election? Cheap justice may be dear in the long run."

The answer to this question casts still further light

on certain features of democratic government

When the thirteen original States separated from England all of them left the appointment of judges in the hands of the State Governor, except two, where the legislature, and one, Georgia, where the people, chose them The system of appointments worked well the

The growing demand for jud c al refo ms a the States recently led to the fo mat on of a body called the American jud cature Soc ety supported by many leading judges lawyers and professors of law It advocates a simplification of legal procedire longer tenure and better salar as for judges and some method of sele ton more sat sfactory that popular elect on has proved to be Progress has been in de in impro in the munic pale court systems and t s believed that public op n on on the subject is being by deprees educated

judges were upright and respected, and it might have been expected that when new States made constitutions for themselves they would have followed the lead given by their predecessors. But between 1830 and 1850 a wave of democratic sentiment swept over the nation. The people, more than ever possessed or obsessed by the doctrine of popular sovereignty, came to think that they must be not only the ultimate source but the direct wielders of power. The subjection of all authority to theirs was to be expressed in the popular choice of every official for a term of office so short that he must never forcet his pasters and with a choice of every official for a term of office so short that he must never forget his masters, and with a salary too small to permit him to fancy himself better than his neighbours. The view has persisted, and still governs men's minds in most States. It is not argued that the plan secures good Judges. Obedience to a so-called principle disregards or ignores that aspect of the matter. Being in Kentucky in 1890, attending a State Convention called to draft a new Constitution, I enquired whether no one would propose to restore the old method of appointment by the Governor, and was told that no such proposal would be listened to. It would be undemocratic. In California in 1909 when, after hearing severe comments upon most of the judges, I asked whether the citizens could not be induced to secure better men by larger salaries and longer terms, a secure better men by larger salaries and longer terms, the answer was that the only change the citizens would make would be to shorten terms and reduce would make would be to shorten terms and reduce salaries still further in order to prevent the judges from feeling class sympathy with the rich and the business corporations. Whether appointment by the Executive would work as well in Western and Southern States, or for the matter of that in New York and Pennsylvania, as it works in Massachusetts and New Jersey it would be hard to say, for in the last-named States a tradition exists which the Governor is obliged to live up to; whereas in States where the elective system has set a lower standard a Governor might prostitute his patronage. But it is an indefensible system.

THE CIVIL SERVICE

Something must be said, before we pass away from the working of Government, about the Cabinet and the permanent Civil Service, for both differ widely from the institutions which bear those names in Europe

The Cabinet is not a ruling group, as in France, Britain, Italy, Spain, Canada, Australia It consists (1920) of ten heads of administrative departments, who act under the directions of the President in their act under the directions of the President in their several branches of work, and whom it is his habit, though not his legal duty, to consult. He appoints them, subject to the approval of the Senate, which is scarcely ever refused, and dismisses them at pleasure. They are responsible only to him, not to Congress. As they cannot sit in it, and are not obliged to address the people, they need not possess oratorical gifts, so it might be supposed that they would be selected as experts specially competent for the business of their respective departments. This, however, is not so, any more than specially competent for the business of their respective departments. This, however, is not so, any more than it is in England and France. Political, the electioneering, considerations prevail, and men are appointed chiefly for the sake of pleasing particular sections of the country or of recognizing services rendered in the last preceding campaign! Thus it may happen that the members of a newly formed. Cabinet are most of them personally newly formed Cabinet are most of them personally unknown, not only to the nation at large but to one another, some of them perhaps to the President himself Though not necessarily men of outstanding ability, they have that American adoptiveness which enables them to get along almost as well as the average European Cabinet minister, and they are free from the parliamentary duties which distract him from his office work. As they may not have figured in politics before, so probably they drop out of politics when their

¹ The Attorney General is of course always and the Secretary of State is frequently a tweet Now and then a President may select a personal friend for the sake of law gb is constant coursel.

four years' term ends, resuming their former profession or business.1

The Federal Civil Service comprises three classes of persons, (1) an enormous number of minor officials, such as custom-house officers and postmasters all over the country, (2) a considerable number of employees in the departments at Washington, including a large staff of scientific experts, and (3) diplomatic envoys and consuls. All these classes formerly held their posts at the pleasure of the President for the time being and vacated office when his term expired, unless he, having been re-elected, prolonged their service. The posts were party patronage, "Spoils of Office," which went to the victors in a presidential campaign. This system produced not only an inefficient Civil Service, but many other incidental results strange in a popular government. These may be summarized as follows:

The Party Machine filled the offices with men who were often incompetent and always untrained. These men were changed whenever the Administration changed. Their allegiance was due primarily to the Organiza-tion, not to the nation. They were bound to contribute to its funds. Their first duty was to work for the party, and this duty they were compelled, on pain of dismissal, to discharge so their efforts went to maintain the system by which the Machine paid its way and riveted its yoke upon the Government in Nation. State. and City. Public office was turned into a means of gain, not only to the Organization funds, but to its individual members through their opportunities of using their power for selfish ends. What went on in the National Government went on in the State Governments and in the city governments also, the same principles

The total volume of ability to be found in a Cabinet varies markedly according to the capacity a President shows for selecting able men. When a Cabinet is poor in talent, not only does administration suffer but fewer men of force and talent have the chance of becoming known to the nation, and the choice which a party has to make of a person to be put forward as its candidate for the Presidency is accordingly more restricted. In the early days this was less seen Jefferson and John Adams had as in Washington's Caluncts, Madson had been Jefferson's Secretary of State, and Monroe Madison's, and J. Q. Adams Monroe's, and Van Euren Jackson's.

being applied everywhere by the same professional politicians, who indeed often reaped in the cities their largest harvests

Through the operation of these causes, the Civil Service of the United States long remained not only inferior to that of the chief European countries, but far less efficient than the administration of great industrial and commercial undertakings, such as railways or department stores, in America itself Specially trained men were not looked for, because they were not desired the salaries offered would not have secured them, and the places were wanted for partisans. Of experience there was little, because when a man had come to know his work he was likely to be dismissed to make room for some adherent of the opposite party. Neither was there a prospect of promotion as a reward for zealous service, since the service most required by the political heads of departments was that rendered not to the public but to the Democrats or the Republicans, as the case might be Yet the system was maintained, not so much because Congress was parsimonious, but rather because Congressmen, valuing patronage as a means of strengthening their hold on their constituencies, restrengthening and note of the constitutions, to fused to part with it. At last, however, the pressure of a more enlightened public opinion, roused by a small but earnest group of reformers, compelled Congress to yield, the fact that the then dominant party feared to yield, the fact that the then dominant party feared to lose an approaching election contributing to make the majority in both Houses willing to save some at least of its partisan officials from the impending displacement So in 1883 Congress, with a few growls, passed an Act empowering the President to place certain classes of offices under Civil Service rules which created examina tools and gave permanence of tenure. This power, sparingly used at first, has been so far exerted that more than a half of the total number in classes I and II aforesaid are now "taken out of politics". This number includes most of the higher posts in the Washington departments, but the Assistant Secretary ships and some 104

others of importance are still changed with the Administration, as are also the foreign missions, and some of the consulates. The quality of the employees has improved as more and more have come in under the new system and been allowed to remain at the work they have learned. They are no longer compelled to toil for the party between elections as well as at elections; though some, especially among those who were appointed on the old system or still belong to the category of removables, may continue the practice. So, too, the custom by which the Organizations levied assessments, proportioned to the salaries, on the office-holders whose appointment party influence had secured, is now forbidden by law.

I have described what was one of the weakest points in the American government in order to show not merely how the interests of the people may be disregarded in a democracy, but also how in America the forces that make for righteousness can at last prevail. From the small beginnings of 1883 things have gone on improving, the professional politicians still snarling, but the reforms more and more carrying public opinion with them. The economic development of the nation, the swift diffusion and improvement of University instruction, the discoveries in physical science, the extension of State action into new fields, and a growing sense of the value of scientific methods in every kind of work, have combined to make the need for a competent Civil Service recognized.1 While in the older departments the quality of the persons employed is rising as the old spoilsmen are superannuated or die out, fresh lines of work have been created in which men of special competence are sought for. Some of the new scientific departments in Washington, such as that of the Geological Survey, and that which has charge of

In 1914 there were more than 482,000 employees under the National Government, of whom 292,000 were in the Classified Civil Service, under the control of the Civil Service Commission An interesting address to the National Service Reform Associa-tion, delivered in 1919 by Mr Richard H. Danz, estimates the annual gain in efficiency as amounting to \$10,000,000 per annum.

the national collections, are now staffed by a large number of accomplished men equal in their respective lines of study to any whom the Old World possesses As a home of science, Washington is no whit behind London and Paris

A similar change has come over the public service of the more advanced States The State Civil Service is comparatively small, and less organized than that of the National Government, partly because there has not been a Cabinet, the (few) chief state officials being elected along with the Governor, and not subject to his direction As the functions of State Governments expand under the pressure for social reforms and for a development of the agricultural, pastoral, and mineral resources of each State by the provision of more elaborate technical instruction, new offices are created, and a new class of trained officials grows up In 1920 ten States had good Civil Service laws, and there is an appreciation of good covin service laws, and more states, as notably in Wisconsin, the State University has discharged with eminent success the functions of a State Bureau for emment success the functions of a State Bureau for education in many branches of applied science. The leading State Universities of the West are a promising offspring of popular government, repaying its parental care by diffusing a wiser judgment and a more en lightened zeal for progress than is to be found elsewhere in the mass of citizens

LOCAL GOVERNMENT, RURAL AND MUNICIPAL

From the States I turn to the working of Local Government in cities and in rural areas "To what has been already said" regarding the latter only this remark need be added that the party system has been mischievous in some parts of the country, where local Rings put their adherents into local offices and perpetrate local Jobs In

 $^{^1}$ See as to Wiscons a the book of Mr Charles M Carthy entitled The W cons n idea

See Chapter XXXV

ta6

PT. 11 the rural areas one hears that officials, unwilling to offend

persons of influence, are sometimes lax in enforcing the laws, and that defalcations are frequent; but as the revenues of townships and counties are mostly small, as their appropriation to public objects is prescribed by law, as the public works to be locally provided for are not costly, and the conduct of business tolerably well watched by the inhabitants who know the officials and usually get to hear of malpractices, the Rings and Bosses do no great mischief.1 The large sphere of independence allotted to local authorities has, at least in the Northern and Western States, been so useful in maintaining a sense of civic duty and a capacity for discharging it, that the advantages thus secured compensate for the harm which the party system has done by bringing national issues into the sphere of local administration. The working of City government needs a fuller study, for the United States is the country in which municipal affairs have furnished the most striking illustrations of dangers incident to democracy. Those who have in our time sought to disparage it always base their charges on the record of city scandals during the last eighty years. Americans themselves, however proud of

the successes of their system as a whole, admit that here is to be found its one conspicuous failure. If Europeans knew what were and are the conditions under which the government of the cities has to be conducted, they would throw less of the blame on democratic principles, though they might well condemn the form in which those principles have been heed-lessly applied. What were these conditions? They were unique in the world. In Europe the great cities have grown comparatively slowly—Berlin is the only exception—and their civic organizations, economic and social, have grown up with them, expanding as they expanded. In all but the largest there have been

¹ County offices seem in many States to be two numerous and their functions not well defined, See as to the defects of County government, especially in Middle Western States, shy interesting address by Mr. Walker D. Hanes to the Chamber of Commerce at Typocka, delivered March 30, 1917.

CH XLIII GROWTH OF AMERICAN CITIES 107

families in whom the mass of the people recognized a sort of lendership, neighbourhoods have had neigh-bourly feelings, local divisions, such as parishes and wards, have meant something, nearly all the inhabitants have belonged to the same race and spoken the same language

American cities have grown with unprecedented rapidity! Men of the last generation who remembered New York as less than a mile in length and half a mile in width, lived to see it fill the whole of an island fourteen width, lived to see it fill the whole of an island fourteen miles long and spread out still further over an adjacent island and on the mainland Chicago began as a tiny frontier port on Lake Michigan, and had after eighty years a population of two millions. This growth was due not only to industrial development and the building of railroads, but also to the flood of immigrants which began to pour in from about 1840 till 1910, most of whom could not speak English, very few of whom knew anything of the country or its institutions, and practically all of whom had no experience of the exercise of civic rights and no conception of civic duties. They formed a heterogeneous mass, at first chiefly of Irishmen and Germans, to whom were presently added Italians, Poles, Czechs, Slovaks, Croats, Serbs, Slovenes, Magyars, Russians, Greeks, Finns, Armenians, Syrians, and vast swarms of Russian and Polish Jews. This crowd knew as little of the men into the midst of whom they came as they did of the city government But they found them-selves, within a few weeks or months, turned into citizens and entitled to vote at elections-City, State, and Federal Each political party wanted voters, and bestirred itself to rope in the newcomers and enrol them as adherents With no social ties in their new home, living in quarters removed from the better-housed native inhabitants, having no notions about voting or for whom they ought to vote, they were an easy and indeed a willing prey,

¹ The nearest para leds to the growth may be jound in Buenos A res and n some of the ottee of S b r.a such as Novo N kola vak.
The vast majorsty of Swed's and Norwer and did not remain to the out the out to take up h ms chefly in the north went.

pleased to find themselves of some consequence in their humble surroundings, glad to make acquaintance with the lower sort of professional politicians in the liquor saloons, and knowing no other public opinion than that which pervaded those resorts.

While the volume of ignorant voters was thus swell-ing, the cities grew faster than ever in wealth, and new work was being thrust upon their governments as docks had to be improved, public buildings creeted, street rail-ways constructed, drainage, paving, and other city needs cared for on a large scale. Taxation rose almost as fast as did wealth, lucrative contracts were being placed, immense sums disbursed. All this had to be done under the pressure which the quick growth of population and expansion of trade involved. The richer people could not spare time from money-making to attend to these things. Rarely did one of them think of standing for any city office, or entering a City Council, so the management of affairs was left to a set of persons with whom educated men had no social relations and whose action they were too busy to watch. Such men, moreover, or at least the public-spirited among them, were in the years from 1835 to 1865 so keenly interested in the great national issues that city politics were neglected, or regarded only in so far as the victory of one or other political party affected its prospects in congressional or presidential elections. Good citizens, themselves upright and disinterested, turned a blind eye to the offences of those who professed to be working for the party whose success seemed supremely important. Not only were city elections fought on national lines, but party spirit gripped city politics in another way also. The Organization which controlled a city because it could deliver a heavy vote in State elections influenced the State Legislature, and probably the State Governor also, and this meant that the heads of the Organization could procure from the

¹ This detenbes conditions as they were before the Problemton Amendment to the Constitution had been passed.
See as to the problems caused by the wast growth of cities, chap hi of American Commonwealth, by the late Mr. Seth Low, at one time Mayor of New York.

State legislature the kind of municipal legislation which they desired in order to fasten their yoke more tightly on the city and carry through whatever schemes promised benefit to themselves This habit of interference with the structure and working of city governments, instead of leaving them to take their regular course under the general statutes, entangled the city in a web of secret and sordid intrigues

These then were the conditions

A swiftly growing population of ignorant citizens, paying no city taxes, having no interest in good ad ministration, tools in the hands of party leaders

A rapid increase in the wealth of individuals, as also in the revenues of the city and in its expenditure on a

multiplicity of public services

A neglect of city affines by the well to-do and educated citizens, except in so far as the success of their party in the city promised to strengthen it in the nation

An inveterate habit of voting the national party ticket, irrespective of the particular State or City issues involved, and practically irrespective of the personal ments of candidates

The party managers whose methods have been described in a preceding chapter were not slow to profit by such a situation Every city had a government framed not with a view to efficiency and economy but on political lines similar to those of the State Govern ments. The differences between one 'City Charter' (as the frame of government is called) and another were numerous, but the general character of these instruments was the same, and so were the economic and social phenomena which the cities presented. There was a Legislature, sometimes of one, sometimes of two Councils, composed of persons most of whom belonged to the half educated class and were unknown to the respectable citizens. There was a major and a number of other officials, each directly elected by the people for short terms, and there were judges elected also

for short terms with a wide civil as well as criminal iurisdiction.1

The process by which a little group of selfish professional politicians gained in each city, first the control of the party organization and then through it the control of the city, can seldom be traced, for the Ringsters conspired in secret, and the public records give only the outer aspect of their actions. Usually a few of the willest and most plausible who became prominent in the primaries were elected to the managing committees. There, getting to know one another, and having a common aim, they found it profitable to work together, filled the committees with dependants on whose obedience they could rely, and so grew to be a small irresponsible junta, who kept power because they proved themselves fit to use it. Sometimes they formed a sort of ruling Ring, always small. But in this Ring there was generally some one conspicuous either by his craft or by the popular talents which disposed the rank and file to follow him. If he had the gifts of leadership, boldness, self-confidence and the capacity for quick decision, he became the Boss. Democracies talk of Equality, but Efficiency is after all the first requisite in all governm nts, be they governments of a nation or of a faction; so in the midst of equality oligarchies and autocracies rise by a law of nature. Where the control of one strong swift will makes for success, that will brings its possessor to the top. Thus the party organization, based on democratic principles, and respecting those principles in its rules, fell under what may be

A high authority, Dr F J Goodnow, President of Johns Hopkins University, # By not providing for either property or educational qualification, and by requiring merely a short term of residence, the United States city election laws thus generally bring it about that the number of voters at city elections is from eight to fifty per cent greater than elsewhere Finally, the fact that these laws do not accord the vote to non-resident tax-pavers prevents the exercise of a possible conservative influence on

city elections "Although the conditions of population in American cities are such that the soters ate much more heterogeneous than they are elsewhere, or even than they once were, the election laws of the United States give no consideration to that fact, but confer the city suffrage on vast numbers of people who cannot be said to have a permanent staked in the city, who, indeed, in many cases they not be bons-fide residents of the city, and may not have sufficient political capacity, because of lack of power to read, or because of pressous associations, to cast a vote intelligently" [Municipal Greenment, p. 146].

called an autocratic oligarchy with the Boss for its head, while the rest of the Ring formed his Cabinet council. So highly do American business men value efficiency, that they are more disposed to vest wide powers in a single hand than are the English, witness the concentration of the management of railroads in a President instead of a Board of Directors, and the far larger authority given to the President of a University than that allowed to the head either of any British university or of a college at Oxford or Cam bridge. Thus, despite the sacred principle of equality, Bossdom prevailed in the party organizations, and in New York, for instance, the dynasty of Bosses who during eighty years have reigned purely by the gifts of political leadership may be compared with that line of monarchs, neither hereditary nor elective, but most of them rising by their military talents, which ruled the Roman Empire from Nero down to Constantine

The party organizations laid hold of the city governments They managed the Primaries and Conventions, nominated the party candidates, looked after the elections, resorting, when necessary, to personation, repeating, and other frauds, and adding to these, if their party controlled the officials in charge of the elections, intimidation at the polls, ballot stuffing and false counting Most of their candidates were so obscure as to be unknown to the majority of the voters, who were thus obliged to vote the party ticket Thus a Ring might, by the use of those ignorant masses who constituted its voting stock, fill the offices with its creatures, the chief among whom found many ways of making illicit gains out of contracts or the sale of franchises (such as the laying of street railways) or by levying blackmail on firms who desired permission to transgress the law Some times these practices went long unchecked, for the system grew up silently, unnoticed by good citizens who were thinking of the Slavery question or the Tariff It was hard to fix responsibility upon offenders Who could say which of the members of the Councils were the most guilty parties; who could examine records and documents in the custody of dishonest officials; who could hope much from legal proceedings likely to come before a judge who owed his election to the party dominating the city? While ward politicians made their petty gains in the lower strata of city life, and the ward leader directed his voting regiment like a colonel, members of the Ring installed themselves in offices where money could be scooped in by large operations; and the chiefs of the party in the State, seldom solling their own fingers, winked at the methods of the professionals and profited by the voting power placed at their disposal.

These things, which need description because they have

These things, which need description because they have been used to discredit democracy, went on in practically all the great and most of the smaller cities, being generally worse in proportion to the population and the wealth of each. I take New York as a sample, because the largest, and because the facts of its case, though they have drawn the attention of the world, are little understood outside America.

In New York there was founded in 1789 a social and charitable club which after 1805 described itself as the Tammany Society, the name being taken from an Indian Sachem called Tammanend. It soon acquired a political character, and in 1822, having then thrown out tentacles all over the city, put its government on a representative basis, the General Committee being composed of delegates elected at meetings of the enrolled (Democratic) party voters. Its members were at first native Americans, many of them men of good social standing; but after 1850 the rank and file came more and more to consist of immigrants from Europe, while leadership passed to adventurers of a low type, native and foreign. Since then Tammany Hall has included a great mass of the new citizens—Irishmen, Germans, Jews, Itulians, and Saws. It came to be practically supreme in the Democratic party in the city, as well as the mainstay of that party in New York State, being therewith also a power in the National Democratic Convention, since

the vote of New York State often turns the scale in presidential elections In 1863 a man named William Marcy Tweed, who had failed in business as a chair maker, a jovial, boisterous, swaggering fellow of vulgar tastes and scanty education, became Chairman of the General Committee, and therewith virtual ruler of the city, for (manhood suffrage having been introduced in 1842) the Tammany vote was omnipotent He and 1842) the Tammany vote was omnipotent He and his three leading associates, who formed a ruling group called the Ring, "had at their disposal," wrote Mr S J Tilden a few years later, "the whole local Government machinery, with its expenditure and patronage and its employment of at least 12,000 persons, besides its possession of the police, its influence on the Judiciary, its control of inspectors and canvassers of the elections" This last-mentioned power was used to manipulate the taking and counting of votes on a gigantic scale, while three unscriptions lawyers creations. to manpulate the taking and counting of votes on a gigantic scale, while three unscrupulous lawyers, crea tures or confederates of the Ring, were placed on the City Bench to facilitate its operations. The press was largely muzzled by lawsh payments made to it for advertisements, and some of the minor journals were subsidized. Confident in their strength, the "Boss of the Hall" and his three associates began to rob right and left. In thirty-two months they raised the city debt by \$81,000,000 (£16,20,000), more than twice the figure at which the debt had stood before. This was done chiefly by means of comments for public works which chiefly by means of payments for public works which were divided among the confederated Ringsters, with practically nothing to show for the expenditure

practically nothing to show for the expenditure

A trifling quarrel between some of the accomplices
led to the discovery of these frauds, and an uprising of
the "better element" among the citizens of both
parties (1871) drove the thieves out of power and sent
to prison two of them, as well as two of the three corrupt
judges

But what happened thereafter? Within six
years Tammany Hall was again in power under another?

Boss Its voters did not care how much the city was
robbed, for few of them paid taxes, and many regretter de-

114

Tweed as a good fellow. The "better element," having once asserted itself, relapsed into apathy, and was again immersed in business excitements and social enjoyments. Tammany, however, was thereafter less audacious, and has had to fight hard for its power.

The history of New York since 1876 has been a chequered one. When the good citizens have exerted themselves and effected a fusion of the reformers with the Machine of the Republican party they have been able to defeat Tammany. When the Republicans ran a party candidate of their own, Tammany triumphed. Now and then, however, it put forward respectable candidates for the mayoralty. The new Frame of Government introduced in 1902 cut at the roots of some mischiefs. Election frauds are now almost gone, nor can the treasury be robbed with impunity, but some branches of administration, including the police department, remain unsatisfactory.

What has been said of New York may, as respects the essential features of municipal misrule, be said of every great city, though of course with endless local variations. San Francisco, with its mixed and changeful population, has been conspicuous for violent oscillations. At the end of last century it was ruled by a formidable Boss, a blind man, but of remarkable gifts for organization, who had at his command the votes and the partisan work of the employees of the Fire Department. After his fall-he fled when indicted for peculation-the city fell for a time under the dominion of a Ring chiefly composed of labour leaders. Some of these leaders were convicted of corruption, and a period of better government followed. Space fails me to speak of Pittsburg and Chicago, St. Louis and New Orleans 1 Why, it may well be asked, did not the Republican party organization always work

with the Reformers against Tammany ! Because the Republican Bosses wished to seep their own Machine in good working order by virtuing only than own condi-Mine, because may of the weights upporter we're to middless the order of o rote, pethyl also because some of their prity manager had a secret professors of o rote, pethyl also because some of their prity manager had a secret professors of empathy, which the Democrates Rangeter opposites: Pure government a distanted to DOM school may be a party management of not pretent private openious, excending to the details, and party management of not pretent private openious, excending to the details, and party management of not pretent private openious, according to the details, and party management of not pretent private openious, and the professors of the prof and Cincinnati. The phenomena are everywhich subgratantially the same, as are their causes: the Rings similar: the reformers fight and win and flag and fail and prepare to fight again. The combatants come and go, but the combat is always the same. As used to be said of trevolutions in France, "plus cela change, plus c'est Ia même chose." The case of Philadelphia was peculiarly instructive, for comparatively few of its inhabitants are foreign and the course closes of the same of the case of the are foreign, and the poorer classes are better off than are foreign, and the poorer classes are better off than in most cities, the number who own their houses being so large that it is called "The City of Homes." In it maladministration and corruption have been flagrant: and though the "good citizens" have frequently risen against and overthrown their oppressors, every success has been followed by a collapse, and a new Ring has olimbed into power. A great victory was won in 1912, yet in a few years its results seemed likely to be lost. Misgovernment has, however, been not quite so bad since 1881 as it was before the defeat then inflicted on the Gas Ring, and in 1920 the sky had once more brightened under a new charter and a capable Mayor 1

Be it noted that in the cities generally there has been nothing to choose between the political parties, neither of whom has been better or worse than the other. The Tammany Ring is Democratic. The Philadelphia Ring has always been Republican, and has held its power mainly because the wealthy manufacturers have so valued the maintenance of the protective triiff as to be ready to support in their city the party which contributed to make Pennsylvania a safe Republican State. The moral which the student of democracy may ponder is well conveyed in words which the most eminent Philadelphian of our time (Mr. Henry C Lea,

¹ The charter of 1919 is these bed in a considerable improvement on my preceding scheme of city portrament, and likely to delayer Philadelpha from the control of contractors. In a short sketch of in pro isons Mr Peniose, U.S. Seastor from Penolystana, see diong a promisent leader in his party, remarks, "Monneyla government increases in efficiency in the exact rul on which it is droved from partial mental control of the property of the exact rul on which it is droved from partial to describe the property of the exact rule on which is described in the first on which described in the first of the property of the exact rule of the property of the exact rule of the property of the exact rule of the property o

the distinguished historian) wrote to me in 1888. They are still applicable:
"In existing social conditions it would be difficult

to conceive of a large community of which it would appear more safe to predicate judicious self-government than ours. Nowhere is there to be found a more general diffusion of property or a higher average standard of comfort and intelligence, nowhere so large a proportion of landowners bearing the burden of direct taxation and personally interested in the wise and honest expenditure of the public revenue. In these respects it is almost an ideal community in which to work out practical results from democratic theories. The failure is not

results from democratic theories. The failure is not attributable to manhood suffrage, for in my reform labours I have found that the most dangerous enemies of reform have not been the ignorant and poor, but men of wealth, of high social position and character, who had nothing personally to gain from political corruption, but showed themselves as unfitted to exercise the suffrage as the lowest proletariat, by allowing their partisanship to enlist them in the support of candidates notoriously bad who happened by control of party machinery to obtain the 'regular' nominations.

"The spirit of party blinds many, while still more are governed by the mental inertia which renders independent

"The spirit of party blinds many, while still more are governed by the mental inertia which renders independent thought the most laborous of tasks, and the selfish indolence which shrinks from interrupting the daily routine of avocations. In a constituency so enormous the most prolonged and strenuous effort is required to oppose the ponderous and complicated machinery of party organization, which is always in the hands of professional politicians who obtain control over it by a process of natural selection, and are thus perfectly fitted for the work. Recalcitrants are raw militia who take the field with overwhelming odds against them both in numbers and discipline. Even though they may gain an occasional victory their enthusiasm exhausts itself, while the 'regular' is always on duty and knows,

with Philip the Second, that time and he can overcome any other two '

Among the consequences of municipal misgovernment two stand out conspicuous. The progressive and philanthropic spirit, now active in America, has been demanding an extension of the functions of city authorities. Better provision is needed for the health of the masses, for their comfort, for their delectation by music and by art exhibitions, for a still further extension of public parks and all sorts of city amenities. The so-called "public utilities," such as street railroads, gas, and electric lighting, might be taken out of the hands of grasping private companies, who are in league with the Rings, and be run more cheaply or made to yield a revenue for city purposes. But there is an obvious objection Can the Machine politicians who control the cities be trusted with functions they are sure to abuse? Must not municipal reform precede attempts at municipal socialism?

socialism."

The other palpable consequence of the recurring palpable scandals in city government has been to lower the standard of political morality. Sins frequent and patent which go unpunished cease to excite reprobation. The "boodling alderman," and the aspiring young lawyer who, coming from a pious home, succumbs to temptation and becomes a "grafter," are familiar figures on the American stage and arouse more amusement than blamm. Since nobody expects virtue in a city politican, nobody is disappointed when he fails to show it, and many live down to the level expected from them.

down to the level expected from them

The warning which the phenomena of American
cities teach is essentially the same everywhere. The
so-called "good citizens" are scarcely less responsible
thin the bad citizens for the maladministration and
corruption of which they complain A democratic
frame of government assumes, and must assume, that
at least a majority of the ruling people will know and
discharge their duty The richer and larger a community the more will birds of prey flock to it. But

118

PT. II

though vigilance is all the more needed, experience shows that the larger the community, the more apt is the citizen to neglect his duties, because there are so many others equally bound to discharge them. The habit of letting base politicians make their gains out of the cities was formed before people realized how great those gains might become. With indolence there went a good-natured tolerance, commoner in America than in Europe, which perpetuates the evils it endures. Thus was city democracy turned into a sordid city oligarchy.

Another reflection is suggested by the history of these

cities. Without asking what Democracy meant to those who founded it in Athens, to Pericles who guided or to Aristotle who described it there, or to Rousseau whose theories gave it a new birth in the modern world, let us consider what a City meant to the inhabitants of an Italian or German town in the Middle Ages, or to those of an English borough in the seventeenth, or those of an American borough in the first half-century of the United States. It meant a community organized for common aims by men who had a long experience of rights they claimed and duties they were expected to discharge, a community held together not only by traditions but also by a sort of social cement, one in which, even after the trade guilds had become obsolete, men had a personal knowledge of one another, where the humbler classes respected the prominent figures to whom leadership belonged, sometimes by wealth, sometimes by in-telligence and superior talents and education, or by the eminence which office, worthily discharged, secures. In such a community men had grounds for trusting one another. Workmen knew their employers, and employers felt some responsibility for their workmen. The churches put the rich and the poor in some sort of touch with one another, and helped to create a sense of human fellowship. Those were real Communities, because men had something tangible in common. When citizens had to choose a man for an office, they had grounds for preferring A to B or C. Merit (or the

semblance of it) told there was a record behind the candidate from which the likelihood of performance could be conjectured

But what is a modern American city? A huge space of ground covered with houses, two or three square miles appropriated by the richer sort, fifteen or twenty, stretching out into suburbs, filled with the dwellings of the poorer More than hilf of these lower strata had lately come from their far off Old World homes, leaving lately come from their far off Old World homes, leaving their former social ties behind them, and having not yet formed new ties in the strange land whose language many among them could not speak, and of whose institutions they knew nothing. They were not members of a Community, but an aggregation of human atoms, like grains of desert sand which the wind sweeps lither and thither. They got work, but they knew nothing of the man they worked for probably he was the manager of a great corporate company. They began to read the newspapers, but the only part of the news that they could follow was the record of crimes and accidents with which the meaner newspapers are filled Naturalization made American citizens of them, and they were pleased, for it seemed to improve their position But when election day came, and their fellow-workmen who had lived longer in the city told them they could vote, they did

longer in the city told them they could vote, they did not know for what to vote, or indeed what voting means, any more than they had done in Lithuania

Not long, however, are they left thus unguided. The ward politican appears, tells the newly fledged citizen to join his party, enrols him, takes him to the poll, gives him a ticket, shows him how to mark his abllot paper. He casts his vote accordingly, and it counts for as much as does that of the best instructed among his fellow-voters. Having no other advice, no interest in good government, or in anything except protection from the consequences of any breach of law he might, perhaps unwittingly, commit, knowing nothing of the candidates whose names are on the ticket, he takes such advice as is proffered, that of the Party. He is

120

now part of the "voting stock" by means of which Tammany or some other such organization fills the city offices, counting this stock by many thousands. The facts being what they are, and human nature being what it is in the wily party manager and in the passive voter, could any other result have been expected than that which the American cities present? Democracy cannot be fairly judged under such conditions. Yet the voters were the People. Statesmen continued to flatter them, and to repeat that the People can do no wrong. Carlyle would have observed that Nature takes her revenge on those who live by shams.

What lessons are to be drawn from these scandalsthe thefts from the city treasury, the jobbing of contracts, the sale of public franchises, the malign influence of those whom President Roosevelt used to call "male-factors of great wealth," the granting of immunity, for payment, to law-breakers, the complicity of the police with one of the most odious classes of criminals, and all the evils of fraud or violence that were needed to perpetuate the rule of Rings and Bosses?

They teach nothing that was not known before, though never before on so grand a scale.

A mass of ignorant voters, untrained in self-govern-ment, becomes the natural prey of unscrupulous leaders. A government controlled by those who have no

interest in economy will not be economical. It was said by them of old time, "No taxation without representation." Here was representation without taxation.

Where men practically irresponsible dominate those

where hen practically tresponsible commate those nominally responsible, responsibility disappears.

The members of a self-governing community need to have some social bonds of union, and if the men whom talent and charffer mark out for leadership stand aloof, their plantione filled by the less worthy.

se men had sc s for preferi

CHAPTER XLIV

PUBLIC OPINION

THERE is no better test of the excellence of a Popular Government than the strength of public opinion as a ruling power I have sought to explain (see Chapter XV ante) wherein its rule differs, and differs for the better, from that of a numerical majority acting by votes only In the United States, though votings are more frequent than in any other country, yet Public Opinion is, more fully than elsewhere, the ruling power The founders of the Republic expected from the average citizen a keener sense of his duty to vote wisely than he has shown, but in the function of giving, by his opinion, a general direction to public policy he has done well. The doctrine of Popular Sovereignty and the structure of the Government made it specially necessary that he should respond to the call made upon him of giving such direction, because the functions of government are divided and parcelled out between its several organs There are many checks and balances Where each organ is watched and restrained by others, where terms of office are short, and changes in the persons who administer are consequently frequent, the watchfulness and directive control of the citizens are essential in order to keep the complicated machinery working and to guide each of its parts to a common aim citizen must feel his constant responsibility, both to form an opinion and to make it known between the periods at which he delivers it by an electoral vote

Though this duty is not perfectly discharged, public opinion is on the whole more alert, more vigilant, and more generally active through every class and section of the nation than in any other great State. The Frame of Government has by its very complication served to stimulate the body of the people to observe, to think, and to express themselves on public questions.

To explain why this is so, and what are the wholesome results it has produced, let us note some features of public opinion as determined by the character of the national mind.

Not even in the United States are politics the first

thing in the citizen's thoughts. His own business, his domestic life, his individual tastes, come first, yet more here than elsewhere does one discover a people more nere than essentire does one discover a people seriously interested in public affairs. Nobody says, as men so often say in France, Germany, and Italy, "I never trouble myself about politics." Current events are constantly discussed among the ordinary rural folk, and though the country newspaper is chiefly filled by farming topics and "local happenings," still the affairs of the nation figure somewhere in the landscape of nearly every native American. It is, moreover, the good fortune of the country to possess a real national opinion as well as an ardent national patriotism; that is to say, there exists on most political topics a certain agreement which rises above and softens down the differences between the various sections or types of view. In some countries-France for instance-those of opinion can, as regards domestic issues, be discerned. It is usually antagonisms that are conspicuous. But in the United States, vast as the country is, there are many matters on which the great majority seem to be of one mind all the way from one ocean to the other. During the first two years of the late war there were diversities of attitude and feeling between the North Atlantic States and the South and the Middle West and the Far West, easily explicable by the fact that the first-named were

in much closer touch with Europe and felt themselves more affected by what was passing there But America's entrance into the conflict effaced these diversities The same wave of feeling, sweeping over the whole continent, brought its sections into full accord Considering how dissimilar are the conditions of economic and social life in the East, in the South, and in the West, this similarity of opinion is remarkable. It is qualified only by the feeling, still strong in the South, that, whatever rappens, the coloured men must not be allowed to regain any considerable voting power Racial diversities may be found everywhere, for one third of the inhabitants were born abroad or of foreign parents, but such diversities affect but slightly the opinion of the nation, because the most recent immigrants have neither the education nor the experience needed to enable them to influence others. while those who have been born and bred in the country have already become substantially American in their interests and ways of thought. Though in some cities masses of Slavs or Italians remain unabsorbed, the only large minorities which retain an attachment to the country of their origin sufficient to have political importance are a section of the Germans and a section of the Irish It is, however, only in so far as questions of foreign relations are affected that these two elements stand out of the general stream of opinion The solvent and assimilative forces of education, of companionship, of all the things that make up social environment, are stronger in America than in any other country Religious differences also count for very little. In some few matters Roman Catholics may be influenced by respect for the head of their Church, and they usually support the demand of their clergy for grants to denominational schools But there is nothing resembling that strength of ecclesiastical sentiment which used to affect the political attitude of many Nonconformists and many members of the Established Church in England, much less any manifestations of the bitterness which France arrays in hostile camps the Roman Catholics

PT. 11

and the anti-clerical or the non-Christian part of the population.

Class distinctions have during the last hundred years become in Continental Europe the forces which chiefly split and rend a people into antagonistic sections of opinion. This tendency has increased with the spread of the revolutionary school which preaches the so-called "class war" of the "proletariate" against the "bourgeois." It is only within the last three decades that this doctrine, brought from Europe by German and Russo-Jewish immigrants, has been making way, and what support it receives comes almost wholly from the still unassimilated part of the immigrant population. America had been theretofore exempt from class antagonisms, because opinion had been divided, not horizontally along the strata of less or greater wealth, but vertically, so that each view, each political tenet, was common to men in every social class. The employer and his workmen, the merchant and his clerks, were not led by their men, the interchant and his cierks, were not led by their different social positions to think differently on politics any more than they would think differently on religion. They have been Republicans or Democrats for reasons unconnected with pecuniary means or station in life, neither of these two parties having any permanent affinity either with the richer or with the poorer, though

in 1896.1 This fact suggests another reflection. In many of the largest and gravest questions, public opinion does not move on party lines. This is partly because the tenets, or at least the professions, of the opposite parties sometimes come very near to one another. A famous journalist observed to me in 1908: "Our two parties are like two bothes, both empty, but bearing different

from time to time one or other might, in some parts of the country, enlist the support of the moneyed class on a particular party issue, like that of Free Silver

This statement is not inconsistent with the fact that in the Eastern cities most of the sich belong to one party, and that in the former Slave States nearly all of that class belong to the other, but in the latter case this predominance is due not to economic reasons but to recollections of the Reconstruction period after the Civil War.

labels." He spoke truly, for though there were strong currents of opinion discernible, none was flowing in a party channel. One observes in America that men accustomed to support their party by their votes, frequently disapprove both its acts and its promises. Thus the power and cohesiveness of party does not prevent the existence of a common sentiment in the bulk of the nation, often more united than the vehemence of party language leads foreigners to suppose. There are, in fact, only two fairly well-defined types of class opinion. One is that of the small financial class, including the heads of great industrial concerns, the other that of the advanced Socialist party, largely under the influence of European syndicalistic or even anarchistic ideas. Among the rest there are no sharp and permanent oppositions of political tenets or of social sympathies.

'Political opinion is better instructed than in Continental Europe, because a knowledge of the institutions of the country and their working is more generally diffused here than there through the rank and file of the native population. This is munly due to the practice of local self-government and to the publicity given by the newspapers to all that passes in the political field. Something may be attributed to the active part in public affairs that has always been played by members of the legal profession, and even more, in recent times, to the influence of college teaching. The number of men who have graduated in some place of higher in struction is probably ten times as large (in proportion to population) as in any part of Continental Europe, and much more than twice as large as in Great Britain These men have done much to leaven the voting mass Most of them have not received what Europeans would call a complete university education, and the so called literary or humanistic studies have been often

¹ Social sm has made less progress among the Labour Unions than it has among the working men of European countries. Some of the chiefs of the American Unions are definitely opposed to it, and occasionally denounce doctrines of a revolutionary tendency.

neglected. But they have been led into the realms of thought, and their horizons have been widened. They are often the leaders in reform movements, with higher ideas of good citzenship than the average business man used to possess, and they are less inclined to a blind support of their party. One of the most suppnificant and most hopeful features of American life has been the increase during the last forty years of the number and the influence of the universities, and of the extent to which their alumni, business men as well as lawyers, teachers, and clergymen, make themselves felt in the higher forms of political activity.¹

Mat, then, of the Press, which is in all modern countries the chief factor in forming as well as in diffusing opinion? This is not the place to describe its general features, nor to inquire how far it deserves the censures which many Europeans, repelled by the faults of the worst newspapers, have unfairly bestowed upon it as a whole. These faults are due not to democracy, but to the social and economic conditions of the lower strata in city populations, conditions that produce in all countries results generally similar, but more marked here, because nowhere are there so many newspapers which find their circulation in that vast reading mass which is chiefly interested in records of crime and of events in the field of sport.

The press, including many weekly and some monthly magazines which handle political questions, is a chief agent in forming opinion by letting everybody know what everybody else is saying or is supposed to be thinking. This tells on the minds of undecided or unreflective people. Having neither the time nor the knowledge to think for themselves they feel safe in thinking with the majority. In this sense the press makes opinion more effectively here than in any other

¹ Complaints are sometimes hear! that the Universities are too much controlled by the boards of trustees drawn from the business world and occasionally inolderar of opunions that publishes but whether floorations of there may be for these complaints so deas regards the academical staff, the services sendered to the political lafe of the nation are condens.

country, because the habit of reading is more general, and prominent men, though less given than are the English to writing letters to the newspapers, are more wont to confide their views to an interviewer. The papers have their defects. The reporting of even the best speeches is full and exact only in a very few of the best journals, the rest confining themselves to abridgments which often miss the really important points. As everything is done in haste, the truth of facts fares ill, but in the general result the whole opinion of the country is mirrored more completely than anywhere in Europe. It is the statements of events and of the opinions of public men that tell. They would tell even more but for the inaccuracies frequent in papers of the second rank and rarely They would tell even more but for the traccuracies frequent in papers of the second rank and rarely corrected, yet here, as elsewhere, these do not prevent the average man from assuming that what he sees in print is likely to be true. Editorial articles count for less than in England or France few people swear by their favourite paper, as many still do in England, and the names of editors and of writers of leading articles are scarcely known to the public. Hardly more than six or seven men have, during the last thirty years, become finisher and personally influential figures in the world of political journalism, great as is the literary talent which many have displayed. Thus the profession does not offer that opening to a public career which it has often done in France and sometimes in England, though the proprietor of a widely circulated paper or group of papers may become a political figure. England, though the proprietor of a widely circulated paper or group of papers may become a political figure, and even sen's high office by bringing himself before the public. Scarcely ever has a leading statesman controlled, as in France, a newspaper which habitually pushed his views or urged his personal claims, so it may be assumed that this form of advocacy or advertisement would prove unprofitable. Press hostility directed against a statesman, not by mere abuse, which seldom tells, but by persistently recalling errors he has committed, or (more rarely) by inventing and repeating gross calumnies, can injure his prospects more than praise, however lavish, can improve them. Men have been "boomed" into popularity and power more frequently in England than in America. Does this argue the presence of more discernment in the public?

Partisanship also, i.e. the indiscriminating support of a political party, is rather less marked in American than a political party, is rather less marked in American than in European journals, the former holding a more independent attitude, and bestowing their censures on one or other party with reference less to their professed political principles than to their action at any particular time or their attitude on any particular issue. This increases their weight with thoughtful readers, and has a wholesome influence on party chiefs, who know they must expect criticism even from the organs to while they usually look for support. To be wounded in the house of your friends, though a painful, is sometimes a profitable experience. profitable experience.

Though the Press as a whole is at least as important a factor in the working of government as it is anywhere else in the world, no single paper is as powerful as some have been in England, in France, in Italy, in Australia, and in Argentina. This is due to the size of the country. The range of a journal which can be read in the forenoon of its issue is confined to some few hundreds. of miles, and though the utterances of the very best papers are widely read and largely quoted much further off, or may have their views telegraphed all over the Union, they have no great hold on a distant public. The ascendancy of any wealthy proprietor or group of proprietors influencing a large proportion of the voters by impressing on them, day after day and week after week, one set of views and the same one-sided statement of facts or alleged facts, is a danger only in the sphere of foreign relations. In that sphere plausible falsehoods and persistently malignant misrepresentation of the character and purposes of another people may do infinite mischief. One form of such misrepresentation is to pick out and reprint any unfriendly utterances of miles, and though the utterances of the very best that appear in the newspapers, perhaps contemptible and without influence, of the country which it is desired to mure

The exposure and denunciation of municipal misgovernment and corruption is among the greatest services which the American Press—including some services which the American Fress—including some religious and other non political weeklies—performs. We have seen how largely these evils sprang from the ignorance or apathy of the respectable classes," who constantly need to be awakened from their torpor, and driven to support the too scanty band of cive reformers. European observers, offended by the ex cesses to which the passion for publicity can run in the United States, sometimes fail to realise how many or helps to cure Whether its faults, which were thought to have been aggravated with the upspringing of some papers of a low type in the end of last century, have tended to decrease in later years is a question which nave tended to decrease in later years is a question which some judicious observers answer by saying that the best papers have grown better and the worst papers worse. On several great occasions, and notably during the course of the recent War, the Press rendered conspicuous services to the nation as an exponent of instructed and thoughtful opinion

Since it was on the Average Man and his civic virtue that the founders of the Republic relied for the working of its institutions, it is well to consider that generalized being, taking a sort of composite photograph from many individuals, and inquiring how far his power of forming a sound opinion has justified the confidence reposed in him As the characteristic type of the Average Man, take the native American landowning farmer in the Northern and especially in the Middle Western and North-Western States, where he is seen at his best for in New England he has been largely replaced by the new immigrant not yet thoroughly Americanized With the farmer one may couple the storekeeper or artisan of those smaller towns which have a sort of rural

colour. These two classes, and particularly the former. colour. These two classes, and particularly the former, are specifically American products, the like of whom one finds nowhere else, independent and fairly well educated. Though sometimes querulous, as are agriculturally, accustomed to complain of the weather, they would, but for their resentment at the exploitation they suffer at the hands of financial interests, be as nearly satisfied with their lot as man is ever likely to be.

to be.

The normal member of these classes has a great pride in his country and a sense of his own duty to it. He follows the course of national and State politics, not assiduously, but with fair intelligence and attention, usually voting at elections, though apt to leave political work to be done by the party organization. He is overprone to vote the party ticket, whatever names are put on it, and needs to be made to feel his own interest affected before he will join in a reforming movement. Shrewd, and critical of the motives and character of politicians, he is rather less suspicious than is the English or French peasant, because he has confidence in his own shrewdress, is socially the equal of the politicians, and quite as well instructed as most of them. But his horizon is limited. His thought, like his daily work, moves in a small circle; his imagination falls to work, moves in a small circle; his imagination fails to grasp conditions unlike those of his own life. Thus he is not well qualified to form a judgment on the larger questions of policy. Working hard to secure decent comfort for his family, he does not understand the value of special knowledge, thinks one man as good as another for official work, refuses to pay salaries to a judge or an administrator twice or thrice as large as his own net income. Not versed in economic principles, and seldom fitted by education to comprehend them when stated, he may fall a prey to plausible fallacies, and be captured by vague promises to redress grievances of which he feels the pinch.

But if he be no good judge of measures, he is no bad judge of men. Here his shrewdness helps him: here work, moves in a small circle; his imagination fails to

131

his respect for honesty and courage comes in When he recognizes in any public man uprightness, firmness, and a sincere desire to serve the public, he is ready to trust and to follow, rarely withdrawing a confidence once given A strong State Governor or Mayor who fights the politicians of the Legislature in the public interest, speaking clerify to the plain people, and above the suspicion of selfish motives, can count upon his vote, even against the party organization. It was by the confidence of average men of this type that Abraham Lincoln was carried to the Presidency, and that Governor Hughes of New York was enabled to bend to his will the party machine that had been ruling that great State. These men who till the land they own are solid and intelligent, one of the great assets of the republic.

Of some qualities which the American people as a whole show in their political life little need be said, because it is hard to determine how far these are due to democratic habits, how far to national character, i e to the original English character as modified by physical and economic conditions in a new country, as well as (in a lesser degree) by admixture with other races Still, as we are considering how American democracy works, it may be observed that they are an impressionable people, among whom excitement rises suddenly and spreads fast, quickened by the contagion of numbers Communication is so easy and swift over the Continent that the same impulse seems to possess every one at the same moment, as if all were assembled, like the Athenians, in one huge public meeting It is then that the cunningly devised divisions of power and other constitutional checks are found serviceable for at such moments opinion is apt to be intolerant of opposition, and may even resort to extralegal methods of suppressing it. But this seldom happens In ordinary times that tyranny of the majority which

I As to the and as to that tendency to acquience in the overmantering power of a large major ty which I have ventured to call the Fatal on of the Multitude see The American Common call b vol. chaps INEXEV and INEXEV

Tocqueville described and feared as an evil inherent in democracies no longer exists. Independence of mind is respected. Even cranks are borne with, nor does any country produce a richer crop. Americans are, moreover, a kindly and in normal times an indulgent people.1 This was seen half a century ago when after the Civil War an unprecedented clemency was extended towards those who were then talked of as rebels. Still less are they, as most Europeans suppose, a materialistic people. The race for wealth, not really greater than in Western Europe, is a passion rather for success in making than for pleasure in enjoying a fortune. Nowhere is money so freely given to any charitable or other public purpose. Nowhere, except perhaps in Italy and France, are intellectual attainments so widely honoured. These two last-named characteristics may be credited to Democracy, which has here instilled a sense of a rich man's duty to return to the community a large part of what individual energy has won, and which respects achievements that reflect credit upon the nation and give it a pride in itself. Both sentiments flourish wherever, as here, class antagonisms are overborne by the sense of

a higher common national life.

In saying that Public Opinion is the real ruler of America, I mean that there exists a judgment and sentiment of the whole nation which is imperfectly expressed through its representative legislatures, is not to be measured by an analysis of votes cast at elections, is not easily gathered from the most diligent study of the press, but is nevertheless a real force, impalpable as the wind, yet a force which all are trying to discover and nearly all to obey. As Andrew Marvell wrote:

There is on earth a yet diviner thing, Veiled though it be, than Parliament or King.

³ The interact of opposition occasionally above during and just after the Great War was perhate no greater that might have been expected in any contript in the circumstances. In ad these were so exceptional that it would be hardly fair to judge the people generally by such an inculent as the expulsion from a State Legisla use of certain members whose Juves had roused hostisty.

In and through it, not necessarily at any single given moment, but in the long-run, irrespective of temporary gusts of passion, the conscience and judgment of the people assert themselves, overruling the selfishness of sections and the vehemence of party. Illustrations of its controlling power are supplied by the progress of the various reform movements I must now describe, beginning by a short account of the most noteworthy changes which have passed upon American public sentiment during the last fifty years that have elapsed since I had first the opportunity of studying the country

The Civil War (1861–1865) was a turning-point in the history of opinion, because for the twenty years that preceded it the growing gravity of the Slavery conflict had distracted men's minds from those constitutional and administrative questions which were not directly related to that issue After 1865, and still more after 1897, when Federal troops were finally withdrawn from the South, the people were set free to think of many domestic topics that had been neglected. It is a testimony to the vitality of the nation that opinion is always changing not merely because new questions emerge, but because the national mind has been constantly, and is now increasingly, active Few of of the parties. They began, like most American move-ments, from a small group, or several small groups, of thinkers who saw the evils and sought a cure. Wheresoever they started, they usually found support in both parties, because the evils were felt to be real. The professional party politicians, high and low, at first discountenanced them, fearing for party solidarity. Various was their fate Sometimes, like the seed that refuling the places, they withered away, because the public feeling they tried to appeal to was hard ground, and failed to respond. Sometimes, slowly pervading one party, they captured it, and their doctrines passed into its orthodoxy. Sometimes they caused a schism and

PT. II

created a new party, which did its work in affecting the views of both the older parties, and then subsided, its

134

adherents returning to their former allegiance without abjuring their tenets. These phenomena, which may be traced far back in the annals of America, illustrate the tendency of its party organizations to become ossified

when left to themselves. They need to be shaken up and have new life breathed into them by the independent thought of individuals or groups. They

exist for Offices rather than for Principles. If the party system had exerted the same power over minds as it did over offices, it would long ago have ruined the country. Among the changes and tendencies characteristic of the democratic spirit in America, none has been better worth studying than the dying down of the old tendency to aggression abroad. The sentiment which

favours peace and respects the rights of neighbouring States has grown slowly but steadily. It is true that there have been two wars within the last twenty-two years. That against Spain might probably have been avoided, for with a little more patience Spain could have been forced to retire from Cuba, the long-continued misgovernment of which had roused American sympathy, but the war, though it brought about the annexation of the Philippines, had not been prompted by the lust for conquest. A significant evidence of disinterestedness was given when the United States abstained from annexing Cuba, and again when, having been subsequently obliged to despatch troops thither to restore order, those troops were soon withdrawn. From 1911 onwards the disturbed condition of Mexico, where American citizens were frequently injured, suggested armed occupation, to be probably followed by the acquisition either of the northern provinces or of the whole country. But the temptation was resisted. A financial protectorate has been established over the so-called "republics" of Haiti and San Domingo, whose disorders seemed to call for a benevolent intervention,

but there are no signs of any wish to take over the general government of communities, one of which is no better than a piece of savage Africa placed in the Caribbean Sea¹ The old talk about forcing or tempting Canada into the Union has ceased to be heard, and the relations between the two peoples, dwelling peaceably along an undefended frontier of three thousand miles, are more cordial than ever before Of the unselfish motives which brought America into the Great War to defend what she held to be a righteous cause, there is no need to speak The immense army which she raised and the prowess which her soldiers and sailors showed have fostered among the people no militaristic spirit, no desire for the conquest of new dominions²

When he turns to the domestic sphere, the observer discerns two tendencies that may seem, but are not really, divergent. One is the disposition to leave the Southern States alone to deal with the difficulties which the presence of a large negro population creates. The Fifteenth Amendment to the Constitution, intended to secure equal electoral rights to the negro, has been successfully evaded by the whites of the South, yet the proposals made thirty years ago to restore those rights by Federal action have been quietly dropped But while in this matter Federal intervention was disapproved, the powers of the National Government were simultaneously growing in other directions, and the rights reserved to the States by the Constitution have been correspondingly narrowed. Decisions of the Supreme Court have extended, and Federal legisla tion by Congress has made more effective, the powers exercisable over railways and commerce. Public senti

¹ Some measure of financial control has also been assumed over N caragua and fordures.

² Upon the changed att tude of the US to world quest one the recent book of Professor Max Farrand The Det lopmen of he Un ed Sae and upon the relations of the US to Great Br tain and Cainda the book of Professor Dunning e it led Cen u y of Frace may be usefully consulted.

136

Acts for the regulation of child labour, which the Supreme Court held invalid because invading a province clearly reserved to the States. An Amendment to the Constitution (the Sixteenth) has authorized Congress to levy an income-tax, another (the Seventeenth) has changed the mode of electing the Senate, and more recently (1919) the world has been startled by an Amendment (the Eighteenth) prohibiting the production and sale of intoxicating liquors over the whole Union, this having been hitherto a matter which seemed, on the old constitutional lines, to be altogether within the sphere of the States.1 So, too, an Amendment extending the electoral suffrage to women over the whole Union was carried in 1920, a change which, whatever its merits or demerits, deprives the States of what the framers of the Constitution held to be an essential principle of the Federal system. This apparently light-hearted readiness to alter a

treated as matters for serious discussion, indicate a decline in that veneration for the time-honoured Constitution which had ruled the minds of preceding generations. The three first-named amendments were carried by large majorities, neither party organization opposing. The United States has felt, quite as fully as any European country, the influence of that philanthropic impulse which has stirred the more advanced peoples of the world within the nineteenth century, growing stronger with the years as they pass.

Fundamental Instrument which had, save for the three Civil War Amendments, stood unchanged from 1804 till 1912, and the proposal of other amendments now

The legislation which that impulse has prompted seems to be the result of three converging forces— the sentiment of human equality which creates and 1 Experienced observers declare that this amendment which was enacted by Congress

and the requisite number of State Legislatures would unquestionably have been carried if submitted to a popular vote. Its success is ascribed partly to the dis' ke for the "sa'cons," as owned and run by powerful meorpotated companes, but is also deemed to be largely due to the belief that it would not only dimunish crime and poverty but would increase the productive power of the nation. Both these results are said to have shown themselves within the last few months.

accompanies democratic government, a keener sympathy with human suffering, and a fear among the educated classes that if they do not promote laws securing better conditions of life to the masses, the latter will attain those conditions for themselves by an over hasty use of their votes, or, failing legal methods, by violence For more than half a century American public opinion, warmly philanthropic in the more advanced and best warmty philanthropic in the more advanced and best educated parts of the country, has caused the enactment of many measures for bettering the health, comfort, and education of the poorer classes, and improving in every way the conditions of labour As these things have to be effected by laws, and laws have to be administered by public authorities, reformers invoke the State, while the Labour organizations, desiring to throw more and more into its hands, advocate the to throw more and more into its hands, advocate the nationalization of some great industries. The old doctrines of individual self-help and laisez faire have been thrown overboard, and the spirit of paternalism waxes strong. So far as respects regulation of conduct and the protection of the worker, the State has already become a significant factor, though it does not police the citizen as in Germany, nor undertake the direct management of industries after the manner of Australia. and New Zealand 1 All this has been the doing, not of the parties, but of a public opinion at work in both parties, which aims at amending institutions, because it is hoped to obtain from them when amended certain social and economic benefits which the people desire The machinery is to be repaired in order to

secure a larger output

Though often described as socialistic, this movement
has had its source in a sense of human brotherhood
seeking to mitigate the inequalities of fortune, rather
than in any Collectivistic theories imported from Germany
by the disciples of Marx The professedly Socialist
parties of America count some native Americans among

As to the movement in N Dakota see p 148 post. There is no great tendency to wards nat onal sat on of industries except in the advanced sections of the Social at party.

their leaders, but find most of their support in the recent immigrants from Europe, and they grow slowly. One of them runs candidates in national elections, but its vote has hitherto been small.1

More important, and more directly operative in politics, are three streams of opinion so intimately connected each with the others that they must be considered together. These are: (1) hatred of the Money Power, and especially of those large incorporated companies and monopolistic combinations through which wealth chiefly acts; (2) disgust at the workings of the party Machine, and the methods of nomination by which it distributes offices to its adherents; (3) anger at the corruption and maladministration which have prevailed in the great cities. These three sources of evil are linked in the minds of public-spirited and energetic citizens as three heads of the hydra which must be shorn off together if the monster is to be destroyed. The great corporations have used the party Machine to get what they want. The party Machine is seen at its worst in the cities, and draws from their bad conditions most of its illicit gains, so to kill the Machine would be both to reclaim the cities and to cripple the power of money in politics.2 Three voices of discontent or aspiration were heard : Free the people from the voke of the Money Power and the monopolies; Free the voters from the tyranny of the Machine; Free the masses from the depressing conditions of their life. How were these objects to be attained? By the People itself, that is, by its direct action in law-making. Legis-latures have been tried, and failed, for they have been

Anarchism and Syndicalism are of course also at work here and there, and labout disputes have led to some murders and to much violence, especially in the mining districts, where there are large masses of new immigrants. But both the volume of industrial

unrest and the strength of extremst sections are less than in France, Italy, or England.

Speaking of the action of the money power, ex-President W. H. Taft said. "Not all was brought about by direct corruption, but much was effected through more insidious influence, and by furnishing the funds that political exigencies in important electoral contests called for. The time was, and we all know it, when in many of the autetorates of the great corporations of the country, orders for the delivery of delegates in a convention and of members of the legislature for purposes of corporate control were issued with the same feeling of confidence in their fulfilment as an order for the purchase of machinery or the enlargement of the pay-roll " (The Signs of the Times, address before Electrical Manufactuters' Club, November 6, 1913, pp. 11-12).

corrupted by the money power and controlled by the Machine. Let us invoke the People to set things right. Thus there arose a wave of democratic sentiment which

swept over the country, prompted by the sense of practical grievances, but drawing strength also from that doctrine of Popular Sovereignty to which the multitude respond now as they did in the days of Jeffer-

son, and again in those of Andrew Jackson.

CHAPTER XLV

RECENT REFORMING MOVEMENTS

EFFORTS TO REFORM THE PRIMARIES

The changes which this reforming spirit seeks to effect in the structure and working of the government (National, State, and Municipal) may be classed under four heads :

 Reforms in the working of party organizations. Reforms in the modes of appointing officials. Reforms in the structure of city governments.

Transfer of legislative power from representative assemblies to the citizens voting at the polls.

The second and third of these are closely connected with and largely dependent on the first, which may be briefly described as the reform of the system of party organization by breaking the power of the Machine and restoring to the people at large that right of choosing candidates which the Machine had wrested from them.

Its history is instructive. It will be remembered that the scheme of party or will be remembered that the scheme of party organization was based on the Primary meeting of all members of a political party within a given electoral area for the purpose of (a) selecting party candidates (b) nahing delegates to sit in a party convention, and (c) applointing a Committee to take charge of local party work. This scheme, sound in principle, for it was alrecognition of the right of the members of a party nod only to formulate their own policy, rejecting the dictation of leaders, but also to settle beforehand who should be their candidate, rested on three postulates:

All good citizens will attend their Primary

When met in their Primary they will honestly try to find the best candidates, te those trustworthy men who are most likely to win the election Capable and trustworthy men will be willing to be-

come candidates if chosen by the other members of the party

The second and third postulates seem to follow naturally from the first. If the members of the party as a whole attend the Primary, the sense of public duty which brings them there will make them take pains to which orings them there will make them take pains to select trustworthy men, and will dispose such men to accept the candidacy tendered. There may be mixed motives, as everywhere, but since the aim of the majority will be to secure a good choice, the meeting will go right

None of the conditions which theory postulated had been in fact fulfilled Comparatively few members attended, while some who would have attended were excluded because too independent did not truly represent the party whet, opposition, if any, to the names put forward by the Committee was over-borne by its henchmen, and often committee was a partisan Chairman who ruled questions of order against them Accordingly in the cities and wherever there was a pretty dense population dominated by a Ring, the choice of candidates, delegates, and Com mittee men was dictated by the Ring. The reform needed, therefore, was to eliminate fraud in making up the party roll, and force as well as fraud in the conduct of business at the Primary This was sought by the novel and drastic method of turning what had been a (private) party Meeting into a (public) Election (by polling) at which the citizens should be entitled to vote (a) for the selection of party candidates, (b) for the selection of delegates to a party Convention, (c) for 142

selfish oligarchy.

PT. 11

the members of the local party Committee. All this has now been done in practically every State, though with an endless variety of details in the provisions of the various State laws. Rules are laid down for the making up of the roll of members of a party, for the conduct and modes of voting at the Direct Primary election (as it is now called), for the prevention of bribery, fraud, and violence, in fact for all the matters that have to be prescribed as respects the regular public elections to a legislature or any public office. This legal recognition of Party as a public political institution, this application of statutory regulation to what had theretofore been purely voluntary and extra-legal associations of citizens, strikes Europeans as a surprising new departure in politics. American reformers, however, had been so pointes. American resonances, however, had been so long accustomed to regard their parties as great political forces, national institutions which for good or for ill ruled the course of politics, that they jumped at any method of overthrowing a corrupt system, and were not in the mood to be arrested by anything savouring

The movement, which began in the last decade of the nineteenth century, ran like wildfire from State to State over the Union, for much as the professional politicians disliked it, they found it hard to resist what upon the face of it was meant to enlarge the freedom of the ordinary citizen. Some States, however, went the ordinary outen. Some states, however, went further than others, applying a Primary Election to candidacies for all State offices, including those of Governor and Senator, and allowing the voter, in a Presidential year, to indicate his preference for a particular party man who aspires to be selected, in the nominating National Convention of his party, as its

candidate for the Presidency. Some States recognize what they call "unofficial Primaries," and some allow Conventions to retain nominating functions which

of constitutional pedantry. Nothing weaker than the arm of the law seemed to them capable of democratizing that nominating machinery which had been worked by a

CH XLV OPEN AND CLOSED PRIMARIES 141

others transfer to Direct Primaries 1 The most import ant difference between these State laws is that between the Open and the Closed Primary In the former kind of election citizens belonging to any political party are admitted to vote together for any of the persons put forward to be chosen as candidates, so that a Democrat may vote for a Republican, or a Republican for a Democrat, though it is sometimes provided that all votes cast for any person shall be counted for him only as a candidate of the party upon whose ticket his name is written. The power to vote irrespective of party may seem in so far good that it enables members of one party to 'give a lift to able men or moderate men who belong to the other, but it might doubtless be turned to less worthy uses The Closed Primary permits the enrolled members of a party to vote only for persons who belong to their own party, and this is sometimes secured by requiring each party ticket to be of a distinctive colour, so that no Republican can use a

Democratic ticket, his vote being rejected if he does Some State laws require every voter to declare himself to belong to a particular party before he can vote, some go so far as to make him pledge himself to support that party at the election next following with a view to which the Primary is held The persons whose names are on the ballot paper have of course been nominated as the law directs, ether by their respective party organizations or by a prescribed number of citizens through a petition, this latter giving a chance to independent candidates. The whole process is hedged round by an elaborate 1 The general use of Direct Primaries has not destroyed Conventions. These

must secure 35 per cent of the votes cast If no one rece we that percentage the cho ce goes ove to a Convent on Nom nat ons for State and D str ct judge are made at Convent ons

cont due to be he d for the pu pose of adopt ng a p atfo m and se ceting members of the State Comm tter and n some States they choose delegates to the Nat ona! (Pres dent al) Convent on Somet mes moreover they are used for securing party agreement upon the persons to be voted for at the legally provided D ect Pr manes for the select on o cand dates s noc the party vote a need gu dance as to how they shall vote thereat Thus a th d or prel m nary vot ng 5 added
In some p a es cand dates for Congress for State offices and fo local offices are nom nated n D rect Pr mar es subje t to the requirement that to succeed a cand date

code of rules often so complicated as to invite quibblings and evasions, opening doors to controversy and litigation. The Direct Primary is, constitutionally regarded, a

large addition to the electoral machinery of the country. throwing upon it a new function the practice of which had become too formidable to be left as a custom unregulated by law. It prefixes to the election for office a preliminary secret election by which the electors determine who are to be the party candidates for or against whom they are subsequently going to vote, i.e. they vote to decide for whom they are going to vote subsequently. An elector enabled to vote for any person, no matter by whom proposed, whose name appears on the list of candidates for nomination, is set free from one of his former difficulties, that of finding himself obliged to choose between two sets of men whom he probably equally distrusts, the candidates of his own party, whom its Organization has forced upon him, and the candidates of the other party, presumably no better. But the other old difficulty remains. How is he to know when he comes to vote at the Direct Primary which of the men on the tickets are, and which are not, capable and trustworthy? Unless the office to be filled is an important one, like that of Senator or Governor, he may know nothing of the names on a ticket.1 He needs to be informed and advised. Who so fit, or at any rate so ready, to advise him as the Organization of his party? It knows everything about everybody. It has put on the ticket the names of those upon whom it wishes the candidacy to fall. Accordingly, while the educated "good citizen" who gives constant attention to public affairs has more independence than under the old system of packed Primaries, the average members of the party-and they are the vast majority-4 On the official ballot for the Primary Election of one of the parties in New York

County a March 1912, in the 15th Assembly District, there were 157 names of persons proposed to be voted for as the persons to be nominated as party candidates for delegates and alternates to the State Convention, for members of the State and County Committees, of the Congressional District Committee, of the Fifth Municipal Court District Committee and for delegates and alternates to the National Convention.

CH XLV OBJECTIONS TO THE PRIMARY 145

will still be inclined to follow the lead the Organization gives Thus the new Direct Primaries have not killedperhaps not even crippled-the Machine, though they have given it a great deal of trouble, compelling it to add the worry of a preliminary campaign and preliminary polling for nominations for office to the pre existing campaign and polling at the election to office, and obliging it to devise new contrivances for hoodwinking and roping in the voters Some one has remarked, "A new set of reforms will always be needed so soon as the professional politicians have learnt how to get round the last set" It is not, however, the Machine only that is worried Although the official expenses of a Direct Primary are a charge (like those of the elections to offices) on the public treasury, the other expenses which a man desiring to be selected as candidate must incur, and the labour of the campaign he must oratorically conduct if he aspires to such an office as a Senatorship, are practically doubled 1 He must create a special campaign organization for the Primary elections and must travel over the State recommending himself to the electors of his own party as the fittest man to be their standard-bearer in the fight. If he wins, a second campaign against the candidates of the other parties awaits him 2

Which is the best form of the Direct Primary and how much good its introduction has effected are questions, much debated in the United States, on which it may be still too soon to pronounce a final judgment. The power of the Machines in the cities has not been overthrown, and it may be feared that the professional politicians are discovering how to circumvent the new laws and regain all the power which these have

VOL II

¹ A Pr mary Campa gn n W sconsus some years ago cost the cand dates more than \$800,000 (about £160,000).

and also deaded the prompt Campagn as contest not between part en but between to Though the Prompty Campagn as contest not between the the even of the contest of the part is for office when the same party; a dress happen that the vews of the cond of personal controvers when, so there may be a ser a a amount of post call as 1 as a force which a same are contested which are created feath within the party and reveals a 6 as donce which is made the most of by approach when the part is content at the office delete on

tried to wrest from them.1 For European readers the details just given have little interest, but they point two morals for Europeans as well as for Americans. The enactment of such laws witnesses to the influence which the zeal of a few earnest reformers, well served by the press, can exert upon a public which has begun to feel that something is wrong. Yet on the other hand the remedy adopted seems almost a counsel of despair, for it is an admission that the bright illusions of those early days, when it was believed that good citizens would bestir themselves to find good candidates and elect fit men, have been so belied by events that when the faults of a bad system have been long tolerated it becomes scarcely possible for the action of individual citizens, honest, but busy with other things, to effect a cure. That must be expected not from them but only at the hands of the law.

Why is this so? Wherein lies this extraordinary strength of the party Machine which enables it, like one of the giant climbing plants of a Brazilian forest, to grasp so tightly the tree which it encoils that it has

grown to be strong as that tree itself?

The American party Organization has four roots, each of which has struck deep, and from these it draws its sustenance.

One is the Spoils system, which supplies what may be called the fuel for stoking the furnace.

The second is the existence of opportunities for

illicit gain which attach to the position of a legislator in a State or a city, and to many city official posts.

"Some Bosses are wondering why they feared the 1 Professor Mernam says Direct Primary law, some reformers are wondering why they favoured it." (Quoted by Pres dent Goodnow, Municipal Government, p 147)
On the other hand, the late Professor Jesse Macy (of Grannell, lows), suther of

some admirably thoughtful and impartial treatises on politics wrote to me in 1919 that," the (new) primary elections have been accompanied by a lessening of party spirit. Except where the parties are substantially equal they call forth more effort and arouse more interest than do the contests between the parties which follow Voters become accurated to enturing of their own party by members of the party. Another upon nominations of the railynoids and "Big Business" generally, but has not given upon nominations of the railynoids and "Big Business" generally, but has not given the States any better officials than they had before

The third is the multiplicity of elections, so confusing to the ordinary man that he needs to be told for whom, among a large number of names on the ticket, he is to cast his vote, and involving such a mass of organizing work that a large body of active workers, directed by superior officers, is needed to keep the party going and give it a chance of winning elections and rewarding its adherents with offices.

The fourth, itself partly due to the immense number of elections, has been the habit of voting at all elections the ticket of one or other of the National parties, whatever the local issues, a habit the more remarkable because few of the really significant issues coincide with the lines which divide the parties. To the rank and file party allegiance became a sort of religion, but one consisting in external observances rather than in feeling

REFORMS IN THE METHOD OF CHOOSING STATE OFFICIALS

A capital fault of the electoral system has been the practice of requiring the citizens to vote at the same time for an enormous number of elective posts, Federal, State, and Municipal, the names of the candidates for all of these being on the same ballot paper, with the inevitable result that the voters, unable to judge between the fit and the unfit, were obliged to vote as the party Organizations bade them. The remedy of placing these two latter elections at a different time from the Federal 1 is open to the objection that the calling the citizens too often to the polls leads to abstentions. For State elections another expedient is available. It is to reduce the number of elective posts, transferring all but the most important of these to the nomination of the State Governor. To give to the voters the election of a State Secretary of State, who may in some States be little more than a head clerk, or of a Surveyor-General or State

¹ These, however are on y (a) of the Pres dential electors (b) of representatives in Congress and (c) of a Senstor

148

Printer, or State Superintendent of public instruction, is

PT. II

merely to hand over these posts as spoils to the party Machine, which puts on its ticket the men it selects for them. Better leave these offices to the appointment of the Governor, who will be responsible to the opinion of the people for the exercise of the function.1 The nominal power of the citizens when they have to mark a ballotpaper containing many names, only two or three of whom they know, acquires some reality when officials, whom the Governor can use as a sort of Cabinet, are appointed by him, for he is the one prominent figure whose action the public can watch, and who can be judged by the quality of the men he chooses as well as by the sort of work he does. This so-called "Short Ballot" movement, applicable also to municipal elections, has made great progress of late years. It deserves support, for the more the voting paper is reduced by taking out of it offices whose occupants can be as well or better chosen in some other way, the more efficiently can the voter dis-

charge his functions. The discontent which seeks to remedy economic hardships by using the State to oust the action of companies held to be oppressing the people has recently been found in a remarkable new departure made by North Dakota. There recently arose among the farmers, who constitute the majority of the inhabitants of this vast but thinly peopled State, a movement embodied in an organization called the People's Non-Partisan League, which captured the legislature and the governorship, ousting the old parties, and entrusted to State authorities the management of those branches of work in which the farmers are most interested, such as the running of grain elevators and the handling of freight consigned

¹ One of the most prominent Governors of recent years, Governor (now Senator) 1 One of the most promuent Governors of recent years, Governors (sow Sential) plansan of Childrans, has observed. "The muses offices on a Slatt steak resource before the substantial plansant School by the people, because in the attrite of things the people cannot know the candidates or their quithre. With the attraction of the Electrate Coursel on one or more or the conspicuous offices the power with respect to these muses offices men much more extensively in the hands of the people." (I quote from a book amounted Swy of the California Legislaries of 1971, by Mr Franklin Hickborn, worth resting for its interesting elsent personal resources of the people." (I quote from a book amounted Swy of the California Legislaries of 1971, and of a State Invalidation).

to Eastern markets This experiment, prompted by a sense of grievances suffered—that, for instance, regarding the use of elevators was a very real one—is the boldest which any State has yet tried in the field of economic action. Europeans would call this State Socialism, but it is meant to be merely a practical attack on existing evils, and there is no sympathy, beyond that which one hind of discontent may have with another, between the Socialistic Communism of a theoretic European type and these landowning farmers who are thinking of their own direct interests. The movement has seemed to be spreading in the North Western States but it may not last

Want of space forbids me to describe with the fulness its significance might demand another notable improvement in State Government which consists in a reorganiza tion of the administrative departments, placing these under heads appointed by the Governor, making these heads into a sort of Cabinet (resembling the Presi dent's Cabinet in the National Government), which while discharging executive functions under his supervision can also act as his advisers on general policy They are appointed by him, so that he is responsible to the people for their conduct, and they serve for the to the people for their conduct, and they serve for the length of his term, but may be reappointed by his successor, as they will probably often be if they have 'made good' Each of them is also morally answerable to public opinion, because the scope of his work is clearly marked out This reform is, or will be, in many States, accompanied by the presentation of an annual Budget setting forth in a clear and orderly form the items of revenue and expenditure 1 Five or six States have already adopted schemes of this nature, and others are following in their wake The plan, while it reduces the undue number of popular e ections, and conduces to economy and efficiency, has the further merit of strengthening the foundations of the Federal

¹ Th s mp orement interesting as a further distration of the reforming spirit in the States had up t I 1919 been adopted in 39 States the preparation of the Budget being entrusted (in most of them) either to the Governor or to a Board of which he samember.

system by checking the tendency towards centralization, and by giving the State Governments a further hold on the people, stimulating their interest in honest non-partisan administration.³

For the Judiciary, though it is the branch of State government which most needs attention, the reform movement has not yet accomplished much. In some States terms of judicial service have been lengthened, larger salaries allotted to the judges of the higher courts, and efforts made to simplify procedure.² So in some States there have been attempts to "take the Judiciary out of politics" by announcing that candidates for the Bench are not being run by the parties or included in the party ticket. But the plan of choosing State judges for life, or long terms, and giving the choice to a responsible Governor instead of to popular election, makes little way against the inveterate suspicion which assumes the Bench so likely to be influenced by the "interests" that the people must needs retain and frequently exercise the power of direct choice. In retaining it, the people defeat their own wishes wherever a Ring rules, because since it is to the Ring that the judge looks for re-election, he is more its servant than if he sat for life either by election or by appointment.

REFORMS IN CITY GOVERNMENT

It was in the cities, and especially the larger cities, that the reforming spirit found the grossest evils and

* In some States such as New York the civil procedure in cases involving small frums has been simplified and cheapened so as to bring justice more within the reach

of the poor.

150

I of the reform in the greef State of Mhoon, Governor Lowden writes in his Menage of 1913; "The Civit Admirative Code immost to arrections on Gerenment, Under the reorganisation of more than 127 Boards, Communions, and independent species was effected. None departments with Catesware real power rested in eith head here taken the place of those bodier which were abouthed. . . The scheme more than justified the expections formed of efficiency and economy under it. The Governor is in daily contact with his administration in all it extend the state of the scheme of the contact with the scheme of t

the hardest tasks. Those evils sprang from two sources, the defective forms of city government and the power of the party system. The division of power and responsibility between an elected Mayor, elected municipal councils, and officials directly elected on the model of the State governments, offered abundant opportunities for peculation, corruption, and jobbery, offences it was hard to discover, and the blame for which it was even harder to fix. After many experiments, the view prevailed that simplicity was the best security the functions of councils were narrowed and their power reduced, while that of the Mayor was increased by entrusting appointments to him and giving him a general responsibility for the control of affairs. Along with this the pernicious practice of interfering by State statutes with municipal governments was checked and the principle of 'Home Rule for Cities' largely enforced. This concentration of power in a Mayor, tried in various forms, gave good results whenever the 'better element' among the voters could be worked up to rise out of their apathy and vote for a strong and honest man irrespective of party affiliations. of party affiliations 1

of party affiliations.
Before this improvement had spread widely another plan was invented, which the reformers seized upon and used to good purpose. First tried at Galveston in Texas, where a tidal wave had destroyed half the city and driven the citizens to extemporize some plan for rapid reconstruction, it worked so well as to excite general attention, and was adopted by a large number of cities both great and small. Under this plan the whole body of citizens elect a small body of persons, varying, in different cities, from three to nine, the most frequent number being five, as Commissioners to take charge of the chief branches of municipal administration, one branch being specially allotted to each. The terms of office vary from city to city, two or four years being the most frequent. Usually

¹ Cheago voted in Novembe 1919 to make to balot non part san 11 mun c pal elections

system by checking the tendency towards centralization, and by giving the State Governments a further hold on the people, stimulating their interest in honest non-partisan administration.²

For the Judiciary, though it is the branch of State government which most needs attention, the reform movement has not yet accomplished much. In some States terms of judicial service have been lengthened, larger salaries allotted to the judges of the higher courts, and efforts made to simplify procedure.2 So in some States there have been attempts to "take the Judiciary out of politics" by announcing that candidates for the Bench are not being run by the parties or included in the party ticket. But the plan of choosing State judges for life, or long terms, and giving the choice to a responsible Governor instead of to popular election, makes little way against the inveterate suspicion which assumes the Bench so likely to be influenced by the "interests" that the people must needs retain and frequently exercise the power of direct choice. In retaining it, the people defeat their own wishes wherever a Ring rules, because since it is to the Ring that the judge looks for re-election, he is more its servant than if he sat for life either by election or by appointment.

REFORMS IN CITY GOVERNMENT

It was in the cities, and especially the larger cities, that the reforming spirit found the grossest evils and

In some States such as New York the civil procedure in cases involving small sums has been sumplified and chespened so as to bring justice more within the reach of the poor.

ot the bo

^{1.} Of the reform in the great State of Illinois, Covernor Lowden written in Menaged 1939. "The Cavil Administrative Code amounted to a revolution in Government Under to reorganization of more than 125 Boards, Commissions, and independent agencies was effected. None departments with extractive real power vested in each bead have taken the place of those bodies which were abolished. The ethems some than pushfiesh the appearances formed of efficiency and economy under it. The Governor is in daily contact with his administration in all its activates. Under the contact with his administration in the contact with his administration of administrative contact with the administrative contact with the administration of the administrative contact with the

the hardest tasks. Those evils sprang from two sources, the defective forms of city government and the power of the party system. The division of power and responsibility between an elected Mayor, elected municipal councils, and officials directly, elected on the model of the State governments, offered abundant opportunities for peculation, corruption, and jobbery, offences it was hard to discover, and the blame for which it was even harder to fix. After many experiments, the view prevailed that simplicity was the best security the functions of councils were narrowed and their power reduced, while that of the Mayor was increased by entrusting appointments to him and giving him a general responsibility for the control of affairs. Along with this the pernicious practice of interfering by State statutes with municipal governments was checked and the principle of "Home Rule for Cities" largely enforced. This concentration of power in a Mayor, tried in various forms, gave good results whenever the 'better element' among the voters could be worked up to rise out of their apathy and vote for a strong and honest man irrespective of party affiliations!

Before this improvement had spread widely another

of party affiliations.

Before this improvement had spread widely another plan was invented, which the reformers seized upon and used to good purpose. First tried at Galveston in Texas, where a tidal wave had destroyed half the city and driven the citizens to extemporize some plan for rapid reconstruction, it worked so well as to excite general attention, and was adopted by a large number of cities both great and small. Under this plan the whole body of citizens elect a small body of persons, varying, in different cities, from three to mine, the most frequent number being five, as Commissioners to take charge of the chief branches of municipal administration, one branch being specially allotted to each. The terms of office vary from city to city, two or four years being the most frequent.

¹ Chicago voted in November 1919 to make its ballot non part san in mun e pal elections

152

one of the Commissioners (or Council) bears the title of Mayor, but his powers are much less wide than have been those of nearly every Mayor under the older scheme. The election works best when made by a general vote over the whole city and not by wards. Now and then there is a "freak election," but on the whole the there is a "freak election," but on the whole the men chosen are capable and honest. The principle of accountability yields its appropriate fruit, for the officials are made more fully responsible to the people than when they are subordinated to a city legislature, perhaps so numerous that it is difficult to fix blame on any members in particular. The ordinary administrative work is better done, especially when the Commissioner at the head of a department works it by experts whom he chooses, and the blame for jobs is more readily fixed on the person in whose department they occur. A new development of this form has been they occur. A new development of this form has been to appoint five directors of city affairs, taken from the prominent commercial men of the city, at small salaries, empowering them to engage and pay salaries larger than their own to business managers as heads of the city departments, or even to commit the whole administrative work ments, or even to commit the whole administrative work to a single highly paid "City Manager" under the control, in matters of policy, of the Commission, or other supreme elective authority, whatever name it may bear. This plan, being believed to save money and promote efficiency as well as to take the city offices out of politics, has found much favour and been widely adopted.¹ It is the latest word in municipal reform.

I have dwelt upon these details, some of which may have little interest for the European reader, because they indicate the active spirit of reform which has arisen in America, where for many years people had "let things slide," and also for the sake of showing how public opinion can effect reforms outside the parties and with no help from them, relying solely on the appeal

³ This new "City Manager" plan had in December 1919 been adopted by charter in 156 cities, and by ordinance or in a modified form to 59 others (Vaistnal Municipal Research for December 1919

CH XLV DIRECT POPULAR LEGISLATION 153

to reason and a sense of civic duty. These victories for good government were won in principle before legislatures began to carry them out by law.

DIRECT LEGISLATION BY THE PEOPLE

From the attempt to mend the party system I pass to a change of wider import for the world at large, a reform which cuts deep into the framework of representative government. The faults of nearly all State Legislatures, government The faults of nearly all State Legislatures, such as corruption, log rolling, the passing of laws at the instance of powerful corporations, and the "side tracking" by the intrigues of the liquor trade or other selfish interests of bills for effecting social and moral reforms, have long excited popular displeasure. The first remedy applied was the imposition of constitutional restrictions on the powers of the Legislature Sessions were shortened and made less frequent, while public opinion more and more encouraged Gover-nots to veto bad bills and to coerce the legislatures into passing those which the reformers demanded These modes of action proved insufficient, because con stitutional restrictions could be evaded However few or short the sessions might be, the legislatures found time to play their old tricks, for the members were no better, and the temptations offered to them in-creased with the wealth of the tempters and the value of the benefits they intrigued to secure. The more drastic method sought for was ultimately found by the bolder Western States in the supersession of legislatures by the direct action of the whole body of citizens when invited either to enact laws at the initiative of some among their own number, or vote on the acceptance or rejection of laws which the legislature has passed These methods are called the Initiative and the Referendum With them a third scheme has also been brought forward and adopted in some States This is the Recall of legislators, officials, and judges by a popular vote before the expiry of the term of office for which they were elected As this

last affects not merely the Legislative but also the Executive and Judicial departments of government, I reserve an account of it till the Initiative and Referendum have been dealt with.

The origin of the demand for Direct popular legisla-

tion is traceable to three sources.

First: A deep-rooted distrust of the State Legislatures as not truly representing and obeying the popular will, because they fail to pass bills which the people desire, and do pass bills which the people do not desire.

Secondly: Anger at and suspicion of the power of wealth, and especially of great incorporated companies which, by their influence over legislators, officials, and party organizations, are believed to oppress the people

and to enrich themselves at its expense.

Thirdly: A desire on the part of certain sections of option to carry certain particular measures which—so these sections believe—could be carried by popular vote more easily than by pressing them on the Legislatures. Instances have been the Single Tax Law and, in some States, anti-hquor laws.

Fourthly: A faith in the wisdom and righteousness of the People which expects from their direct action better work for the community than can be had from persons chosen to represent them. It is thought that a sort of mystical sanctity not susceptible of delegation dwells in the Whole People. Its sacramental quality is deemed to be weakened in an attempt to transmit it, as if it were a wire so imperfectly conductive that the electric current was lost in transmission.

The idea of direct popular legislation is of course not new. From the early days of the Republic, Constitutions were enacted by popular vote, and the practice of amending them by submitting amendments, proposed by a Convention or by the Legislature to a vote of the whole State, has never been intermitted. Such a submission was in effect a Referendum similar to that of Switzerland; and it existed before the Swiss Confederation had begun to refer to the people bills passed by

CH XLV INITIATIVE AND REFERENDUM 155

the Assembly 1 The two things that are new in American State practice are the provisions which allow private citizens to prepare and propose to the people, without the intervention of the legislature, a bill or an amendment to the State Constitution, and those which enable a prescribed number of private citizens to demand that an act passed by the legislature shall be submitted to the people for its approval or rejection. The former of these methods, the Initiative, was in the year 1919 in force in 19 States for laws and in 14 States for Constitutional Amendments, while the latter, the Refer endum, was in use in 21 States Most of the States exempt from the application of the Referendum any acts which the legislature may declare to be urgent, and this power was so often resorted to in Oregon that the Governor felt bound to check its abuse by vetoing some bills which contained an urgency clause not justified by the nature of the measure. The number of citizens who may submit an Initiative proposal varies in different States, ranging from 5 per demand a Referendum varies from 5 per cent to 15 per cent, and the number who may demand a Referendum varies from 5 per cent to 10 per cent There are States in which a fixed number is prescribed Many complaints have been made in some States regarding the methods employed to obtain signatures ² Associations, some political, some con-sisting of interests that conceive themselves to be threatened, spend much effort and large sums in hiring persons who go round pressing citizens to sign, often paying them at the rate of five cents (twopence halfpenny) and upwards for their names The average nanpenny and upwards for their names. The average cost of an Initiative petition in California is given as \$7500 (£1500). It is admitted that many sign on the mere request, some who sign adding that they mean to vote against the proposal when the time comes. A more serious evil has been here and there discovered

¹ As to the Referendum n Sw tzc land ce Chap XXIX n Vol 1 Its use there deserves to be compared with the Amer can prize ce

5 See Burnett Operation of the In 2 we R fe endum and Recall in O egon pp 64, 74

in the insertion of large numbers of forged or unreal signatures; and as an illegible signature is not held invalid, the temptation to resort to this form of fraud is obvious. "Log-colling" between the promoters of different proposals intended to be submitted at the same time is compton."

Little or no distinction is made in practice between the use of the Initiative in the form of an Amendment to the Constitution and in the form of the proposal of an ordinary law, so matters which properly belong to the category of Laws are constantly put into the form of Amendments, because this places them, if carried, out of the reach repeal or alteration by the legislature. The natural result is to fill the Constitution with all sorts of minor or even trivial provisions unsuited to what was originally meant to be a Fundamental Instrument.2 This process had, however, already gone so far as to have practically effaced the distinction between the two kinds of enactment. A graver abuse is that of trying to mislead the people by hiding away some important change, likely to excite opposition, among other proposals calculated to win support, while describing the amendment by the name of one of these latter. This trick has been attempted in Oregon. Many proposals made, and some adopted, are what Americans call "Freak Legislation," originating in the "fads" of small sections of the citizens, lightly accepted under the pressure of zealous advocates, and likely to be before long repealed. Moreover, the amendments and bills submitted are often so unskilfully amendments and bils submitted are often so unskilfully drawn as to be obscure or even self-contradictory. But in both these respects popular action is hardly worse than has been that of the legislatures, for the latter frequently pass freak bills, at the instance of some persistent group, metely to escape further worry, and many statutes have been/so loosely expressed as to keep the Courts busy in trying to give them a rational interpretation.

¹ Another unfortunate result of the exercise of the Referendum power has been the uncertainty produced as to the continuance from one year to another of an appropriation to a public pumples, such as a faste uncerently.

1 This has happer to in Switzerland also See Vol I Chap XXIX

57

For the guidance of the citizens summoned to vote on amendments or bills a pamphlet is in some States circulated by the State authorities containing the arguments adduced by promoters and opponents respect vely These documents have in Oregon, where they are published fifty-five days before the voting, run to a length so great as to deter all but the most conscientious citizens from studying them. They are generally well composed, though with occasional lapses from truth in the statement of facts. The more im portant propositions to be voted on are copiously yet one is told that only a small percentage attend the meetings or follow the discussions

The average citizen who goes unprepared to the poll often takes up his voting paper in doubt and great perplexity, so large is the number of issues presented. At the election of 1912 Oregon set no less than thirty before hun, in addition offices or seats in the Legislature Colorado and California have sometimes laid nearly as heavy a burden on their citizens How can any man, however able and on their citizens riow can any man, however able and earnest, think out and give an intelligent vote on half of issues so numerous, some of the Bills being intricate and technical, some relating to matters outside the range of his knowledge? The voter, if he does not modestly abstain, or in a fit of temper write No against every proposition, must be guided by what he has heard from some one else, perhaps no better informed The ballot he marks conveys no judgment that can be called his own But it was to elicit the judgment of each indi-vidual citizen that the plan of Direct Popular Legislation was devised

As to the practical results of the system, the evidence is conflicting. The only incontestable data are those furnished by the figures showing the number of

¹ Inc ud rg a x Const tut onal Amendments wh h had been p ooo od by the legs tature to be voted on by the people. In 1909 the vote s n the c ty of Po tland vot d on 35 measures at an election n which they chos a mayor and other run pal officers.

proposals submitted to the people, the total number of persons who vote, and the majorities for or against each proposition. Space fails me for these; but the general result may be briefly stated 1 The votes cast are usually much smaller than those cast at the same time for the State Governor or other chief officials to be elected at the same polls, and bear a still smaller proportion to the number of registered voters. In Colorado the percentage of voters on an Initiative has sunk as low as less than half of the largest number voting at the same time. In Oregon and California it is higher, but everywhere it indicates that the people take more interest in, or have a clearer view regarding, the choice of men than the enactment of laws.² The same holds good as to the Referendum, which in these States is less used than the Initiative, whereas in Switzerland the reverse is the case. Many proposals have been carried by a majority consisting of less than half the registered voters. Some complain of this as being anything but "majority rule," but others retort that those who fail to vote have only themselves to blame. Roughly speak-ing, the number of Initiative proposals rejected is slightly larger than that of those accepted, and the same holds true of the Referendum.3

The other arguments most frequently used against Direct Legislation, especially in Oregon, which has experimented more boldly than any other State, may be summed up as follows : 4

(a) Though advocated as a Reserve Power whereby

A case, however, recently occurred in which an amendment to the constitution of Michigan relating to the sale of intoxicants elicited a vote larger by 200,000 than

that east for the election of a Governor The size of the vote is, of course, usually proportioned to the interest the question evokes Oklahoma requires an Initiative proposal to be first sent to the Legislature, which, if it does not pass the measure so proposed, may prepare an argument against it which will then go to the advocates of the measure and be circulated along with the counter

arguments they adduce in farour of their proposal Organ would appear to have voted on as many Institute proposals between 1904

and 191 as had been submitted in al' the other States put together

It seems needless to discuss what is called the Local Referendam, as the taking of a vote of the people of a city of rural local area on a question affecting them only, such as the expenditure of local taxation on some local purpose. This is an old metitution, and usually works well, especially in rural areas

the people can keep the Legislature up to the mark, it has not in fact raised that body's tone or improved its

work, which is done as crudely and hastily as before

(b) Neither by Referendum nor Initiative has the malign power of the moneyed "Interests," and of the Bosses whom the Interests use, been expunged They have still many devices left for influencing the fate

(c) The Initiative produces many faulty laws, devoid of continuous policy or purpose, and sometimes, by un intentionally reversing previous Acts, they render the statute-book more obscure and confused than before

(d) The Initiative gives no opportunity for amending

a measure or arriving at a compromise upon it, it is "the Bill, the whole Bill, and nothing but the Bill' (e) An Initiative Constitutional Amendment, since

it expresses the direct will of the people, overrides all such restrictions, imposed on legislature power for the protection of the individual, as every Constitution contains, and thus enables the people to disregard in its haste principles it had deliberately adopted for the guidance of legislation

(f) There is no longer any responsibility for legislation fixed upon any person

Those who sign the petition merely ask that the people shall express its will Formerly, though it was sometimes hard to know whom in the Legislature to blame for a bad law, men looked to the Governor, whose duty it was to kill such a law by his veto But he has no veto on an Initiative proposal, nor on a Bill approved by the people in pursuance of a Referendum petition 1

One argument only, an argument formerly used by Swiss opponents of the Initiative, is never heard in Western America No one alleges that the people in judging of proposals laid before them by the Initiative lose the enlightenment that might have been derived from debates on it in the legislatures, for nobody,

¹ Sometimes it would seem, the friends of a Bil petition for a Referendum, in order, when they expect a avourable to e, to prevent the Governor from vectors, t.

except (as Mark Twain said) a person suffering from senile decay, reads those debates. The friends of the Initiative reply to these strictures

The friends of the Initiative reply to these strictures by insisting that it brings government nearer to the people; that it prevents the legislature from refusing to submit to the people reforms which a large section desire; that it takes legislation out of those committee rooms and purheus of the legislature where private interests intrigue with pliable members; that it gives measures a chance of being considered on their merits apart from the influence of political parties and their Bosses; that it is necessary in order to carry out schemes of social welfare; and that the opposition to popular legislation is led by selfish plutocrats who fear that business would suffer from those reforming schemes which the people would enact if they could give prompt and direct effect to their will. They point to the fact that no State which has once adopted the Initiative and Referendum has repealed either, or seems likely to do so. Such defects as have been revealed in working are, they affirm, due to inexperience, and will disappear as political education advances.

True it is that the people relish their power and are unlikely to relinquish it; nor can it be doubted that the habit of frequently voting on many kinds of questions does stimulate thought and strengthen a sense of civic responsibility, for though many vote heedlessly, and many more are unfit from want of knowledge to vote on most of the propositions submitted, there are enough left whose sharpened intelligence tends to permeate the mass and raise the level of political capacity. It is a noteworthy illustration of the trend of public feeling that in 1918 the Constitutional Convention of Massachusetts, after a very long and exhaustive discussion of the subject, accommended to the people the enactment of both Initiative and Referendum, though in a form less wide than that which the Western States have employed.

¹ Reported at full length (1962 closely printed pages) in vol. ii. of Proceed age of Metiachitetis Contributional Convention.

Nobody can think of Massichusetts as what Americans call a "Wild Cat State" Her Western sisters would rather describe her as a sedate old ribby, so her adhesion to this new idea is good evidence of the hold it has laid on the national mind

As in a later chapter the general ments of Direct Legislation by the People will be discussed on the basis both of Swiss and of American experience, a few brief observations may be enough to sum up the results as

visible in the United States

In those States which have used the Initiative most freely, many amendments and laws passed hive been clumsy and confused, raising difficulties of interpretation, and some enactments carried have been, so far as a stranger can judge, unnecessary or unwise

The character of the State legislatures has become neither worse nor better by the lessening of their powers It is alleged, though with what truth I do not venture to pronounce that the fear of the Referendum prevents many bad Bills from being passed Yet one also hears

that members still job when they can

Some measures which well deserved consideration and which the legislatures had failed to pass have been submitted by Initiative, and some jobs which the legislatures were likely to perpetrate have been prevented. The people have, considering the number and the intricacy of many of the questions submitted, shown more care and discrimination than was predicted by the opponents of the Initiative. They have rejected not a few extreme and ill considered proposals and, although less conservative than the Swiss, who use the Initiative less, they do not make it an instrument of revolution. Mistakes have been made, some of which, as shown by subsequent reversals, are recognized as mistakes, yet no State appears to have suffered permanent injury.

The application of the Initiative might be safeguarded by provisions excluding it from topics outside the knowledge or experience of the citizens at large, such as details of judicial procedure, and by forbidding

VOL II

more than a small number to be submitted at the same voting.¹ Moreover, the form in which proposals are put to the vote could be improved by previously submitting these to draftsmen qualified to bring them into an intelligible shape, free from the vagueness, confusion of thought, and obscurity of expression charged against them. It need hardly be said that the experience of American

States even so large as Ohio and Michigan, throws little light on the suitability to the great countries of Europe of either Initiative or Referendum.

Not less significant of the spirit which seeks to cure by the direct action of the people the misuse of delegated authority is the institution, new to modern politics,2 which is called the Recall. It extends that action from the legislative into the executive and judicial spheres, empowering the citizens to remove by popular vote, before the expiry of his term, a person who has been chosen to fill the post of a representative, of an administrative official, or of a judge, and thereupon to proceed to the election of another to fill the place from which the deposed occupant has by transgression fallen. The Oregon law-for there are differences between the laws of different States, though the general effect is similarprovides that where a prescribed percentage of citizens in any local elective area have signed a petition demanding a vote on the dismissal of an official, such a vote shall, unless the official promptly resigns, be forthwith taken. If the vote is taken and goes against him, a fresh vote is thereupon held for the election of his successor for the unexpired residue of his term. This procedure has during the last few years been applied in a good many cases, chiefly in cities for the displacement of a Mayor or some other administrative officer, very rarely to dis-

I The advocates of Direct Legislation, however, deprecate any such restriction, alleging that it would enable the opponents of measures proposed to be submitted to alleging that it wows ename the opposition of metapores proposed to assumitted to present than from bong veryed on by bringing forward a large number of trusts propositions which bould justle out those which they sought to decid ? Some of the drives regulates occasionally deposite their elected officials, and it was proposed during individual or of the first French Revolution to growde for terminating the mulaits of a delitted by those who had detected him.

place a member of a legislature It has in a few cases been abused, from motives of personal enmity But there have more frequently been grounds for a belief that the official impugned was perverting his functions for selfish ends, and the vote has in most of such cases ejected him. The arguments used against the Recall are obvious It will—so the opponents declare— create in officials a timorous and servile spirit Executive authority will be weakened, for every official will be at the mercy of any agitation started against him, possibly supported by groundless allegations in the press A Governor or Mayor will hesitate to deal firmly with a strike riot, lest labour leaders should threaten a proposal to depose him or he may be attacked in respect of some administrative decision which, though taken for the general good, displeases any section of the citizens. A courageous official striving to protect a city against the Interests is no less exposed to such charges than is the corrupt official whom the interests have captured, for the interests themselves may start a campaign against him Few will be strong enough to stand up against such tactics public spirited men will refuse to accept office, and reformers be less than ever disposed to enter political life. The experiment has not been tried long enough to enable these predictions to be tested. There have been instances in which the Recall has worked well, especially as against a corrupt Mayor, but the older and more cautious States have hitherto looked askance at it Massachusetts rejected it when she accepted the Initiative 1

So far of the Recall as applied to administrative officials and representatives A wider question is raised by its application to judges for this is advocated not only for the sake of ridding the community of a bad magistrate, but also for another reason peculiar to the United States

¹ When the peop of At zona app of to be aim tited to the Lin on as a Star Cong cas us sted that a zonor s on fo the recal of judges should be attract, out of the Const tut on To obtain aims on the people when tited and struck out the prove on but, after the S ate had been du y aim tited it was restored by an amendment to the Const tut on

164

Statutes passed by a State Legislature being inferior in authority both to the Constitution of the United States and to the State Constitution, may, if and so far as they transgress either of those instruments, be pronounced invalid by a Court of Law. This is the duty of the Court as the authorized interpreter of the laws which are alleged to be in conflict, and the views of the judges as to the intrinsic merits of the statute have nothing to do with the matter. Now it sometimes happens that when a Court, in a case raising the point, decides a State statute to be invalid because it transgresses the State Constitution, there is an angry outcry from those who procured its enactment, as, for instance, from farmers or hand-workers. Complaints arise that from namers of nand-workers. Companies arise inter-the judges are over-technical or old-fashioned, or that they are moved by class prejudice, or perhaps even that they have been "got at" by incorporated companies whose interests as employers would suffer from the statute.

It is partly a deficient respect for the judiciary in general, partly this resentment at decisions which cut down statutes popular with some section of the citizens, that have produced a demand for the power of dismissing a judge before the expiry of his term. Why, it is asked, hould not the people who have chosen the judge be ble to unmake him so soon as he has lost their confidence? The legal method of removing is by impeachment, but, apart from the uncertainty of a trial, you cannot impeach a man for having interpreted a law in a particular sense. Popular feeling calls for something prompter and more flexible, in order to secure that the judge shall be in harmony with that feeling. This demand, which in a few States derives strength from the belief that there are judges in office fit for nothing but to be turned out of office, has secured the embodiment in the constitutions of some Western States of amendments providing that a judge may, like any other official,

¹ In a few States a judge may, without impeachment, be removed by a vote of both houses of the Legislature, but only for improper conduct

be "recalled" by a popular vote taken upon a requisi tion signed by a prescribed number of voters in the area for which he has been elected. The plan has area for which he has been elected. The plan has evoked strong disapproval from the bulk of the legal profession, especially in the more conservative States All the arguments against Recall in general apply with special force to a method which would subject the Bench to popular caprice and prevent the best men from consenting to sit on it Such opposition led to a pro-posal put forward as an alternative compromise Instead of getting rid of the judge whose decision is disapproved, why not get rid of the decision by enabling the public through a vote to reverse the decision and declare that the law does not transgress the Constitution and shall accordingly be deemed valid ?2 As the people—so it is argued-have enacted the Constitution, why should not they be the best judges of what they meant by its terms? Such a Recall of Decisions would be a shorter and simpler process than that of amending the State Constitution, and would give effect to the purpose with which a statute was passed without dismissing the judges who delivered the decision, delivering it in good faith, but with minds warped by their professional love of technicality 3

So far of the State Courts Bold apostles of change desire to apply this device even to the Federal Courts, whose decisions have from time to time limited the operation of Acts of Congress, passed to gratify what was thought to be a popular demand, even when the constitutional power to pass them was more than doubt ful. At the election of 1896 certain radical politicians argued that the interpreting power of the Supreme Court should be reduced, and more recently it was proposed to amend the Federal Constitution by inserting a

¹ The Recall of all elect ve officers me ud ng judges as an force in 6 States that of such officers except judges in to

^{*} The Recall of dec s one has been adopted a Colorado only

³ The Courts may somet mes be unduly conservative in temper, but whatever may be said of a part cular Judge here and there, I know of no case in which a majority of the highest Court is any State have been improperly influenced in any decision on the constitutionality of a statute.

provision permitting the people to reverse interpretative decisions of that Court.

These proposed changes, both as respects the States, in some of which they have been effected, and as regards the National Government, in which they have been generally disapproved, are of far-reaching significance, for they affect the foundations of the Frame of Government. A Constitution is the expression of the settled and permanent will of the people, reached after full deliberation, and expressed in a carefully considered form. The true meaning of such an instrument is a matter of legal construction fit only for minds trained by learning and practice. To allow a majority of persons voting at the polls, by a vote taken hastily and possibly in an excited mood, to over-rule the interpreta-tion which these trained minds had given, would not only introduce confusion into the law, but also destroy the utility of constitutions.1 The legitimate authority and regular application of the Constitution, as a supreme law, would be gone, and questions involving both personal rights and rights of property, as guaranteed by the Nation and the States, would be placed at the mercy of chance majorities, who would think only of the particular case, not of the general principles involved.
Such a majority might, moreover, be a minority of the

secured" (Experiment in Conferences and the Essentials of the Constitution, p. 68)

Cf. also Supplement (by Mr. W. D. Guthrie) to the Report of a Committee of the New York State Bar Association (1913).

^{1 &}quot; How could uniformity of fundamental or any other kind of law be possible under such a system? Instead of a Constitution consistent in its construction and un form in its application, it would be a Government by special instances, a Government that in the end leads to despotism" (Ex-President Taft, Popular Government, p. 179).

Mr Root observes "The power exercised by the people under such an arrangement would be not judicial but legislative. Their action would be not a decision that the Court was wrong in finding a law unconstitutional, but the misking of a law valid which was invalid before because it was unconstitutional. . . The exercise of such a power would strike at the very foundation of our system of Government. It would be a reversion to the system of the ancient republics where the State was everything and the individual nothing except as a part of the State. When a judge's term has expired he is judged upon his general course of conduct while in office, and stands of falls upon that as a whole. But under the Recal he may be brought to the bar of public pudeption upon the readering of a particular decision which excite public interest, and be will be subject to punishment if that decision is unpopular. Judge will naturally be affined to render droppular decisions. They will does and deside cases which deviately as incentive to avoid condemnation themselves than to do justice to the bitgant or the

whole body of citizens, voters brought to the polls by the exertions of an eager section, while the bulk stayed away indifferent. Thus regarded, the Recall of Judicial Decisions might, if less dangerous to the Bench, be more dangerous to the general scheme of government than the Recall of Judges, and would virtually destroy what has been one of America's chief contributions to the art of orderly government.

This outline of the forms which efforts for the bettering of political conditions have been taking indi cates not only the present tendencies of democracy, but also the difficulties incident to movements of reform in an enormous country where organized and respon sible leadership may at any given moment be wanting Plans put forward are not always the fruit of mature reflection The remedies suggested are often crude, and may be as bad as the disease they are meant to cure Popular Initiative in legislation may seem needed where a legislature is corrupt, but it strikes a blow at representative government. The Recall of administra tive officials and judges are a confession that the direct election of officials works little better than the election of legislators has worked, so the critic asks why, if the people are heedless in exercising their power of choosing men for administrative and judicial work, should they be less heedless in exercising a power of dismissal The Direct Primary, from which much was hoped, has annoyed the professional politicians and driven them to new devices, but it has not, so far, sterilized the bacilli of the party Machine nor secured appreciably better nominations These schemes of reform deal rather with the symptoms of the malady than with its root in the indifference, or subservience to parts, of a large part of the voters To raise the standard of civic duty is a harder and longer task than to alter institutions

Nevertheless, every effort, even if imperfectly success ful, to improve machinery which has worked ill, is an evidence of healthy discontent. The present generation will not tolerate evils which the last generation bore

submissively. Fifty years ago administration was worse and politics more corrupt than they are to-day, but reformers were fewer and found far fewer listeners. To-day they are heard gladly, because the public conscience and the public sense of what America means for the world is more sensitive. Every fresh effort stimulates these feelings and keeps the need for improvement before the minds of those who lead. When I compare the volume of discussion of political, social, and economic subjects which issues from the American press to-day, descriptions for present evils, analyses of their sources, suggestions for their extinction, with the scanty consideration these matters formerly received, and with the spirit of lugubrious despondency that chilled the reformers of those days, I am astonished at the change, and welcome it as auguring well for future progress.

GENERAL REVIEW OF AMERICAN DEMOCRACY

We may now review and sum up the points in which defects have revealed themselves in the working of popular government in America, indicating the causes to which each of these defects is attributable and dwelling on some of the lessons which American experience provides for the instruction of other countries, lessons that may be profitable for a time which sees many old institutions thrown into the melting-pot, and sees many peoples trying to replace them by something better.

(1) State Legislatures do not enjoy the confidence of the people, as is shown by the restrictions imposed upon them, and by the transfer, in many States, of some of their powers to the citizens acting directly. Congress maintains a higher level, yet one below that to be expected in a nation proud of its institutions as a whole.

(2) The Civil Service (with the exception of the scientific branches of the National Government) is not yet equal to the tasks which the extension of the functions of covernment is impossible uncolded.

functions of government is imposing upon it.

(3) The State Jadiciary is, in the large majority of the

States, inferior in quality to the better part of the Bar that practises before it, and has in some few States ceased to be respected

(4) The administration of criminal justice is slow, uncertain, and in many States so ineffective that offenders

constantly escape punishment

(5) The laws are in some States so imperfectly enforced that the security for personal rights and to a less extent for property rights also, is inadequate

(6) The government of cities, and especially of the largest cities, has been incompetent, wasteful, and

corrupt

(7) Party Organizations, democratic in theory and in their outward form, have become selfish oligarchies worked by professional politicians

(8) The tone of public life and the sense that public service is an honourable public trust, though now rising,

are not yet what they should be in so great a nation

(9) The power of wealth, and particularly of great

incorporated companies, to influence both legislatures, and the choice of persons to sit in legislatures and on the judicial Bench has been formidable

(10) Though there are and always have been in public life some men of brilliant gifts, the number of such persons is less than might be expected in a country where talent abounds and the national issues before the

nation are profoundly important

To what cause shall we attribute each of these failures of democratic practice to attain the standard required by democratic theory? Has it lain in some misconception or misuse of democratic principles, or is it to be found in the emergence of unforeseen economic phenomena which have injured the working of institutions sound enough in principle, but not built to bear the new strain. After indicating in each case the proximate cause of the defects noted, we can enquire what relation such cause bears to the fundamental doctrines of Popular Government

(1) The want of respect for legislatures is due to

170

the quality of the men who fill them, few of whom are superior in knowledge and intelligence to the average of their fellow-citizens, and many of whom are (in some

States) below that average in point of character.

(2) The Civil Service was recruited without regard to competence, and the Spoils System not only disregarded fitness, but taught the official that his party

Organization had the first claim on his loyalty. (3) The mediocrity of most State Judges, and the

delinquencies of a few, are the natural result of popular elections, short terms of office, and low salaries. (4) The delays and uncertainties of criminal justice

are due partly to the weakness of the judges, partly to an antiquated and cumbrons procedure which provides endless opportunities for delay and technical quibblings. Why is not the procedure amended? Because, while nobody in particular has the duty of amending it, the selfish interest of petty legislative groups discourages reforms.

(5) State laws are ill-administered, partly because some of them, having been passed at the instance of a small but insistent section, are found hard to enforce; partly because elected officials (in cities and counties)

are slow to prosecute offenders who can influence their re-election; partly also because in many States there is no rural police force.

(6) The scandals of city government may be ascribed (a) to the voting power of masses of immigrants ignorant of the institutions of the country; (b) to the faulty frames of municipal government which so divided responsibility that it could not be definitely fixed on a few persons; (c) to the failure of the "respectable" taxpayers to select and support by their votes trustworthy candidates; (d) to the power of party

(7) Party Organizations, long neglected by the great bulk of the members of each party, fell into the hands of persons who hade personal gain out of them, and whose sins were ignored because the multiplicity of

elections created a heavy mass of work, and they performed it.

(8) The men of fine quality who entered politics were, after the first thirty years, too few to maintain a high tone, while the ordinary politicians were liable to be demoralized by machine methods and by the impunity which the negligence of a busy public accorded

to delinquents

(9) The power of wealth has been immense, because the benefits which rich men and corporations sought to buy from legislatures were worth a high price, because secret bargains could be easily made either with Bosses or with obscure legislators, and because these recipients of money or whatever else was offered were below the fear of social censure since they had no social position to lose. The bribe-givers sometimes thought and usually professed that they were "developing the resources of the country," an argument constantly on the lips of those who were impounding the resources for themselves.

(10) The comparative rarity of well stocked and thoroughly trained minds among politicians of the second rank—they are of course to be found in the front rank—is largely due to the attractions, greater here than in most parts of Europe, which other occupations offer In the professions, in the Universities, and in business there are careers, open and continuous, which claim the best capacities, whereas in politics party Organizations hold the door of entry and a promising career is livible

to be interrupted

Some of the causes I have indicated are the outcome
of phenomena with which democracy has nothing to do
A new land with immense sources of undeveloped wealth,
in creating opportunities for swiftly acquiring wealth,
creates temptations larger than the virtue of European
legislators has had to resist

The vast areas and scanty

¹ It is a proverb in the Far West that the man who is developing the country thinks that he may appropriate whatever is not screwed on, and that whatever is screwed on may be unscrewed

PT. 11

172

population of many Western States make the maintenance of law and order by an efficient police more difficult than it is in Europe. The flooding of cities by hosts of im-migrants imposes unusually heavy tasks upon municipal governments. Thus the defects that have been numbered (5), (6), (8), (9) and (10) are partly explicable by causes not political. So the portentous power of the party Organization owed its development to what may be called a historical and almost accidental cause, the absorption of men's minds in business during the years from 1830 to 1870 to an extent which made them neglect to notice weeds striking root so deeply that it became hard to rid the field of them. But the other defects are referable either to an undue confidence in the power of democratic principles to overcome the permanent weaknesses of human nature, or to the particular forms given to the institutions in which it was sought to apply those principles.

Take the doctrine of Equality in civil rights and political rights. It had to be asserted in 1776, and still more in France in 1789, as against the systems of privilege which then covered the world. But it was misconceived and misapplied when it induced the notion that any citizen was good enough for any public function, and when it refused deference and stinted honour to the occupants of high public posts. Thus the conception of public office as a public trust, worthy of respect because the people had committed to it a part of their power,

was suffered to decline. So the principle of the Sovereignty of the People was taken to require that the people should restrict as much as possible the functions of their legislatures, and should directly elect as many as possible of their officials. The application of this doctrine, along with the Equalitarian tendency already described, led directly to the popular election of judges and to the provisions (short terms and small salaries) which were intended to keep them in constant subservience to popular sentiment. The doctrine was further misapplied when taken to mean, not indeed by the founders of the Constitution, but by a later generation, that every human being has a natural and indefeasible right to share by his vote in the government of the country where he resides irrespective of his fitness to use that right to the advantage of the community. Hence the fond illusion that to confer a right is to confer therewith the capacity to exercise it. In politics it is not false principles that have done most harm. It is the miscon ception of principles in themselves sound, prompting their hasty application without regard to the facts of each particular case.

Against the defects noted in the working of the American Government let us set some of the points in which democracy has shown its strength and ritained a success the more remarkable because the Republic has been at times exposed to perils no one foresaw Though its material progress must be mainly ascribed to the immense natural resources of the country and the stimulus their development has applied to an energetic and inventive race, much of its present greatness remains to be credited to the ideas with which the people started and to which they have sought to remain faulful

Americans have been true to the principle of Liberty in its social as well as its political sense. The right of the individual man to lead his own life in his own way is better recognized now than ninety years ago when Tocqueville noted what he called the Tyranny of the Majority. Many regard the prohibition of intoxicating liquors as an infringement of these rights, but since the principle of protecting a man against his own propensities when these are injurious to the community also, is deemed legitimate if sufficient grounds for legal interference have been shown, the question comes in each case to be what grounds are sufficient, and how to balance the admitted discomfort to some individuals who need no protection against the admitted benefit to others who do need it. The Prohibition movement has not proceeded from any one class or section of the community. Neither party took it up, because both

feared to alienate a part of their supporters. It grew partly because employers thought it made for efficiency, partly perhaps because Southern men desired to stamp out the risks of intoxication which make the negro dangerous, but mainly because it appealed to the moral and religious sentiment of the plain people.

The love of peace and a respect for the rights of other nations have gone hand in hand with the love of liberty. Such aggressive tendencies as belonged to United States policy two generations ago have disappeared. The temptations to encroach upon Mexico have been resisted. No State possessed of gigantic power has shown in

recent years so luttle disposition to abuse it.

If a faith in the doctrines of political equality has been pushed too far in some directions, it has in others worked for good, preventing the growth of class distinctions and enmittes, and enjoining a respect for the lawful claims of every section in the community which gives to the nation a unity and solidarity of incomparable value. This was most conspicuously seen in the quickness with which the Northern and Southern States became reconciled when the first ten years of resettlement after the War of Secession had passed. To this solidarity has been due the stability of American institutions. No great State has suffered less, perhaps none so little, from the shocks of change. Almost the only revolutionaries are those who bring from Europe a butter fanaticism born of resentment at injuries suffered

there.

The risks arising from the presence of masses of immigrants, many of whom cannot speak or read English, and the majority of whom, possessing no experience of constitutional government, have not had time to acquire a knowledge of the institutions they are admitted to bear a share in working, cannot be discussed here, and it may not yet be possible to form positive conclusions on the subject. The argument used to defend the policy of extending the suffrage to them has been that since they are in the country, the sooner they

are made to feel themselves at home in it the better, for they might be more dangerous if left unenfranchised It is, however, to be remembered that, enfranchised or not, they are specially liable to be led astray by misrepresentations and demagogic incitements, and that the influence of native American opinion has not yet been able to play fully upon them. The danger, whatever it may be, to be apprehended from their voting power, will probably be slighter in the next generation, which will have been to some extent Americanized by the public excluded and they assumbly to influences.

schools and other assimilative influences To the peaceable fruits of democracy above described let us add the education in political thought and practice which democratic institutions have been giving Though the citizens have not rendered all the civic service which those institutions demand, the deficiency seems great only in proportion to the greatness of that demand If we test their fairness and good sense not by an ideal standard, but by what is seen in other free countries, we shall find that nowhere (except in Switzerland) is a sane, shrewd, tolerant type of political opinion so widely diffused through the whole native population. There have been more learned men in the great European countries. There have been in those countries. as many men who have thought and written wisely on political subjects. What is peculiar to America, and whit makes its political strength, is the practical good sense and discriminative insight of the native citizens taken in bulk, qualities which appear not so much in taken in bulk, quilities which appear not so much in their judgment of ideas or proposals—for they are, like other nations, liable to be fascinated by phrases or captured by fallaces—as in their judgment of men Nowhere does there exist so large a percentage who have an opinion, and can say why they have an opinion, regarding the ments of a question or of politicians. In listening to their talk one is struck by their shrewdness in 'sizing up'" (as they say) a statesman, and estimating his courage, honesty, and power of "getting there" To judge well of men is, in a democracy, more essential than to judge well of measures, for the latter requires more knowledge than can be expected from the average man, who must be mainly guided by his leaders. In no form of government therefore is the faculty to choose leaders wisely so much needed.

Some other conclusions, drawn from American experience, may be suggested as fit to be considered in other countries, especially in those States of the Old World which are now (1920) making their first essays in popular government.

It is not wise to overburden the people with functions to be frequently exercised. If too much is expected from them the results obtained are scantier than they would have been had less been demanded. Citizens required to vote incessantly between candidates of whom they know little or nothing, will end either by neglecting to vote or by blindly following the party lead. Few of those who are frequently summoned to the polls to deliver an opinion on a crowd of candidates as well as on matters submitted by Initiative or Referendum possess the knowledge to cast a well-considered vote or the leisure to acquire that knowledge. Votes so delivered do not truly express the opinion of a community.

The effective control of administration by the people is not necessarily secured by the direct election of officials, not even when elected for short terms. If seven officials have to be chosen for various administrative posts, the voters, unable from want of knowledge to select, will vote for those whom their party recommends. But if one head official is to be elected, and the selection of the other six who are to be his subordinates is left to him, with the power of dismissal if they fail to make to find, with the power of the state of the state of the state of the good, responsibility will attach to him. It will be his duty to find good men, and his own conduct in office will be judged by his selections and by their discharge of their functions. The people will, through their right to call him to account, exercise a more real power than if they chose all their officials by direct vote. The fixing of responsibility upon the agents of the people,

whether for administration or for legislation, is specially needed in a democracy. In a monarchy or an oligarchy there is little difficulty, for power is concentrated in few hands Such governments as those of France or Canada, framed on the British model and having grown up out of monarchies, throw responsibility on the Cabinet, a small body, which leads in legislation as well as administration. But in the United States power is so much divided between public authorities each in dependent of the others, that it is hard to find any to whom praise or blame can be definitely allotted except the President as respects the Union, and the State Governor as respects his State Each of these, moreover, is so restricted by Congress (or the State legislature) that it might be unfair to charge on either what was perhaps the fault of the legislators. Very often real perhaps the fault of the legislators Very often real authority dwells not with any official or body but with the party Organization which secretly, controls officials and legislatures Being a government outside the law, legally responsible to no one, and scarcely even morally responsible for those who control it, it may work in darkness and remain unknown except to a few behind the scenes But within the Organization, responsibility exists, for in that well-compacted oligarchy there are always some few fit to command the many who obev

The founders of the American Constitution feared to entrust huge powers to one hand, and in creating a President they imposed a check upon him, finding that check in the Senate They did well, for they could not foresee that a check and guide wiser and stronger than the Senate would ultimately grow up in the power of public opinion. In France there is still some dread of one strong magistrate, for the republic has seemed not yet absolutely secure, and public opinion is too deeply divided on some great issues to play the part it plays in America, where the Frame of Government stands 'firm as Allas Craug''. Opinion is in the United States so sure of its strength that it does not hesitate to

let the President exceed his constitutional rights in critical times. It was the same with the dictatorship in the earlier days of the Roman Republic and for a like reason.

Free peoples, like those of Switzerland, Canada, and

Australasia, do not need to be reminded of the value of traditions and of training in self-government, but those new States which are only now beginning their free constitutional life have still their traditions to make, and may profit by American experience, finding in it many things to imitate and some things to beware of. They can learn the importance of cultivating from the first those habits of strictly observing constitutional forms, and that respect for every legal right of every citizen and class of citizens which have built up for America, as for Switzerland, the principles that guard freedom and secure internal peace. These habits were formed in the field of local government before any national government was created, and in that field also the new States may profit by American and Swiss examples. Politics should not be allowed to become a source of private gain. The salaries paid to administrative officials must be sufficient to secure the abilities which each particular kind of work requires; and all officials, except the few at the top who must from time to time be chosen as chiefs to direct general policy, ought to stand apart from party politics and be neither chosen nor dismissed for their opinions, but required to serve the country and their departmental heads with equal loyalty whatever party may be in power. The neglect of this principle was a fertile source of mischief in America, and the recent disposition to respect it is becoming one of the best auguries for purity and good administration in the future.

All the democratic peoples may learn from America that no class in the community can with impunity with-

auguries for purity and good administration in the future.
All the democratic peoples may learn from America
that no class in the community can with impunity withdraw, from active participation in its political life. In
the United States the business and professional classes
did not indeed withdraw, for they voted with their
party and subscribed to its funds. But they did not

take the share that naturally belonged to them in the work either of political thinking or of legislation or of administration Not many entered the Legisla-

or or auministration. Not many entered the Legislatures, few were candidates for any but the highest posts, few gave their minds to the solution of the social and economic as well as political problems that were thickening on the country. This alsofness con tributed to bring about that degradation of politics, and especially of city politics, from which the country has now begun to recover A new spirit is happily now visible, such non partisan bodies as the Good Citizens' Clubs and Civic Federations, and on some occasions the

Bar Associations, the Chambers of Commerce, and the

University Clubs, have become potent agencies for reform, and for the promotion of social betterment in the interest of all classes alike There are clouds in the American sky to day, threatening labour troubles such as exist in other great industrial countries, and if I have not discussed them here, it is not from any failure to note them, but because they are in substance the same as those which vex the internal peace of European States These troubles are in the United States rather imported than of native growth Comparatively few of the extremist advocates of the General Strike and the Class War are of American birth, most of the votes which support them come from shreft, most of the votes which support their come from recent immigrants crowded into the great cities. America is better fitted than are European countries to face any industrial strife that may arise, for no other people, except the Swiss, values so highly its institutions and the principles of ordered liberty embodied therein. In America Democracy has been the best guarantee against

Revolution The history of the Republic furnishes an instructive example of the perpetual conflict between the forces of Idealism and the forces of Selfishness The first generaton set out with an idealistic faith in Liberty, in Equality, and in the Wisdom of the People The second and third generations, absorbed by the passion for the development

PT. II

180

of their country's resources and distracted by the struggle over negro slavery, allowed abuses and corruptions to grow up, left practical politics to be dominated by a selfconstituted oligarchy of professionals, and without losing their theoretical devotion to Liberty forgot that monarchs are not its only enemies, and that it may be threatened by money as well as by arms. Then in the fourth and fifth generations there came an awakening. The recuperative forces in the nation reasserted themselves. Both the old parties (so far as their Organizations went) failed to give the guidance needed, and there was much groping and stumbling in the search for remedies to cure the evils which all had begun to perceive. But the forces that were making for good have continued to gain strength. The old ideals of a government which shall be pure as well as popular, and shall unite the whole people in a disinterested patriotism that values national righteousness as well as national greatness, have again become beacon lights of inspiration. No Englishman who remembers American politics as they were half a century ago, and who, having lived in the United States, has formed an affection as well as an admiration for its people,-what Englishman who lives there can do otherwise ?-will fail to rejoice at the many signs that the sense of public duty has grown stronger, that the standards of public life are steadily rising, that democracy is more and more showing itself a force making for ordered progress, true to the principles

of Liberty and Equality from which it sprang.

AUSTRALIA

CHAPTER XLVI

AUSTRALIAN HISTORY AND FRAME OF GOVERNMENT

There is no such thing as a Typical Democracy, for in every country physical conditions and inherited institutions so affect the political development of a nation as to give its government a distinctive character. But if any country and its government were to be selected as showing the course which a self governing people pursues free from all external influences and little trammelled by in tellectual influences descending from the past, Australia would be that country. It is the newest of all the democracies. It is that which has travelled farthest and fastest along the road which leads to the unlimited rule of the multitude. In it, better than anywhere else, may be studied the tendencies that rule displays as it works itself out in practice.

A few preliminary words about the land and the people may make it easier to comprehend the political

phenomena we have to consider

The Australian continent, with 2,974,581 square miles (rather smaller than Europe), is a vast plain, enclosed on the east by a long range of mountains, nowhere reaching 7500 feet in height, with a few groups of hills in the south-west corner and others scattered here and there in the interior. This plain is so arid that parts of it seem likely to remain for ever a wilder ness. It is waterless, except in the south-east, where

10

eastern range pursue languid courses towards the southern sea, with currents that are in summer too shallow for navigation. The only well-settled districts are those which he in the hilly region along the east and south-east coasts. These districts were colonized from a few towns planted on the edge of the sea, the settlers spreading slowly inland and spreading also along the shore, until at last there came to be a practically continuous population along a line of some six hundred miles. This population is, however, still sparse in many regions, and the thickly peopled part of one state, West Australia, lies far away from all the others, its chief town communicating with the nearest city in them (Adelaide in South Australia) by a railway journey of forty-six hours, or a sea voyage of nearly three days, while another, Tasmama, occupies a separate island. Thus during its earlier years, when the character of each colony was being formed, each lived an isolated life, busied with its own local concerns, knowing little about the others, and knowing still less, until telegraphs were laid along the ocean bed, of the great world of Europe and America. Not only each colony, but the Australian people as a whole, grew up in isolation, having no civilized neighbour states except New Zealand, cut off by twelve hundred miles of stormy sea. Fortunate has it been for a land lying so far apart that Nature has furnished her with nearly everything needed to make a community self-sufficing. Want of moisture is the weak point of the country, for more than one-third of its whole area has less than ten

inches of rain in the year, and another third less than twenty. It is a common saying that in Australia a pur-chaser buys not the land but the water. Nevertheless, there is not only along the east and parts of the south coasts a vast area of cultivable soil, with sufficient rain, but in the drier parts of the interior immense tracts fit for sheep, which have thus become the greatest source of the country's wealth. The recent discovery of subterranean reservoirs of water which can be made available by artesian wells offers a prospect of extending the region fit for settlement The climate is temperate, except in the tropical north, and so healthy that the average death rate is folly ten per thousand. Its variety enables all sorts of products to be raised, sugar, cotton, and the fruits of the tropics in the hotter regions, wheat and other cereals in the more temperate Coal, found in all the States but two, abounds in several wide areas, and there are rich mines of silver, lead, and copper, besides those gold workings which drew a sudden rush of immigrants to Victoria in 1849. These resources, taken together, suffice to promise prosperity and comfort to its inhabitants. They now number about twe millions.

Those who have colonized this favoured land were well fitted to develop it Nearly all came from the British Isles—98 per cent is the figure usually given—and the proportion of the English, Scottish, Irish, and Welsh stocks is almost the same as that which these four elements bear to one another in the British Isles, the Scots and the Irish being slightly in excess of the other two, as both these are races of emigrative tendencies Similarly the proportion of Anglicans, Non conformists, and Roman Catholics differs little from that in the United Kingdom Nearly all belonged to the middle and upper sections of the working class, for the cost of a long voyage debarred the very poor, so that class was represented almost solely by the convicts, who in days now long past were transported to New South Wales, Tasmania, and Western Australia 1 The criminal strain thus introduced is deemed to have been now washed out, for there is, it would seem, a tendency for the average type to re-establish itself in the third genera-tion, not to add that in the old days offences now thought comparatively slight were punished by transportation In this sunny climate the British stock has wonderfully thriven The rural Australian is tall, lithe, and active

¹ The transportation of convicts ceased in New South Wales in 1840 in Tayman 4 in 1863 in Westein Australia in 1868.

PT. II native

Now that the great majority of the population is native born, one can begin to speak of an Australian bodily and finellal type, for though there are differences between the several colonies, the population is practically homogeneous, more homogeneous than that of France or Great Britain or the United States. Each settlement grew up separately, but under similar influences, and with a flow of population hither and thither, unchecked (save as regards West Australia) by natural barriers.

The influences that have moulded this type are due partly to climate, partly to the conditions of life and industry in the new country. The Australian is fundamentally an Englishman, differing less from the average Englishman in aspect, speech, and ideas than does the man of British stock either in Canada or in the United States. But the sunnier climate enables him to live more in the open air than does the Briton. He has preserved something of the adventurous spirit and easygoing ways of the bush settler. Poverty has not weighed him down, for in Australia a healthy man need never remain poor, so high are wages and so ample the opportunities for rising in the world. He is hopeful, confident, extremely proud of his country, which he thinks "the latest birth of Time." It is natural to compare him, as he compares himself, with the American. He has the same energy and resourcefulness, but takes life less hardly, does not exhaust himself by a continual strain, loves his amusements, thinks more of the present than of the future.

Of the five great races of Western and Central Europe the British has so far shown the greatest capacity for developing "sub-types" under new conditions. Until he is absorbed into the surrounding population, the German, the Frenchman, the Italian, the Russian remains in other lands substantially the same as he was at home. But the Englishman in the United States, in Upper Canada, and in Australasia, though retaining what may be called the bdny framework of his English character, has in each country undergone a sea-change when he

has crossed the ocean into new climes whose conditions have evoked latent qualities in his nature

The economic conditions of Australia have determined the occupations and distribution of the people, and these have in turn exerted an influence upon its political life

which we shall presently have to note

When settlement extended to the interior, the most obvious source of wealth was to be found in sheep raising, and immense tracts of land were taken up for this purpose. Sheep have not generally been profitable except on large runs, partly because in the dry areas a wide run is needed for even a moderate flock, partly also because the loss of stock in the occasional droughts is so heavy that only large owners possessing some capital can escape run, though latterly smaller runs have begun to be combined with the tillage of wheat fields. The great size of sheep runs checked the growth of small agricultural holdings and kept population low in these rural areas, because a pastoral estate needs few hands, except at shearing time, of which more anon. Moreover, as the land suitable for tillage was usually wooded some capital was needed to get rid of the forest before cultivation could begin. This retarded the growth of such comparatively small farms as prevail in the north western prairies of the United States and Canada.

For the same reason the country towns, centres of

For the same reason the country towns, centres of distribution for their neighbourhoods, also remained small. The vast quantities of wool and such other produce as was raised for export by the slow extension of timber cutting and of agricultural production gave plenty of employment to those who handled it at the ports, which were few, for nearly all the export trade of New South Wales centred at Sydney almost the only good harbour on the coast of that State (then a colony) while similarly most of the trade of Victoria centred at Melbourne. Thus these two cities grew to dimensions altogether disproportionate to the whole population of their respective colonies. The growth of Melbourne was further accelerated, first by the

discovery of gold not far from it, which drew a vast swarm of adventurers, and subsequently, after the gold fever had died down, by the adoption of a policy of protection for local manufactures by the Victorian legislature in order to secure employment at high wages for the workers of that colony. The only other considerable industry in Australia, at the time when gold production diminished, was coal-mining. It has collected a large population in a few districts, but has not led to the growth of manufactures on a great scale over the country, and the towns of the second order are still small. is, except in Tasmania with its considerable rainfall, practically no water power. Thus Australia shows a contrast between two very large and two somewhat smaller cities (Adelaide and Brisbane), which together include more than one-third (about 40 per cent) of the whole population of the continent, and vast thinlygrassed and sparsely-peopled rural areas, shading off into an arid wilderness. Population grows slowly, for immigration has received lukewarm encouragement, and the rate of natural increase is extremely low. Those small land-owning farmers, who are so valuable an element in Canada and the northern United States, are in Australia a slender though no doubt an increasing body. The middle class is the weaker through the want of this particular element; yet there are no great extremes of wealth and poverty. Poverty indeed there is none, for the wage-earning classes live so much more comfortably than do the like classes in France, Germany, or England, as to be up to what is there called a middle-class standard. Neither are there huge fortunes on the European or North American scale. A few of the ranchowners or "squatters," called "pastoralists," and still fewer of the leading business men, have amassed considerable wealth, but rarely does any one leave property exceeding £1,000,000. The fortunes of the rich are not sufficient either to sharpen the contrast between social extremes or to make possible those vast accumulations of capital which are in the United States denounced as a political danger Neither does wealth flaunt itself no stately mansions in the country no sumptious palaces in the cities, and as the wealth is all new, it has not had time enough to turn itself into rank. Nowhere can one find a stronger sentiment of equality, that antagonism between the wage earning and the employing class which the traveller feels in the atmosphere as soon as he lands in Australia, being economic rather than social, for the rich do not presume on their position and have never oppressed—they never had the chance of oppressing—their poorer neighbours

The Australians brought from England along with its other traditions a respect for law, so order was firmly enforced from the first days of each colony There was not, as in North America and South Africa, serious frontier warfare against natives, accustoming men to the use of firearms. The occasional brigandage of early days, known as bush ranging, has long been extinct, nor did lynch law ever come into use Political party organizations were not so fully developed in the old country, when the settlers left it, as they are now, but the settlers, though they belonged to a class which in the Britain of those days turnished few candidates for Parliament, possessed the average Englishman's interest in public affairs, with the habit of holding public meetings and forming associations for every sort of purpose They were bold in speech, independent in thought and action, showing no such tendency to look to and make use of the government as has become conspicuous in their descend ants of this generation, scantily equipped with know ledge, but full of the spirit of adventure and the love of freedom All expected that self government would in due course be granted to each colony when its popula tion became sufficiently large and when self-govern-ment came they relished it and worked it as to the manner born

Responsible self government, ie a Legislature with a Cabinet on the British model, was bestowed upon New SouthWales (the oldest colony), Victoria, South Australia,

and Tasmania in 1855-16. The Constitutions, prepared in each colony by its Council, were, with a few changes, enacted by the British Parliament. Queensland received a self-governing constitution in 1859-60 (when it was separated from New South Wales), Western Australia in 1890. In South Australia universal male suffrage existed from the first for the popular House of Parliament; in the others it was introduced before long

and with little opposition. Much later, the suffrage was extended to women. The questions that occupied the Governments of these colonies were chiefly economic, some relating to the allotment and enjoyment and taxation of land, others to fiscal policy, including tariffs. However after 1883 the general scramble among the great European Powers for unoccupied territories all over the world began, when it extended to the Western Pacific, to bring external affairs to the minds of Australians, who felt that their interest in the islands, especially New Guinea and the New Hebrides, which lie north and north-east of them, could be more effectively pressed if the whole people spoke through one authority. This helped to revive the project, often previously discussed, of creating a federation of all the Australian colonies, a scheme naturally indicated by commercial and fiscal considerations, but retarded by the jealous care with which each community sought to guard its local independence. After long debates in two Conventions (1891 and 1897-99), a draft Federal constitution was at last adopted by a vote of the people in every colony, and submitted to the British Parliament, which passed it into law (with one slight change) in a.b. 1900. Thus was created a new National Government for the whole continent under the title of the Commonwealth of Australia, while the old colonies were turned into States, each retaining its local government, and exercising such of the former powers as it had not surrendered to the Federal authority.

The constitutional system of Australia and its practi-cal working are interesting both in respect of their slight

differences from England and of their wider differences from the United States, but for the purposes of this treatise attention must be concentrated on what is most distinctive in the politics of the country, that is to say, upon those points in which it has given to the world something new, methods, schemes, or practices containing a promise or a warning for the future

Four points stand out as specially noteworthy

Australia is the land in which the labouring masses first gained control of the legal government and displayed their quality as rulers

It is the country in which first a closely knit party organization, compelling all members of the Legislature who belong to it to act as a compact body, became absolute master of a representative Assembly

It has extended further than any other country (except New Zealand) has done the action of the State in undertaking industrial enterprises and in determining by law the wages and hours of labour

It is the country in which material interests have most completely occupied the attention of the people and dominated their politics, so that it affords exceptional opportunities for estimating the influence which the predominance of such interests exerts on the intellectual and moral side of national life. These four points, however, though the special objects of our study, cannot be understood without some account of the machiniery of government and the way in which it works. I begin with the Commonwealth

THE GOVERNMENT OF THE COMMONWEALTH

The Federal Government has received narrower powers than those enjoyed by the Dominion Government in Canada and by the Government of the Union of South Africa, but in some respects wider than those of the National Government in the United States Powers not expressly allotted to it are, as in the United States, deemed to be reserved to the States, whereas

A pr. 11th 1

in Canada the Provinces retain only such powers as have been expressly delegated to them, the residue not specifically enumerated being vested in the Dominion. Trade—interstate and external—tariffs, currency, banking, patents, weights and measures, marriage and divorce, are in Australia Federal matters, as are also old-age pensions and arbitration in labour disputes which extend beyond the limits of one State, while the States retain legislation on property and most civil rights, industries, land administration, mining, railways, education. Reasons to be hereafter explained have led to proposals which would considerably extend the range of Federal authority, and many decisions have been rendered by the High Court of Australia, which is the ultimate Court of Appeal in the Commonwealth, upon the questions that have arisen as to the interpretation of the general terms employed in the Constitution.

The Commonwealth Parliament consists of two Houses. The Senate has thirty-six members, six from each State, all the States, great and small alike, being (as in the United States) equally represented. The senators are elected for six years by universal suffrage, not in districts, but by a general popular vote over the whole State. One-half retire every three years, so the Senate is a continuous body except when specially dissolved in consequence of a deadlock between the two Houses. The House of Representatives has seventy-five members, chosen in one-membered constituencies by universal Its term is three years, subject to the power of earlier dissolution which the Governor-General can exert on the advice of his Ministers. Members of both Houses now receive a salary of £1000 a year.1 The British Crown legally retains a power of veto, but this is in practice not exercised unless where some grave imperial interest might be deemed to be involved.

Executive power resides nominally in the Governor-

¹ Ongonally factor, it was in 1907 rused to f600, and the action of the Parliament which roted to itself the addition was severely commented on. In 1920 it was suddenly further traced to f1000. The same three harmoned on the United States and in France.

Lvi AMENDMENT OF CONSTITUTION 191

pieral, as representing the British Crown, but virtually the Cabinet of high officials who form his Ministry. d who must be members of the Legislature and must

practice) have the support of a majority in the House Subordinate officials are, as in Britain, ppointed nominally by the Crown but practically by e Ministry, and form, as in Britain, a permanent Civil

In order to make the Commonwealth Government pendent of any State influences, its seat has been aced at a spot (called Canberra, formerly Yass Canberra) Imost equally distant from Sydney and from Melbourne, lying in a thinly peopled region far off the mun lines of railway communication, and at present equally diffi-cult of access from both cities A space of about 900 square miles has been ceded by New South Wales for

this purpose to the Commonwealth, and buildings are being erected there to provide accommodation for the Parliament and the administrative offices. Meantime the seat of government is at Melbourne The Federal Constitution can be amended by Parlia,

ment, 1e by an absolute majority in both Houses, or by an absolute majority in one House, given twice, with an interval of three months intervening, and plus submission to the other House, but amendments must be thereafter approved by a majority of the States and also

by a majority of the whole people voting simultaneously over the whole Commonwealth In this case only does the Australian people exercise as of right that power of direct legislative action which is so frequent both in many of the United States and in Switzerland, where it is

called by the names of Referendum and Initiative It was, however, held to be within the power of Parliament, in such exceptional circumstances as were those of the Great War, to refer a matter to the vote of the people for their advice, a course taken in 1915 and 1917, when their opinion on the subject of compulsory military service was desired This procedure for amendment is prompt and easy compared to that prescribed for the amendment

of the United States Constitution, a natural result of the familiarity with swift parliamentary action which the framers of the Australian Constitution possessed. When a question is submitted to the people to be voted on by them, every voter receives a document setting forth the arguments for and against the proposals, as well as the full text of the proposals themselves.

The Commonwealth administers two Territories not included in any State, besides the Federal district of Canberra. One is the large region (523,620 square nules), lying along the north coast of the Continert, between Queensland and West Australia, and extending a long way inland. It was transferred by South Australia to the Federal Government in 1911. The other is the South-Eastern or British part of the great Asiatic island called Papua or New Guinea (90,540 square miles), which was annexed by Great Britain in 1888, and by an Act of 1906 entrusted, along with some groups of islands lying near it, to the administrative care of the Federal Government. To this part there has recently (1920) been added another part, about 70,000 square nules, formerly owned by Germany, but now allotted to Australia as mandatory of the Leaque of Nations.

THE STATE GOVERNMENTS

The Constitutions of the six States, all of course older than that of the Commonwealth, are reproductions of the British frame of government, having been originally created by statutes of the British Parliament, though subsequently modified by acts of the State Legislatures. In each there are two Houses. The smaller, which is called the Legislative Council, consists, in New South Wales and Queensland, of persons who have been nominated

³ The Contribution of the Causian Dominion can be charged only by the Impenial Parlament which cancel at in 1859, but the arrangement, which scene to level less power to the Dominion Parlament than the Austrálan Parlament possesses, does in retailing rate the Govern more power, for the Impenial Parlament is executioned to contain the Causian Parlament, when attacked that they reverse the general will of the people, whereas in Austrian a turn may only of the States and sho of the people in required

CH XLVI 193 by the Crown, 1e by the Ministry of the day, for life In Victoria, South Australia, Western Australia, and In Victoria, South Australia, Western Australia, and Tasmania its members are elected for six years by voters possessing a certain small property qualification. The voters so qualified are between 30 and 40 per cent of those who elect the Assembly by universal suffrage These Councils are continuous bodies, a part of the members retiring every second or third year. Members are usually re elected. The larger House, called the Assembly, is in every State elected by universal suffrage for those ways. for three years Members receive salaries which vary from £150 (in Tasmania) up to £500 (New South Wales), and have also free passes over the Government railways Each State has a Governor appointed by the Crown (usually for five years), and a Cabinet selected from members of the Legislature by the person whom the Governor summons to form an administration, such person being usually the leader of the party which at the moment constitutes the majority of the Assembly The Governor, acting on the advice of his Ministers, can dissolve the Legislature, and can also, acting on behalf of the Crown, refuse consent to a Bill or refer it to England for the consideration of the a Bill or refer it to England for the consideration of the Crown, but this right is now so very rately exercised that it constitutes no check on self government Judges are appointed for life by the Governor on the recommendation of his Ministers, being removable only (as in Britain) upon a resolution passed by both Houses The State Constitutions (is already observed) can, like that of the United Kingdom, be changed by the ordinary process of legislation

THE JUDICIARY IN THE COMMONWEALTH AND THE STATES

Both in the Commonwealth and in the States, the judicial arrangements follow those of England All the superior judges are appointed for life by the Governor, acting on the advice of his Ministers, and VOL II

are removable only upon an address passed by the Legislature. They receive salaries sufficient to attract the best men from the Bar. In the Commonwealth there has so far been created only one court, viz. the High Court, which is the final Court of Appeal for all Australia in all matters, whether arising under Federal or under State law. Its decisions are enforced by State machinery, while, conversely, the Commonwealth Parliament may invest State courts with Federal jurisdiction. There is also in the Commonwealth a Court of Conciliation and Arbitration (whereof more anon), and also a semi-judicial, semi-administrative body called the Interstate Commission with members irremovable during their seven years' term, among whose functions is that of investigating commercial matters and watching the operation of the tariff.

General Character of the Australian Governments

In its practical working from year to year, the Commonwealth is, and each State also continues to be as a State what it was as a colony, a Crowned Republic, i.e. community monarchical in its form, but republican in its spirit and operation, and indeed more democratic than many republics are. Each community is attached, not only legally, but by what are now the stronger ties of sentiment and recuprocal interest, both to the mother country and to the other British self-governing Dominions. The growth of a strong Australian national patriotism has not diminished the feeling of the Unity of the British peoples all over the world.

of the British peoples all over the world.

These Australian frames of government, Federal and
State, the legal outlines of which will be presently
supplemented by a description of their working,
are highly democratic. In the Commonwealth we
find:

Universal suffrage at elections for both Houses of

Legislature.

One membered districts equal, broadly speaking, in population

Triennial elections

No plural voting

Payment of members

No veto by the Executive

Complete dependence of the Executive upon the larger House of the Legislature

Scarcely any restrictions on legislative power (other than those which safeguard State rights)

Prompt and easy means of altering the Constitution These democratic features exist in the States also, save that in them Second Chambers, not based on universal suffrage, impose a certain check on the popular House On the other hand, the State Legislatures having full power to alter their Constitutions by ordinary legislation, are not required to invoke a popular vote for that purpose

One can hardly imagine a representative system of government in and through which the masses can more swiftly and completely exert their sovereignty Of them may be said what Macaulay said, not quite correctly, of the United States Government It is all sail and no ballast" The voters may indulge their

uncontrolled will for any and every purpose that may

The Federal Constitution is more democratic than are the State Constitutions in respect of the fact that its Senate is not a conservative force, being elected by the same suffrage as is the Assembly, and by a method which gives greater power to an organised popular majority It will be seen presently that this has contributed to make the Labour party desire an extension of the powers of the Commonwealth to the detriment of the States

Comparing the Commonwealth Constitution with that of the United States, the former is the more "radical," for it contains neither a veto power, like that of the American President, nor those numerous restrictions on legislative power which fetter Congress, while

196

its method of altering the Constitution itself is more promptly applicable. On the other hand, most of the American State Constitutions depart further from English precedents than do those of the Australian States, for the former vest the elections both of judges and of administraformer vest the elections both of judges and of administrative officials in the people, and many of them contain
provisions for direct popular legislation by Initiative
and Referendum. Yet as the American States give a
veto to the State Governor, and limit in many directions
the power of the Legislatures, the Australian schemes
of government seem, on the whole, more democratic
than the American, though some of the reasons for this view cannot be given till we have examined the practical working of Australian institutions. Whoever has read the chapters on Canada will not need to be told how much less democratic is the form of its government than is that of Australia.

Some one may ask, What of Britain herself? Has not her Constitution become in recent years almost as democratic as is the Australian? Is not the suffrage practically universal, do not the wage-earners command a majority in almost every constituency? And is not the House of Commons supreme, though one delay is still interposed before its will can be carried into law, supreme even over those fundamental laws which are vaguely called the Constitution? Did not Parliament, vaguely cancet the Constitution? Did not Parliament, early in the recent war, suspend, with scarce any debate, nearly every constitutional guarantee, and place the executive in uncontrolled power?

All this is true. The United Kingdom, which is now, so far as respects its frame of government, more of a democracy than the United States, is almost as much a

democracy as the Australian Commonwealth. In practice, however, this is not yet the case. The difference lies in the different social and economic phenomena of the remetice, and in a few traditions of public life, which though now fast disappearing, have still more influence in old nations like England and France than tradition can have in any new community. Some of these phenomena

I may here indicate, in order to explain the conditions under which Australian institutions have to work, reserving for a later stage remarks on those features of Australian character which determine the public opinion of the nation

t Australia presents a striking contrast between four great cities and a vast, sparsely peopled rural area The capitals of the greater States contain more than a

third of the whole population

2 The bulk of the wage earning class is concentrated in these four cities, and most of the rest dwell in several mining areas

3 In every State much of the land is owned by a small number of proprietors holding large estates

4 These large estates being almost wholly pastoral,

provide employment for comparatively few workers
5 The small farmers, whether freeholders or leaseholders, and whether of arable land or of dairy farms, are a less important element in the population than in Canada or the United States, and constitute but little of what can be called a " middle class Their voting power, such as it is, is lessened by the difficulties which those who dwell in thinly peopled areas find in reaching polling places

CH XLVI

6 There is no sort of so called 'aristocracy either of birth or of rank, and hardly even a "plutocracy No family has possessed wealth for more than forty or fifty years

7 There is, consequently, no class which has a hereditary interest in, or influence on, political affairs 8 There is less social intercourse between employer and employed than in Britain That sort of semi-feudal or semi family relation that used to exist in some parts of England between the landowner and his tenants, and which sometimes included labourers as well as farmers, could not of course be expected in a new country But nothing but a hard 'cash nexus' (as Carlyle calls it) between the manufacturer or mine owner and the workLancashire or Yorkshire millowners often took in the mill hands and their families.1 The largest class of Australian rural workers, the sheep-shearers, are migratory, moving from station to station to do this most important part of the year's work, while a numerous section of the city labourers, those who load and discharge ships, are not in any permanent employment. 9. The sentiment of social equality is extremely

strong, for there were hardly any distinctions of rank to

begin with, and such habits of deference as had belonged to Europe did not attach themselves to those whose only claim was a more rapid rise towards wealth. Golddigging, moreover, which powerfully affected society (especially in Victoria) for some years after 1850, is of all occupations the most levelling. 10. The passion for equality has induced social jealousy. There is no such deep gulf fixed between classes as that which divides "bourgeoisie" and "proletariat" in France, but there is a feeling of latent antagonism or suspicion, an apparent belief among the workers that the interests of the richer and those of the poorer are and must be mutually opposed. No similar feeling has existed in Great Britain or in the native population of Canada or of the United States.

¹ Two exceptions were, however, mentioned to me, and there are doubtless others

CHAPTER XLVII

AUSTRALIAN LEGISLATURES AND EXECUTIVES

In Australia, as in Britain, Parliament is the centre of political activity, the mainspring of the mechanism of government. It is complete master of the Executive No veto checks it. Every Minister must sit in it. There is no other avenue to public life, for there are no offices in the direct election of the people, and in Parliament the popular House is the predominant power, for it makes and unmakes the executive government and has the chief voice in finance.

As already observed, every adult in the Commonwealth and in the States possesses the suffrage admission of women was carried both in the States and in the Commonwealth with little controversy People merely said, "Why not?" No steps were taken to ascertain whether the bulk of the women desired the right of voting The women who actually demanded it were a comparatively small section, but little or no opposition came from the rest. The ballot does not permit it to become known how the women vote, but it is generally believed that in the richer classes fewer women than men vote, while the Labour Unions bring the working women to the poll in as large numbers as the So far as can be ascertained, the introduction of female suffrage has had no perceptible effect on politics, except that of strengthening the Labour party 1

¹ It was however belo ed at the time that in the two Referends on the question of computation on the question of computation of the defeat of that proposal. Western Australia in which it was carried has the smallest proport on of women

200

PT. II

Women of the richer sort seem to take little interest in public affairs, or at any rate to talk less about them than women of the same class do in England. They are said usually to vote with their male relatives, and no one said usually to vote with their maie relatives, and no one suggested to me that their possession of the vote had induced domestic dissensions. Though plural voting exists nowhere, owners of property may in Victoria and Queensland cast their vote either in their place of residence or in some other place where they are registered

residence or in some other property.

Electoral districts are, broadly speaking, equal in population, though sometimes the rural areas contain fewer voters, this being thought fair in order to secure due consideration for rural opinion. The Commonwealth que consideration for fural opinion. The Commonwealth Constitution provides for an automatic redistribution of seats in proportion to population. Except in Tasmania, where the introduction of Proportional Representation required the creation of districts, each of which was to return a number of members, constituencies have was to return a number of members, constituencies have been generally single membered, but Victoria, Western Australia, and Queensland have tried various forms of "preferential" or "contingent" voting. New South Wales in 1910 substituted preferential voting for the second ballot, and has now nine city electorates, each returning five members, and fifteen rural, each returning three. Proportional Representation, once enacted for the Commonwealth, was repealed by the Labour party when they held a majority

when they held a majority.

Voting by post is permitted in Victoria and West Australia. "Absent voting" (i.e. the right for an elector to record his vote at a polling place elsewhere than in his division) has been introduced for Commonwealth elections. and in Queensland. Candidates are not required by law or custom to be resident in the districts they sit for, but residents are generally selected as being better known locally. There is a tendency, less strong than in England, but much stronger than in the United States, to te-elect a sitting member. The counting of votes at elections appears to be every-

where honestly conducted, and one hears no complaints of bribery, common as that offence used to be in the United Kingdom, and is still in parts of the United States and of Canada A member is, however, expected to use his influence to secure various benefits for his district, such as roads, bridges, and other public works, an evil familiar in many other countries. The expenses of elections, generally limited by law, are in the States mostly light, usually ranging from £50 to £2000, while for Labour candidates they are borne by Unions or political labour leagues. As the Commonwealth constituencies are much larger, the cost is in these often heavy ¹. Where the legal limit (which is £100 for a House of Commons district and £250 for a Senatorial election) has to be exceeded, the candidates party or friends supply the money needed ². Elections are said to be growing more expensive, and members of the richer sort are beginning to be called upon to subscribe to various public or quasa public local objects, a habit which has latterly become frequent in England ³

We may now pass to the Houses of Legislature, beginning with those of the States as being the older

THE TWO HOUSES IN THE STATES

The bicameral system established when responsible government was first granted to each colony, was suggested partly by the example of the mother country, partly to provide a check on the supposed danger of hasty and ill considered action by the more popular House

In all the States the popular House, called the Assembly, is the driving force and dominant factor It controls finance, it makes and unmakes Ministries

I I was told that t may reach \$\infty\$ 000 but as at the same election a Referendum on a pigosed amendment to the Constitution may be voted on it is not easy to distinguish how much of the total expenditure is at buttle to each issue.

^{*} A statute d rects enques to be made regarding such he p

An Act of 1909 mpo es penal es on thoe who d stub an ee t on mee ng w th ntent to frus ate ts pu po es and ano he (of 19) subjects to a penal y offens we comments on a cand da cat an elect on f Pub shed w shout the write a name

PT. II

To it, therefore, men of ability and ambition flow. Its importance, though reduced by the creation above it of a National Government, is still sufficient to secure on a rational Government, is suit sumittent to secure among its members, especially in the largest States, men of shrewd practical capacity, accustomed to political fighting, and quickly responsive to any popular sentiment. Very different are the Legislative Councils. They

202

are comparatively quiet, steady-going bodies, whose members, mostly belonging to the professional or business classes, and enjoying a longer tenure of their seats, are of a more conservative temper. Their sessions are fewer and shorter, their debates quieter and scantily reported in the press. Sitting for life or for six years at least, and usually re-elected at the expiry of their time, they acquire a valuable experience, and are less at the beek and call of a Ministry or of their own party than men are in the Assembly; indeed many of them claim to stand outside party, which has naturally less power in a body whose votes do not affect a Ministry's tenure of office. Though the scope of their action, as it does not include finance, is narrower than that of the Assembly, they sometimes amend or reject its Bills, and occasionally persist in their view, feeling it to be their function to arrest the more drastic or (as they would say) on whose powers they constitute the only check. Thus many disputes have arisen between the two Houses, and many efforts made to get rid of the Councils, the Labour party having declared its purpose to extinguish them or to elect them by universal suffrage. As regards the nominee Councils it seems to be now settled that when deadlocks arise the Ministry in power may add a number of new nominees sufficient to carry its measures. Queensland deals with deadlocks by a popular vote or "Referendum."! For the case of the elective Councils, in which the consent of the Council itself would be required

¹ In Queensland, when a popular vote (Referendum) was taken in 1917 on a proposal to about the Second Chamber, the proposal was rejected by 165,000 votes against 104,000.

for a change, no complete solution has yet been reached These bodies, being representative, usually offer a firmer resistance to Assembly Bills than do the nominated Councils, but both sets of Councils have in the long run accepted measures distasteful to themselves when convinced that these had behind them the permanent mind and will of the people and not the temporary wishes or electioneering artifices of a Ministry

Except when the aforesaid disputes arise, these

Except when the aforesaid disputes arise, these Councils play a subordinate and little-noticed part in State politics. They do not resemble the Second Chambers (Senates) of the States in the American Union nor are they comparable to the French Senate, for they contain few men of political prominence, and do not greatly affect public opinion. But their record, taken as a whole, supports the case for the existence of a revising Chamber, for though they have sometimes delayed good measures, they have often improved legislation by giving time for the people to look where they were going, and by thus compelling the advocates of hasty change to recon sider and remodel their proposals

THE FEDERAL SENATE

When the foremost statesmen of Australia drafted the Federal Constitution, they clung to the time-honoured precedent of a two-chambered Legislature Not seeking to create a check on the democratic spirit, they rejected the notion of election by limited constituencies, and found reasons for the existence of a Senate not only in the benefits which the revision of measures by a Second Chamber may confer, but also in the need for some body to represent the equality of the States and guard the rights of the smaller States from the numerical preponderance of the larger in the House of Commons The body contemplated was to be something stronger and better than the Councils in the States, a comparatively small body, in which cool and experienced men, who wished to escape frequent elections and the

rough-and-tumble struggles of the House of Commons, rough-and-tumble struggles of the House of Commons, might sit for six years at least, addressing themselves thoughtfully to the great problems of legislation. Thus it received legal powers equal to those of the House, save what it does not turn out Ministries and cannot amend (though it may reject) finance Bills. When in 1898 the question arose how the Senate should be chosen, the framers of the Constitution were informed that American opinion, having then come to disapprove that plan of electing United States senators by the State Legislatures which had formerly won the admiration of foreign which had formerly won the admiration or foreign observers, was turning towards the idea of an election by a popular vote all over each State. Moved by this consideration, and probably thinking such a direct election more consonant to democratic principles, the Convention resolved to vest the choice of senators in the people of each State as one undivided constituency, while following the American precedent of giving to each State the same number of senators, though New South Wales had (in 1901) a population of 1,360,000 and Tasmania of 172,000 only.

All the expectations and aims wherewith the Senate was created have been falsified by the event. It has not protected State interests, for those interests have come very little into question, except when controversies have arisen between New South Wales and Victoria. Neither has it become the home of sages, for the best political talent of the nation flows to the House of Commons, where office is to be won in strenuous conflict. The Senate has done little to improve measures, though this is largely due to a cause unforeseen by its founders, which will be presently explained. Not having any special functions, such as that control of appointments and of foreign policy which gives authority to the American Senate, its Australian copy has proved a mere veplica, and an inferior replica, of the House.

¹ This seek ment went on growing in America till in 1913 it carried an amendment (the seventeent) to the Feoeral Constitution, by which the election of senators was vetted in the people.

Able and ambitious men prefer the latter, because office and power are in its gift, and its work is more important and exciting, for most of the Ministers, and the strongest among them, are needed there, while the Senate is usually put off with two of the less vigorous. Thus from the first it counted for little. When the same party holds a majority in both Houses, no conflict between the Houses arises, and the Senate does little more than pass hurriedly, at the end of the session, the measures sent up from the House. But whenever the Senate majority is opposed to the House majority, trouble may be looked for.

This comparative fulure of the Senate, admitted on

all hands, is partly due to an unforeseen result of the method of election by a popular State vote Each elector having three votes for the three seats to be filled, a well-organized party issues a list of its three Senatorial candidates, and the issues submitted being the same, all the party electors vote that list without regard to the personal merits of the candidates, which, though they might count for much in a one-membered constituency, count for little in the area of a whole State What chance in a vast constituency has a candidate of making himself personally known? He can succeed only through his party. The tendency is irresistible to cast a straight party vote for the three whom the party managers put forward, so it is the best organized party intrangers put forward, so it is the best organized party with the most docile supporters that wins Thus in the election of 1910 the Labour party, being far better organized than its opponents, carried every seat in six States, being half of the whole Senate In 1913, when another election of half the Senate arrived, the same party carried three seats in three States, while three seats in two States and one seat in another while three seats in two States and one seat in another went to the less compact Liberal party. At a special dissolution of both Houses in 1914 the Labouy party, while obtaining a haparity of eight only in the Assembly, secured thirty of out of thirty-six seats. The electoral majori is were narrow, but the majority in the Senate became overwhelming. Such a result turner

206

men's thoughts towards some scheme of proportional representation which would enable the minority to secure more members, and might give a better chance to men of eminent personal qualities; and a scheme of that nature is now on its trial.¹

The Commonwealth House conducts its business on the same general lines as those followed in Great Britain and Canada, Ministers sitting in it, leading the majority, and carrying their Bills through the regular stages, Questions are addressed to the heads of departments. and the Speaker is, as in Britain (but not in the United States), expected to be an impartial chairman, though he, as also the President of the Senate, is now always ichosen afresh at the beginning of each Parliament from the dominant party.² The closure of debate, an inevitable safeguard against persistent obstruction, called in Australia "stone-walling," is habitual, and a time limit is imposed on speeches. Bills levying taxation or appropriating money to the public service must originate in the House, but the Senate, which can reject, cannot amend them, for this would in practice amount to giving a power to initiate, though it may (and does) return them, suggesting amendments for the consideration of the House. The House is the vital centre of political life, but its vitality was impaired when the Labour Caucus (whereof more anon) was established, for the centre of gravity shifted to that caucus in which the Labour senators sit along with their comrades of the House. When Labour holds the majority the caucus controls everything; and debate, except so far as it relates to details not settled by the caucus, or makes an appeal to the public outside, is thrown away, since it does not influence the decision, the majority having already determined in secret how it will vote.

in at In 1912 the Sceaker was not wearing any robe of office, this having been disused, a the Parliament of 1913 it was restored.

In 1950 the application of a plan providing for preferential majority voting resulted in the election of 17 members of the larget of the four parties with 855,057 rates, one member of the own number (the term or votes, and no member of the two number (the two number of the two number (the two number of the two number (the two number of the two number of the two number of two number of two number of two numbers of t

This being the machinery of parliamentary government, the men who work it belong to what is practically the same class in the Commonwealth and in the State Legislatures, although the average of ability is somewhat higher in the Commonwealth Legislature, because it opens a wider field to ambition Successful State politicians sometimes transfer their activities to the Commonwealth

Europeans must be cautioned not to apply to any of the new countries the standards of education and intellectual power by which they judge the statesmen if they wish for idle enjoyment they probably go to if they wish for the enjoyment deep probably go to England, if they stay at home they continue to occupy themselves with their sheep runs or their business. Not mruny aspire to a political career, which lacks the attractions that have hitherto surrounded it in European countries It is (happily) not lucrative, and it carries no more social importance than the membership of a city or county council carries in England Still less can the man who has his fortune to make turn aside to politics The pastoralist lives on his station and must look after his flocks, the manufacturer or banker or shipping agent cannot sacrifice his mornings to work in a State Legislature, and cannot, unless his home is in Melbourne, think of entering the Commonwealth Parlia invescourne, unin of entering the Commonwealth Parlia ment, where constant attendance is required ¹ Thapplies largely to lawyers also, and in fact no mods ¹ legislatures are so scantily provided with lawyersft those of Australia, they are fewer than in Brit in in Canada, far feyer than in the United States or 'of his In 1919 only & sa sitting as a representatipeeches

¹ Successful busines men are more often than not managers of a 53 common mand man far not free to give thir time to politics.

208

Victoria. When the seat of Government has been transferred to Canberra, now a remote country nook among the hills, far from everywhere, even the possibility of a Melbourne barrister will be cut out. The bolity of a Interoduction State will be the detailments is not high among politicians, most of whom have had only an elementary, very few a university education. There is, moreover, a localism of spirit which thinks first of how a measure will affect a place or a trade, and there is a natural distrust of all a place or a trade, and there is a natural distrist of an reasonings that seem abstract. Of quick intelligence and shrewn muchanewn there is indeed no fack, but rare are the well-stored and highly-trained minds capable of taking a broad view of political and economic questions.

One may regret that a larger number of men, trained to affairs by business or professional life, do not give to their country the benefit of their intellectual resources, But it is to be remembered that such men live editedly.

But it is to be remembered that such men live-efficity, in the large cities, and would be almost unknown in country constituencies, distances being greater than in England, and many electoral districts in the "back blocks" so large that to canyas them requires a great deal of time and expense. Putting all things together, only a quite exceptional public spirit will induce a man in good business to seek election to a seat in a State Parliament, for he must neglect his work, he has a good deal of rudeness and possibly even abuse to face, and he is expected, far more than formerly, to fetch and carry for his constituents and toil for the party. Local fame and \$\frac{1}{2}\$ for a year were not, nor, probably, will \$L1000 be a year be, sufficient to outweigh these drawbacks, not to codd that such a man, unless possessed of an attractive to crisonality which can meet the ordinary elector on his to thi ground, is exposed to Prejudice or suspicion on the influend of his belonging to the richer class. This kind determ piction or aversion, scarcely known in Britain or in 110 mg/st, is dwelt on in Australia as an obstacle in the smalled in the states and some prediction of the control of the party. Local farm the created in the states and the Commonwealth, with the lays the Sal in the large cities, and would be almost unknown in

in of In 1912 the Sp.)

trequent shiftings of the majority, and, by consequence, frequent ministerial changes. Victoria once enjoyed eight ministries in seven years, South Australia had forty-one in forty years, and the Commonwealth had, between its birth in 1900 and 1910, seen seven administrations. The consolidation in 1909 of three parties into two, with a stricter party cohesion, made for a time these shiftings of power less frequent. But elections recur every three years, and in the legislatures of the States, comparatively small communities, personal feelings count for much. Want of tact in a Minister, some offence taken by, or selfish motive acting on, a little group of members, has sometimes led to the turning over of a few votes and the consequent fall of a Ministry. Party discipline was lax until the rise of the Labour party drove

its opponents to greater stringency.

There was plenty of vigorous debating in the State
Assemblies of last century, which saw the conflicts of strong and striking personalities, such as Robert Lowe, Sir Henry Parkes, Sir Graham Berry, William Bede Dalley, C. C. Kingston, G. H. Reid, Alfred Deakin, and others, not to speak of some who happily survive, though now no longer in Australian political life. One is often told that the present generation of parliamentarians does on a lower level, that there is less courtesy and dignity, that the term "politician" begins to be used in a disparaging sense Such laudatio temporis acti is so common everywhere that one would discount these regrets for a better past were they not so widely expressed by better past were they not so whenly expressed by thoughtful observers. There are to-day, as there have always been, a few men of eminent ability in public life. It would seem that there has been a decline in manners.\(^1\) Australian politicians fight "with the gloves off" Offensive remarks are exchanged, as usually happens in small bodies where each knows the weaknesses of his fellow-members, imputations freely made, speeches

¹ But Sir G. H. Reid remarks in his autobiography on the rudeness common in the legislature of New South Wales in his day

PT. II

constantly interrupted by interjected remarks. But scenes of violence, such as occasionally disgrace the Parliaments of Europe and America, seem to be almost

unknown, and personal feuds are rare; personal attacks seeming to be no more resented than is roughness in d football match. Neither the growth of the States nor the creation of the Commonwealth has caused a seat in Parliament to carry any more social prestige now than formerly, and it has added immensely to the work expected from a member. His constituents weary and worry him more than ever with requests, since the increase of Statecontrolled industries has so enlarged the number of State

controlled industries has so enlarged the number of State employees that the grievances which the member has to bring before the notice of a Minister or of Parliament grow in like proportion. The richer Australians dilate on the harm done by the payment of members, saying it has brought in many uneducated persons who come for the sake of the salary, and whose loyalty to their party is enforced by the fact that their income depends on their loyalty. But no one could tell me how it was possible to avoid the payment of members if it was desired to have the wage-earning class duly represented, nor were the old days adorned by quite so much dignity and disinterestedness as it is now pleasant to imagine,

CHAPTER XLVIII

THE EXECUTIVE AND THE CIVIL SERVICE

BOTH in the Commonwealth and in the several States the Executive Government consists of a group of Ministers, seldom exceeding seven, who are normally heads of one or more of the administrative departments, though there may often be found a "Minister without portfolio". These form the Cabinet. All have seats in one or other house of the Legislature, and are supposed to represent the best political capacity of the party for the time being in the majority. The place of Prime Minister is, according to British usage, taken by the statesman who has been commissioned by the Governor to form the administration.

The personal characters and careers of most ministers are pretty familiar to the whole community, but, partly for this very reason, their dignity and social influence are not equal to those of ministers in Europe. It is only when a Prime Minister is a man of exceptional popular gifts or indispensable by his talents and the force of his will that he can dominate the Legislature through the confidence reposed in him by the people. Under the organization of the Labour party, ministers who belong to it are selected by and must obey, often (it is said) reluctantly, the directions of the party caucus, so that it is rather their personal influence in that body than their official position that counts. If this caucus system lasts, it may reduce the importance of

^{*} In the States usually called Peme

oratorical talent, and make shrewdness in council and the capacity for handling men as individuals the qualities most helpful in the struggle for leadership.1

/Cabinet Ministers are, as in Britain, practically the only members of the executive who are changed with a change of government. The rest of the regular civil service is permanent, i.e. removable only for misbehaviour or incompetence. In South Australia the person removed by the minister in charge of the department may appeal to an independent non-political Board, usually composed of high officials. For the lower posts tistianly composed of high officials. For the over posts there is everywhere a qualifying examination, the fairness of which is not questioned. In South Australia it is conducted by professors of the university. The minister usually appoints those who stand highest in the examination. Where the age of admission is low (in Victoria and Tasmania it is sixteen) tolerably good clerks are secured, but there is no certainty of getting talent of a higher kind. The more important appointments, and those which are more or less temporary, outside the regular service, are filled by the minister, who often selects with more regard to political services than to merit; but apart from these, and taking the State Governments generally, appointments seem to be fairly made, neither nepotism nor political motives seriously affecting them.

In all the States promotion goes practically by seniority, a method deemed necessary to prevent favouritism, but ill calculated to bring ability to the top. In filling the highest posts, especially where technical knowledge comes in, the Minister has a wider discretion. Tasmania, and (I think) other States also, permit a

¹ A very careful and experienced observer wrote to me in 1920 22 follows "There has been a good standard of personal integrity in public men and this has generally been maintained, but in recent years there have been some grave scandals leading to the retirement of Ministers or members from public life, and in some cases these suspicions have been so strong that the whole matter has not been thrashed out and that others were implicated. In some States the establishment of a practice whereby Members of Parliament are regularly employed by persons having dealings with Government exercises a permittions influence on the tone and standards of public life." This is said to happen especially where land transactions are concerred. I gather that the level of purity has declined so-ewhat during the last decade or two

Minister, when he can find no one in his department fit for some particular work, to get leave from the Civil Service Commission to bring in an outsider.

The salaries of employees, including those earning wages in constructional work or in Government industrial enterprises, are said to be in excess of those paid by private persons for services of the same kind, and there are persistent efforts to increase their numbers, efforts kept more or less at bay by the Public Service Acts. Government employees are in so far a privileged class, that they can make sure of a hearing and of easy treatment, but the rest of the wave centrest would reserve. treatment, but the rest of the wage earners would resent their being generally paid on a higher scale The pressure exercised, especially at elections, by the railwaymen in Victoria on members of the Legislature had in 1903 become so serious that the then Prime Minister, a man of exceptional force of character, induced the I egis lature to pass an Act taking out of the territorial electoral divisions all persons in government employment, and placing them apart in two constituencies, each return-ing one member This Act was of course unpopular with the working men, and was, after three years, re pealed at the instance of another Ministry 1 The creation of Railway Commissioners has reduced but not alto-gether removed the evil, for Ministers still retain a power, exercisable in the last resort, which exposes them to parliamentary pressure Government servants have formed themselves into several powerful Unions, and therethrough bear a part in determining the policy of the Labour party

There exists in every State a Public Service Com-There exists in every State a Fubilic Service Com-mission, which acts under the elaborate provisions of statutes defining the conditions of admission, promotion, salary, and discipline of the State services, matters which in Great Britain have in the main been left to departmental regulation. These Commissions have done good in

¹ It was observed that although the employees tnemselves might be confined to the two constituencies the risisters and cousins and aunts as well as the riw was and daughters could consinue to vote n all the others

keeping the civil service pure and outside politics. A similar Commission exists in the Commonwealth also, the laws of which permit greater freedom in promotion for efficiency. This freedom, however, opens a door to political patronage, and means are found for exempting particular appointments from the Civil Service rules. The statutory provision which had, as in the States, prohibited public servants from joining in active political work, was in the Commonwealth repealed, and they were merely forbidden to comment publicly on the conduct of any department or to disclose official information. In the Commonwealth, and also in New South Wales, government employees may appeal to the statutory Arbitration Courts for an increase in their salaries, a concession justified as less harmful than a permission to exert political pressure through Parliament. Public opinion, alwe to the dangers incident to the abuse of civil service patronage for political purposes, has, so far, succeeded in maintaining a fairly good standard. In the higher posts men of marked ability

standard. In the higher posts men of marked ability and efficiency are not wanting, but in some, at least, of the States, the supply of such men is insufficient. The Premier of a small State deplored to me the The Premier of a small State deplored to me the absence of any official corresponding to the permanent Under-Secretary of the chief departments of Government in London, declaring that for the lack of such rien more work was thrown on ministers than they could adequately perform. It may be hoped that with the growth of the country and the increasing burdens had by recent legislation on the administrative departments, posts in them will more frequently such as those who now in Britain win their places by a competitive examination at the age of twenty-two. But it will be necessary either to have more searching entrance examinations or to allow wider discretion to the selecting authority. At present less efficiency in the upper posts is the price paid for more impartiality in patronage. Some few branches of administration have been committed to semi judicial semi-administrative Boards. In the Commonwealth the most important of these is the Inter State Commission, already referred to, and suggested by the United States Inter-State Commerce Commission. Such non political authorities have the advantage of being free to employ methods unhampered by routine regulations, and of exercising a better discrimination in selecting specially qualified subordinates. In the Judicial system the example of England

has been followed, and with the like salutary results, both in the States and in the Commonwealth Judges are appointed by the Crown (1 e by the responsible are appointed by the Crown (1e by the responsible ministry) and for life, being removable only on an address by both Houses of the Legislature The High Court of Australia, consisting of seven judges, has the right of determining constitutional questions, subject to an appeal to the British Privy Council when leave has been given by the Court The judicial Bench, everywhere filled by men of ability and learning, selected, as in Britain, from the Bar, enjoys the confidence of the people, and no serious proposal has ever been made to fill it (as in most of the American States) by popular election, though it has been attempted in Parliament to obtain from ministers an announcement of the persons whom they meant to appoint Partisans sometimes complain of decisions given when these lay down principles they dislike, or narrow the operation of measures they specially value. But no foreign critic or domestic grumbler has, so far as I know, impeached either the personal integrity of the judiciary or their conscientious desire to expound the law according to its true meaning and intent. This is the more satisfied. factory because many of the judges have, as in England, played a leading part in politics That such men should put off their politics when they put on their robes is one of those features of the British system which have, at home and abroad, worked better than could have been predicted No friend of Australia could wish anything

better for her than that the power of appointing to the Bench, and particularly to the High Court which interprets the Constitution, shall continue to be exercised in that honest and patriotic spirit which searches for men of the highest character and most unbiassed mind, unregardful of their personal opinions upon any current questions that have a political aspect.

There is, however, one cloud in the sky. Questions affecting labour and wages which approach the confines of politics have been coming to the front in recent years. Acts have been passed by the Commonwealth Parliament, by or at the instance of a political party, the validity of which, contested on the ground that the Constitution had not given Parliament the power to deal with the subject, has become a party issue, just as questions of Constitutional interpretation regarding slavery became political issues in the United States before the War of Secession. Moreover, an important Commonwealth statute (to be referred to later), establishing compulsory arbitration in labour disputes, entrusted to a judge of the High Court the determination of disputes regarding wages and other conditions of labour, a function that is really rather administrative than judicial. Though no charges of unfairness have been made against members of the High Court for their action in any of these issues, whether practical or purely legal, it may be difficult for Ministers who have to weigh the ments of persons considered for appointment to the Bench, to keep out of their thoughts the attitude such persons would be likely to take, as judges, upon the adoresaid delicate and highly controversial matters. I levould be a misfortune for Australia, as well as a blow to the authority of the Constitution, if it came to be supposed that judges were appointed with a view to their action in judicial controversies. The strength of long tradition has, except at a few moments, kept

¹ A high authority told me that this consideration was believed to have influenced some judicial appointments during the last necesor, and that judges of the inferior courts are sometimes selected with too little regard to their attainments.

CH XLVIII LOCAL (

English judges, though appointed by party Ministers, within the strait and narrow path, and a similar tradition now fortifies the Supreme Court of the United States But Australa has hardly yet had time to form traditions, so her position is less assured

√Of Local Governments in Australia one may say what Pericles said of the Athenian women, that the highest praise is given by saying nothing about them, because silence means that local authorities have been discharging stience means that local authorities have over unstanging their daily duties quietly and well. The system is in all the States generally similar to that of England, save that some functions there left to the local authority are here undertaken by the State. In one respect it is in practice better, because both the municipal councils and those which administer the shires are elected without the intrusion of political partisanship Election is on a rate paying franchise 1 The Mayor, chosen by the Council,* is only its chairman, not, as in most American cities, the holder of wide executive powers Australian municipalities show few of the evils from which the larger cities of the United States and two or three of the larger cities of Canada have suffered In one city only has administration been marked by scandals There has administration been marked by scandals. Incre is doubtless in others a little occasional jobbery, but on the whole things are as honestly managed as in the towns of England and Scotland. The provision of gas, electricity, and water is usually made by the cities, which in some cases derive revenue also from markets and cattle sale yards. Their financial condition is described as satisfactory, for though some have incurred large debts, the expenditure is represented by valuable property, and there are sinking funds for reducing city indebtedness. All municipal work is unpaid, but in large cities a sum is granted to the Mayor for defraying the expense of public hospitality, and this extends (in Victoria) to the presidents

¹ Somet mes (eg a Hobart) the ratepayer has a number of votes proport oned to

^{*} The proposal that the Mayor should be elected by the cizens has not so far receved much support

of Shire Councils. The maintenance of public order, together with asylums, prisons, and the expenses of justice, are left to the State, which, there being no poor law, votes money for charities and subsidizes some benevolent institutions. Old-age pensions are now a Commonwealth matter. Roads are made sometimes by the State, but generally by the shires and municipalities, with the aid, however, of a State subsidy. Much money has been expended upon tramways, which, except a few in private hands, belong to the States, as do nearly all the railroads.

Rural local government has, owing to the sparseness of population in the interior, never attained the importance it has long held in Switzerland and in the northern United States, nor has it done much to cultivate the political aptitudes of the people and vivify their interest in good administrator.

cultivate the political aptitudes of the people and vivify their interest in good administration.

Throughout Australia the police is efficient, a fact the more creditable because there exist large mountainous and thinly peopled areas not far from the great cities which would afford a convenient refuge to malefactors, as they did in the old days of the bush-rangers. Lynch law is unknown. The people, as in England and in Canada, take their stand on the side of the law, and the administration of the law justifies their confidence.

tration of the law justifies their confidence.
Education, which in early days it had been left to
the denominations to provide, is now entirely taken over
by the States, though there remain a good many private
Roman Cathohc elementary schools and a number of
private secondary schools, unsectarian and sectarian.
The conditions of a country where the population was
widely scattered, and in the rural areas very sparse, compelled State action, and the want of local interest and
local resources ended by completely centralizing it.
The localities resisted every attempt to make them
bear part of the charge of erecting and maintaining

A luced and interesting account of education in Australia, from which I have derived help, may be found in chapter xii. of the Federal Handbook, prepared in 1913 for the Brutish, Autocutton which then vinted Australia.

schools, while ministries and politicians found in the allocation of grants from the State treasury means for strengthening their position in doubtful constituencies. The State, as bearing the cost, exerts all the control, the teachers are deemed to be a part of the civil service. In recent years State Governments have shown an increasing zeal for the extension and improvement of education, Labour ministries certainly no less than others, and the sums expended on public instruction have continued to grow, till in 1912–13 they had reached the sum of £4,101,860 (or 17s 8d per head) for all the States as against £3,000,000 (13s 10d per head) in 1908–9 School buildings are still often defective, but the salaries of teachers and the quality of teaching have been rising steadily. In elementary schools no fees are charged, attendance (though imperfectly enforced) is legally compulsory, and in districts where schools are few and far between, public provision is often made for the conveyance of the pupils. No religious instruction beyond the reading of the Bible is provided, but the clergy of the denominations are permitted to give it in the schools, at stated times, to the children of their respective flocks, if the parents desire it for them. The Roman Catholic Church complains that its members are required to contribute complains that its members are required to contribute as tax payers to the support of schools it disapproves, and demands support for those it maintains at its own cost, which are, however, in New South Wales, where

the Catholics are most numerous, attended by only 40 per cent of the Roman Catholic children

The provision of secondary education, if still imper fect, is improving in quantity and quality Schools of all grades are being brought into closer relations with the universities, and in some States the number of teachers who hold degrees is increasing There are excellent agricultural colleges, but technical instruction in other branches is still deficient

Whether education is suffering, or is likely to suffer, from being not only centralized but standardized and

PT. 11 reduced to an undesirable uniformity, is a question on

which Australian opinion is divided, though no one alleges that it has, as in many American cities, and in France also, "got into politics." The teachers seem to be left free, and they come nearer than in England to being a united profession, in which merit can rise from humbler to higher posts.

Each State aids its university by a considerable public grant, but exercises no more authority than is implied by its being represented on every governing body.

Though State subventions are a proper recognition

of the importance, especially in a new country where men's minds are chiefly occupied with business and amusement, of institutions dedicated not only to instruction but also to learning and science and research in all the fields of human activity, and though among the professors there are many men of conspicuous ability and distinction in their several spheres of work, the universities have hitherto counted for less in the progress and the development of Australian life than the Universities have in that of America; and they have not, owing to their limited resources, had the chance of doing so much as the latter to raise the standard of knowledge and thought in the country. This, assuredly not the fault of their teaching staffs, seems due to a deficient appreciation among the people at large of the services seats of learning may render. It is to be hoped that men of wealth will, as has been done on a grand scale in the United States, add freely to the endowments, still small in proportion to their requirements, which the Universities have already received from donors who saw their value as factors in national progress. Nowhere in the world is there more need for the work which Universities can do for an advancing people.

CHAPTER XLIX

AUSTRALIAN PARTIES AND POLICIES

THAT political parties would grow up in each colony so soon as responsible government had been granted was a matter of course, for where the powers and emoluments of office are prizes offered to the leaders of a majority in a legislature, its members are sure to unite and organize themselves to win these prizes But upon what lines would parties be formed? The Whigs and Tories of the Mother Country lay far behind, and most of the questions which had been party issues in England did not exist here There were, however, those opposite tendencies which always divide the men who reach forward to something new from the men who hold fast to the old, and there was also sure to come the inevitable opposition between the interests of the few who have a larger and the many who have a smaller share of the world's goods

share of the world's goods

Some of the questions which have been the foundations of parties in Europe were absent. There were
no race antagonisms, for the settlers were all of British
stock, and hardly any religious antagonisms. Apart from
local questions, important wherever a new community
is making roads or railways or laying out towns, the
matters that first occupied the assemblies were constitutional and economic. The former were easily disposed
of by the enactment in every colony first of manhood
suffrage and then of adult suffrage for elections to the
popular House, but in Victoria, and somewhat later in

PT. II

South Australia, there were long struggles over the structure of the Upper Chamber. Economic issues cut deeper and have been more permanent. They turned first upon the tenure of land, and took the form of a conflict between those called the

squatters, who had early obtained large leaseholds, and

others, the "free selectors," who, coming later, were granted rights of acquiring freeholds out of such large leaseholds in order to increase the number of cultivating owners. Simultaneously, or a little later, fiscal controversies emerged, and in some colonies the two parties were for a long time distinguished as respectively the advocates either of a tariff for revenue only, or of a tariff · for the protection of domestic industries. Other questions, such as the provision of religious education and the restriction of the sale of intoxicants, from time to time arose, but the most vital differences till near the end of last century concerned land and financial policy. The Free Trade party was generally dominant in New South Wales, the Protectionist in Victoria, which had a rela-

tively larger manufacturing population. Every party organization is compact and efficient in proportion to the forces it has behind it, be they those of racial or religious passion, or of political doctrine, or

of attachment to a leader, or of material interest. In the United States, besides those motives of

traditional loyalty to a doctrine or a phrase or a name which prompt men to unite for political action, the pecuniary interest felt by the enormous number of persons holding or desiring to hold public office built up the party Machine. In England there was a driving force during most of the eighteenth and the first threequarters of the nineteenth century in the influence exerted by the landowners and supporters of the Established Church on the one hand, and by the commercial classes and Nonconformists on the other. In Australia none of the aforesaid forces, except, to a slight extent, that of interest, was operative till recently, nor did any leader

arisa who exerted a strong personal fascination. Accord-

ingly, the party organizations were loose and feeble. There were only two parties in the legislatures, the Ministerialists and the Opposition, the Ins and the Outs, but, except at moments of high tension, members passed easily from the one to the other. made new combinations, and sometimes took up and carried measures they had previously opposed, while the mass of the voters were not permanently ranged under one or other party banner Nothing was seen like that elaborate system of local committees which has existed in the United States for nearly a century, nor even like those local Liberal and Conservative Associations which grew up in Britain from about 1865 onwards Australian conditions did not furnish, except in respect of the land question, such a social basis for parties as England had, nor was there, outside the legislatures, any class which had aught to gain from office, so party any class which had aught to gain from office, so party activity was less eager and assiduous than it has been in America. The fluidity of parties and want of organization were, however, to some extent compensated by the power of the newspapers, which led the voters at least as much as did the party chiefs, while the fact that nearly half of the electors lived in or near great cities made public meetings a constant and important means of influencing opinion and determining votes.

Towards the end of last century a change came, and the force appeared which were destined to superactions.

other forces appeared which were destined to give a new character to Australian politics

While in the legislatures the ceaseless strife of the Ins and Outs went on in the old British fashion, though with more frequent swings of the pendulum, the leaders of the working men were beginning to exert themselves outside the regular party lines They pressed forward Labour questions, such as that of the Eight Hours Day Chinese immigration had been stopped under their pressure, because it threatened to affect the rate of wages. The English Dockers' Strike of 1889 had quickened the activities and roused the hopes of Australian trade unions, already well

organized. In every colony Trade and Labour Councils, embracing and combining the efforts of a number of the existing Unions, began to be formed, and their leaders began to busy themselves with politics in a way distasteful to Unionists of the older type. Already in 1881 the Labour Unions of a New South Wales constituency had returned a member to the Legislature to advocate their aims. The example was followed in South Australia in 1887, in Victoria in 1891, in Queensland in 1892, in Western Australia in 1897, and in Tasmania in 1903, so Labour parties grew up in every Legislature. The movement re-ceived a stimulus from the great strike which, arising in Melbourne in 1890 out of a dispute between the Marine Officers' Association and their employers, spread far and wide over the country, and involved many industries. This, and another great strike (in 1894) of the woolshearers, was attended with many disorders, in dealing with which the State Governments incurred the wrath of the Union leaders. The Unions continued to grow in membership and influence till their large membership, led by energetic men, came to constitute a vote with which candidates and ministers had to reckon. For a time they were content to press upon successive ministries the measures they desired, but when they came to form a considerable element in the legislatures, they adopted the plan, familiar from its use in the British House of Commons by the Irish Nationalists, of voting solidly as one body, and transferring their support to whichever of the old parties bid highest for it by a promise to comply with their demands. This was the easier because the two pre-existing parties, divided chiefly on Protection or Free Trade, could practise a facile

opportunism on labour issues. When the first Parliament of the Australian Commonwealth was elected in 1900, there appeared in it a Labour ' party already numbering, in House and Senate, twentyfour out of a total of one hundred and eleven members. The two older parties, which had existed in the former

colonies (now States), reappeared in the Federal Parlia ment. One was practically Protectionist, the other largely composed of Free Traders. The existence of these three parties promised ill for stability. The first ministry fell (after three years), defeated by a combination of Free Traders and Labourites. A Labour ministry came. in, but although the General Election of 1903 had raised the numbers of the Labour party to twenty-six in a House of seventy five, their strength was obviously insufficient, and after three months they fell, to be succeeded by another ministry, whose head was a Liberal, but which included both Protectionists and Free Traders. This administration was in its turn overthrown, after ten months of life, by the other two parties voting together against it A ministry of a Protectionist colour followed, and held office for three years by judiciously "keeping in touch" with the Labour party. When the latter, having obtained many of the measures they desired, suddenly withdrew their support, these ministers fell, to be succeeded by a second Labour Cabinet Its life also was short, for after six months the leaders of the other two parties, alarmed at some utterances of the Labour men, which seemed to be taking on more and more of a socialistic tinge, resolved to effect a fusion Thereupon, by the joint efforts of two sets of politicians theretofore mutually hostile, the Labour men were turned out, and a Coalition Government installed at the end of 1909 Next year came the regular trienmal dissolution of Parliament The Labour party had been continuing to gain strength in the country, and on this occasion it was favoured by the occurrence, while the canvas was proceeding, of a strike among the coal-miners of New South Wales, which led to grave disorders and irritated the working class The coalition of two theretofore antagonistic parties had, moreover, displeased many electors who had previously given their support to one or other, and many of these seem to have now abstance from voting. The result was a victory for the Labour party, who secured a working majority in the Assembly VOL II

and an overwhelming majority in the Senate. Thus ended that triangular conflict which had caused six changes of Government within the first ten years of the Common-

wealth, rendering ministries unstable and breeding constant intrigues and cabals. Those who had formerly been Protectionists and Free Traders were now united as one Opposition, following one group of leaders, and offering what resistance they could in a conservative or

anti-socialist sense to the dominant Labour caucus. In 1915 the Labour party split up on the question of compulsory military service, its smaller section retaining office by a coalition with the Liberals, some of whose leaders entered the Cabinet. The new party thus formed took the name of National. In 1920 it held a majority in the Commonwealth Parliament 1 While this was happening in the Commonwealth,

politics were taking a similar course in the six States.

The Labour parties which grew up found it at first expedient to play off the two pre-existing parties against one another, and so to get legislation from whichever was in power as the price of support. Ultimately the Labour-ites succeeded, first in Western Australia in 1904, in securing majorates which placed them in control of most States till the split of 1915, after which they lost the other States, except Queensland, to the Nationalist party. The coalescence in the States of the two old Liberal and Protectionist parties came the more easily because the tariff, having been transferred to the Commonwealth Parliament by the Federal Constitution of 1900, no longer furnishes a State issue. Thus everywhere in Australia the two-party system came again to hold the field, though at the general election of 1919 many votes were in three States given for a party called the Farmers' Union and in two other States, a smaller number of votes

for those who called themselves Independents.

¹ The Roman Catholic Church has latterly, especially in New South Wales, where there is a large frish element, and in Queensland, given its support to the Labour Porty, which largely consists of men of Irish stock, and it has become an ardent advocate of Irish claims to self government. Thus religion has come, practically for the first time, to be a factor in Australian politics.

Against the contingency of schism within its ranks the Labour party has, by its organization, long taken every precaution to provide. The system deserves a short description. It is novel it is effective its example may probably be followed elsewhere.

example may probably be followed elsewhere

The organization has two objects—to select the party candidates and to formulate the party doctrines former is primarily a local task, the latter is for the whole of the party in the State, or in the Commonwealth, as

the case may be

In every constituency there is a Tride Union Council and a Political Labour League Every member signs its constitution on entrance, and is bound thereby These two bodies work together, the Labour League selecting the party candidate for that constituency, while often conferring with and influenced by the central Labour Council of the State Every candidate is required to take the party pledge, ze to declare that he accepts the authorized programme for the time being in force, and will, if elected, vote as the majority of the party in the legislature decide

In each State there is held, shortly before the ap

In each State there is held, shortly before the approaching triennial general election, a Conference of delegates from all Trade Union Councils and Political Labour Leagues, at which a legislative programme of the State party is discussed and adopted Once adopted, it is binding on all members of the party, and especially on candidates and members of the legislatures. The State party becomes, for the purposes included in the platform, both as respects the general election and for the duration of the incoming legislature, an army under discipline, moving at the word of command. The members of this Conference are elected in each State according to rules prescribed by the State party authority. Similarly in the Commonwealth there is held once in three years, shortly before the impending Federal elections, a Conference consisting of six delegates from the central authority of the organized Labour party in each State.

and determines the party platform for political action in the Federal Parliament, and by this document, when adopted, every member of the party in Parliament is bound, as respects both the points set forth in the platform and also his own votes on any "questions of confidence" that may arise in Parliament, i.e. when the question is that of supporting or opposing a ministry on issues involving its tenure of office.

The terms of the pledge as first settled were as follows .

I hereby pledge myself not to oppose the candidate selected by the recognized political Labor organization, and, if elected, to do my umost to carry out the principles embodied in the Australian Labor party's platform, and on all questions affecting the platform to vote as a majority of the Parliamentary party may decide at a duly constituted caucus meeting 1

When a Legislature (either Commonwealth or State) is sitting, the members who belong to the Labour party meet regularly in caucus once a week, or oftener if some emergency arises, to deliberate, with closed doors, some energency areas, to denote the middle double.

Each member is bound by every decision arrived at by the majority upon questions within the scope of the party platform, including all amendments to Bills falling within that programme. As the total number of Labour members in the two Houses is considerable, secrecy is not easily secured. The debates in caucus are said to be thorough, so every member can master the questions on which he is to vote. When the party commands a majority, its unanimity enables it to run its Bills through quickly, because there may be little or no debate on its side, while the resistance of the minority can be overcome by the use of closure, which is in fact constantly applied. Sometimes the whole party, except one or two left to keep the debate going in the House, withdraw

I quote them from the book of Mr W M Hughes, The Case for Labor (first published in 1910', p 66, where the pledge system is explained and advocated So far as I know, these terms have remained in force, but whether that is so or not the principle they embody continues to rule,

CH XLIX THE PARLIAMENTARY CAUCUS 229

into caucus to consider their action, and return to vote when they have reached a decision

This parliamentary caucus has also the right, when it constitutes a majority in the legislature, of selecting the members of the Administration. The leader of the party in the Assembly whom the Governor has summoned to form a government is not free to choose his colleagues, but must take those whom the caucus names Much canvassing goes on in the caucus on the part of aspirants to office, and when a minister has been chosen, he holds his post at the pleasure of the caucus, which is entitled to require his retirement if he fails to give satisfaction. To them, and not to Parliament, each minister is responsible. This is in effect a supersession of Cabinet government, and largely of Parliamentary government itself, because a majority in an Assembly debating openly, and also because the caucus itself is largely ruled by a power outside its own body 1. Until this organization of the Labour party, both

Until this organization of the Labour party, both in the constituencies and in Parliament, had been built up, the two old parties, and, after their fusion, the united party, which was generally called I iberal, but now (1920) constitutes the large majority of the Nationalists, had possessed very little organization. In each electoral area the local heads of the party arranged who should be their candidate, and in Parliament the members followed their party leader upon the main issues, retaining their independence in minor matters. The bonds of party allegiance were not drawn tight in Australia any more than they had been in Great Britain before the years 1890–1905. When, however, the Labour party became a formidable fighting organization, the other party, obliged to follow suit, created a political machinery approximating to that of its opponents, though less complete and much less stringent

³ This caucus sy tem has been retained by the present Labour party, which is now the regular Oppos to an in the Commonwealth both in the Commonwealth Parliament and in any States in which it happens to be in a min in.

PT. II

As respects the Commonwealth, its supreme party authority, called the Australian Liberal Union, was made to consist of all organizations recognized by the Executive, and its direction vested in an Annual Conference of six representatives from each State. This Conference appoints a Council of three members from each State, and the Council, which must meet at least once a year, appoints an Executive of six, one from each State. The platform is adopted by the Conference, but business connected with Federal elections is labe to the State surhearties while the forestion. Conference, but business connected with reducia elections is left to the State party authorities, while the formation of a ministry belongs to the party leader summoned by the Governor-General to undertake that duty.

Under this system accordingly no pledge is exacted from a candidate except that of adhesion to the general party platform, and the formulation of the party programme is left to the parliamentary chief. In practice, the member of a legislature who belongs to what is now the "National" party seems to enjoy a much greater latitude in his action than is allowed to the Labour member. More freedom, of course, means less discipline and therefore less fighting efficiency than belongs to the Labour party. Both the party organizations, although they purport to leave the selection of parliamentary candidates primarily to the localities, exert a greater influence upon the choice than British practice has usually recognized, and both organizations bind the member to the support of the party platform more strictly than did either of the two old British parties forty years ago, or than the practice of American parties does to-day. Labour member. More freedom, of course, means

Any one can see wi idvantages the Labour party has derived from the system above described. It had in nas derived from the system above described. It had in every local trade union and Council of trade unions, as well as in the Political Labour Leagues, a firm foundation on which to build, for the Unions had their officials, were already accustomed to work together, and had a claim on the allegiance of their members. The adoption of a programme, in settling which every member had, either directly or through his delegates, an equal voice, made the system in form democratic. The platform, setting forth definite aims, gave every member of a Union an interest in their attainment Canvassing was hardly needed, because the members of the organizations were personally known, and could, with their female relatives, be readily brought up to the poll femaie relatives, be readily brought up to the poll While the other parties everted themselves chiefly when elections were approaching, the Labour organization was always at work, costing little, because special political agents were not required. Thus the part was able to cast its full and undivided vote, and when women were admitted to the suffrage, their vote was cast along with that of the men to a greater extent than was possible in the other parties, in which many of the women, especially those of the richer class, did not trouble themselves to go to the polls ¹ The Labour party was moulded into a sort of Spartan or Prussian army, to which perfect union gave strength It was in practice, if not in theory, an undemocratic system, but, in view of aims that were dear to all, individual freedom was willingly sacrificed to collective victory Other causes also helped the swift growth of the Labour party A positive and definite programme is always attractive This one made a direct appeal to the hand workers Shorter hours and better wages need little advocacy, especially when they promise the attainment by legal and pacific means of objects for which men have been fighting by means of objects for which here had been many defeats with consequent suffering Clear and coherent in its aims, solidly united in its action, the Collection in its action, the Labour party stood at first over against two parties which it had forced reluctantly to concede measures they were both known to dislike Afterwards it was arrayed against a coalition of politicians who had been

¹ Nearly all of my informants regarded woman suffrage as having materially added to the renegation of the Labour party. We must seem to wote less than men. In a recent hew South Walse elect on for the State Assembly the percentage of men wor in where seats were contested to the total number on the roll was 76 that of the women worting 6,

differing on an issue deemed fundamental, and who were now united only in their anti-Socialism. The two most prominent leaders of this coalition, Mr. Reid and Mr. Deakin, were men of high character, long experience, and eminent capacity, men whom to know personally was and timent capacity, has most to know passionary was to like and to value. But there was slackness among their supporters. A purely defensive attitude is even less inspiriting in politics than in war. The economic arguments on which the Liberal leaders relied went over the heads of the average voter, and had been discredited in principle by the frequent divergence of Australian legislation from sound economic doctrine. Those leaders could, of course, appeal to something stronger than prin-ciples—the self-interest of the richer class, who saw themselves threatened by a constantly growing taxation. But most business men thought it less trouble to go on making money than to descend into the political arena. They voted, but they did not throw themselves into the fight as did the Labour men.

In point of education and knowledge the Liberals

had an advantage; yet not so great an advantage as Europeans may suppose. Among the Labour chiefs there were a few men who, gifted with natural talents, had educated themselves by reading, and in some cases had entered the legal profession and made a reputation there. There were others who, with little book learning, had forced their way upwards from day labour through the offices of the trade unions, and been trained by assiduous practice to be alert observers, skilled organizers, capable debaters. The career of a Unionist organizer and secretary gives a fine schooling to an active and tactful man, turning him out all the better fitted for his work because not encumbered with tastes or attainments which nught impair his sympathy with his own class and their sympathy with him. Setting aside a few eccentric persons who owe their rise to boisterous good-humour

¹ The present generation of Labour members is, however, desembed as rather inferior to those whom the early struggles of the Labour party brought to the front, and there would seem to be to-day fewer leaders of the calibre I noted when visiting Australia

or to a somewhat wayward energy, the average ability of the Labour Ministries that have held power in the Commonwealth is said to be little, if at all, inferior to that to be found among the Liberals, and possibly not below that of men prominent in the House of Representatives at Washington or in the Parliament of Canada These Australian leaders understand the questions they have chiefly to deal with as thoroughly, on the practical side, as do their antagonists They know human nature
—which is after all the thing a politician most needs to know—quite as well, and the particular type of human nature to which most Australian voters belong, very much better The Liberal politicians suffer from that suspicion which the average worker feels towards a member of the richer class. In Great Britain a candidate for Parliament gains with the electors, though less to day than formerly, by being a min of means and education In Australia he loses His social advantages are political drawbacks He may overcome them by popular manners and a frank honesty of purpose, but drawbacks they remain This is more noticeable in Austraha than in the United States or Canada, because though equality reigns in all three countries alike, there is more of British aloofness among the richer Australians

The week point of Australian politicians, with some exceptions among the leaders, is their deficient education, and that narrowness of view which the concentration of attention on a particular set of questions and interests produces. This is natural in people who live fri apart from the rest of the civilized world, and in a country which has had only a short history. They miss something which Europeans, possessing no more school education, obtuin by a sort of infiltration. Those who visit Europe generally return with their horizons notably widened. Such deficiencies may be expected to dis appear with the growth of the country and its more frequent intercourse with Europe and North America.

CHAPTER L

"QUESTIONS NOW BEFORE THE AUSTRALIAN PEOPLE

We may now turn from the machinery of Government, the methods of administration, and the party organizations, to enquire what are the concrete questions which actually occupy the statesmen and people of Australia. What ideas guide them? What objects do they seek to attain? and by what means?

As these questions are, allowing for minor local differences, the same in all the States and in the Commonwealth Parlament, it is convenient to treat them together, as common to the whole country, though the forms they have taken vary slightly in the several States.

They may be classified under three heads: (1) Those on which the people of Australia as a whole are substantially agreed; (2) those on which there is a preponderance of opinion sufficient to remove them from the forefront of controversy; and (3) those which acute differences of opinion have made the battle-ground of politics.

The first class includes, happily for Australia and for the other Dominions as well as for the mother country, the mantenance of a political connection between Australia and the rest of the British people dispersed over the world. Most of those whose opinion carries weight regard this connection as equally beneficial to all the territories of the British Crown. There is among

the more thoughtful a general though vague desire for some constitutional changes which may draw those relations even closer than they are now, so that the means of common defence may be more perfectly organized, and that the Dominions may receive a share in the direction of foreign policy corresponding to that share in the responsibility for common defence which they have themselves been undertaking, as Australia did when her naval force co operated with that of Britain. How this object may best be attained is not yet clear. But the growing feeling that union is strength has been growing feeling that union is strength has been emphasized by the Great War, which, while developing in Austrulia a strong national self consciousness, made it also evident that the safety of each part of the British dominions depended on the safety of every other part The recognition given to Australia as a nation by her admission as a Signatory of the Peace Treaties of 1919 and 1920 and as a member of the Treaties of 1919 and 1920 and as a memory of the League of Nations marked an epoch in her position in world politics. Sentiment and interest alike prescribe some system under which, while the fullest independence in local affairs is maintained for each of the self governing divisions of the Empire, its collective energy for common affairs shall be regularized and increased, but those who desire to propound any scheme for creating a closer constitutional relation must not forget that the expression of a wish for it must, if success is to follow, come from Australia herself as well as from Britain 1

Australia herself as well as from Britain ¹
There is in Australia an even more general agreement that the continent must be strictly reserved for the white European races, excluding persons of East Asiatic or South Asiatic or African origin The watchword, A White Australia, 1s proclaimed by all parties alike The philanthropic and cosmopolitan philosophers of the nineteenth century would have been shocked by the notion of keeping these races perpetually apart,

¹ Even the use of the words Emp re and Imper a sm exc tes a some quarters a susp c on lest self government should be encroached upon by the estab ahment of any sort of central author ty, however test teed as functions

and warning black or yellow peoples off from large parts of the earth's surface. Even now most largehearted Europeans dislike what seems an attitude of unfriendliness to men of a different colour, and a selfishness in debarring the more backward races from opportunities of learning from the more advanced, and in refusing to all non-European races, advanced and backward, the chance of expansion in lands whose torrid climate they can support better than white men can. Nevertheless, there is another side to the matter Whoever studies the phenomena that attend the contact of whites with civilized East Asiatics in Pacific North America, not to speak of those more serious difficulties that arise between whites and coloured people in large regions of America and in South Africa, perceives that there are other grounds, besides the desire of working men to prevent the competition of cheap Asiatic labour, which may justify exclusion. The admixture of blood, which is sure ultimately to come wherever races, however different, dwell close together, raises grave questions, not only for white men, but for the world at large. Scientific enquiries have not so far warranted the assumption that a mixed race is necessarily superior to the less advanced of the two races whence it springs It may be inferior to either, or the gain to the less advanced may be slighter than the loss to the more advanced. One must not dogmatize on this subject, and many of those who know the yellow traces at home deem their intellectual quality not inferior to that of the white races. Be that as it may facts as they now stand prove that social and political friction, harmful to both races, would follow from their contact on the same ground.1

5. On the subject of a compulsory universal military training (i.e. preparation fitting the citizen for possible war service) there had been before 1914 a pretty general

¹ What is said in the test is of course said with reference to the world as at present entring. To think of a future centimes shead is to think of conditions under which race (pison may be advancing much faster than it advances to-day, and should our planet, or human life upon it, last till another Ice Age returns, the process of faison may by then have blens all the races into a.

concurrence of opinion Until 1915 the question of compulsory service had not (except as regards home defence) been raised Compulsion was twice rejected by popular votes taken during the War In the second class of questions two only need mention One is Immigration As the population of Australia grows very slowly by natural increase, there is urgent need for settlers to fill up and develop the tracts which are fit for tillage, not to speak of the still larger areas which supply pisture for sheep but in which population must needs be relatively scanty But the working class does not wish to see any afflux of incomers which could bring down the wages paid in handicrafts, while those who want land for themselves think they ought to be provided for before any corn petitors from without are introduced. Thus the pro-posals for attracting settlers from Europe have been half posais for attracting sectors from Empty have been fruit hearted and feeble. Few votes are to be gained by advocating them, many votes might be lost. Latterly a little more has been done, but even the Laberal party, more disposed to favour immigration than is the Labour party, did not venture to advocate any large and bold scheme. The European visitor thinks that there is a lack of wisdom as well as of altruism in discouraging an immigration which would increase prosperity by raising the number of consumers, and thus making needless the incessant enhancement of prices which is caused by building the tariff wall higher prices which is clusted by bushing the farm with higher and higher. But though no one opposes immigration in principle, the matter drags on, and nothing happens.

The other question is that of Protection versus Free

The other question is that of Protection versus Free Trade This issue—protective import duties or tariff for revenue only—was the chief dividing line between parties before Confederation. It still divides opinion within the parties that is to say, there are some Free Traders in the Liberal or Nationalist party and some few in the Labour party. But the Protectionist majority in_both parties is large enough to have forced the minorities to acquiesce, and the question is no longer

one on which elections are fought.\(^1\) The rich manufacturers and sugar planters see direct profit in a tariff which raises prices by excluding European competition. The working men believe that they gain more by getting higher wages from the protected manufacturers than they would gain by the lower prices of commodities which the competition of imported manufacturers would secure. Owing to the high wages paid for labour, Australia exports no large amount of manufactured articles, except agricultural implements to Argentina. If the domestic market for her manufactures were swamped by foreign competition, the manufacturing industries would—so it is argued—disappear. Now there exists in all classes a sort of feeling that Australia, a vast occan island far from other civilized countries, ought to be self-sufficing, and possess within her own limits the means of producing everything she can need. This is not a view grounded, as was a similar doctrine in Russia, on the need for self-defence in war, because Australians knew that if they were at war with a great naval power they would either have with them the naval strength of the British Empire as a whole, or else, if that navy were unable to command the seas, be left in a position where their domestic resources would avail little. It is rather due to the patriotic wash to be a complete and fully due to the patriotic wish to be a complete and fully equipped Continental microcosm, rejoicing in a variety of industries and capable of maintaining and developing

of industries and capable of maintaining and developing them without fearing foreign competition.

Last of all, we come to those "live" and highly controversial issues which now divide the existing parties, or, in other words, to the plans and proposals of the Labour party, these being practically the aggressively positive policies chiefly before the people, since the Liberals are in effect a party of resistance or caution, the proposals they put forward being designed to attain in a gradual or tentative way some of the aims which the Labour men seek by more drastic methods.

1 The adjustment of details in the protective tartiff has, however, sometimes led to lobbying in the Commonwealth Parliament

Now the Labour policies may be summed up in the general statement that they seek to gain by constitutional means those objects which trade unions had previously sought by strikes, i.e. higher wages, shorter hours, easier conditions of labour, preference in employment for the members of trade unions, the recognition of Unions as alone entitled to bargain with employers, and the extension of Unions to include the whole wage earning population. Strikes were a defective method, inflicting hardships on the strikers, often attended by violence, always involving economic loss to the country Moreover, they often failed. Where the workers command the popular majority, why not use their voting

To these old aims there have been added others which strikes could not have attained, such as heavier taxation of the rich, a progressive land-tax, a fiscal system designed to secure for the workers a share in whatever the producer gains by a tariff, more stringently protective navigation laws, the nationalization of all monopolies, perhaps of all "great scale industries," a Commonwealth bank, a public system of insurance, an extension of the powers of the Federal Government by Constitutional amendments, and the introduction of the Initiative and Referendum

power to obtain what they desire?

It would be impossible to examine in detail the plans proposed for these various purposes and the arguments used to support them All I can attempt is to select some of the more important topics which present novel features or helpfully illustrate Australian tendencies I begin with the question which has longest occupied the

CHAPTER LI

LABOUR POLICIES AND PROPOSALS

THE LAND QUESTION

OMITTING the earlier stages of the tangled history of Land legislation in Australia, let us regard the later developments it has taken in the hands of the Labour party.

Under the short-sighted policy that prevailed when Australia was being first settled, and for many years thereafter, much of the best land was suffered to pass into the hands of comparatively few owners. So far as regards land fit only for sheep, the existence of large estates may be justified by the fact that the small sheep-masters are less fitted to stand the risks occasional dry seasons than are the large proprietors. On a small estate nearly all of a flock may perish by drought, with ruin to the owner, whereas the large pastoralist may pull through, not only because he has more capital accumulated from good years to fall back upon, but also because there is almost sure to be water available, even in droughts, at some point on his sheep-run.1 This reason, however, does not apply to lands fit for dairy-farming or for tillage, and the holders of such small farms are few in proportion to the land available. To extend their number and facilitate the acquisition of land by men of moderate means is therefore an object desirable on non-controversial economic and social grounds.

¹ The extension of railway communications has been tending to reduce this source of loss, because when water cannot be found for the sheep it has been me easier to take the sheep to the nearest water.

CH. LI

In seeking this object, recent legislation has pro-ceeded chiefly by two methods. One is the imposition 1 of a Land Tax, progressive in proportion to the quantity, or rather to the value, of land held 1 This taxation, though in some States either proposed or not resisted by the Liberal leaders, has in the main been due to the Labour party. The large landowners have usually opposed it, but so far they have proved able to bear it. The aim of bringing more land into the market has, however, been only to a slight extent attained

The other method is that of compulsory acquisition by the State of land suitable for sheep or for tillage, to be resold to small purchasers This process, applied for some time past, but only on a small scale, has proved expensive, for purchase by the State tends to raise prices, and the price the State obtains on a resale may be less than that which it has paid. It has happened that the State, while purchasing land with a view to resale, is at the same time selling some of the remaining Crown lands for prices lower than those at which it has been purchasing land of like quality

State income tax s

Other expedients have also been adopted Some-1 times the land is leased on a system whereby the tenant becomes owner after he has paid the price by instal-ments spread over a number of years Sometimes long leases, perhaps virtually perpetual, are granted either at a fixed rent or with provisions for periodical revaluations, thus giving an opportunity for raising the rent (if the value has risen), so as to secure for the State the so-called "unearned increment" The experiment has also been tried of perpetual leases, resembling what is called in Scotland a "feu," whereby the tenant holds for ever, at a fixed rent, but cannot assign his interest without the consent of the State, which therefore can count upon

VOL II

I Estates below f_{1000} no value are exempt, and the rate beginning at one penny in the f_{100} (or estates between that and f_{1000} 000 rises thereafter by one half penny in the fup to s spence in the fon a tates of foo ooo or more. An addit onal one penny in the £ (+1s) is charged on all owners who do not res de in Austral a There are also prog ess we income taxes in force but these are now too common in other countries to require descript on here. In some States there exist both Federal and

rent of course forfeits the lease.

The general result of all these plans has fallen short of the needs of the case and the expectations formed. Australia ought to have a much larger element of persons owning and living off the land, such an element as gives social stability to the United States and Canada. It may be added that while the Socialist party disapprove of permanent individual property in land, the Single Taxers, not so numerous here as in Western America, consistently condemn the exemption from taxation of any piece of land, however small.

The law relating to the distribution and tenure of the public land has been since the dawn of history one of the most difficult problems which economists and politicians have had to deal with. It was so all through the life of the Roman Republic. Every nation has committed so many errors that none is entitled to reproach others for their failures. But there is something proculiarly regrettable in seeing the vast vacant lands of a new continent so dealt with as to cause widespread discontent and involve, if not the waste, yet the unduly slow development of the wealth Nature has bestowed upon a new nation.

FINANCIAL POLICY

The long struggle between Free Trade and Protection was for the time closed by the adoption of the Federal Constitution and the predominance of the Protectionist party in the Commonwealth Parliament. This result was partly due to the need for raising money fesult was party due to the need for raising money for Commonwealth purposes by indirect taxation; and the policy has received further help from the steady raising of wages by the Wages Boards and Industrial Arbitration Courts, to be presently described. As wages went on rising, the manufacturers complained they could no longer make a fair profit unless import duties were

¹ This may be compared to the tenure called in the Roman law Emphyteusis.

also raised to enable them to exclude foreign competition. The workmen, already disposed to believe that constant employment and good wages depended on protective tariffs, accepted this view, so a plan was devised under which tariffs, prices, and wages were all to rise together as parts of a comprehensive scheme. This plan has received the name of the New Protection

"The term 'New Protection' expresses the idea that the protection which the manufacturer receives should be made conditional on his paying what is considered a fair wage to his employees and providing labour conditions otherwise satisfactory. In the view of those who supported this policy, it was considered that the protective tariff might become a shield for trusts and combines, which might reap the benefit of monopoly prices while keeping the 'real wages of workmen at a low level.' The next step was therefore to make legislative provision for the repression of monopolies, and the pretention of 'dumping,' and then to ensure that a protected manufacturer should charge a reasonable price for the products of his factory, and also that the benefits of a protective duty should not be monopolized by the employer, but be shared with his workmen''.

Among the Acts passed to give effect to this idea, one, the Excise Tariff Act of 1906, imposed upon agricultural machinery manufactured in Australia one half of the duty chargeable upon similar machinery imported, but provided for the exemption from this duty of such home-made machinery as had been manufactured under conditions either declared to be reasonable by a resolution of both Houses of the legislature, or approved by the President of the Commonwealth Arbitration Court. This Act was, however, pronounced invalid by a decision of the High Court holding that the matter belonged to the States and not to the Commonwealth. But the principles of the New Protection are being to some extent carried out in practice. When

Nawbook for huma op 40% where a whichile it tous on of the whole subject, too long for quotation he e may be found. No proceedings against dumping have in fact been taken. The matter however simple it may look in prince pie, proves puzzling in practice.

³ To leave to Parliament the determination of what were reasonable cond tons was to impose on ta duty difficult to discharge in an independent and impart all spirit.

wages are raised by a Board or the Court, the manufacturer insists that in order to enable him to pay the higher wage he must be helped by a higher scale of duties. His demand finds favour and the import duty is screwed up accordingly. This adjustment of tariffs to wages in the joint interest of manufacturer and employee has been represented as an attempt to fix the prices at which goods are to be sold, but some of its defenders declare it to be no more than a proper effort to ascertain to just what point duties must be raised in order to enable the manufacturer to obtain a reasonable profit while he pays a reasonable wage. It seems to be no illegitimate development of Protectionist principles.

Europeans may ask why consumers do not complain when they find that in the effort to benefit the working class the price of articles is being constantly raised upon the workers themselves, who are the largest class of consumers and the class on which indirect taxation chiefly presses. The answer seems to be that the consumer. who is also, as a worker, a producer, feels, in Australia as in the United States, less interest in what he pays as a consumer than in what he receives as a producer, not because he gains more, for he probably loses more than he gains, but because wages are something direct and palpable, paid into his hand, whereas the higher cost of commodities, being diffused over many small transactions, is not directly felt, and seldom traced to its tariff source. It is nevertheless argued with some force that the New Protection ought to protect the con-sumer also, and that the fixing of the prices at which protected products should be sold would be a logical extension of the doctrine, if this proved practically workable, and could be done under the Federal Con-

¹ What is said in the text about legal enactments regulating trade and labour is to be taken as referring generally to the facts as they stood in 1913, when things were fairly normal. I have not found it possible to keep abreast of the changes, legal and economic, that have come to pass since that year, and conditions were, of course, abnormal duting the Great War and for some time afterwards,

CH LI

State aid to the producer is in Australia given also in the form of bounties upon the products of some industries "The Bounties Act 1907, the Manufacturers Encouragement Act 1908, and the Shale Oil Bounties Act 1910, in providing for the encouragement of certain industries, provide also for the refusal or reduction of a bounty if the production of a commodity is not accompanied by the payment to the workers employed in that production of a fair and reasonable rate of wage "1 The most conspicious instance of bounties was the

in that production of a fair and reasonable rate of wage. The most conspicuous instance of bounties was the large subvention paid to the sugar planters of Queensland for the maintenance of that industry, now that in pursuance of the "White Australia policy" they are forbidden to use the cheap labour of aborigines from the Pacific Islands. In response to this demand not only was the duty on imported sugar raised, but a large bounty also was granted. Given the will to maintain a 'hothouse industry' and the resolve to have neither Kanaka labour por this of impurgent united. nor that of immigrants invited from Southern Europe (who would, indeed, if they came, soon insist on an Australian rate of wages), this was the obvious course that remained Bounties on the iron and kerosene oil industries are given on the ground that otherwise they mousties are given on the ground tark ornerwise though would go to the ground Kerosene was an article so generally consumed that it would have been unpopular to raise the price, so the solitaty producing company was encouraged to go on producing by the gift of 2d a gallon up to £50,000, as otherwise foreign competition would have stopped local production?

LEGISLATION ON LABOUR CONDITIONS

The policy of safeguarding by law the health and comfort of persons employed in factories and workshops, and of limiting the hours of labour for women, with limits of hours and age for young persons, was adopted from Great Britain before the advent of the Labour party,

¹ Federal Handbrok p 471 This has now c 3 See Tuiner Fri De ade of the Austral an Commonwealth p 303

and needs no special notice here. The eight hours' day for adult males was established by custom, though in some States laws also deal with these matters, prescribing holidays and fixing the hours at which shops must be closed. The extension of legal compulsion to working hours in such occupations as those of seamen and household or farm servants, and to places of public entertainment, has raised difficulties. A guest arriving in the later part of the evening in any hotel, except a large one where several shifts of servants are kept, finds it hard to get served. The restriction of employees to the special kind of work covered by their trade union makes it flegal for a farm servant to groom a horse.

In some States a minimum wage has been fixed by statute. No great opposition was made, even by those who objected to the principle, because the argument that everybody ought to be paid enough to support a family in tolerable comfort was deemed irresistible.

TRADE DISPUTES AND THE FIXING OF WAGES

The significant feature of the Australian methods of dealing with these questions, now of the greatest gravity in all industrial countries, is that they apply compulsion to disputes which everywhere else except in New Zealand and since 1917 in Norway (possibly now in other countries also) are left to be settled by a trial of strength between the parties.

strength between the parties.

The Treament of Industrial Disputes.—Few countries had suffered more from strikes during the later years of the nineteenth century than had Australia. The frequent defeats of the striking Unions, the losses resulting to both parties, the accompanying disorders, and the ill-feeling which strikes and lock-outs left behind, together with the failure of methods of conciliation, and finally the example of New Zealand, disposed the wager-earners

¹ It was originally fixed in New South Wales at four shillings a day, but this was not meant to be necessarily a living wage, that being left to be settled by the Arbitration Courts from time to time. A groundest political noice said that a minimum wage of seven at lings a day was "fixed like the law of gravitation,"

to advocate the principle of compulsory arbitration as a means of raising wages preferable to the strike. After much discussion, two methods were devised, Wages Boards for fixing the rate of wages and hours of work in particular industries, and Courts of Conciliation and Arbitration for investigating and determining particular disputes between employers and employed.

and Arbitration for investigating and determining particular disputes between employers and employed.

A Wages Board is, in the five States wherein it exists, a body consisting of an equal number of persons chosen by the employers in any particular industry, and of persons chosen by the workers in the same industry, the Chairman, who must not be connected with the industry, being either elected by the other members or appointed by the State Government. A Board may be appointed either but the Muster of the case of a propriet of the connection of t by the Ministry or (in the case of a new industry) by the Governor in Council There need not be any dispute either pending or in immediate prospect. Once estab-lished, the Board goes on indefinitely, deals with disputes, in the particular industry, as they arise, and has power to review its own decisions Its function is to fix, for the particular trade it has been appointed to deal with, both wages and hours of labour, but it has no power to determine other questions that may be in dispute. Its decisions apply to the whole of the industry throughout the State, binding the employers to pay and the workmen to work as the Board prescribes. In most States the enforcement of the awards is entrusted to the Factories Department in the State Government

Courts of Conclination and Arbitration, also created by statute, exist in New South Wales and West Australia More important, however, is the Commonwealth Court, established by an Act of 1904, which has been subsequently amended The chief differences between these Courts and the Wages Boards is that the former are set in motion only by an existing dispute, and deal with that dispute only, whether it covers a single industry or more than one, not (as do the Wages Boards) with the whole body of employers and employed in any given industry. The Common-

wealth Court has jurisdiction in those disputes only which extend beyond the limits of a single State. It is presided over by a judge of the High Court, who may be assisted by assessors. Its action is usually invoked by a complaint proceeding either from a trade union on the one side, or an employer or group of employers on the other, but it may also be set in motion by a reference from a State industrial authority, or wherever the Registrar certifies the existence of a dispute. The proceedings, being in the nature of litigation, are judicially handled, but professional lawyers are not admitted to argue unless by consent of both parties, a consent not often given by the Unions.\(^1\) The powers of the Court extend not only to wages and hours, but to all conditions of labour and all questions in dispute, including the employment of Union labour only, or a preference for such labour, or the dismissal of employees. Though the award may not legally cover the whole of an industry in which the dispute has arisen, for some employers may not have in their service members of the Union which has instituted the proceedings, still the number of respondent employers may be so large (in one case it was 200) as to affect the vast majority, and so become virtually a rule for the trade. Very often the action of the Court is able to bring about a compromise, which can then be made, by consent, an award binding the parties.

One of the questions most frequently brought before the Court is that of a minimum wage, and the chief difficulty that had to be faced at the outset was that of finding what that minimum should be. The principle upon which the Commonwealth Court has proceeded is that of "securing to the employee a wage sufficient for the essentials of human existence."

"After ascertaining the proper wages, basic and secondary, it considers any evidence adduced to show that the employer ought not to be asked to pay such wages

It will consider grounds of

¹ A high authority, head of an Industrial Cours, testifies to the great saying of time when lawyers conduct the cases of the parties.

finance, of competition with imports, of unfairness to other workers, of undue increase in prices of the product, of injury to the public, etc , etc $^\prime$ 1

The tendency both of the Wages Boards and of the Courts has been to raise wages, but as prices have risen from other causes, it is doubtful whether legal regulation has done more than regularize and somewhat accelerate the process, and though in increased prices, still in many industries the employers have been able (through trade associations and by other means) to pass on to consumers a considerable proportion of the increased amount of their wages bills. The suspicion that in this way part of the benefit of increased wages is lost naturally suggests to the wage-earners that what they are gaining is a nominal rather than a real increase 2

There is much difference of opinion in Australia as to the comparative ments of Wages Boards and Courts of Arbitration Some prefer the former, because they cover the whole of a trade and are composed of experts, and it is alleged that as they come into being before a dispute has arisen, they can anticipate disputes and settle points with less friction than when those points are already in sharp issue. On the other hand, the Courts have the advantage of a wider range, covering every kind of controversy, they can proceed upon general principles, and the judge soon acquires experience in the questions that recur. Moreover, where a dispute extends

¹ I quote from an unit series article in the Harvas I Law Resears for hostember 1055 to the Proceedent of the Court [Mr] store it is [19min] in which the prince pec on which the Court acts the mode of applying those principles and the results so far atta and are set forth with singular clea ness and far terms. Those who are not exist in the subject are recommended to consult this article, and a later one by him in the same Review (column for 1916).

^{1.} While the percentage of wages pad on the total value of the output of manufacturing due to rea on east between plood and upto time page for page rout, the preventage are able for not not either charges and point also increased unnormly from it 6, n 1 poils to 10 pin 1 pin 1 tolong this decreased is globy (10 y 2) in the following year showing that, no pro of the increased wages the percentage await late for interest, e.g. had increased. Available or done therefore indicate that the effect of the leg slative control of wages and conditions of lishour has be n of henefit both to wage carries and employers. — Enhand Handskied in prays p. 4 = "Enhand Handskied in prays p. 4 = "Enhand Handskied in prays p. 4 = "Enhand Handskied in prays p. 4 = "Enhandskied i

beyond one State, some authority higher than that of a State is needed.

Few allegations of prejudice or unfairness have been charged against either the Courts or the Boards. Their wish to bring about peace is admitted. The Commonwealth Judge, whose decisions have been most closely watched and frequently canvassed, has generally, though not quite invariably, ordered a rise in wages, but this action seems to have had, no doubt with exceptions, the support of public opinion, and it must be remembered that the cost of living had even before the Great War been rising. Though it was at first the Unions that invoked the Court, the employers having become less suspicious than they were, sometimes set the Court in motion. The most humane and liberal among them often welcome a decision which, when it applies practically to the whole trade, screws up the men of harder hearts or more niggling minds to the level which these better men hold amploying class taken generally would rather have been left without the Court, but do not ask its abolition; and the growth of prosperity up to 1914 showed that the system of compulsory wage-fixing had not caused an industrial set back.3

industrial set back.\(^1\)

As in Europe and in America the bulk both of employers and of employees have hitherto agreed in deprecating recourse to compulsion for the settlement of labour disputes, a word may be said as to the reasons which enabled Australian workmen to enlist public opinion in its favour. Europeans deem it open to three chief objections. One is the interference with freedom of contract. Australians care nothing for that. They would call it a theoretical objection. The workers thought that compulsion would help them, and it did help them, for though wages would doubtless have

I A well-anformed Australian friend, not belonging to the Labour party, wrote to me in 1921: "Whetever may be used in detraction of the system of legal regulation of ages, 1912: "Whetever may be used in the history of Australia has the general level of return on capital been higher than it is at present or has been during the jast few year."

risen anyhow, much strife might have been needed to secure the rise 1 A second is that the matter is not strictly judicial, but rather for the discretion of the Court (an argument like that used against the jurisdic-tion of the English Chancellor in the sixteenth century), and that as there is no general rule to guide the Court, and that as there is no general rule to guite the could different judges may apply different principles. And, thirdly, it is urged—this point being strongly pressed by Australian employers—that the method operates unequally upon the two parties to a dispute? The employer can be compelled to pay certain wages so long as he keeps his factory open, and he can escape liability only by closing it, whereas the individual workman cannot be compelled to work. The power given man cannot be compelled to work. The power given to the Court to meet this difficulty by fining the Union has not proved effective. In the earlier days, the awards were usually obeyed, but it is to be remembered that they have almost always prescribed a rise in wages. The gravest test will come when, in less prosperous times, workmen are denied some increase they ask for, or employers begin to ask for a reduction. In 1912 the system was working more smoothly than had been pre-dicted Recent accounts are less satisfactory The Court still does excellent work in many of the main disputes, but it is alleged that when a strike has been compromised by an award conceding part of what was asked, another strike soon follows to obtain the rest asked, another strike soon ionows to obtain the rest
of the demand, and that this process often repeated
produces constant unrest
The frequent delays in the
proceedings of the Wages Boards, the inevitable technicality of some of the rulings in the Courts, give rise to
irritation
Strikes have not ceased, and some have attained alarming dimensions In 1916 there were in various places 306 separate strikes, in one of which the (then Labour) Government surrendered, through the agency of a Commission, to the Unions in a strike

<sup>A Lasour o gan wrote in 1914 Compulsory arb trat on in Labour a conception of t s an astrument of progress a means for bring ng about, without v olent dislocations of the soul fabric a more equal distribut on of wealth

See as to this Harva of Lew Rev eru (at nafra) pp 33 and 37</sup>

252

entered on in defiance of the Act providing for adjudica-tion by the Court. This gave a shock to the authority of the law. The Unions have sought to widen the range of the Commonwealth Court by so amending the Constitution as to give it jurisdiction over all disputes arising anywhere in the country. On the other hand, that extreme section of the wage-earners, sometimes described as Syndicalists, who call themselves the Industrial Workers of the World (I.W.W.), denounce all peaceful methods for settling trade disputes, since they desire to overset by general strikes the whole industrial, or so-called "capitalistic," system as it now exists.1

A review of the compulsory system as worked during the last fifteen years points to the conclusion that its failure to prevent strikes has been due to two causes, first, that as there could not be finality in the awards, the temptation to the Union leaders to make fresh demands soon after a rise in wages had been secured kept up irritation and uncertainty, and secondly that there was no means of compelling the wage-carners to comply with the awards. An eminent Australian of long experience has written: "The introduction of penalties in the form either of imprisonment or of fine penalties in the form either of imprisonment or of fine is an illusory protection. If the organizations concerned are reasonable and imbued with a spirit of obedience to are reasonable and unbued with a spirit of obedience to the spirit and letter of the Law, neither imprisonment nor any other sanction is necessary. If the organization is strong, aggressive, and unreasonable, the threat of fine or imprisonment will not be a deterrent." One result of the legal regulation of wages, and of the attempts at a legal regulation of prices also, has been to bring the employers in every industry into closer relations with one another. They are

out parties

3. Aueral a. Problem and Prespects (cublished in 1920), p. 36, by Sir Charles Wade
(now a Judge of the Supreme Court of New South Water).

In 1895, when in charge of a measure brought into Parliament to deal with the matter, I found British working men just as generally opposed to a compulsory settlement of trade disputes as were cariployers, and this seems to be still the attitude of

made respondents together in proceedings taken by Unions to obtain higher wages or better con ditions. They are forced into frequent conferences and combinations, and thus a sense of class interest is strengthened, and occasion given for those "friendly agreements" and "honourable understandings" in respect of prices and distribution which excite much displeasure in Labour circles. Those of the Labour leaders, however, who look forward to the nationalization of all property and all industries, probably regard with satisfaction whatever makes against the old individualism, even if in the meantime it induces those "combines" which in Australia, as in America, are objects of public aversion. The completeness of the organizations on both sides makes for strife, just as the possession of great armaments disposes nations to war. As employers leagued together harden themselves for defence, so trade union secretaries feel that they must justify their existence by making fresh demands. Young men come into office in the Unions, and throw the militant Australian spirit into each fresh contest. Uniceasing controversies create an

atmosphere of disqueet and suspicion. Want of space prevents me from pursuing this subject here, but a further discussion of the working of a similar system will be found in the chapter on New Zealand Though in both countries the application of compulsion illustrates the tendency of the Labour party to extend the power of the State into new fields, a disposition common to all who think they can use that power for their own purposes, it must be understood that the public opinion of Australia as a whole, alarmed by the mischief which strikes were doing, and sympathizing with the desire of the wage earners for a larger share of the products of labour, was generally favourable to the experiment. In 1919, though it had not satisfied the hopes it had an first raised, there were only two sets of extremists who would abolish it, the most rigid employers who dislike any interference with business, and the revolutionary Communists who wish to make an end of capitalism

254

either by force of arms or by stopping the whole machinery of production and compelling capitalist governments to surrender.¹

GOVERNMENTAL INDUSTRIES

The entrance of the State into the field of industry as an employer has been supported by various arguments, some of them but distantly related to the real motives. Can it not by appropriating to itself the profits on vast national undertakings which would otherwise be absorbed by the rich, and by taking to itself the control of the making or selling of the articles in which a monopoly is being created, relieve the people from the pressure of monopolies or "trusts" (to use the American term), benefit the workers by providing employment when work is scarce, and by paying good wages, set an example other employers will have to follow? To those who cherish Collectivist ideals, it seems to provide the easiest, because the least startling, approach to that absorption by the community of all the means of production and distribution which is the ultimate goal of their hopes. It is not, however, to any Collectivist views that the State ownership of Australian railways is to be ascribed.

It is not, however, to any Collectivist views that the State ownership of Australian railways is to be ascribed. That was the natural result of the economic conditions which existed when lines began to be built. Nothing could be expected from private enterprise, for there was little capital in the country, nor was it then easy for private persons to obtain large loans in England, so the duty devolved on a public authority of providing directly, or by way of subsidy, those means of communication which were indispensable to the development of the country. The States assumed the duty. 21,181 miles of government lines were open for general traffic in 1918, besides 1241 miles similarly open but under private control, four-fifths of which were in Queensland, West Australia,

³ As these pages are passing through the press cablegrams from Australia state that a measure is pending in the Commonwealth Parliament for materially altering the constitution and functions of the Arbitration Court, and creating another body for settling disputes

and Tasmania 1 For a long time the railway administration remained in the hands of Ministries and the general managers they appointed, but political interference and favouritism were at last found so harmful that in each State control was transferred to Commissioners appointed by the Governor in Council (i e the Ministry) In New South Wales and Victoria there are three Commissioners, in the other States one only

In every State the Minister for railways still directs legislation and answers questions in the legislature, otherwise the Commissioners have a free hand, though ministers can dictate the general policy to be followed, being in this respect subject to an embarrassing parlia-mentary criticism, for every member can bring forward any grievance a constituent, most frequently an employee, puts before him, and the unceasing pressure for higher wages is hard to resist. Railway construction is in wages is hard to resist Railway construction is in some States assigned to the Commission, in others to the Public Works Department Except in respect of the inconveniences arising from the existence of five different gauges, the railway system is worked with fair efficiency Management is honest and the traffic grows. The general result shows a very small balance of profit after deducting from earnings the cost attributable to construction, equipment, loans, and working

Public management has its drawbacks when politics come in, as Australia has seen before and may see again other in, as Australa has seen before and may see again
But there are also evils incident to the private ownership
of those great lines of transportation which control the
commerce of a country and hold in their hands the
fortunes of large districts. From these evils the United
States and Canada, and (in a less degree) France also, have suffered

The undertaking by the State of industries usually left to private enterprise has been due to various

Between 1912 and 1917 a trans Australian ra lway 1051 miles long connecting South Australia w th Western Austral a through a region largely unmhab ted, was constructed

causes. Besides the desire to secure good conditions of labour for the workers, there has been put forward the need for checking monopoles. This was made the ground for starting Government brick-works, when it was alleged that a ring of brickmakers was trying to secure exorbitant prices. So in West Australia the Government undertook the transport of beef to defeat the plans attributed to a "Beef Ring," and started a line of steamers to resist a Shipping Combine. The New South Wales Government recently opened a mine at Lithgow.¹ Some coal-mines have been acquired because the industry was deemed to be of national importance, and had frequently suffered from strikes, the miners

Government undertook the transport or beet to dereat the plans attributed to a "Beef Ring," and started a line of steamers to resist a Shipping Combine. The New South Wales Government recently opened a mine at Lithgow.\(^1\) Some coal-mines have been acquired because the industry was deemed to be of national importance, and had frequently suffered from strikes, the miners being largely influenced by extremist propaganda. Australian opinion on the subject is still in a fluid state. While cautious men confine themselves to proposing to regulate by law industries in which sweating exists or monopolies threaten public welfare, the more advanced school seeks the extension of government action as a step towards Communism, and has carried in gatherings of the Labour party a demand for the "nationalization of basic mulstries." The same issues that perplex Europe are being pondered in Australia.

A very high and universally respected Australian authority wrote to me as follows: "With regard to those great services which stand out as fundamentals of the life of a civilized community, a time of comparative quiet should be chosen for proposing special legislation. Some means of direct control, by the Board of Trade or other

life of a civilized community, a time of comparative quiet should be chosen for proposing special legislation. Some means of direct control, by the Board of Trade or other body in which employers will have a say, of freights, fares, wages, and working conditions will be necessary. If some real and not illusory representation is given to the men, accompanied with powers of continual inspection and publication (if thought desirable) of results, then but not otherwise will it be practicable to carry and enforce provisions making strikes in these services an

¹ In 1917 a Fire Insurance Offi e was set up by the Labour Government of Queensland, and employers were required to effect insurances for workmen's compensation either with the Government office or with private offices beenied by the Government. No licenses were issued.

CH LI

offence against the law

The fetish of trade secrecy
must not be permitted " 1

It is no less difficult than important to ascertain the actual results of the State assumption of industries Some sections of State employees certainly gain in having better wages, and all gain in greater security for employment But what of the community at large? Is the work efficiently done, and done as cheaply as it would be on a system of private employment? My stay in Australia was not long enough to enable me to probe the matter to the bottom, and some of my informants may have been biassed But such evidence as I obtained went to show that, in proportion to the wages paid, less work was done than private employers obtained The workers were said to do as little as they well could "The Government stroke has passed into a byword "They dropped the tools the moment the hour came for stopping,' because the slower the work goes, the more of it remains to be done" One informant not hostile to the Labour party remarked that the systematic practice of slack working to make every job last long had a bad effect on character, because it prevented men from doing their best. The foreman fears to keep the men up to the mark, or to dismiss them, because they may appeal to their Union, and the Union can influence the member of the Legislature for the district, and he in turn the Executive Government . If a Labour Ministry is in power, it cannot resist Labour pressure Some of my informants declared that these things were notorious in the case of the great irrigation works undertaken by New South Wales and Victoria Grievances real or fancied are constantly brought up in Parliament, wasting

¹ In Austral a as in England the possible of granting to the workers some share in the management of industries as well as in profits is beginning to be considered as its importance deserves.

^{*} Sr C. Wade observes at apr p ag 1 in Government under akungs last yet and pinner or fife more years agon man fercied by loss stated of sports. As an econ twent on 16 works) so in the adm nutration of Government Departments political interference should be zero del. Experience shows that f a studie takes place n a forterference shows that f a studie takes place n as to the united. The Government position is always of finely. If the Municity rest to the united. The Government position is always of finely. If the Municity rest the domained works may be imper life if they pried of a place may be threatened.

its time and lessening the authority of those who direct the work. When complaints accumulate, a Com-mission of Enquiry may be appointed, with further expenditure of time and money, and no relief of the disquietude. It is alleged that where Government owns the wharves, the workers, though paid twice as much per ton for loading and unloading as the ordinary market rate, loiter over their work to prolong it.

The Unions, practically controlling the Government whenever a Labour ministry is in power, are both employers and employed, and it is natural that where considerations of State business interest come into opposition with personal and political self-interest, State business interest should go to the wall. Some one has summed up the Labour policy as "more wages for shorter hours: less work, and more amusement."

The Australian idea seems to be that instead of setting out to get work done and paying wages for it, Government should set out to pay wages and find work as a reason for the payment.

reason for the payment.

State employment is an easy way towards this goal, and has been accompanied by the virtual acceptance, in some States, of the liability of the Government to find work for persons unemployed. The logical development of this policy will obviously be the absorption by Government of the means of production and distribution, a development contemplated by most of the Labour leaders, though by not very many of the followers, and by a still smaller proportion of those who, though not wage-extrers, support the party by their votes, in the hope that it will better their condition. In all progressive or aggressive parties there are some who are hotter, some cooler, some who have clear, others muddled minds, some who fax their eyes on a distant goal and march steadily towards it, others for whom one step at a time is enough. The rank and file of the Labour party are not yet Socialists in the common acceptation of the term, but (to adapt a phrase of Aristotle's) "though they are not Socialists they

called them Socialists "sans doctrine," another answered, "Say rather sans déclaration," but if that phrase suggests that they conceal their views it applies only to a minority. Socialist doctrine may grow, but at present they are divided not only as to aims but as to methods, for a section, stronger by youthful vehemence than by numbers, despises constitutional action, seeking, by frequent strikes and the use of violence in strikes, to overthrow the capitalistic system, while the more moderate elders complain that the recklessness of their young friends retards

instead of hastening progress 1

Privileges for Trade Unionists — Even before the creation of a Labour party it had been a prime aim of the leaders of the working men to strengthen the Trade Unions by drawing into them as many as possible of the workers. This was then desired for the sake of success in strikes, for the employers always fought a of success in Sunces, for the employers at ways quagit a strike by bringing in non-Unionist labour (those who are in England called "black-legs" and in Australia "scabs") to take the place of the strikers After Labour parties in the legislatures arose, there was a further advantage to be expected from the growth of the voting power of to be expected from the growth of the voting power of the Unions, for they form the basis of the party organiza-tion, so efforts were made to prescribe membership of a Union as a condition for Government employment Another plan was to provide in the awards of Wages Boards and Courts of Arbitration that a preference should be accorded to Union men in the competition for work This issue, warmly debated when the first Compulsory Arbitration Act was before Parliament in 1904, and again when an amending Act was passed in 1910, was settled by a provision leaving to the

When in 1919 the extreme Socialist (Marxian) party launched a scheme (s m lar to that of the IWW of America) for the creation of one all embracing union of Austral an workers —popularly called the O B U (One B g Union) — n order to organize general str kes and reach ts ends by revolutionary methods strong opposition came not only from the moderate Labourists in general but from the powerful Union called the A.W.U. (Australian Workers Union) which includes the bulk of the tural workers and also from the politicians of the Labour party. See as to this Round Table Nos for June and for September 1919

Court a discretion to direct that preference should be given to Unionists, "whenever it is necessary for the given to Ginomiss, whenever it is necessary for the prevention or settlement of the industrial dispute, or for the maintenance of industrial peace, or for the welfare of society." It would appear that such awards have been sometimes revised, so as to add, as a condition, that admission to Unions shall be open, as some have been accused of closing their doors against applicants, or of limiting the number of apprentices. A third part of the Labour policy is to restrict to the Unions the right to bring employers before the Arbitration Courts. When the Industrial Peace Act was being discussed in the Queensland Legislature in 1912, it was opposed by the Labour members because it omitted this restriction. The tramway strike of 1911 in Brisbane had arisen from a demand that only Union men should be employed. Though the number of members of the Unions estimated in 1910 at about one-tenth of the total number of workers-has largely increased under the aforesaid provision for preference and through constant struggles with employers, there are still trades in which they constitute a minority of persons employed.

In 1905 the Commonwealth Ministry of the day,

then receiving the support of the Labour party, passed, at its instance, in a Trade Marks Act a section prescribing a so-called "Union Label" to be affixed to goods wholly manufactured by members of Trade Unions. This section was two years later declared invalid by the High Court. not only because such a label was not a trade-mark in the ordinary sense the term had when the Constitution was enacted, but also because it attempted to extend Federal action beyond the powers granted.

The policy reappeared in the Federal Labour platform of 1908 which included as a plank, "Arbitration Act amendment to provide for preference to Unionists," while the Australian "Liberal Union" platform of 1912 contained the two following sections, which seem designed to pledge its members to a different doctrine, viz.: "To maintain the right of all men and women to work and

CH LI LABOUR AND THE CONSTITUTION 261

enjoy the fruits of their thrift and industry, and to secure equal opportunities for all to do so," and "to oppose preference to, or the penalizing of, any section of the community, whether as employers or employees"

Proposed Labour Amendments to Federal Constitution

There remains another important issue, raised by the Labour party, that of amending the Federal Constitution so as to enlarge the powers of the Commonwealth Government. Two currents of opinion have brought the party to this conclusion and proposal. The first is the desire to extend the jurisdiction of the Arbitration Court to deal with all industrial disputes wherever arising. The second is the wish to enact uniform legislation in the interests of Labour over the whole continent. A third aim is to get rid of the Legislative Councils which are the strongholds of conservatism in the States, and thereby to complete the sovereignty of universal suffrage. Every party, when it finds itself in a majority, desires to use its power drastically, doing all it can while it can, for the mere possession of overwhelming strength is an incitement to put it forth in action.

The Federal Constitution had left to the States legislation relating to commerce, industry, and labour disputes within their respective limits, while authorizing the Commonwealth to regulate foreign and interstate commerce, and to provide for the settlement of Labour disputes extending beyond the frontiers of any one State When objects which it had been sought to effect by Commonwealth legislation proved unattainable because the laws had been pronounced by the High Court to be ultra vires, the only means of effecting those objects was by amending the Constitution Thereupon the Labour Government of 1910 brought forward and passed through Parliament two amendments, extending the power of the High Court to deal with Labour disputes wherever ansing, authorizing the Commonwealth Parliament to legislate

on the conditions of labour and industry generally, including combinations and monopolies, and enabling the Commonwealth to carry on any industry which each House might declare to be the subject of a monopoly. These proposals, rejected by a popular vote in 1911 by a large majority, were, when resubmitted in 1914 again rejected by a smaller majority on a larger vote, the difference being possibly in part due to the fact that this second voting coincided with a general election at which the Jahour water against a votory. The second that this second voting connected with a general election at which the Labour party gained a victory.\(^1\) To secure the fair consideration of any alteration of the Constitution, it ought to be put separately before the people. The War having interrupted the further testing of public opinion, proposals for making particular amendments to the Constitution have now passed into the wider question of undertaking a general revision of that instrument, especially for the purpose of readjusting the relations of the Commonwealth to the States. If this task is to be undertaken,—and there seems to be a growing feeling that it has become necessary,—it would be best committed to a Convention specially chosen for the purpose, a plan which American experience commends.

commends.

The conditions which ought to determine the allotment of powers between a National Government and State Governments have changed in our time through the swifter means of transportation, and consequent increase in internal trade, and with the growth of huge incorporated companies operating all over a large country. Economically, therefore, there is a case for enlarging Federal powers. But political considerations point the other way, for local needs and conditions require local treatment, and are better understood and dealt with where local public opinion controls the legislature than by a Parliament of the nation. Queensland is to some extent, Western Austraha and Tasmania to a greater extent, cut off from the other States, and each has problems not always the same as theirs. Men can show

in the local legislature those qualities which fit them

for the wider parliamentary arena, experiments in legislation or administration can be tried on a small scale, the other States watching the results and profung thereby. The same passion does not rage with equal force over a whole country when it is checked by the existence of local divisions, even as in a large lake cut up into

smaller patches of water by numerous islands scattered over its surface the waves run less high and subside more quickly than happens where one whole unbroken sheet is swept by a mighty blast Local legislatures stimulate

Swept by a mignty biast. Local legislatures stimulate local political hie, and give a variety to political thought. The existence of the States constitutes a certain check on the power of demagogues and the vehemence of any popular impulse. To entrust the destinies of the continent to one parliament and one set of ministers would throw on Australian statesmen a burden they may not yet be able to bear, and involve risks of a hasty action which might imperil the future If there is less respect in Australia for the Federal Constitution as a fundamental instrument than existed in the United States from the time of Washington till

the end of last century, this is due not merely to the fact that it is still young, but also to the dominance of issues which are the same all over the country. That which is called in America "States Rights" sentiment is observable chiefly among the leaders in the State legislatures, who are attached to their local public life with which their own fortunes are bound up, and in men of

which there is own fortunes are bound up, and in men of the richer class, who are moved quite as much by their fear of the power of Labour as by any constitutional considerations. With the masses who have occasionally returned the Labour party to power theoretical and even practical arguments of a constitutional kind carry. no weight Labour policy covers the whole sky Its leaders desire to take the shortest path to their goal, and 'have no use," as the Americans say, for any checks or restrictions, or, indeed, for any scheme that cuts up political power into fragments

These remarks are ventured with reference not so much to the aforesaid amendments, which have been dropping into the background, as to the general issue of virtually abolishing the States and giving Australia a Unitary Government like that of New Zealand or Great Britanu, an issue raused in the South Australian Labour platform of 1909 under the heading, "Unification of Australian States," and which may again come to the front, though other objects are more immediately desired by the Labour party.

LABOUR POLICY IN OTHER CONSTITUTIONAL QUESTIONS

How far, it will be asked, has the most advanced political thought of Australia moved towards those expedients which radicalism favours in other countries, such as the election of judges, as in most of the American States and in the Swiss cantons, and those methods of direct legislation by the people which are practised in Switzerland and in many American States?

The answer is . Very slightly, because Australian radicalism has not found them necessary. A Queensland Labour Congress (in 1910) passed a resolution demanding "an amendment of the Constitution to deprive the High Court of power to declare unconstitutional bills passed by both Houses of the Federal Parliament," but it does not appear that Labour men generally are committed to such ideas. The introduction of the Initiative and Referendum found a place on the Federal platform of the Labour party in 1908, and is sometimes referred to by their leaders as desirable, but it was not pushed further after the party gained control of the Federal Parliament. In the United States direct popular voting has been widely adopted, first, because the State Legislatures were distributed; seconly, because the power of the "party machine" had controlled the action of those bodies and delayed legislation which large sections of opinion desired; thirdly, because the fath in popular sovereignty had become a dogma of almost

CH LI DIRECT POPULAR LEGISLATION 265 religious sanctity None of these causes exists in

Australia The legislatures obey the voters and the ministers obey the legislatures so promptly that the people can obtain what they want without their own direct vote, and this is so conspicuously the case as regards the Labour party that it is hard to see what they could gain, so long as their organization does its work effectively, by exchanging for caucus rule the direct rule of the voters, who might act more independently when acting outside the Organization, refusing to obey its dictation upon issues submitted to their own personal judgment Nevertheless, the march of democratic sentiment may ultimately lead Australia into the American path There is no feeling of respect for the legislatures to deter her, and every people is hable to be attracted by the suggestion that their power will be best exerted

directly by themselves

CHAPTER LII

CHARACTERISTICS OF AUSTRALIAN DEMOCRACY

THE reader who has followed this outline of the trend of Australian legislation, and particularly of the policies of the Labour party, often the chief factor in legislation even when not holding a majority in Parliament, will probably ask, What is the attitude of public opinion towards the questions and schemes now in issue, and what are the characteristics of public opinion itself in Australia? Opinion is not necessarily the same thing as voting power, and may be imperfectly expressed in parliamentary elections or by parliamentary action. Large issues, going down to the foundations of economics as well as of politics in the narrower sense, issues fateful for the future, are being pressed forward in Australia more boldly than elsewhere, perhaps with less realization of their gravity. What is the nation's mind regarding them?

Public opinion is in all countries produced by the few, and improved and solidified by the many. If we leave out of account the very few detached thinkers, and the very large number who do not care about public affairs at all, it consists in practice of the aggregate of the opinion of sections, local, or racial, or religious, or occupational, or politically partisan. National opinion results from the intermixture of these sectional opinions, which on some few subjects coincide, in others modify and temper one another, in others sharpen one another by collision. Since the chief topics on which Australian opinion is practically unanimous, such as a White

Australia, and the wish to make Australia a good place for the average man, have been already dealt with, we may go straight to the points on which opinion is sectional rather than general, first noting some facts which influence the formation of Australian opinion
I There is no such "leisured class" as exists in

most European countries, and is now beginning to exist in North America Men rich enough to live at leisure usually either betake themselves to Europe, or continue

to be occupied with their estates or their business

2 There are no racial divisions, the people being almost entirely of British stock The Irish element, larger than the Scottish, has not been till lately (when questions relating to Ireland began to be raised in New South Wales and Queensland), marked off, except in so far as it is Roman Catholic, from the rest of the population

3 There are no religious dissensions, though the Roman Church, wherever there is a large Irish element, exerts political power, and has latterly co-operated with the

Labour party
4 There have been no questions of foreign policy, because these were left to the mother country, until in recent years the action of Germany in the Western Pacific Ocean began to cause anxiety 1

5 Questions regarding the distribution of political power have been long settled, for universal suffrage obtains everywhere, and the working class is master of the situation Questions regarding the machinery of government and administration remain, but receive

ittle attention from the people at large, and are discussed less upon their merits than as they affect party policies 6. The matters which occupy the mind of the nation in all classes are accordingly its material or economic underested—husiness, wages, employment, the development of the country's resources. These dominate politics 7. There is a love of out door life, favoured by the

¹ Papua has now become a so t of dependency on Au t all a though the mandate g ven to Aust all a after she had been recogn sed as a member of the League of Nat ons

climate, and a passion for all kinds of "sport" and com-petitions—cricket, football, and, above all, horse-racing —matters which overshadow political interests. A great cricket match is a more important event than a change of ministry.

8. Australia has been isolated from the movements of the Old World, and is only beginning to realize that not even so distant a continent can remain unaffected by them. She has thought her experiments could be tried, so to speak, in a closed vessel. Of actual condi-tions in Great Britain, economic and social, in spite of a real affection as well as a political connection, she knows little more than Great Britain knows of her. I was amazed to find in 1912 how many Australians believed Britain to be a declining and almost decadent country.

 In point of natural mental vigour, as well as of physical activity and courage and enterprise, the Aus-tralians are abreast of any other modern nation. But intellectual interests play no great part in their lives. Theoretical arguments, constitutional or economic, are

seldom heard.

10. Patriotism is intense, more self-confident than in older countries, and though compatible with strongly marked social antagonisms, capable of overriding these

when a national interest is concerned.

In Australia, considering its vast size, there is singularly little localism in ideas and ways of thinking. Local pride there is, and local jealousies, but that is a different matter. The types of opinion are class types, social or occupational types, not State types, for though each State is chiefly occupied with its own interests and politics there is less difference of character between them than between the four component parts of the United Kingdom. Even in isolated agricultural Tasmania, even in fat-off Western Australia, called the most "radical" of the States, the same classes hold everywhere much the same views.

These types are three: that of the wage-earners and the poorer part of the population generally, that

of the landowners and richer part of the commercial class—merchants, manufacturers, large shopkeepers,—that of the professional men

that of the professional men

The hand workers, clerks, shop-assistants, persons of limited means, are all educated Illiteracy is practically unknown

Nearly all are whit would be deemed in Europe comfortably off, ie they are well fed, well housed, except (to a slight, and rapidly diminishing extent) in some few city slums

Sweating' practices have been climinated, and there is no pauperism

Nobody need want, unless he is hopelessly unthrifty or addicted to drink, and drunkenness, once a grave evil, has been greatly reduced of late years

But though educated and blessed with more leisure than their brethren in most parts of Europe the hand workers of Australia are, as a rule. of Europe, the hand workers of Australia are, as a rule, uninterested in what are called the things of the uninterested in what are called the things of the mind, reading little but newspapers and light fiction, and more devoted to amusements, sports, and open air life, particularly enjoyable in their climate, than the corresponding class in any other equally civilized country. Sunday is recognized as the day of pleasure to an extent unknown elsewhere in the English speaking world. An Australian said, "The sun is the enemy of religion The average citizen cares less about public affairs than does the average Swiss or (native) American of the same class, and is less theoretically interested of the same class, and is less theoretically interested in democratic principles than are those two peoples Civic responsibilities sit lightly upon him nor does party feeling, except among Socialists, do much to stir his interest Among the leaders of the Labour party one finds persons of natural shrewdness who understand politics from the practical side, having acquired experience in the management of trade union affairs, and there are also some few men of marked intellectual gifts, who have educated themselves by reading, have thought out political projects, and can defend them by argument But the mass do little thinking for themselves, and take their cue chiefly from their leaders or their newspapers, not out of deference or self-distrist, for they carry 270

independence to the verge of indiscipline, but because, taking no thought for the morrow, they are content to fall in with views that seem to make for the immediate benefit of their class. The same remark applies to the rest of the less wealthy sections, such as clerks and shop-assistants, perhaps even to elementary school teachers and the lower ranks of the civil service, and likewise to that politically unorganized stratum of the middle class, such as small farmers and shopkeepers, which has not gone over to Labourism.

The richer people, pastoralists, merchants, and manufacturers, form a class rather more sharply cut off from the wage-earners than is the like class in Switzerland or Canada or Norway, though it largely consists of those who have risen by business talent, for Australia is a land of opportunity, where talent quickly tells Among them, too, intellectual interests are not keen; business and pleasure leave little time for learning or thinking. The commercial man may keep an eye on politics, in order to resist what he considers the attacks made by Labour upon realized wealth; he may even subscribe to electoral anti-Labour campaigns. But he conceives that he would lose more by neglecting business than he would gain by spending time in defending business from the onslaughts of Labour. From this class there have come some few political leaders of conspicuous capacity, but, on the whole, it contributes little either to the practice or the theory of statesmanship, and does not seem to have realized, any more than the leaders of the Labour party, how much thinking is needed if the problems before Australia are to be solved.

The professional class, which includes lawyers, physicians, engineers, clergymen, men of letters, and the teachers in the higher schools, is very small outside the four great capital cities, and within those cities belongs socially to the mercantile class. Some leading politicians not of the Labour party, and several within that party, have been barristers or solicitors. As in all countries living under a Rigid Constitution where a

legal instrument defines the respective powers of a superior and an inferior legislature, legal questions arise which have to be argued in Parliament as well as in courts of law, and these ought to secure an important place for the possessors of juridical learning. But the legislatures contain few such persons. The men of high scientific and literary attainments, who are found among physicians, journalists, engineers, and in the Universities, enrich the mind of the community, but take less part in public affairs than does the corresponding class in the United States, France, or Britain, and they also are most scantily represented in the legislatures. Altogether, the men occupied in study, thinking, and teaching contribute less to the formation of a national opinion than was to be expected, considering that they hold a position less obviously affected by personal interests than do either the rich on the one hand, who are threatened by progressive taxation, or the middle and poorer classes on the other, who desire to pay little to the State and receive much from it. They might therefore, to a larger extent than heretofore, exert a mediating influence between capital and labour, recognizing what there is of reason and justice in the claims of the opposing sections.

Their remains that great and pervasive factor in the formation of opinion, the newspaper press, through which each type of doctrine can speak to the others. In Australia it stands high as regards both ability and character. It is above suspicion of corruptibility or black—mailing, is well written, gives an efficient and a generally fair and honest news service, is not, so far the United States, France, or Britain, and they also are

There remains that great and pervasive factor in the formation of opinion, the newspaper press, through which each type of doctrine can speak to the others. In Australia it stands high as regards both ability and character. It is above suspicion of corruptibility or black-mailing, is well written, gives an efficient and a generally fair and honest news service, is not, so far as I could ascertain, worked by politicians behind the scenes for their own purposes. It has not (with a few exceptions) lapsed into that vulgar sensationalism and indifference to truth which belong to an increasing number of organs in some older countries. One does not hear of its publishing interviews which put into the mouth of public men words they never used, and refusing to publish contradictions of stories proved to have been false. Australium criticisms of politicians.

are often bitter, but not more unfair than those to be found in the French or English press. In the later decades of last century, the three or four greatest newspapers in Sydney and Melbourne exercised more power than any newspapers then did in any other country, being at times stronger than the heads of the political parties. Moments are remembered at which they made and unmade ministries. Till the fusion of parties in 1910, the controversies of Free Traders and Protectionists were fought out in their columns, and while they served to enable each party to argue with the other, they exerted a restraining influence on both. The Labour party has had no considerable daily organ in the press, and its victories, won without such an organ against most of the great journals, proved what skilful organization can accomplish. It makes slight use of the newspapers to expound or defend its policies, and their criticisms tell little on its members. Though the working classes in the cities read the papers for the sake their criticisms tell little on its members. Though the working classes in the cities read the papers for the sake of the news, chiefly to be sure for the racing intelligence and athletic sports reports, the rural folk of the "back blocks" usually see only small local papers containing local happenings, so journalism does less than could be wished to help the antagonistic sections to comprehend and appreciate one another's position; nor is this gap filled by the weekly or monthly magazines, which, however, cannot fairly be compared with those of Europe or America, so much smaller is the population which they address. address

In Britain and France the legislatures do much to form, clarify, and formulate public opinion. In Australia, though there are seven of them, they do comparaturely little Niether are there many associations, such as abound in the United States, devoted to

tions, such as abouting in the Onticed States, devoted to the advocacy of particular doctrines or causes. The types of Australian opinion I have sketched seem to run parallel along the lines of class rather than to blend in a unity within which they are mere variations. Except in matters appealing to patriotic sentiment, there

is less of a general national opinion than in the United States and Canada, perhaps less than in Switzerland In Australia certain elements needed to form breadth and to give variety, or to form a mediating influence between sharply opposing interests, have been wanting. The opinion of the richer sort as well as that of the masses runs in a groove with far too little of a sympathetic interchange of views Class antagonism divides the people into sections almost as much as such antagonism, coupled with religious enmities, has divided France Neither social equality nor the standard of comfort, much above that of England, which the workers enjoy, has softened the clash of economic interests Each section, distrusting the other, sees its own case only, and it is hardly a paradox to say that the more the condition of the wage earners rises, the more does their dissatisfaction also rise The miners, for instance, receiving wages undreamt of in Europe, are always to the front in the struggle against employers, whether private companies or the State Where other distinctions are absent, and a few years can lift a man from nothing to affluence, differences in wealth are emphasized and resented, deemed the more unjust because they often seem the result of chance, or at least of causes due to no special merit in their possessor. The people are gathered into a few large centres, where they lead a restless life, in which leisure means amusement, and there seems to be little time left for anything but business and amusement Equal in inborn capacity to any other branch of the British stock, they have that want of intellectual curiosity and deficient love of knowledge for its own sake which foreign critics often note in that stock, as compared with the Italians, for instance, or the Celtic peoples, or the Norsemen, so the enjoyment of leisure tends less than was expected either to widen their intellectual interests or to stimulate their sense of civic duty. A distinguished Australian observed to me people had an intellectual vitality comparable to their physical vitality they might lead the world" All this VOI. II

274

is doubtless true of most European countries, but it strikes the observer most in Australia, because comfort and leisure have grown faster there than elsewhere. Moreover, leisure from work does not mean quiet and repose, for the life of Australians is pre-eminently a life in crities. "The world is too much with them." Men love to escape from the lonely inland plains where only the clumps of Eucalpptus break the uniformity of wide-spreading pastures, into the seaports, where ocean breezes cool the summer heat and the excitements of life are most attainable, a fact the more regrettable the are most attainable, a fact the more regretiable because along the eastern coast and in the mountains which border it there are, especially as one approaches the tropics, many charming pieces of scenery.\(^1\) There are, moreover, too few centres in which opinion is made, and these centres are far from one another, so that the and these centres are far from one another, so that the leaders of thought in each are not in close touch. Sydney is New South Wales, Melbourne is Victoria, Adelaide is South Australia. Some one has compared these cities with their 'back blocks' of forests and far-stretching grasslands to Athens dominating and almost effacing her circumambient wheat fields and olive yards. Vast as New South Wales is, one thinks of its thinly peopled rural areas as a mere appendage to Sydney; for it is the urban population which impresses on the State its political character. No similar primacy is yielded to the capital in Britain, where Lancashire or Yorkshire or Scotland contributes as much to national opinion a does London; one must go to Buenos Aires for a parallel. Yet the four Australian cities are less efficient in stimulating thought, and in focussing and criticizing parallel. Let the four Australian cities are less efficient in stimulating thought, and in focussing and criticizing its results, than were city republics like Athens, or than are the greater cities of Continental Europe. Compared to Paris, Vienna, Berlin, Rome, they must needs have with their smaller populations fewer well-

Many travellers in the British Antipodes, struck by the splendid snow mountains, valleys, lakes, and fjords of New Zesland, where the grandests of Switzerland are combred with those of Norway, have done less than justice to the quieter beauties of Australia, and few have spoken of its wealth of brilliantly coloured flowers.

informed and powerful minds, but neither have they the intellectual vivacity and variety of those ancient cities which, like Rhodes, Croton, or Syracuse, did not approach them in point of population In Australia it is material interests that hold the field of discussion, and they are discussed as if they affected only Australia, and Australia only in the present generation. Nobody looks back to the records of experience for guidance, nobody looks forward to conjecture the results of what is being attempted to-day There is little sense of the immense complexity of the problems involved, little knowledge of what is now being tried elsewhere, little desire to acquire such now being tried elsewhere, little desire to acquire such knowledge let economic problems are no simpler here than they are in Europe, the chief difference being that errors may not so swiftly bring disaster to a new and naturally rich and thinly peopled country. The average Australian, apt to think first of how a scheme will affect his own household, takes short views and desires quick results. With few data drawn from the proof the next weeks nothing to him. the past, the past means nothing to him, if he thinks of the future his pride in Australia makes him sure that all will go well

It has been a political as well as an economic misfortune that an element conspicuous in the Northern United States and Canada is here scantily represented, viz the occupying owners of small agaicultural properties. This element has begun to grow, especially by an increase in the number of dairy farms co operating in the making of cheese and butter, but its growth needs to be quickened, it might have grown still faster in the interior had the railway system been better laid out. The rural areas fit for tillage are still insufficiently peopled, for immigrants come slowly, the growth of population is lamentably slender since the birth rate is extremely low, the drain from the country into the towns, where life has more variety and amusement, seems irresistible Moreover, the wool shearers, a considerable section of the rural population, are migratory, not settled in villages but following their work from one sheep-run to another

It may be thought that a country gains politically by having comparatively few subjects to think about and deal with, as Australia has only domestic and economic questions, with no foreign or ecclesiastical distractions. But is this really so? May it not be that the mind of a nation is stirred and widened when it has other problems to solve besides those that touch its business life? The to solve besides those that touch its business life? He Australian horizon is narrow and politics too much occupied with the consideration of results directly measurable in money. This may be a reason why, though all Australians are alike unfettered by theoretical dogmas, alike proud of their country, alike desirous that it shall be a good place for everybody, classes seem unduly suspicious of one another, and fix their minds upon those matters in which interests seem to conflict wither than on those which all how increases. rather than on those which all have in common. rather than on those which all have in common, it was hoped that the fervour of feeling aroused by the Great War, and the pride in the dashing valour of Australian regiments, would have created a sense of national unity drawing classes together. But this does not seem to have happened. The rich give scant sympathy to the reasonable aspirations of the workers; the latter assume the opposition their plans encounter to be due only to the selfishness of the inch, and themselves between the selfishness of the rich, and themselves the selfishness of the rich and the ric admitting immigrant workers from England herself.

The Australians do not show in politics that fickle-

The Australians do not show in politics that fickleness of which democracies have been often accused, for many of their statesmen have through long and chequered careers retained the loyalty of the masses. But though it is well that a statesman whose honesty has once won their confidence should retain it, their indulgent temper is apt to forget misdeeds which ought to have permanently discredited an offender. Memories are short, and it might sometimes be well if they were longer. Tergiversation, and still more severely pecuniary corruption,

¹ It has been suggested that this failure to attain the expected unity may have been partly due to the controversy which arose over the question of compulsory military service and to the way in which that controversy was findfed.

CH TI

are censured at the time, yet such sins are soon covered by the charitable sentiment that "Bygones are bygones"

Though parliamentary debates are acrimonious, and though class antagonism prevents men from comprehending and making allowances for the views of opponents, public opinion is on the whole kindly, free from bitterness and rancour against individuals. Here one sees a marked contrast between the English-speaking democracies and those of the ancient world, where intestine seditions often led to ferocious conflicts, or, as in the later days of the Roman republic, to wholesale proscriptions The long settled habit of respect for law and the provision of constitutional methods for settling disputes have stood the children of England in good stead However high the waves of party strife may ever rise, one cunnot imagine a time at which such things could happen among them as happened in the Parisian Terror of 1793, or as we have seen happening recently in Eastern Europe Nor must the traveller omit to note an undercurrent of prudence and selfrestraint among the working masses, who are by no means restant already the working masses, who are of no means so extreme as many who profess to speak for them The notion of Direct Action by strikes and the scheme of one all absorbing combative Union have not won the approval of these masses

In forming their impressions of what Australia is and does, Europeans and Americans must never forget that the settled parts of this wide Continent have a population less than that of Belgium, with a number of thinkers and writers small indeed when compared with the old and large countries of Europe, and even with such countries as Switzerland and Holland All these countries, moreover, are in close touch with one another, and profit by one another's writings and practical experiments in statesmanship Australia lies so far away that, although the best books reach her and the great world events produce their impression, that impression is fainter. No such constantly flowing and builbling stream of free criticism

and debate upon all political and economic issues as one finds in Europe and North America can be expected here, so the stimulus to thinking is less keen and constant. Nor is it fanciful to add that the isolation of this continent has induced a half-conscious belief that it can try its experiments without fear of suffering from the disapproval or competition of the distant peoples of the northern hemisphere. Schemes are the more lightly tried because there is less sense of responsibility and a more confident faith in the power of a new country to make mistakes without suffering for them.

Country to make mistakes without suntering for the country to make my this survey of Australana conditions let me try to answer two questions—First, What has democratic Australia achieved both in the way of good administration, and by that kind of moral sumulation which, in ennobling national life as a whole, raises the thoughts and enlarges the horizon of individual citizens?

Secondly, What conclusions regarding the ments of popular government does its record suggest?

The conditions which have affected politics have been already described. There is a homogeneous population, isolated, left free to shape its own institutions and steer Isolated, left free to shape to one institutions and section its own course, protected from foreign interference by the naval power of Britain, to which it is now adding its own, with no old aminosities to forget, no old wrongs to redress, no bad traditions to unlearn. Inequalities of wealth have grown up, but there are few monopolists and no millionaires, and nowhere does wealth exert less influence on legislation or administration.\(^1\) Social influences count for little or nothing in politics. Australia and New Zealand have provided, better than any other civilized countries, an open field for the upspringing of new ideas, new institutions, new political habits.

Democracy has given the people the thing for which government primarily exists, public order and laws steadily enforced. Except for the rioting frequent during strikes,

The only other democracies known to me in which money has consided for so little in politics are the Orange Free State (as it was before the war of 1599), Switzerland, and Norway, all of them countries in which there were bardly any considerable fortines.

less serious latterly than similar troubles were in 1890, disturbances are rare, and limith lam uniform. Convictions for serious crime diminished between 1881 and 1912 from a percentage of 69 3 per 10 000 of possibilities to a percentage of 26 2, though the police service was certainly no more efficient forth years ago than it is

The admin stration of fustice has been in upright and competent hands, enjoying the confidence of the people

The permanen Civil Service is nonest, chigent, and tolerably capable

Direct Lixuan prome prefer nearly upon the rener people, yno, however, eem able to people to increed tares, especially high amount duran affect all class or yat ng the price of common and but the consumers do not greatly coundant thinking their recount themselves as producers. Financial administration is florest, though and trom economical. The public deet, both national and local, was too large for the population even before 1912, but much of it is remresented by asset, such as railwars, and it was not, when the Great War came, more than the resources of the country were non-

For education, elementary and acricultural, ample public provi on is made, and the four greater States position of elementary and the four greater States and the four greater States and the state of t

enabling it to support

The railways are prettived margon, and the roads good, conforming the difficulties of maintaining them over immen estretions of think resolve country. Proble health is cultificated for, and the country are low in these as visit is in the country, in some States only ten per thousand. Intemporance has notable cumnosed,

I Housewise season to the man may be executed from 19.2 to 11, For exercise.

less through legislation than owing to a general improve-

ment in the habits of the people.1 Great irrigation works have been undertaken in New South Wales and Victoria, whereby the cultivable area has been increased by many hundreds of square miles. Forestry, however, has been neglected, and little done in the way of replanting in districts where fires have wrought widespread devastation.

The machinery of government works smoothly. Elections are quietly conducted, ballots taken and counted with no suspicion of fraud. Bribery is practically unknown; public meetings less disturbed than in England.

The administration of some government departments is unsatisfactory and often wasteful, not merely from want of skill, but largely because political considerations have weakened disciplinary control and caused high wages to

be paid to slack workers.

280

In the legislatures, as in all legislatures, there is selfishness, intrigue, and factious spirit, but little cor-ruption, and no serious abuses connected with private Bills have arisen, such Bills being indeed few.

Local government has been imperfectly developed, for the difficulties it encounters in the thinly peopled areas are obvious, but it is reasonably efficient as well as honest. There is some little jobbery, but only in one or two great cities have scandals arisen.

State industrial enterprises (other than railways), if not conspicuous failures, have not been successes, and do not seem to have so far proved helpful to national progress. They are generally believed to be wastefully managed, with an output below that obtained under

private management.

The number and extent of strikes were at first reduced by the system of compulsory arbitration, but they continue to break out from time to time, sometimes

² So far as I could gather, it is not so fertile a source of crime and poverty as in Great Britain, where the failure of Parliament to deal effectively with it has been for many years a serious reproach to democratic government.

......

spreading widely, and involving heavy losses to all concerned

Monopolistic and other combinations have scarcely yet become, but might become, a public danger re quiring to be restricted by legislation or taken over by the State

Except in bringing to the front some few Labour leaders of ability, democracy has done less than was expected to evoke talent or to avviken among the masses any keen interest in public matters other than wages and the conditions of labour, nor has it roused members of the richer class to take that active part in public life reasonably expected from educated citizens

That the standard of comfort is nowhere higher over a whole people, if indeed anywhere so high, as in Australia, that nowhere is life more easy and leisure for amusements so abundant, cannot be set to the credit of democratic government, for it is largely due to the favours of Nature It has, however, a significant influence on the national mind, encouraging a self confident optimism which enters boldly on experiments.

Parliamentary debates do little to instruct or guide the people, nor do the legislative bodies inspire respect

There is singularly little idealism in politics

What are the peculiar characteristics Democracy here presents? To what sort of a future development do the existing phenomena point? What are Australia's contributions to the stock of the world's experience? What lessons does it teach fit to be learned, marked, and inwardly digested by those who are constructing popular governments elsewhere?

The Labour Party, having in 1911 obtained a majority in both Houses, formed a Ministry and ruled the country for some years. Thus for the first time in history (apart from moments of revolution) executive power passed legally from the hands of the so-called "upper strata" to those of the hand workers. Australia and the world saw a new kind of government of the people by a class and for a class. Instead of the landowners

or the richer people governing the landless or the poorer, the position was reversed: the latter imposed the taxes and the former paid them. Class government, which and the locater paid them. Class government, which democrats had been wont to denounce, reappeared, with the material difference that the governing class is here the majority, not the minority, of the nation. Yet this new rule of the working masses showed fewer contrasts than might have been expected with the old rule of the landed and moneyed class in England before 1832, or the rule of the middle class that followed. Hardly any political and few large economic changes were effected. There was nothing revolutionary. The stream of change continued to flow in the well-worn channels of parliamentary constitutionalism. The bulk of the Labour men have not been Socialists, and few of them extremists in their radicalism. Theoretic doctrines had little charm, and the common-sense moderation of the majority restrained the impatience of doctrinaires or fanatics. There was no passion, because there were no hatreds, no wrongs to avenge, no abuses to destroy, like those which have often roused ferocity among revolutionaries in countries that had never known or

revolutionaries in countries that had never known, or had lost, constitutional government.

The power of a Class party has been built up on a local and vocational foundation which covers the whole country with a network of closely knit and energetic organizations, working incessantly for common aims. These local organizations culminate in a parliamentary caucus in each of the legislatures, State and Federal, which concentrates the full strength of the party upon its legislative and executive measures. Whenever a Labour party holds power, the parliamentary caucus, itself targely controlled by central Labour organizations outside the legislatures, supersedes the free action both of representatives and of Executive Ministers, and thus ministerial responsibility to the electors is for the time teduced, since it is to the caucus that the Ministers are responsible. This caucus system has not been violent in the action, but it works in secret, substituting a private

conclave for public debate, depriving the people of that benefit which open discussion coming from both sides was expected to secure All this has been made possible by the British system of parliamentary government, a logical result of the principle which concentrates power in the majority for the time being, however small it may be, of the representative assembly

The action of State authority, both in limiting freedom of contract between individuals and in taking over industries previously left to private persons, has gone further than in any other democratic country except New Zealand Australia shows the high water mark, so far, of collectivistic or socialistic practice, though with very little of avowed socialistic doctrine In particular, there has been a further advance than elsewhere towards the provision of employment by public authority and increasing the payment made for it, as well as towards the compulsory regulation by State authority of wages and other conditions of labour This has been effected not only by direct legislation, but also through the judicial department of government, which has received functions partly legislative, partly administrative, that seem foreign to its normal sphere

Let me note once more that these changes have been

effected

Without violent party struggles or breaches of the peace order"

Without attacking the institution of private property as an institution, or doing any conspicuous injustice to individuals

Without, so far, seriously affecting the prosperity of

the country Without, so far, reducing the individual energy and

self-helpfulness of the Australian people

It need hardly be said that the time during which these novelties have been in operation has been too

short, and the scale of their operation too small, for any change in this last mentioned direction to become manifest.

The present generation grew up under an individualistic system. They are the children of the bold and enterprising pioneers who first explored and settled the country. It may be forty or fifty years before the results of State control and State socialism can be estimated.

The evidence I gathered enables me to say no more than this, that the results so far obtained do not encourage the extension of the experiments tried, and that these results are due to tendencies permanently operative because inherent in human nature, known long ago and likely to appear wherever a democracy may embark on similar policies.

Happily exempt from many causes of strife that have distracted Europe, Australian legislatures have been busy with land questions and the respective claims of squatters and "free selectors," with tariffs, with taxation, with such industrial subjects as strikes, wages, and conditions of labour—all of them matters which touched not the imagination or the heart, but the pocket, and which were discussed not on grounds of economic principle, but as bringing gain or loss to some one class or group in the community. They were important but not inspiring themes. Chatham once enthralled a listening senate when he spoke of sugar; and silver once roused frantic enthusiasm at an American Presidential election. But the men and the occasions that can work these wonders come rarely. They have not come in Australia. Though its politics have not been dull, for they have been strenuous and changeful, they have been prosaic. What room for idealism among tariffs, trade marks, and land taxes? Patriotism no doubt there has been, a patriotism proud of the strength, the self-reliance, and the prosperity of Australia, and which glowed with bright hues when in 1914 the youth of Australia volunteered to fight in Europe not for Australia only, but also for a cause in which the fortunes of the world were involved. But this patriotism, this vision of a great Australia, Queen of the Southern seas, belonged to a different sphere from that of politics and did not tell upon the politicians. Thus there has been a sort of commonness in political life, a want of that elevation of spirit and that sense of dignity in conduct that should belong to men charged by their fellow-citizens with the uffurs of a nation growing rapidly to greatness

It might have been supposed that in such conditions of political life the stindard of honests would have declined, and many Australians say this has happened But though the air of Australian politics has neither an ennobling nor an intellectually bracing quality, it is not, broadly speaking, corrupt nor corrupting. While in paying the party game against adversaries every advantage that the rules permit is taken, it rarely happens that a statesman abuses his position for his own private profit. Constituencies are not bought, nor are newspapers, the permanent Civil Service is upright one hears less said about the permicious power of money than in any other democracy except Switzerland.

No one would desire that causes of strife such as those which made politics exciting in England and France during the nineteenth century should exist in any country merely for the sake of stimulating men's minds to higher flights than the conflict of material interests has produced As well desire war because it gives opportunities for heroism and supplies themes for poetry But there are human aspects in which material interests may be regarded that have failed to receive due consideration in Australia There might have been more sympathy on the one side and on the other more comprehension of the difficulty of economic reconstruction, and on both sides an attempt to reconcile the claims of different classes in the spirit of a wide minded philanthropy, together with a keener appreciation of the need for adjusting legislation to habits and motives that are a part of human nature

What light do the facts here set forth throw upon the probable future of government in Australia?

The longer a man lives, the more is he surprised at the audacity of prophets, of the foretellers of evil no less than of the visionary enthusiasts of progress. I can well remember the gloomy forecasts in which not only European travellers but Americans themselves indulged in 1870 when they contemplated the political evils which then afflicted the United States, and which made municipal administration, and in some States the judicial bench itself, a byword and reproach among the nations. Most of those evils have now disappeared. Never despond: unexpected good arrives as well as expected evil. Less than twenty years ago a finendly and very intelligent French observer¹ predicted that if things continued in Australia to follow the course they were then taking, capital would disappear, the spirit of enterprise would be destroyed, employers would be terrorized, confidence would vanish, and at last there would come a revolution. Things have continued to follow the same course, at an accelerated pace, but none of these calamities seems appreciably nearer. Those who hold that certain economic laws operate as inevitably as the laws of nature are entitled to say that some of the causes already at work will produce certain effects, if conditions remain the same. But conditions never do remain quite the same, and who can tell which of them will change, and in what direction? Much may happen in Australia, a land which has seen many changes since 1890. Parties may break up; Much may happen in Australia, a land which has seen many changes since 1890. Parties may break up; their tenets may be developed in one or another direction. In English-speaking countries parties are less fissiparous than in continental Europe, yet they are from time to time rent by differences of doctrine or by the rivalies of leaders. The caucus system, though it has given less offence to the general public than might have been expected, might by abusing its power induce a reaction, lose the confidence of sections among its supporters, and collapse. The secret of the effectiveness attainable by a pledge binding every member of a Parliamentary party, discovered by the Irish party in the

¹ M. Voisson in his book La Novaelle Asstral e. M. Biard d'Aunet, in his L'Aurore Aumra'e, is almost equally presumistic

House of Commons under the leadership of C S Parnell, was most successfully used, yet that party broke in two before his death, though national sentiment, the main factor in its cohesion, had remained unbroken. So a caucus system like that of Australian labour may be loosened if new issues arise, if mistakes discredit the leaders, if personal jealousies drive in a wedge of disunion and break up the party into sections 1 Some observers expect a popular disappointment with paternalism, and a recoil from it should the defects continue which the management of governmental undertakings have shown

The plan of raising wages by law, and then proceeding to raise duties on imports in proportion to the rise in wages, cannot go on indefinitely. It is possible, though hardly probable, that, before the limit has been reached, large further advances may have been made towards the supersession of private enterprise through the absorption by the State of a constantly increasing number of industries. The question of wages would then pass into a new phase, for when most of the workers are paid directly by the State, they can fix their own wages through their control of the legislative machinery, voting to themselves whatever wages they please. To make up what the State would lose by the difference between expenditure on wages and the value of the product of labour, further progressive taxation would be needed, if there were fortunes left to bear it.

Other possible economic changes lie in the lap of the future. A succession of dry seasons might bring bad times for all classes, with results unpredictable in their effect upon present labour policies.

Constitutional development, which, though perceptible only at intervals, is unceasing, being indeed as unavoidable in States as are growth and decay in a tree, may show new forms Representative government, trans planted from the mother country, lost its old character when power passed from Parliament as a whole to the parliamentary caucus, and even from that caucus itself

to a body standing outside and controlling the caucus, the Trades' Hall. A demand for the direct action of the voters by the Initiative and Referendum, devices which have won favour in the United States and Switzerland, have won favour in the United States and Switzerland, might then anse. The Australian Labour leaders have hitherto been satisfied with a system which has brought them many trumphs, but the idea of direct popular legislation is more conformable to the democratic principle that the whole people should rule than is the domination of one class through a legislative caucus.\(^1\) New questions may emerge if the Commonwealth, already called to deal with high matters beyond its own limits, takes a more active part in world-politics than it

has vet done.

has yet done. Much may moreover depend on the unpredictable factor of the personal quality of the statesmen who will come to the front in the two parties within the next twenty years. Maladroit leadership on the one side, skiful and stimulating and inspiring leadership on the other, might make a great difference where class interests and the forces of opinion are so nearly balanced as they have been in Australia during the last twenty years.

Finally, there may be intellectual changes. The diffusion of higher education may raise the level of knowledge in all classes, may enable them to realize that neither statutes nor those who administer them can reveal against the facts of human nature, may cause

prevail against the facts of human nature, may cause prevail against the facts of human nature, may cause the people, who have more lessure than any other people, to spend more of it in reflecting upon the conditions and principles which determine political progress and national well-being. The more highly educated class in particular may arouse themselves to take a livelier interest in public affairs, and to send more of their best men into a political career. Public opinion may become wiser and wider, riper, more truly national and less controlled by class feeling than it has latterly been.

Queensland has already adopted a plan for referring to a popular vote the decision of conflicts which arise between the two Houses of its Legislature.

Should any one of such changes occur, it would of course come slowly In the United States the return of the more educated classes to activity in the field of state and municipal government began thirty years ago and has not yet gone so far as reformers trust it will Of the other changes indicated as possible, none seems likely to deflect the main stream of Australian ideas and wishes The trend of sentiment and the political habits of the masses are already so clearly pointical nabits of the masses are already so clearly marked that the tendency to throw burdens upon the richer sort, and to use State power for objects that promise to benefit the citizen even at the risk of limiting his freedom, may hold its ground for some time, suggesting further experiments, the success or failure of which will accelerate or arrest the march towards communism With its present prosperity the country can afford to lose money upon experiments tried at the expense of the few, even if failure may ultimately injure the many Erch of these will deserve to be studied by itself, and judged on its own special merits or dements in working Older countries will look on and be grateful for what Australia can teach

Among the general lessons for democratic governments which Australian experience affords, that of widest import bears upon the character which Party government takes when Party coincides with Class, and upon the consequences to a representative assembly when it passes under the control of a pledge-bound majority of its own members, each forgoing his own liberty and owning the authority of an extra-parliamentary organization. It is hard to keep popular government truly popular, for power seems inevitably to slip back into the hands of the few, however strictly constitutional may be the forms. Australia has got no nearer than has any other country to solving the problem of government by the whole people with fairness to the whole people, but has given one more proof of what needed no proving, that a class dominant as a class will always govern in its own interest.

AUSTRALIA 290

The Australians, like the Americans, may not have used to the best purpose all the gifts of nature, and especially the great gift of a new land in which they could make a fresh start, delivered from the evils that afflicted the old societies. They have committed some serious mistakes and tolerated some questionable methods. But they have a great recuperative power. The maxim that nations must not presume too far upon their hereditary virtues is one that no nation can venture to forget. Some have suffered from forgetting it. Yet in Australia it is hard not to be affected by the youthful vigour and

PT. II

optimistic spirit of the people. We may well wish that there were more of them, for they are an asset precious to the world, as well as to that Commonwealth of British nations whereof they form a part, a virile and highspirited race, energetic and resourceful, a race which ought to increase and spread out till it fills the vast spaces, so far as habitable by man, of the continent that is its heritage

NEW ZEALAND

CHAPTER LIII

THE COUNTRY AND ITS FIRST HALF CENTURY

Or all the British self governing dominions New Zealand is that best suited by climate to be a home for men of British stock. Smill as it is in comparison with Canada, Australia, or South Africa, it has a lurger proportion of its total area uvailable for the service of man, and it is unsurpassed, if indeed equalled, by any of these countries in salubrity and in natural beauty. Europeans and Americans are apt to associate it with Australia, because the two countries lie only twelve hundred miles apart. But they are very different countries, unlike in physical spect, unlike in climate, unlike in their fauna and flora, unlike in the chriacter of the aborigines whom the settlers found, and like only in the character of their white population and in the British traditions which it brought to a new land

The country, consisting of two long islands and one much smaller isle to the south of the Southern island, measures 900 miles from north to south, and is so narrow that no point on it is more than seventy five miles from the sea. The northernmost part has the climate of Lisbon or Gibraltar, the southernmost the climate of Edinburgh. Large putts are mountainous, the highest peak of the South Island reaching 12,349 feet, and those of the North Island—where the loftiest are extinct.

volcanoes — exceeding 9000 feet. Of the total area, 104,471 square miles (about two-thirds) are deemed fit for agriculture or pasture, and of the residue a large part is still covered by forests of considerable economic value as well as great beauty. There are a copious rainfall, plenty of water-power, and mines of gold, silver, and coal. A comparatively small part of the land has been brought under tillage, for the chief industry is the keeping of sheep (about 26,000,000 (1919)) and cattle (over 3,000,000), but in large parts of the island the native herbage is so innutritious that it is necessary to sow European grasses, and in some regions the to sow European grasses, and in some regions the process is repeated every seventh year. Nature, while making ample provision for a very large population, has indicated pasture and agriculture as the chief occupations, for the coal deposits are not sufficient to provide fuel for great manufacturing industries, and New Zealand lies so far away from any large markets that manufacturers could not hope to do much more than manufacturers could not hope to do much more than supply the needs of the home consumer. Sheep-keeping is, moreover, pursued more profitably on a great than on a small scale, so that Nature might seem to indicate that economic causes, if left their full play, would make that economic causes, it tert their full play, would make the country one of fairly large rather than of small holdings. An important development of pastoral industry, however, has recently appeared in the form of moderately sized darry farms, worked on the co-operative system, and exporting butter and cheese to European markets. A study of the natural resources of New Zealand and of the economic phenomena springing from them suggests that the population will remain rather rural than urban, pretty dense in the arable, datrying, and fruit-raising districts, much more sparse in the pastoral and forest-covered lands. So, too, the towns will be important chiefly as ports for the shipping to foreign markets of agricultural and pastoral products, and as the two islands have a coast line about 4300 miles in total length, harbours are fairly numerous. No one of these ports, except perhaps Auckland in the

north, the nearest point to the Panama Canal, and with a spacious haven, seems likely to outclass the others to the same extent as Sydney and Melbourne dwarf the other scaports of South-Eastern Australia

These are the advantages Nature has bestowed on the country. What one may call the human conditions under which the white colony began were scarcely less favourable. The Maori aborigines belonged to one of the most intelligent branches of that brown Polynesian stock which is perhaps the most attractive primitive race that has ever been discovered. Though they were bold as well as chivalrous fighters, and had, unluckily, been allowed to obtain firearms, they were not numerous enough to obtain firearms, they were not numerous enough to be permanently formidable to a European population constantly recruited from without. The first white settlers were of an exceptionally high quality, with no convicts among them. A good many belonged to old English county families and not a few were persons of exceptional talent and character. No British colony ever started on its career under brighter auspices, with a larger promise of an equal distribution of wealth, ampler opportunities of prosperity for every industrious man, and greater freedom from the disturbing influences and bad habits of the European world

The early history of the colony may be dismissed in a few words. In 1840 the islands were formally annexed by Great Britain and a treaty made with the leading Maori chiefs, by which they recognized British sovereignty, while the enjoyment of their lands was guaranteed to them. Disputes about landownership inevitably arose as the settlers spread out, and induced a series of wars, the last of which ended in 1870, since which time the natives have lived at peace with the whites, having a considerable region reserved to them, along with the right of returning four members to the House of Representatives. It has been customary to have one Maori in the Cabinet?

 $^{^{2}}$ The C v. L st Act of 1908 provides a salary for two Maons as members of the Executive Council not holding ministerial posts

In 1853 an Act of the Imperial Parliament created an elective Legislature, and in 1856 a Ministry responsible to the Legislature, on the English model, was set up. This Parliament presently became a powerful centralizing force, for up to 1876 a large part of the functions of government had been discharged by Provincial Councils, one for each of the provinces (at first six, afterwards nine) into which the country was divided, and these councils had done good service by creating an interest in efficient government, and transung not a few men for toutions had done good service by creating an interest in efficient government, and training not a few men for public life. In 1861 gold was discovered, first in Orago, a little later on the west coast of the South Island, still later on the east coast of the North Island, and this brought in a good many immigrants of a new type, for the most part rough and uneducated, but hard working and kindly. With the year 1870, however, there began a new era. Sir Julius Vogel, then Minister of Finance, seeing the need for land communication between the widely the need for land communication between the violey separated centresof settlement, carried through Parliament aplan for borrowing £10,000,000, to be expended in public works, and especially on railways. As the country had then barely 250,000 white inhabitants, besides 45,000 Maoris, this was a bold venture, but Parliament went further, and within the next ten years the debt of the colony had risen from £7,841,000 to £27,000,000.

Long stretches of railway were built, many of which are said to have been laid out unsystematically and consaid to have been faid out unsystematically and con-structed wastefully. The expenditure of so many millions created a demand for labour, and drew into the country a swarm of immigrants so large as nearly to double the population between 1871 and 1881. Land values rose rapidly, the influx of miners continued, new industries were started and towns grew. A period of wild speculation in land and in business generally was naturally followed by a collapse and general depres-sion, from which the colony did not recover for many years. Then first was it that distress and paupersm appeared, then first the unlucky immigrants whose hopes had been disappointed began to look to the

Government for help As the heavy debt compelled an increase in taxation, the duties on imports were raised, and a foundation laid for the protective tariff now in force.

The functions of the Parliament of the colony having grown more important after the Provincial Councils had vanished, party divisions in the Legislature became more marked, though for a good while there was hardly any electoral organization in the constituencies, while in the Assembly the tie of party allegiance was loose For the next fifteen years the reins of government were usually in the hands of those who were then deemed Conserva tives, and who defended the interests of the larger landowners and the wealthier business men Among the opponents of this party, calling themselves Liberals, the most prominent figure was Sir George Grey (in earlier days twice Governou and now a party leader), a remarkable man, radica'fon opinion, authoritarian by temper, brilliant by his intellectual gifts, but without the tact and forbearance which the conduct of business the fact and forcearance which the conduct of business in an Assembly requires. His advocacy, while Prime Minister, of an enlargement of the franchise compelled his successor to pass in 1879 an Act establishing manhood suffrage, and this, followed some years later by the abolition of plural voting, made New Zealand a democracy At first, however, no great change in legislation was visible The subjects that occupied Parliament were chiefly land questions and various financial difficulties which the growth of the debt and the depression in business had made acute Some measures designed to benefit the working men were passed, and the disposition to play for their votes became more evident Ministers to play for their votes became more evident.

Ministers and members, however, to whichever party they belonged, came almost exclusively from the richer and more educated classes. They were landed proprietors, merchants, lawyers, and other professional men, some more advanced in their views and sentiments than others, but not separated among themselves by any sharp lines either of social sympathy or of political opinion Very

few were radicals by theory, if indeed one can talk of theory at all among New Zealand politicians. They were occupied by the issues of the hour, and inevitably also by the getting and keeping of office, for the balance of party strength frequently shifted, and a disproportionate amount of time and effort was spent on the

Incessant game of replacing the Ins by the Outs.

In that game the working men had not yet begun to take a hand. They had, of course, we're dince the suffrage had been extended to them, anc most of them voted for the party called Liberal. But they were not keen politicians, their leaders being far more directly interested in the building up of the trade unions and the conduct of strikes and other labour disputes, these having grown more frequent with the increase of the wage-earning class.

This was the position \(\frac{N}{N} \) that election of 1890 which proved fateful for \(N \cdot \cdot \frac{N}{N} \) land was approaching. The Constitution had then \(\frac{1}{N} \) end taken the completely popular character which now marks it, and as it has remained unchanged (except in two details to be presently noted) since 1890, this is a convenient point for a brief description of its main features as it stood when the days of effective democracy began

The Parliament or "General Assembly" consisted of two Houses. The House of Representatives had, and still has, seventy-six members (besides four representing the Maori aborigines), elected by universal (then manhood) suffrage for three years, and for districts approximately equal in population. Each member has received a salary of \$\frac{1}{2}\triangle a year. No elector can vote in more than one district.

The Legislative Council contained in 1890 thirtyfour members, appointed for life by the Governor, i.e. by the ministry in power at the time when vacancies occurred. It had practically no power in financial matters, and it did not make or unmake ministries, but otherwise its functions in legislation were legally the same as those of the popular House. The number of its members was not limited by law. As we presently shall see, this provision has been changed

shall see, this provision has been changed

"Executive authority was vested nominally in the
Governor appointed by the British Crown (with a term
of office usually of five years), but practically in the
Ministry, *e* the leaders of the majority in the House of
Representatives This is the regular form of government in all the self governing Dominions of Britain, and
it places the ultimate seat of power in the majority of
citizens voting at an election, this power being exercised
through the majority in the popular House and the
Ministry which it supports Its democratic quality was
limited by only one check, viz the right of the Legislative Council to amend or reject Bills other than financial.

The bulk of the voters, however, which here as elsewhere consisted of the poorer classes, had not realized

The bulk of the voters, however, which here as elsewhere consisted of the poorer classes, had not realized how great their power was, just as the English working class did not realized there for many years after the Act of 1867. But now, in 1890, the awakening came. There was much discontent among the masses. The agricultural element among them had been disappointed at their failure to obtain those small holdings for which they had been calling during thirty years. They blamed the improvidence that had allowed most of the good land to get into the hands of large proprietors, and the ineptitude of successive Ministries whose plans for selling or leasing Crown lands in small blocks had failed to satisfy the legitimate desires of the people. The depression which followed the "boom" of the early 'seventies had never quite passed away, although the great sheep-masters were now prosperious through their wool exports, and beginning to profit by the newly discovered methods of sending frozen mutton to Europe, circumstances which raised the price of land against the small buyer. The considerable working—class population which had grown up in the towns since the days when loans had brought money and, for a time, plenty of employment into the country, was restless and unhappy. While many of those who had arrived in the "boom days" were where consisted of the poorer classes, had not realized

emigrating, there was distress among those who re-mained, and what is called "sweating" was complained of in some trades. The efforts of their chiefs had hitherto in some traces. The criors of their ciners had hinterto been devoted to the raising of wages and the improvement of labour conditions generally by means of strikes. But the greatest strike yet ventured on, due to an attempt to prevent the use by the shipping companies of non-Union labour, had just failed, after having nearly drained the resources of the Unions. They were disheartened; and hard times made them ready for some new and and naru times made them cosy, and now more promising line of action. There was a sense in the air of coming change, a feeling in all classes that a crisis in industrial problems was at hand. In this situation the leaders of the Liberal party, having already nany adherents among the small farmers, turned naturally for support to the leaders of the wage-earners, and the latter gladly joined hands with them, thinking, as were the Australians at the same time, that what they could not get by strikes they must seek in some other way. The election of 1890 gave a majority against the Conservative Ministry, and brought in five working men as supporters of the Liberal Government formed by Mr. Ballance as Prime Minister. When the bold programme of legislation which he set himself to carry out was arrested by the materially altered some important Bills, he resolved to deal with the Council itself, and passed through the House a measure reducing the tenure of office of the councillors from life to a period of seven years. The Council, in which there were then only six Ministerialists out of a total of thirty-four, rejected the measure, whereupon the Ministry requested the Governor to appoint twelve new members, there being, it will be remembered, no limitation to the numbers of the Council. The Governor, who thought this change too large, declined to appoint more than nine. The matter was referred to the British Government at home, which, adhering to its general principle of letting the self-governing colonies

settle their internal affairs for themselves, directed the Governor to comply The twelve new councillors, four of whom were working men, were thereupon appointed, and the Bill reducing the term of a councillor's office to seven years was passed Since this change the Council, though for a time it showed fight, and though it continues to meet and debate, has practically counted for little, and constitutes no effective check on the action of the popular House In 1893 another general election gave the Liberals a majority of 22 in that House The Ministry now had the ball at their feet, and threw themselves with redoubled energy and confidence into that policy of extending the action of the State in many new directions which has made New Zealand's legislative experiments a subject of curiosity and interest to the world This was done under a new Prime Minister, Mr Richard John Seddon, one of the most remarkable leaders of the people modern democracy has

produced

CHAPTER LIV

RICHARD SEDDON AND HIS POLICIES

RICHARD SEDDON, or King Dick as he was commonly and affectionately called, was born at St Helens in Lancashire in 1845. Both of his parents were teachers in elementary schools, then on a far lower level than now. Despite these facilities, he carried away from school little education, being of a restlessly active temper which had no liking for books. After an apprenticeship of five years to an engineering firm, he went at nineteen to seek his fortune in the gold-diggings of Australia. Not finding it there, he crossed the sea from Melbourne to New Zealand, where, after some further experiences in gold-mining, he set up an inn and shop, which his friends called a store and his detractors a public-house, at Kumara, on the west coast of the South Island,1 Here his hustling energy and "hail-fellow-well-met" spirit soon made him successful as a miner's advocate in the Warden's Mining Court, and also a leading figure in local politics. In 1879 he was returned to Parliament as a supporter of Sir George Grey, then Prime Minister, and sat thenceforth in the House of Representatives till he died in 1906, still in middle life, but broken down by a tireless activity which would allow itself no respite from work.

His character and career deserve more than a passing mention. He had little book-learning, no love of knowledge for its own sake, and in particular no acquaintance with even the rudiments of economics and legislation.

The house had a license, but taken out in the name of his uncle. 300

In eloquence he was equally wanting. There was neither art nor grace in his speeches, which rambled on through a string of details tedious to the listener, with nothing even of that idealistic strain by which men of ardent soul but halting utterance sometimes rouse an audience But he had Force and Drive He could say what he meant when he wanted to say it, and said it in a way to command attention "I believe"—so he once remarked to an interviewer-" in giving it to the great many-headed the tand hot, lots of pepper and seasoning, none of your milk - and - water pap, no namby - pamby solemn beating about the bush, but straight-from-the shoulder talk." It was well observed of him that he "never talk." It was well observed of him that he "never could estimate the precise value of comparatives and superlatives, and seemed to the last to imagine that strong language was the only language befitting a strong man." When he had to deal with a subject, he spared no pains to get up all the facts and to keep them accurately in mind. In Parlament his indominable persistence and strenuous will bore down all opposition, and the air of determined resolution that sat well on his strong features was all the more impressive from his burly frame and a chest like Vulcan's.

But with the force there were resoluted other multiple of the strong the strong the strong the strong the strong that the force there were resolved other multiple.

But with this force there were coupled other qualities quite as serviceable. He had a genial manner, a cheery laugh, a crushing hand-grip. Though jealous, he was neither malicious nor vindictive. He was at home with the people. From them he had sprung, and they were proud of him. He got acquainted with everybody, remembered everybody's face, knew how to handle everybody, and thus did more to strengthen his power outside than inside. Parliament. Even his opponents found it hard to hate him. With these grifts and a convenient absence of scruples, he was an adroit parliamentary leader, quick in apprehension, shrewd in his judgments, knowing even when to yield and how to yield without the appearance of weakness.

¹ A penetrating observer who had every opportunity of studying Seddon told me that he got on much better with the average elector than with men of intellect or educat on their mental processes being unfamiliar to him.

302

He was accused of playing down to the crowd, and certainly did much to vulgarize New Zealand politics. Power was his passion, and, though his head was not turned by popularity, he had his full share of vanity. Yet he was something more than a mere self-seeker or vote-catcher. His heart was kindly, and he honestly wished to better the condition and brighten the lives of the class whence he came. He deserves to be remembered as one of the few leaders of the masses who began and remained throughout on the level of the masses. Seldom has any one of an origin so humble risen to the top, not even in France, in the midst of a revolution, nor in the United States, nor in Switzerland. But the New Zealander, had he lived in the days of the first French Revolution, would have played a notable part there, as he would have done also in those cities of ancient Greece that were often torn by seditions. Revolutions give chances to everybody, but Seddon did not need troublous times to rise. There have been few more remarkable figures in our time than this popular dictator, who gained and kept power without education and without eloquence.1

The election of 1893 gave Mr. Seddon, who had become Prime Minister after the death of Mr. Ballance, a majority of 22, and three subsequent elections in succession confirmed his power. Though during the first few years the resistance of the Legislative Council occasionally delayed his measures, he carried through, during his thirteen years of office, a series of Acts, to which, having regard both to their number or their significance, few parallels can be found in recent history. Most of them were passed in the interests of the working class, and many of them extended the scope and power of State action. Seddon was not himself a Socialist, indeed he was not an -ist of any kind, being free from all theories, and looking solely to the needs of the moment and the exigencies of the

¹ M. Siegfried observes that the Frenchman from the country, who is said to have gone round Paris trying to find the being called L'Etar and was at last shown a very large building full of public offices, might in New Zealand have simply been led to Mr. Sedden's room.

ch Liv SEDDON's METHODS 303
political situation Nor was his Ministry, as a whole, Socialist in the European sense of the term Resting on the support of the Liberals and of the working class vote, the latter already strong, though not yet organized, it met the more urgent desires of the latter without offending the former, and carried with it the poorer part of the agricultural class, and indeed the bulk of public opinion in the colony. But it was not by this support only nor by his personal ascendancy that his Ministry kept its grip on members and constituencies. Mr Seddon was the most astute of party managers, and never heistated to use Government patronage to win support or buy off opposition. He saw nothing wrong in this, and almost disarmed criticism by the frankness of his avowals. Appropriations for roads, bridges, railways, harbour improvements, every kind of work which could benefit a district or bring money into it, were freely granted. No one charged him or his Ministers with enriching themselves. New Zealand is one of the purest of colonial communities, and, indeed, of democratic

granted No one charged him or in Ministers with enriching themselves. New Zealand is one of the purest of colonial communities, and, indeed, of democratic communities anywhere, comparable in this respect with Switzerland. But though the grants were occasionally made to districts that were not supporting his government, his abuse of public funds for party purposes did much to lower the tone of politics.

These methods and acts passed with the support of the Liquor interest helped to secure his continuance in power though some thought that his prestige was beginning to wante before he passed away. The pace of legislation slackened during his later years, when two or three of his ablest colleagues were no longer with him, and the trade union leaders, always expecting some fresh concession, grew restive, and were stimulated by the example of the rapid advance made by the Labour party in Australia to think of detaching themselves from the Labera's. After Seddon's death his two successors kept the Liberal majority together on the lines he had followed, while slowing down the pace still further. They were beginning to be weakened.

by an increase in the class of small farmers, which grew more conservative as it acquired property; and when the wage-earners found that there were limits to the raising of wages, the two sections began to draw apart. Moreover, the Ministry suffered, like every Ministry long in office, by the sort of staleness it acquired in the view of the voters. "In the end the possession of great administrative power brings about destruction. Security breeds carelessness, perhaps corruption; length of office inspires mistrust, discontent, and envy." However, it held on, not without the use of what are euphemistically called "administrative methods," though at the election of 1908 many of the Labour men drew off, running candidates of their own. Finally, at the election of 1911, the Opposition obtained a small majority, and formed, under the name of the Reform party, a Government, which devoted itself chiefly to financial and land questions, and created a Civil Service Commission, but did not attempt to repeal the measures of its predecessors.

In the election of 1915 the Labour party gained seats in seven constituencies, and elsewhere gave its support to the Liberals, but the Reformers obtained a small majority over both these parties. Shortly afterwards (Aquest 4, 1915) the European War brought about the coalition of Reformers and Liberals in what was called a "National Government," and it lasted till 1919, when the "Liberal" members withdrew."

As it is the legislation passed by the Seddon Government that has chieffy fixed upon New Zealand the extent

As it is the legislation passed by the Seddon Govern-ment that has chiefly fixed upon New Zealand the atten-tion of economists and statesmen in other countries, their measures, and especially those which have a flavour near measures, and especially those which have a flavour of State Socialism, deserve to be examined in detail. Before, however, I proceed to such an examination, and thereafter to a description of the present political conditions and of the public opinion of the country, a few words must be said upon Local Government, Education,

¹ Hight and Bamford, Communical History and Law of New Zealand, p. 303 27 The general election of Dec. 1919 gave to the Reform party 43 wests, to the Libers to the Libbury party 10, and 4 others were described as Independent.

and the Civil Service, in order to complete the account

of the machinery of government.

No British colony has developed a more complete system of local institutions There are, in rural areas, County Councils, and under them Road Boards, both elected biennially on a system which allots one, two, or three votes to the citizen, according to his valuation 1 Their functions cover every kind of rural work except Education, Poor Relief, and Police The Borough Councils are chosen brennally, the Mayor being elected, not by the Councils, as in England, but directly by the voters, as in the United States The qualifications are freehold or rating or residential, but the latter does not entitle its holder to vote on any proposal submitted to the electors regarding loans or rates The functions of these Councils include the care of "streets, drainage, lighting, tramways, bridges, ferries, water-works and water-power, sanitation, fire prevention, workers' dwellings, markets, public libraries, museums, public gardens, and More than one borough has a theatre "2

The total indebtedness of the various local authorities

in New Zealand (excluding debts to the Government amounting to £3,851,000) was in 1918 £22,260,000 Considerable subsidies are paid annually by the Dominion to Borough Councils, and on a still higher scale to County Councils No salaries are paid to the members of any of Councils No salaries are paid to the members of any of these local bodies "When the large number of local bodies is considered, it will be seen," says Chief Justice Sir Robert Stout, "that some thousands of our people are concerned, without fee or reward, in managing our local concerns" And he adds, 'Mistakes may have been innocently made, but up to the present time (1911) not a single charge of corruption or fraud has ever been made against any of our municipal bodies or any of their members 'a Every one whom I questioned in New

VOL. 11

¹ A pecul ar provision 10 that where a qualification if echold, rating or residential is possessed by either husband or which is deemed to be possessed by each ² SrR Stout New Italia dip 102 ³ SrP Stout utsupra p 108

Zealand agreed in bearing like witness to the honesty with which local government is conducted.

In rural areas, party politics do not enter into elections, but in the cities it is otherwise. The Labour party ran candidates in the four chief cities in 1913. Muni-cipalities are empowered to adopt in their elections proportional representation, but this right has been so

far sparingly used.1

Police belongs entirely to the government of the Dominion, which has entrusted it to a Commissioner. In 1919 a police force of only 878 was deemed quite sufficient for a population of 1,160,000, being one policeman to every 1319 persons. Another cheering fact is that whereas persons born in New Zealand and over fifteen years of age constitute 60 per cent of the whole population, the percentage of New Zealand-born to the total number of prisoners in gaol was only 43.2

Education also is entirely supported by the Dominion Government, the administration being entrusted to thirteen district boards and to school committees elected locally. The question of religious teaching in schools has been much contested. In 1914 a Bill was introduced provid-ing for the reading of the Bible and permitting ministers of religion to enter the schools at suitable times to instruct scholars belonging to their respective denominations, but it was warmly opposed and ultimately dropped, owing to the outbreak of war in Europe. Apart from this question, the schools did not "come into politics." In the elementary schools instruction is compulsory and gratuitous, while in secondary schools free places are provided for all children who reach a certain standard by a certain age. There is practically no illiteracy among native-born New Zealanders. But one part of the

1 New Zealard Tear-Ent for 1919.

Wisitors rom Europe have remarked on the neglect of civic amenities shown by some municipalities. Auckland, for instance—with an admirable situation and environs of great interest, possessing what is a sort of natural museum of grolory and wchienlogy, for it is I believe, the only city in the world with a number of small extinct craters in its suburbs, some of which the Maonies turned to account as forts, placing stockades around them-has not made proper use of these natural advantages any more than San Francisco has of here

CH LIV

fabric remains unfinished. It is the top story. The University is merely an examining body, and no one of the four colleges affiliated to it, situated in each of the four colleges affiliated to it, situated in each of the four chief cities, useful as they are and all of them well deserving to be maintained, possesses the equipment which a University needs. No city will yield to any other, not even to Wellington, the capital, the honour of being selected as the seat of a true university of the European or American type, concentrating in itself the highest teaching power and the most varied learning of the country. The provision for engineering and other technical instruction is of good quality and sufficient for the population, but institutions created to give instruction in applied science, however valuable for practical purposes, do not fill the void. A first class university staff is all the more necessary, because New Zealand lies far away from the intellectual influences of Europe. They do, indeed, reach her through books, but with the thin voice of a telephone.

JTHE PUBLIC SERVICE

In few countries, if in any, is the proportion of members of the public service to the whole nation so large as in New Zealand, and this because in few does the Government undertake so many tasks on behalf of the people. In 1909 a Minister stated that 130,000 persons out of a population then of 1,000,000 were directly dependent on the State, and this number is said to have now risen to 150,000. This estimate would, however, seem to have been reached by adding to the number of State employees, then 40,000, the oldage pensioners, then 14,000 (now over 19,000), and estimating the dependent families at two and a half persons to each of the above. The condition of the Civil Service is therefore a matter of special interest, for it affects the welfare of a large part of the people, as well as the efficiency of the many and diverse kinds of public work which they perform

¹ In 1919 the e four colleges had n all about 113 p ofessors and lecturers

Four questions in particular need to be noticed here, the methods of admission into the Civil Service, the tenure of its members, the system of promotion, and the relation

of the Service to party politics.

Admission to the public service is by a competitive examination, held at the age of fourteen, in elementary subjects and therefore affording no satisfactory evidence of the intellectual gifts of the candidates, though some evidence of their diligence. This arrangement does not apply to posts in the railway service, which are filled by the appointment of the Ministers for that department, while in the postal and telegraph services, appointments are entrusted to a non-political Commission. Members of the Legislature used to put political pressure on the Ministers to give places to their friends, and found this one of the least agreeable of the functions which their constituents expected from them. One member is reported to have said: "The applications I receive from candidates for the public service are the worry of my life; men, women, and children all seem to want to get Government billets." And another observed: "Members of Parliament are to a large extent Labour agents; there is none of us who is not supposed to possess some influence with the Government, and who is not expected to use that influence on behalf of persons seeking Government billets." 1

Tenure.—The existing evils due to political patronage would be much graver had not New Zealand fortunately adopted the British principle of regarding the tenure of posts as practically permanent, i.e. making no dismissals except for serious faults or evident inefficiency. There is no "Spoils System," no wholesale turning out of officials on a change of Government, such as was once general, and still exists, to some extent, in the United States.

Promotion .- The efficiency of any service depends on the methods used for bringing superior ability to the top, but this implies the entrusting of a wide discretion to the head of the department, who cannot always be trusted to resist political pressure exerted by members of parliament in the way already referred to The alternative is promotion by seniority, which, even if coupled with examinations at different stages (an experiment tried in New Zealand), gives little security, beyond what mere experience furnishes, for administrative capacity. Thus New Zealand has suffered both from ministerial discretion and from the rule of seniority. As a new country, she had not the advantage of that long tradition and settled custom which in Great Britain has set to whole of certainty he controlled Ministers in the on the whole, if not invariably, controlled Ministers in the disposal of patronage, impressing on them the duty of selecting the best men for the higher posts. An effort to mend things was made in 1912 by an Act creating a non political Public Service Commissioner, with two Assistant Commissioners to exercise a general control over the public service, except the Railway, Defence, and Police departments. Appeals from a decision of the Commissioner may be brought to a Board of three members, one of them appointed from the ranks of, and another elected by the Civil Service itself. This Commission is independent of the Ministry, and its members are removable only by Parliament. An effort to bring its powers under direct parliamentary control has, how ever, been threatened.

The Paracapano of Public Servanis in Politics on the whole, if not invariably, controlled Ministers in the

The Partnepation of Public Servanis in Politics—
Though it was long ago laid down that members of the
Civil Service are forbidden to take an active part in political
controverses otherwise than by recording their votes, this
rule was not strictly observed Members have been rule was not strictly observed. Members have ocen known to complain that in the days of the Seddon Government they found an array of public servants working against them at elections, and that it was felt in some places that a man could not get work under the Government unless he supported it by his vote, about others have told me of many who, though they might not work against the Ministry, voted against

¹ Quoted by Ros nol and Stewart, p 2:2 It would appear that there is to-day little if any of such act on by c vil servants

them. In 1907, when a workman had been dismissed because he had moved a resolution hostile to the Government at a meeting of a Labour League, the matter was raised in Parliament and the action of the Government supported. It was generally felt, as some one said, that if the Government did not rule the Civil Service, the Civil Service would rule the Government. The public action, and even the votes, of a body so numerous and so constantly growing would if steadily thrown for the party which promised them higher remuneration and more favourable conditions, be a dangerous factor in politics, as has happened before now, to some extent in Britain and to a larger extent in Australia, and would also be unfair to those workers who might, as taxpayers, be thus forced to pay more to others than they were themselves receiving for like work. I did not, however, hear that even the railwaymen, the largest single body of employees, have as yet gone far in this direction. Railway workers, though not long ago there was a strike among them, are to some extent kept quiet by the fear of forfesting their pensions for long service.

The opinions expressed to me in New Zealand all went to show that the upper ranks of the Civil Service were reasonably efficient and entirely pure. One could not expect to find among them more than a few persons of the calibre of the openment chiefs of the departments

in the countries of Western Europe.

We may now turn to the experimental legislation which has won for New Zealand the reputation of a semi-socialistic State.

The boundless energy of Mr. Seddon, the enormous majority that supported him in the legislature, and the command he soon acquired over the minds of the people, made it possible for his Ministry to carry through in the years following 1893 a series of laws, conceived in the interests of the working class, to which few parallels can be found in any other modern country. Many of these require no special notice, because similar to measures enacted in European countries or in several States of

the American Union, so I will advert only to those few which either throw strong light on the tendencies of democratic government or go farthest in enlarging the functions of the democratic State. Most of them did not spring from Mr. Seddon's brain, which was by no means creative, yet without his force and his ascendancy both in and out of Parliament they could not have been pressed through against the resistance of the richer section of the community. I begin with the land policy, in which it was not he, but his colleague John M'Kenzie, Minister of Lands, a masterful shepherd from the Scottish Highlands, who originated, carried, and set a going the administration of the governmental measures I

LAND LEGISLATION

When the Liberal Labour Government of 1891 proceeded to tackle the Land question the problem was not new, but had already a history, long, changeful, and complicated In the earlier years of the Colony, when all the land of the islands, except the patts reserved for the Maoris, lay at the disposal of the Government, sad mistakes were committed There was abundance of good intentions, but very little foresight Vast blocks were permitted to pass into the lands of speculators, so that in the early 'seventies, when immigrants

1 New Zealand has been fortunate un the authors who have devoted themselves to a desert prion and curt some of the release the contract of the recent experiments in the field of legislation. The first of these, and one of the following the view of the recent experiments in the field of legislation. The first of these, and one of the following the trust test of the view of the view of the view below for the third in rocal by Mit. Penhed Revers who was Munater of Labour on the administration of the view of the view

desired to take up farms, much of the richest and best-situated arable soil was already gone, while the boom (consequent on Vogel's borrowings) which began in 1870 had run up the price against small buyers. Thence-forward many attempts were made by legislation to repair these original errors. Some experiments failed and were abandoned; none had in 1891 done much to meet the reasonable demands of the people. In that year 584 persons sowned 7,000,000 acres out of rather less than 44,000,000 fit for agriculture or pasture, and in 1884, 470 persons beld land of rather less than 44,000,000 ht for agriculture or pasture, and in 1894, 470 persons held land of the unimproved value of £15,000,000, while 38,465 persons held land of unimproved value to the amount of £23,000,000, i.e. one-eightieth of the total number of holders owned two-fifths of the total value of the of noisers owned two-nins of the total value of the land. To make farms easily procurable, and to improve social and political conditions by reducing the number of large and increasing that of small land-holders, was an object which all recognized as desinable, but about the means there were great differences of opinion.

In 1892 the Liberal-Labour Government abolished the then existing system of a perpetual lease of Crown lands at 5 per cent, with right to purchase, and substituted what was called a "lease in perpetuity," at a rent of 4 per cent, without the right of purchase, limiting, moreover, the area which any one tenant could hold to 640 acres of first-class or 2000 acres of second-class land. Presently the tenants under the tenure created by this law began to ask for permission to purchase the free-hold. This right they at last obtained in 1907, but note. Ins right they at last obtained in 1907, but with a provision that the price should be the capital value which the land had, not at the time when the lease was granted, but at the time of purchase. At the same time the lease in perpetuity was abolished, and a lease for sixty-six years substituted, with a provision for valuation and renewal at the end of the term at a re-fixed rent. But many tenants remain who hold under the older tenures, some under perpetual lease and some under the lease in

perpetuity, and their numbers make them a powerful body. A recent authority remarks 1

The chief danger of a large State tenantry is the immense polinical pressure they can exercise. There were, in 1909, 25,264 State tenants, holding 18,264,083 acres, and they will agitate for the freehold so long as there is the slightest chance of getting it, and will be supported in their demands by about 45,000 freeholders of country lands, most of whom are strong upholders of the tenure which they enjoy. Even if they do not succeed in obtaining the freehold, they are quite likely to clamour for reduction in rents in time of depression, as indeed they have already done. One witness before the Lund Commission of 1905 on being pressed to give reasons for his belief in the freehold, said, "I believe in the freeholder because the freeholder is the man to whom, in times of trouble, the State will look and the leaseholder the man who in times of trouble will look to the State."

The questions connected with State ownership cannot be yet deemed to have been settled, and the authority just quoted expresses the opinion that

the advantages of State ownership have been much exaggerated, and it is not easy to show that New Zealand has derived any benefit that could not have been obtained from freehold tenure combined with taxation of land values. Had the efforts of the legislature in the past been concentrated upon the prevention of land monopoly and closer settlement on freehold farms, more progress would probably have been made than has been possible on the lines attempted in the past ¹

While these different forms of State leasing were being tried, the sale of Crown lands in freehold also went steadily on, but with two important provisos, "that the purchaser must reside and execute improvements, and that no one can purchase who already owns a certain prescribed quantity of land" The "Reform" Government, which took office in 1912, has allotted the proceeds of land sales to the support of a fund, to be now referred to, for the purchase of land Besides the measures dealing with the lands that had Colony, a further effort was made to meet the desire for small properties. In 1894 the Seddon Ministry passed an Act empowering the Government to acquire privately-owned land by compulsory purchase for the purpose of furthering closer settlement. "The land so acquired is disposed of on perpetual renewable leases of thirty-three years, at a rental of £4: 10s. per cent on the amount paid for the land. At the end of such lease the renewal rental is £4: 10s, per cent on the value of the land."1 The sum of £750,000 per annum may be expended in this way, and in fact sums very large in the aggregate have been so expended. Most sales have been effected have been so expended. Most saies have been effective by agreement, without compulsion. But the money the State pays is obtained by borrowing in England, and the rents which the State has been receiving have not quite covered the interest upon the loans and the expenses incident to the process of purchasing. Moreover, the recent prosperity of the country sent up the price of land, so that it had become before 1914 more difficult. to purchase at a price permitting subdivision and letting at rentals which tenants can afford to pay. Thus, undeniable as is the benefit to the tenants of obtaining farms, that benefit was being secured at a loss to the community as a whole. This was not sound finance. Now that the rates at which loans can be raised in England have so greatly risen, can the process continue? So many nave so greatly user, can the process continue? So many countries have, since the days of the Roman Republic in the fourth century n.c., failed in their efforts to deal wisely with the problem of the management and disposal of the public land that it need cause no surprise that, even with the experience of the past to instruct them, successive Governments in the Australasian colonies have done little better. New Zealand, specially favoured in one respect, because she started with no landed aristocracy already entrenched in their vested interests, has paid dearly for the errors of the first twenty years. The Seddon Government, however, deserves the credit of having grappled boldly, if not always wisely, with the

¹ Stout, p. 174.

^{*} Rossignol and Stewart, p. 43-45.

evils it found. Without confiscation, though it is heavy cost in money, it improved the situation. Under its successors, who are more definitely committed to the plan of freehold ownership, small properties have been increasing, and the large estates are being slowly reduced as the agricultural population grows.

It is only fair to add that the Governments of recent years have been embarrassed and distracted by the existence of three divergent currents of opinion. One school desires to make the State the universal landowner, and to support its expenses by land revenues. Others desire to extinguish private property in land as in all other means of production, in order to establish a Collectivist regime. Opposed to these sections are those who, both on political grounds and for the sake of satisfying a popular demand, seek to create the largest possible number of small land owning cultivators, just such a class, in fact, as exists in North America and (with smaller properties) in many parts of France. Sometimes trying to satisfy both these schools of opinion, sometimes yielding to one or other, New Zealand land policy has been wavering and changeful.

FINANCIAL ADMINISTRATION

Revenue—In New Zealand, as in all young countries where population is sparse and the rich are few, duties on imported goods constitute the most convenient form of taxation, so they continue to supply the chief source of revenue. They were at first imposed for revenue purposes only. Presently, however, when there seemed to be a lack of employment for the town workers, and when this was attributed to the competition to which home made articles had to submit from the competition of British made articles, the tariff began to be regarded as a means of raising prices for the home producer,

 $^{^{1}}$ The advocates of the leasing system say that the f cehold system give opportunities for tokery and for wide peculic on that to shard for a visitor to sit and decide between conflicting views a such matters

and thereby assumed a Protectionist character. This character it has since retained and developed. Import duties were further raised under Seddon, whose faith duties were further raised under Seddon, whose faith in Protection was so ardent that he insisted on preaching the doctrine in England, regarding it as of universal application, whatever might be the economic conditions of a country. The manufacturing employers, who had found their position strengthened against imports by a raising of duties originally adopted in order to add to the revenue in a time of depression, thenceforward pressed for a higher and higher tariff; while the workmen, thinking that this meant more employment for themselves, seconded that pressure, so that with the support of both classes Protection has become the established creed of the country. It gives revenue; it is popular with the townsfolk; and the agriculturists it is popular with the townsfolk; and the agriculturists either have not perceived the burden it lays upon them or are willing to bear that burden in what they suppose to be the general interest. The fear of the competition of Australian-made goods was one of the grounds which deterred New Zealand from entering into Federal relations with Australia. Little objection was made when Seddon, who was a strenuous Imperialist, introduced a preferential scale favouring British imports, because the preference was given, not by reducing the tariff on goods brought from England, but by in-creasing it upon goods coming from foreign countries. Protection has doubtless helped to maintain in New Zealand some industries that might otherwise have languished. But whether this has proved, or will in the long run prove, a benefit to the country is another question.1

Two other features of the financial policy instituted by Ballance in 1891, and continued by the Seddon

See our appeaded on this thingier. It need hadly be and this New Zealand muniformers handly easypel a "hardle Protective" in the hagh, and in appearing good from the hagh, and in appearing one of the protection of the protect

CH. LIV

Ministry, were both a Land-Tax and an Income-Tax graduated on all profits except those from land. The former was designed not only to raise money, but to break up the large estates, an object already sought by the other means above referred to _To make it effective for this purpose it was laid to fall more heavily upon for this purpose it was laid to fall more heavily upon estates in proportion to their value. The ordinary land-tax, which dated from 1891, applied to all properties exceeding £500 (unimproved value), estates below that sum being exempt. A graduated land-tax (first proposed in 1887 by the Stout-Vogel Ministry), applicable to all properties which exceeded £5000 (unimproved value), was added later, and in 1917 the distinction between the two was abolished, so there is now one graduated tax, which, imposing one penny in the f on land the un-improved value whereof does not exceed f 1000, rises till tt reaches a maximum of sevenpence in the £ at £193,000 The amount was increased for 1917–19 by a super-tax of The amount was increased for 1917-19 by a super-tax or go per cent of the primary tax, making the maximum rate 10½d in the £ For absentee owners there is a further increase of 50 per cent on the graduated tax. The object of reducing the size of estates has been only to some slight extent secured. The more it is secured the less, of course, is the return from the graduated the less, of course, is the return from the graduated tax. The graduated income-tax, not charged on the incomes of resident individuals below £300, rises by successive steps to a maximum rate of 7s 6d in the £3, which rate is attained at an income of £6400. Both these taxes were of course resisted by the large landowners and by the rich generally, but were so welcome to the small farmers, as well as to the labouring class, that they were easily carried and have been maintained. Continued prosperity, with high prices for wool, mutton, cheese, and butter in British markets, has enabled them cheese, and outer in british markets, has enabled them to be borne. There are also progressive duties on property passing at death, a class of imposts now familiar. Great Britain imposed graduated succession duties in 1894, and a graduated income-tax in 1911. Congress imposed a graduated surtax for the United States in

1916. New Zealand, however, led the way so far as English-speaking communities are concerned.

How is this taxation, large in proportion to the wealth of New Zealand, expended? The net public debt wealth of New Zealand, expended? The net pumin was amounted in 1914 to a sum of £91,689,000, in 1919 to £170,000,125, with an annual charge of £8,000,000 for interest and sinking fund, about £70,000,000 having been added to it during the War of 1914—18. In 1891, when the Liberal-Labour Ministry came in, it 1091, when the Liberal-Ladour Ministry came in, it was £39,000,000, in 1909 £70,000,000, in 1914 £91,000,000, while in 1919 War Loans had raised it to £171,000,000, representing £151 per head of European population. Why so rapid an increase up to 1914 in a country which had, theretofore, no naval and only very small military expenditure? Most of the money had gone into reproductive public works, such as railways and roads, and much in loans to local bodies, on which they pay interest. Other parts had been on which they pay interest. Other parts had been expended in the purchase of lands for closer settlement, the rents paid for which nearly equal the interest on the sums so applied, and in advances to settlers for farms and to working men to enable them to obtain dwellings of their own; and it would appear that on these various items the State has lost little or nothing, while many a farmer owes his success to this initial aid. Upon the agrarian policy, taken as a whole, there has, however, been a certain loss, for it has involved many incidental expenses, which have had to be charged on general revenue. From such authorities as are available in Europe I do not gather that the Seddon Adminis-tration and its continuation down to 1912 can be charged with recklessness—Seddon was personally averse to waste—or with financial incompetence. It showed business capacity on more than one occasion. But it was certainly lax in its methods of expenditure, and lax with comparative impunity, because the direct taxes, which in practice are the only taxes the citizen feels, are paid by a comparatively small part of the com-

I The expenditure in railway construction on I be referred to later.

munity, and it was not this particular part that kept the Ministry in power. The tendency of most bracked of administration in New Zealand, as in most democratic countries, has been to a steadily increasing expenditure. Old-age pensions, for instance, when introduced in 1898, ten years before they were granted in Britain, were surrounded by a number of restrictions and qualifying conditions which were in subsequent years struck off, one after another, so that the number of recipients has increased much faster than it ought to have done has increased much faster than it ought to have done in proportion to the increase in population In 1919 it was 19,872. The original amount of each pension was £18 a year. The average was in 1919 a little over £37. The total amount expended per annum, which in 1990 was £157,000, had risen in 1917 to £480,000, 1900 was £157,000, had fisen in 1917 to £480,000, and in 1919 it was £743,000¹. The large expenditure had not in 1910 reduced the amount spent by the State on chantable aid to the poor, but it had diminished private contributions to charitable purposes ². The Poor Law arrangements of New Zealand are alleged to encourage extravagance by allowing local authorities to spend sums received from the central revenue, and the growth of pauperism in a community so new and so prosperous has been frequently commented on and was deplored even by the optimistic Seddon ². The tendency to layers not to commented the second of the second of

by the optimistic Seddon ³

The tendency to laxity, not to say extravagance, in expenditure was increased by that habit of constructing public works with a view to the winning of political support which has been already referred to, and it came all the more easily because the Dominion was able to go on borrowing in England at a rate of from 3 to 3½ per cent. Those were happy days, not likely to return in our time. Though there has been for many years past a Sinking Fund, it was a thing more for ornament than use, and valuable, as a leading states-

In 1917 an increase of £13 a year was granted to continue till twelve months after the end of the War with Germany
2 See as to the Ro's good and Stewart pp 183-94 where many interesting details

are given
Ross gnol and Stewart, p 182

man once observed, chiefly as indicating an intention some day or other to pay off the debt. The elasticity the revenue has shown makes parsimony seem unnecessary, and every one knows that the temptation to please the present generation at the expense of posterity is particularly strong in popular governments.

Undertakings conducted by the State

Among the enterprises and industries which Government has undertaken in New Zealand, the railroads are by far the most important. In a young colony, where there was hardly any private capital available for the construction of costly works, and no chance of obtaining it from Europe save through State action, undertakings so essential for the development of the country inevitably fall to the Government. Some few small lines were built by the Provincial Councils, while they existed, but a far greater number by the Central Government, especially after the bold borrowings started by Vogel. At present, two lines which were privately owned having been bought up, practically all the railways are owned and worked by the State. Its action may be examined first as regards the constructing and then as regards the management of the railways.

the management of the fallways.

Contraction.—When the business of providing a country with proper facilities for railway communication is determined by economic considerations only, the problems of military or naval defence not needing to be considered, two principles ought to be observed. On its to lay out and construct the railroads on a systematic plan, both the trunk lines and the branch lines being in proper relation to one another. The other is to build lines where the commercial need for them is greatest, and prospects exist of a remunerative traffic, which will enable them to be worked at a profit, as well as maintained in perfect working order. Neither of these principles was followed by the New Zealand Govern-

^{*} In 1919, however, the Sinking Fund was stated to amount to about £5,951,000.

ment Exposed to a strong and unceasing political pressure by those who wished to have the value of their properties improved by transportation facilities, it usually yielded Trunk lines might be neglected, while some lines were built where they were little needed, and where, consequently, the receipts were, and have continued to be, small The whole thing was done piecemeal, and consequently at a needless cost, though it ought in fairness to be said that the absence of any economic centre whence railroads might radiate increased the difficulty of planning a system A Minister might try to resist, but when votes were to be gained or lost, he was apt to comply or be overruled by his colleagues. This went on from the first, and has been no worse under universal suffrage than it was when landowners ruled the country under a limited franchise, for the latter were just as insistent in desiring to improve their properties as a working class constituency is in desiring to have employment provided at its doors Less reprehensible, but almost equally unfortunate, was the clamour which arose from every part of the colony for 'a fair share' in the distribution of the loans procured for railway construction With his usual grasp of realities, Seddon said in the Assembly 'Until we have had a fair expenditure of public money

usual grasp of realities, Seddon said in the Assembly

'Until we have had a fair expenditure of public money
out of loans upon each part of the colony, it is wrong of
those parts that have had a fair share to say suddenly
that there is to be no more borrowing "1". Districts
where the need was small and the physical difficulties
of construction great insisted that as much be spent within
their limits as in places where the prospects of traffic
were brighter. Much of the waste which from early
days loaded the country with a heavy debt is due to this
intrusion of political influences

Management —In early days the rankways were both built and managed by the Minister of Public Works The loss incurred in running them caused so much

Quoted by Messrs Ross gnol and Stewart from the New Zealand Hansa d vol xc x p 291

322

dissatisfaction, that in 1887 a permanent non-political Commission of three persons was established, who were thenceforth to control and manage the railways. This Board effected some improvements and many economies. But, as usually happens, the economies were unpopular, because the individuals whom they inconvenienced were more vocal than the general body of taxpayers whom they benefited. When the Commissioners tried to increase traffic by anything in the nature of a differential mercase traine by anything in the nature or a inferential rate, they were charged with unfairness. Members of the Legislature could no longer obtain the favours for their constituents that had been squeezed out of a political Minister. Many New Zealanders declare that the merits of the Board—its independence, and its stiffness in recognizing nothing but its duty to the community as a whole—proved its undoing. The matter is one still in controversy, but be that as it may, Seddon's Ministry in controversy, but be that as it may, Seddon's Ministry abolished the Board, creating a Minister for railways under whom the politicians regained their influence while economy declined. Ministerial patronage, used, of course, for political purposes, flourished once more, and was said to be flourishing when I visited New Zealand in 1912. Patronage includes not only the bestowal of posts in the railway service and the giving of employment to day-labourers, but also the execution of improvements, such as a new station, in places where a constituency can be gratified, and the creating of work for the unemployed in a particular area. It is said that political aums were at one time pursued in another ingenious way by bringing into an electoral district, where the parties may happen to be equally divided. where the parties may happen to be equally divided, a body of railway workers whose votes could be counted on for the Ministry employing them.

Two questions remain to be considered: the financial

position of the Government railways and the service they render. The former is not easy to ascertain, because the form in which accounts are presented, with the habit of sometimes charging to capital what ought to come out of revenue, does not tell the whole

story It seems clear, however, that the lines have been, and are being, worked at a loss, *ie* the receipts do not cover interest on the cost of construction as well as all working expenses, so there is a loss to the general taxpayer. The explanation usually given, besides, of course, an admission of the errors which made the course, an admission of the errors which made the original cost greater than it ought to have been, and which also saddled the Department with unremunerative lines, is that the rates are kept low with a view to the development of the country and the benefit of the travelling public. As regards "development," this is a term wide enough to cover expenditure on unprofitable lines, and one of the results of "political" and otherwise lines, and one of the results of "political" and otherwise extravagant railway construction and management has been to reduce those very railway receipts which might have been used for the building of new lines where they were really wanted. It is alleged that the higher branches of the railway service suffer because it is hard to promote the most capable men without incurring the reproach of favouritism, and it is further asserted that in the lower favouritism, and it is further asserted that in the lower departments less work is got out of railway employees of all kinds than private employers obtain. The rates for passengers and even for freight traffic, admittedly low, considering the wages of labour, are justified on the ground that this policy helps the people to move about freely, and that producers would complain if rates were raised on the transport of agricultural products. Station accommodation is poor, but the transportation express forther efficient harmscent in the filters.

Station accommodation is poor, but the transportation service is fairly efficient, having regard to the physical conditions of the country, and the permanent way is kept in good order. Trains are few, for the rural population is sparse and the four great cities he far apart. The total mileage was, in 1919, 3012 miles, of which 2983 belonged to the State. All lines have a gauge of 3 feet 6 inches, a fact which makes the heavy cost of construction all the more remarkable.

¹ Some interesting remarks on the costliness of the working of American ra lways by the State during the War may (with figures) be found in Mr. Moorfield Storey a Problems of To day (Boston. 1920).

Nobody in New Zealand proposes to change the present system of administration. To sell the lines to private companies might, it is thought, lead to the creation of a formidable monopoly. To lease them to private companies would take them, more or less according to the method of leasing adopted, out of Government control. A more obvious remedy for the present defets would be to re-establish an independent non-political Commission, such as existed from 1887 till 1894, and such as exists in some Australian States. This course also would, however, be unwelcome to members of the legislature, whose political interference offends only a small number of thoughtful men. New Zealanders who admit the defects of their system remark that after all it is better than the control which great railway companies have sometimes in other countries exerted over Governments to the prejudice of public interests, as notably in the United States, and also in France.

Besides building railways, the Public Works Department constructs, or aids, by money grants, the local authorities to construct, roads and bridges, forms of expenditure in which ample use is made of all opportunities for showing favour to localities. "I am not one of those (observed Seddon) who say that, other things being equal, I should not favour the district that was represented by one who helped to maintain the Government in power." "It is unreasonable and unnatural to expect the Government to look with the same kindly eye on districts returning members opposed to the Government as on those which returned Government

supporters."1

Minor Governmental Undertakings. — Some of these need only a passing mention. The State has taken over the oyster-beds at Auckland because they were suffering from reckless private treatment. It has established trout and salmon hatcheries to stock the rivers with fish, and thus created some of the best trout-fishing areas to be

¹ Quoted by Rossiguol and Stewart, p 109 Cf as to the U S A Pork Barrel, p 68, ave, and as to Canada, Vol. I p 515, where a similar condition of things is described.

found anywhere in the world. It wisely took charge of the famous region of mineral springs and geysers at Rotorua, and has provided hotels for tourists there It set up A more important enterprise was its undertaking to work coal-mines on the public lands In 1901 coal had become scarce and dear, owing to a diminished importa-tion from Australia, and it was alleged that a coal Ring was keeping up prices An Act was therefore passed was keeping up prices. An Act was therefore passed by the Seddon Ministry empowering the Government to work the coal beds it possessed on the west coast. This is that continued to do, supplying its own railways and also competing in open market with private mineowners. The latter do not seem to have materially suffered, and the prices, though probably steaded down. by the State mines, are still high It is, however, possible that the entrance of Government into the business may have discouraged the opening of new pits Mine-owners say that they can stand the competition, because the Government mines are worked at a higher cost, not from a difference in wages, because wages are regulated by law, but because the State workers take things easier and do less work for the same wages than private employers obtain It may be added these miners have been found troublesome to deal with, for they are exacting in their demands, and their Unions much disposed to strike 2

Life and Fire Insurance — The business of Life Assurance was undertaken by the State as far back as 1869, when no local New Zealand companies were engaged in it It has been carried on with reasonable success, though in recent years private companies have distanced the State office and obtained most of the new business These companies are said to put more energy into canvassing; and communication with

The results of the work ng of coal m nes by the Government is d scussed in Round Table Stude pp 332-337 and the conclus on from the facts there g ven seems to be that the mines are operated at a loss lowing to the higher working expenses. Strikes have occurred on these mines.

I am informed that in the summer of 1919 the go slow policy adopted on these Government in nes reduced the supply of coal by 30 000 tons per month, with the result of scruoisty & sorganizing the fa lawsy serve or

the outer world is so much more frequent that State intervention may be less needed than in earlier days. State Fire Insurance was taken up by the Seddon Government in 1903, against strong opposition on the part of the private companies then already established, and which had become unpopular because supposed to have formed a combination which was charging exorbitant premiums. When the State office started with a system of lower premiums, they fought hard against it; and experience showed that the reduction it had made was too great for safe business, the percentage of fires being high in New Zealand, where houses are largely of wood, and earthquakes not unknown. The State office, which found itself obliged to raise its premiums, is maintained as offering a security against the formation of a monopolistic Ring, but should it be found to be continuing to do business at a loss, it will be accused of benefiting the insurers at the expense of the taxpayers.

Thus is the place to mention another enterprise which won much favour. In 1894, when prices had been falling, and there was a good deal of pressure from the farming class, Seddon carried legislation authorizing loans to be made to agriculturists by way of mortgage at 5 per cent, the interest usually charged on farm mortgages being then from 6 to 8 per cent, or even more. The Government could do this, because it could borrow in England at 3 to 4 per cent, and make a profit on lending at 5. Under the powers of this Advances to Settlers Act, it went into business as a money-lender, with the result of relieving the farmers and bringing down the rate of interest in the open market. Repayment by small instalments was required, and the Statehas, in fact, profited by this enterprise. The experiment was followed up in 1906 by another Act, authorizing advances to workers on first mortgage at 4½ to 5 per cent to enable them to acquire homes. The borrower's income must be under £200 a year, and the loan is not

¹ See as to this Siegfried, thap, xv , Rossignol and Stewart, p 285; Scholefield, p. 253.

to exceed £350 This experiment also seems to have worked well financially, though critics observe that both schemes encourage the belief that whenever any class is suffering from economic causes, it may expect the Government to step in to relieve it. The same remark would apply to the practice of providing State work at times of unemployment ¹

All the water power of large streams has been taken over by the Government It is still the owner of considerable natural forests, forests of great beauty which ought to be carefully preserved, and as these are disappearing, it has entered on a policy of afforestation with foreign trees, for the native trees, though many of them valuable, are of slow growth *

Note on Protection

The effect on the social structure can be traced if we ask ourselves what would have happened if New Zealand had adopted a different pol cy Denmark I ke New Zealand has broken up the great estates by a Progress ve Land Tax, and is relying on an elaborate system of co operative credit to prevent the re engros ment of the small holdings
But—and herein l es the d fference between Det mark and New Zealand -the Soc al st members returned by her one great c ty of Copenhagen have no influence on the policy of the Government. They are swamped by the repres ntatives of the rural industry who depend on fore an markets and insist on the maintenance of Free Trade Sup pos ng New Zealand had developed on the Danish pol cy the factories of boots clothing agricultural mach nery and other manufactured articles would never have come into exi tence at all for at present they only ex st under one of the h shest tariffs in the world That large proportion of the population which they support would never have been collected in the great towns. On the other hand, the much lower cost of all manufactured art les would have made the profits of agriculture much greater than they now are so great in fact as to attract the thrush of an agricultural population. And there would be ample room for an agricultural population many times larger than that

The Factory Acts and other laws for the protection of wo kers and limitation of wolding hours are a subject too full of minutar to be entered on here. Full information in regarding them will be found in the works above referred to and in the successive New Zear and Year Books.

The Soc al Democrat c Party in its platform demands a recogn tion of the right to have work provided

² In New Zeiland as was long the case in the United States the people have not taken sufficent thought for the future of the relating agoodland scenery. If protective measures are not one taken much will be resparably lost.

which now occupies the land, for with lower costs of production, large areas would be worth clearing or ploughing, which at the existing cost of production it does not pay to clear or plough; just as in goldmining lowered costs mean that a poorer grade of ore begins to be raised It is evident that, given the existing measures to remedy or prevent the engrossment of land for sheep-walks, New Zealand, under Free Trade, would have accumulated a far larger rural population than it has done. It is equally clear that it would yield an infinitely larger output of its natural products-hides, wool, mest, butter, cheese, grain,

"The cities would, as we have said, be reduced by the absence of the manufacturing population, which exists under a high protective tariff. But, to an extent which can only be conjectured, this loss would be compensated in two directions. In the first place, the immense increase in exports and imports would of uself collect in the towns a larger population than is at present engaged there in the handling of trade. But, secondly, the natural products of New Zealand mean the establishment of subsidiary industries at the ports -meat works where cattle are slaughtered, and residuary products are canned or converted into tallow, manure, jelly, or glue, and freezing works for the meat, butter, and cheese It is indeed conceivable that the whole volume of business done in New Zealand would be so great as to support towns at large as those now in existence. because the production from the land and the population living on it would be so much greater The State would be a far larger one, and be growing much more rapidly; but its character would be wholly different The rural population would have dominated the situation, and would continue to do so more and more."-" Notes on New Zealand" in Round Table Studies, p 324.

CHAPTER LV

COMPULSORY ARBITRATION IN TRADE DISPUTES

None of the legislative experiments tried in New Zealand has excited so much attention in other countries as the application of compulsion to the settlement of disputes between employers and workmen, for no other economic question has caused and is causing so much friction all over the civilized world. I will here sketch first the provisions of the New Zealand law, then its working in practice, and lastly its general results, so far as yet estimable.

The wage-earners, having failed in the great strike of 1890, desired to find some better means of improving the conditions of labour, and the colony as a whole, alarmed by the strike, was in a mood to consider remedies. The first Act on the subject was introduced in 1891 in the Ballance Government and passed in 1894 by the Seddon Government The drafting of the measure had been wisely left to the Minister of Labour (Mr. W. Pember Reeves), who was not only the most highly educated member of the Government, but did a great deal of its thinking down till 1896, when he left New Zealand. He stated its aims to be three prevent strikes, (b) to strengthen Trade Unions, (c) to improve the conditions of labour generally 1 describing the many subsequent amendments made in it, I give the principal provisions of the law, as it now stands, beginning with those which relate to Conciliation.

W Pember Reeves State Experiments in Au tralia and New Zealand (published in 1902) 329

New Zealand is divided into eight industrial districts, for each of which there exists a Council of Conciliation. The four Conciliation Commissioners who administer the Act set up a local Council on the application of a Union, or Association of Unions or Employers, or an individual employer, concerned. Assessors are nomi-nated by the complainants and respondents. The Council hears the parties and endeavours to settle the dispute. If it succeeds, the settlement reached is filed as an industrial agreement, and becomes binding. it refers the matter to the Court of Arbitration, since it does not itself possess compulsory powers.1

The Court of Arbitration, appointed for the whole country, consists of one of the Judges of the Supreme Court, detailed for that purpose, and of two assessors, one nominated by the associations of employers throughout the country, and the other by the trade unions or associations of unions. These assessors hold office for three years. The Court hears the parties to each case referred to it by the Councils, and, if it has not effected an agreement by persuasion, issues its award, which binds not only the parties, but individuals (whether or not members of Unions) who are working for the employer to whom the award applies. Every kind of question relating to labour falls within the jurisdiction of the Councils and the Court, and can be determined by awards, covering not only the minimum wage and the hours of labour, but also piecework, the distribution of work, permits to pay lower wages to less competent workmen, apprenticeship and the employment of boys, notices of dismissal, holidays, meal hours, modes of payment, provision of tools, the interpretation, scope, and duration of awards, and the power of extending them and imposing fines for their breach,-in fact every kind of condition affecting labour and in particular that most controversial of questions, the giving of preference in employment to members of

² See as to thus subject Sweffred, pp 209-43 (English translation, p 128 61), Drummond, Life of Richard John Seider, pp 238-68, Scholefield, pp 212-43; and Rossymol and Stewart, pp 216-68

trade unions The Court exercises what is virtually a continuous power of legislation in everything that belongs to the relation of employer and employed The right of applying to Council and Court is given to every union of workers with at least fifteen members, and to any union of employers with at least three An award may cover the whole country, and employers on whom it is being imposed sometimes ask for its extension in order to prevent unfair competition Every Union must be registered, in order to obtain the right of application to the Court, and if it withdriws from the register, or allows the registration to lapse, it is no longer within the operation of the law An employer, however, cannot similarly exempt himself A strike, when entered on in breach of an award or industrial agreement, is punishable by a fine up to £200 for a trade union, and up to £10 for an individual worker, and similarly a fine up to £500 may be imposed on an employer who offends by declaring a lock out Note also that a strike and a lock-out in certain industries affecting the necessaries of life or a public utility are made statutory offences, even when the party in fault is not bound by any award, unless fourteen days notice of either has been given

Working of the Law—It has been often remarked that whereas more was expected from the action of the Councils (at first called Boards) of Conciliation than from the Court, the reverse has turned out to be the fact. The Boards were found tedious and cumbrous, and fell into comparative neglect, nearly all the cases brought before them being carried by appeal to the Court. In their latest form, however, as altered by the Act of 1908, they have succeeded in settling a number of minor disputes. The Court, on the other hand, had been incessantly resorted to in cases of every description, and almost always by the workers. Between 1894 and 1907 the binding agreements and awards imposed reached the number of 535, and affected 78 industries. In 1920 the number of existing awards and agreements was 530,

332

and in all but two of these preference for employment had been directed to be given to members of Labour Unions. The decisions given have as a rule granted a rise in wages or otherwise complied with the wishes of the workers, but in one case the existing wage was reduced, and in a certain number of cases no increase has been made or some other demand of the Unions has been refused. Preference to Unions was usually given in the form of a direction that Union men rather than in the form of a direction that Union men rather than others shall be employed, provided that members of the Union, equally qualified with non-members for the particular work, stand ready and willing to undertake it. When granted, conditions were, where it seemed needful, imposed on the Unions, requiring them to admit to membership any applicant. To these the Unions have not generally objected, but they still demand an unconditional preference for their members are produced. in employment.1 Trouble has also arisen over the in employment.\(^1\) Trouble has also ansen over the employment of boys and the question of apprentices, whom the Unions seek as much as possible to exclude, in order thereby to increase the quantity of work available for adults enjoying the full minimum wage. In order to meet the case of the less efficient workers, such as elder men whom it would be wrong to throw out of employment, the law allows the granting of permits to such persons to work at less than the normal wage prescribed by the award. Such exceptions, however, are disliked, and as far as possible resisted by the Unions.\(^2\) The awards are often extremely minute, going into numerous details. Their enforcement by proceeding against those who break an award is in the going against those who break an award is in the hands of the Labour Department, but either of the parties to an award can also sue a transgressor. It ought to be added that the Court has done useful work for

improving the conditions of labour generally.

The principles on which the Court has proceeded in determining the fair wage are substantially those

already set forth as followed by the Commonwealth Arbitration Court in Australia. It has sought to find on the one side what is the minimum wage on which a worker—and presumably a married worker—can live in decent comfort, the New Zealand scale of comfort the decent common, the wew Zealand scale of Common being higher than the average scale in Western Europe, and the price of most necessaries of life being higher On the other hand, it has had to regard—and this consideration has sometimes received more weight than in Australia—what wages each given industry will bear, te what an employer can afford to pay while continuing to make a profit on his business. The best proof of to make a profit on his business. The best proof of the fairness which the judges successively charged with this difficult duty have brought to it is to be found in the fact that while complaints against their decisions have come equally from both sides, scarcely any one has questioned their uprightness and their desire to hold the scales of equity even, and to do the best that circumstances may from time to time admit. To circumstances may from time to time admit To startsfy everybody is an impossible task, so most of the judges have accepted those functions reluctantly, and been glad to return from them to their ordinary duties The work is not truly judicial, except as regards the spirit of impartiality it requires, but rather administration, and administration of a singularly difficult kind, but since there exists in the country no other class of persons so generally trusted as the judges, it was perforce transcrad upon them imposed upon them

imposed upon them

The result of a twenty years' working of the system
has been to raise wages in practically every industry. The
rise had been steady and large even before 1914, but
within the same period the rise in the prices of articles
had been nearly as great, so it may be argued that the
worker is not substantially better off, and that in the
natural course of things wages would have followed the
upward course of prices. To have secured that rise
rught, however, have needed the rude expedient of
strikes, with their attendant losses, so that by gaining

334 NEW ZEALAND Pr. II the increase without these losses, both worker and em-

ployer have benefited materially and morally.

Sweating, which existed in some few trades so late as 1894, has been extinguished, but this would doubtless have happened in any case through factory legislation simultaneously passed, as well as by the sympathetic action of public opinion. The same may be said of workmen's housing and other improvements in the conditions of the working-class which statutes have dealt with. It is hard to assign these improvements to any one cause when several have been at work. Nevertheless, some credit is due to the Seddon policies.

The employer does not seem to have suffered seriously, if at all. Manufacturers say they have been worried

by frequent appearances before the Boards and the Court, and that the conduct of their business has been interfered with, but they have continued to make reasonable profits, and have the advantage not only of a diminution in the number of strikes, but of feeling less anxious lest a sudden strike should prevent them from fulfilling a contract. Neither does it appear that there has been any unwillingness to start new industrial establishments and expand those that already existed. To all this some employers reply that the times have been good in New Zealand, so good as to enable them to support the Court's action; and to this, again, it may be answered that the Court felt it could safely raise wages with rising markets.

When the Bill of 1894 was introduced, the encourage-

be answered that the Court felt it could safely raise wages with rising markets.

When the Bill of 1894 was introduced, the encouragement of Unions was announced as one of its objects. This has been largely attained, the awards of preference having strengthened their position and stamped with legal approval the plan of collective bargaining. Nevertheless, a large part, possibly a majority of the whole wage-earning class, still remains outside. The part which the protective tariff plays in this matter must not be forgotten. Were the manufacturers exposed to the competition of other countries, they could not afford to pay the minimum wage fixed by the Courts, so the compulsion imposed

by the Court provides a ground for demanding that import duties be not only maintained but in some instances raised further. This gives the workmen also a motive for supporting a protective policy. Under free foreign competition the whole fabric would topple to the ground. Many New Zealanders who perceive this recognize that the experience of isless far off in the Pacific is too exceptional to set an example to European or American countries.

The third chief aim of the Act of 1894 was the prevention of industrial conflicts During the first few years it seemed that this had been attained, for nearly all the Unions were on the register, and scarcely a strike or a lock-out occurred Throughout those years the Court almost always granted an increase of wages, so the workers were pleased But when, after the expir-of the first set of three year awards, the Unions went again to the Courts to demand a second increase, they did not always succeed Disappointment followed, signs of which appeared as early as 1901. In 1906 and the two subsequent years several strikes occurred, though none on a very large scale. Of those whose views I enquired, some blamed the Government, believing they showed weakness, while others thought that Seddon's death had made a difference, his strong personality having exerted a restraining influence on the working class The passing of the Act of 1908 somewhat eased the situation, but there have been subsequently serious and prolonged strikes One of these, at the Waihi gold mines, was in progress when I visited New Zealand in 1912, and could not be dealt with under the Arbitration Act because the Miners' Union had, by omitting to register, taken itself out of the Court's jurisdiction. Not a few Unions have allowed

¹ The eve was a supplar one. A section of the mores decide to form a new upon of the room egiter to sea to be a two that the Arbitration Arts and then apply to the Court. The New Zes and Fede at one of Labour a body large y Social st, desired to prevent the became any swared obtained by the new one on (fregate of would have bound all the more; and therefore they organ end the str ke to arrest the att mpt to have the proposed new one on regitated. Thus it we find after a x monthly.

their registration to lapse in order to obtain this result. Another serious strike took place in Auckland and Wellington in 1913, and failed, because the Government brought in a strong body of special constables from the rural districts, who repressed attempts at violence, while other farming volunteers loaded and unloaded the ships in the harbour. A recent Labour Disputes Investigation Act forbids a strike or lock-out, in cases where the trade is not subject to an industrial award, till the Labour Disputes Committee has publicly investigated the controversy and announced its opinion, and also requires that before any strike or lock-out takes place there must first be taken a secret ballot of the workmen and employers concerned, whether or no the Union is registered. Where an industrial award (or agreement) exists, strikes are absolutely illegal under the Act already mentioned.

New Zealand opinion as to the practical value of the system? As already observed, the working-class is by no means so enamoured of it as in the first years, by no means so enamounted to it as in the first years, for wage-raising has not gone on latterly at the same pace. Since the Unions exist largely for the sake of securing better wages, the officials of these bodies, especially the younger among them, are tempted to justify their existence by constant activity, and contrive frequent appeals to the Court. When these have only a slender success, disappointment follows, and the impartiality, though not the honest purpose, of the Court may be arraigned. Nevertheless, the workers as a whole desire to retain the Acts. It is only the more extreme and Communistic section, influenced by the body called Syndicalists, or "Industrial Workers of the World," that denounces the whole system as a part of "wage slavery," and seeks to obtain its ends by a succession of general strikes, which would "bring capital to its knees." Australian and European emissaries come to New Zealand on this mission, while the bulk of the native New Zealanders, led by the older and more experienced

CH. LV

men, prefer to bear what evils they have and to keep the goods the Courts provide them. These uphold the Act, pointing to the sufferings which the strikes of former days entailed

The wews of the employers have undergone a sort of converse change. What depressed the spirits of the Umons cheered theirs Though still grumbling at the interference of a Court with their private affairs, they found that their losses had been less than they feared, and the wiser among them, like the wiser among the working-class leaders, recognized that the prospect of peace, even for the three years an award runs, was no contemptible asset Accordingly, though most of them still disapprove of the Acts in principle and often complain of them in practice, insisting, like Australian employers, that no effective compulsion is or can be applied to the workmen, they do not demand the abolition of the system

Rising above these two classes, there is such a thing as the opinion of the country as a whole This opinion seemed to me to be in favour of maintaining the Acts It is not so proud of them as in the first few years of their working It admits that they have not solved the industrial problem as a whole, that they are used by the Labour leaders to gain something by way of compromise, and soon after to reopen the dispute, and that a still longer experience than twenty-five years have supplied is needed to test them, but it conceives that, by invoking a trusted authority, they have enabled the public to hold the balance fairly between the purties, and have brought its judgment to bear on each dispute Thus the Acts have made for peace, one of the highest interests both employers and employed can have Things would be worse without them, because no means at all of settlement would be left, and the disposition to uphold them is all the stronger because they are denounced by the revolutionary Communist party. I saw no likelihood of their being repealed in the near future

VOL II

PT. 11

Into the general arguments for and against the plan of the State regulation of wages and other labour conditions and the elimination of freedom of contract 1 I do not enter. These considerations belong to the realm of legal and economic theory, little regarded in New Zealand.

The broad result of this remarkable experiment may

be summed up in a few sentences.

It has had little success in the line of mere conciliation, and has perhaps done something to discredit that method of settling disputes, which, to be sure, was effecting but little in New Zealand when compulsion was introduced.

It began by strengthening the Labour Unions, but has latterly tended to create a division between them, some, under the influence of extremists, repudiating any pacific methods of settling industrial disputes.

It has raised wages, yet perhaps no more than they

would have risen, ultimately, if not so quickly, by the action of economic causes.

It has not, to any appreciable extent, injured business or retarded the progress of the country.

If it has not extinguished strikes, it has reduced their frequency and their severity.

It has been a mitigation, not a panacea. But, I must again repeat, the results have been attained during a period which has been, as a whole, a period of prosperity and expansion. The real test will come with hard times. Two dangers must not be ignored. One is the growth of a party among the workers which avows its wish to have done with peaceful methods. The other is the possibility that a government might some day, yielding to the pressure of the Labour vote, appoint judges virtually pledged to decide according to its wishes. In the present healthy condition of public opinion such a danger seems remote,2

The only country (besides Australia) which has followed New Zealand by estabhishing compulsory arbitration seems to be Norway, by a law passed in 1916

¹ The Chief Justice of New Zesiand in 1900 (in delivering judgment in a case relating to the Arbitration Acts) observed: "All contracts regarding labour are controlled and may be modified or abrogated." The Court can make the agreement. between the workman and the employer. It abrogates the right of workmen to make their own contracts "

Two other pieces of legislation which belong to the Seddon period deserve a brief mention,

One is the extension of the electoral franchise to women, enacted in 1893. This measure, carried through women, chartet in 1993. Ins measure, carried through the House of Representatives by Ballance, but rejected by the Council, had no great attraction for Seddon, whether he feared, as did some Australian statesmen, that it might help the Conservative party, or whether it seemed to him likely to strengthen the Prohibitionist vote 1 But, though he cared little for it, he chose, as was his wont, though he cared little for it, he chose, as was his work, the line of least resistance, and let it pass the House, hoping (so I was told) that the Legislative Council would, as on previous occasions, reject it The Council, however, not wishing to be always expected to do this kind of work, allowed it to become law. Such demand for it as there was in New Zealand had come chiefly from the Prohibitionists, but if there was little positive desire for it, neither was there any strong feeling against it, this easy-going democracy being always disposed to say Yes rather than No Even among the women the demand for it was confined to comparatively few. Having got it, however, the women have come to the polls in almost as large numbers as the men. They usually vote with their fathers, brothers, or husbands, except to some extent upon liquor questions, when their tendency to cast a vote for the anti-liquor candidate, irrespective of his party affiliations, disturbs the calculations of politicians Apart from these drink questions, and only slightly even as regards them, woman suffrage has—so I was everywhere told—made no practical difference to politics, and has not led to the introduction of legislation intended specially to benefit women 2
On the subject of immigration, Seddon neld more

decided views In the years following the great borrowings of 1870, when money was being lavishly spent in building railways and otherwise developing the

Mr Sedion said, some years later *By granting the franchise to women Parliament plonged into an abyss of unknown depth are to we gifted to six in the House of Representatives. Two were

⁽unsuccessful) candidates at the general election of 1919

country, immigrants were invited from England and continental Europe, and aided by subsidies to come. Not a few Norwegians and Danes arrived, and made excellent colonists, but not all could obtain farms, and when an industrial depression succeeded the boom, many town workers could find no work. Suffering followed, and instead of emigration, men began, after 1880, to leave New Zealand for Australia or Europe. By 1890 the working class had become disposed to shut the door in the face of newcomers, who were now deemed intruders, because possible competitors for wages. Under the influence of the Unions the Ballance-Seddon Government dropped the subsidies theretofore given to attract immigrants. This might have seemed enough, for the cost of a passage from Europe to New Zealand was virtually prohibitive to the poorer class of labourers. But the Ministry went further, and brought in a Bill -the Undestrable Immigrants Bill of 1891-which passed through the Legislature, but never became law because the British Government at home objected to provisions it contained affecting the rights of British subjects. The Labour men have, however, maintained their hostility to immigration, although they have received the help of the Arbitration Court in keeping up wages. In 1898 it was found that some Austrian subjects, Slavs from South-eastern Europe, were working as gumdiggers in the kauri forests north of Auckland, and prospering so well that others of the same race were coming out. Nothing was alleged against them, for they were hard-working and frugal, except that they were lowering, or would lower, the rate of wages. The members for the district, however, complained to Government, urging that "the Colony could not be allowed to become a prey to the paupers of the Old World," so an Act was passed (1898, amended 1899) which stopped the immigration. The law now in force (Immigration Restriction Act of 1908) prohibits, isoraha, the landing of any person of other than British birth who fails to write out and sign in any European ch iv IMMIGRATION 3.41 language a prescribed form of application. Trade Unions have, moreover, exerted themselves to dissuade emigration to New Zealand ¹ Nevertheless, there is a flow of immigrants, steady if not large, and Government, obliged to recognize the needs of agriculture and of domestic service, provides passages at reduced rates to bona fide farmers and agricultural labourers, under conditions as to their possessing some pecuniary means, and also to domestic servants. A Report of the Minister for Labour called attention to the dearth of boys and gurls, stating that there was in many trades too little labour to cope with the work offered, but this did not prevent the Unions from reiterating their protests. Their attitude, if not the result of, is in harmony with, the fiscal policy of the Dominion, for while the employer is protected by a high tartiff against foreign competition, the workman has his wages raised by law, and could not have them raised but for the protection which the tartiff gives to employers. As the products of his labour are protected, he thinks himself entitled to have his labour itself protected from any competition by new-comers, and defends his case by the argument that a State which does so much for the existing inhabitants cannot be expected to burden itself with any new citizens, and that it is better to have a small population raised to a high level of comfort than a larger one on a level not so high. But M Stegfried seems to hit the nail on the head when he sums up the situation by remarking "There is a cake to be divided," think the workmen, 'let us be as few as possible when the division comes' '2 the workmen, 'let us be as few as possible when the division comes' '2

Fifty or sixty years ago democracy was supposed to be above all things humanitarian. The sentiment of the masses, themselves lately admitted (in the Old World) to full civit rights, went out to all their brethren of every race and country. All were equal, all equally entitled to the pursuit of happiness, all interested in

342

PT. II

one another's welfare. The growth of Socialism accentuated this feeling among the wage-earners, and it was even believed to constitute a powerful guarantee for international peace, illusory as that hope proved in 1914. To-day, however, neither in Australia nor in New Zealand to the workers generally or the Socialistic sections among them show any willingness to share with the workers of Europe the benefits they have secured for themselves. No more cosmopolitan humanitarianism, not even for white men seeking to better their lot. The same tendency is visible in the United States and in Canada, countries far more exposed to a large influx from the Old World. But there the law excludes only immigrants really undesirable in respect of character or health, or likely to become a public charge, together

with those who, being personally unexceptionable, are brought over under a contract of labour, and therefore presumably intended to replace striking workmen.

presumably intended to replace striking workmen. Few things in Australian and New Zealand policy seem to have so much surprised and grieved European observers as this apparently anti-social attitude of the working class leaders, who, while striving for economic equality not only between the handworkers and other classes but also among all the handworkers from coming to share in their own prosperity lest that should possibly affect questions of employment in Australia and New Zealand. The "class solidarity" for which they plead does not extend its sympathy to members of the same class in other countries. Whether immigration would in fact have the effect New Zealand wage-earners apprehend is a further question too intricate to be here discussed.

Asiatics also are excluded, as they are from Australia, and to some extent from Canada also. There is a strong dislike to them and a jealousy of their competition, which has gone so far as to forbid by law the owner of a faundry to work for longer hours than the statutes in force permit his employees to do, laundrying being a distinctively Chinese trade. A New Zealand law, passed in

1896, imposes a tax of £100 on every Chinese entering the country, and limits the number any vessel may bring to one Chinese for each 200 tons burthen. But as already observed there are ethnological and social reasons, not necessarily disparaging to the races of Eastern and Southern Asia, which make it prudent to keep those races from flooding regions already peopled by whites. Though the working men of New Zealand are not interested in the ethnological aspect of the matter, their attitude is intelligible, and they have the whole opinion of the country with them. In 1911 there were 2630 Chinese in the islands, only 88 of whom were females, in 1916 they had fallen to 2147. The Japanese are extremely few, and so are the Polynesians, other than the native Maoris. Feeling being what it is, it may be safer to restrict the number of Chinese, for if they grew to be a considerable body collisions might arise similar to those of San Francisco forty years ago, and it is perhaps too much to expect of

any nation that when it has a disagreeable thing to do
it should take pains to do it in a courteous way

CHAPTER LVI

THE WORKING OF THE GOVERNMENT

WHOEVER examines the phenomena of politics and public life as they exist to-day in New Zealand nust never forget how many facts are there absent which control or colour political conditions in European Stales.

To begin with, there are no racial questions. The white population is homogeneous, for though one reg too, Otago, in the South Island, is predominantly Scottish, that makes no difference for political purposes. The Maoris return their own four members and are on the best terms with the whites. Nothing does more credit to New Zealanders than this friendliness of the races.

There are no religious questions. Even the Roman Catholic Church, which exerts great political influence in Canada, and scarcely less in New South Wales, does not make itself felt politically here, for the Irish element

is small, and weak in the legislature.

There are no questions of foreign policy, because that is left to the Motherland, nor of colonial policy, for the Cook Islands, the management of which was entrusted by Britain to New Zealand in 1901, are insignificant in size and population, and the only thing that needs to be done for them is to appoint competent and sympathetic administrators. The mandate given to New Zealand by the League of Nations for the administration of some of the Samoan islands increases the need for care in the choice of such administrators.

There are no constitutional questions, for democracy

has got down as far as it can well go, unless indeed some should propose to shorten parliaments to one year from three, or to introduce the Initiative and Referendum in legislation, changes which would hardly make the

dum in legislation, changes which would hardly make the system more effectively popular than it is at present. There are no local disputes affecting general politics, i.e. none which set any considerable district of the country against another, or tend to make the views of districts on public affairs divergent. Questions affecting the distribution of money for public works are numerous enough, but they do not become party matters, though a

local election may sometimes be won on them

Think what a difference it makes to a people to be free from many causes of dissension and from many of those preoccupations with grave issues, often lying outside the knowledge of the ordinary man, which dis tract the minds of most free peoples! Undistracted by these, New Zealanders can better devote their thoughts to matters touching their domestic and especially their economic welfare

There is in New Zealand no aristocracy of birth or rank or hereditary wealth, no great fortunes, no considerable class of indigent people, trembling on the verge of pauperism Social distinctions and social ambitions have not quite disappeared, for there is a small class, colloquially known as "The Push," who consider themselves select, and desire invitations to parties at Government House, a privilege ungrudgingly accorded to those who have reached a certain position in the agricultural or business world. There is also a measure of suspicion or jealousy—it hardly amounts to the aversion evident in Australia—observable among the working class towards the employers and the richer people generally But class distinctions of this nature, people generally Duc class distinctions of this nature, in which, moreover, there are no sharp lines between poorer, middle, and upper, have no perceptible effect upon politics, except in so far as they make the wage-earners prefer one of themselves as a candidate for Parliament Such slight antagonism as exists seems 346

due to the bitterness aroused by labour conflicts, and to that sort of envy which is generally felt towards the richer in a community where differences of wealth exist, while the sense of equality has extinguished the old deference. The significant fact is that what Europeans would call the "upper class" exerts no more political influence than any other class. It does not lead even so much as the like class does in Switzerland or the United States, not to speak of England or Italy, where social status and wealth still count for something. The one form of influence, operating as a slight check on the power of numbers, which is still discernible in the older democracies, is here conspicuous by its absence.

There are no constitutional checks such as exist in the United States, and, to a less degree, in Switzerland. Nothing inhibits the power of the popular House to carry any measure it desires. The Legislative Council of nominees sitting for seven years has been a negligible factor. So, too, the veto of the British Government is practically no longer used, though a case involving Imperial interests may be imagined in which it might be resorted Whether a Referendum would prove a serviceable check may be doubted, but it never has been tried except when submitted by the legislature as respects the prohibi-tion of the sale of liquor. The House of Representatives is absolute master of the situation, being virtually able not only to pass laws but to alter the constitution at its pleasure.

The conservative element in New Zealand-there is even here, as there always must be everywhere, a certain conservative element-is to be found in the rural population. In Australia each of the four great cities of the four chief States contains one-third of the population of those States. In New Zealand the four large cities (the other towns being quite small) contain about onefifth of the total, and the proportion of the population occupied in manufacturing industries is even smaller. The rural population, moreover, consists to a larger extent than in Australia of small furmers, who quickly

acquire the so-called instinct of property

Polinical Parties —With these facts in mind, let us come to the parties In 1915, when the European War caused the formation of a Coalition or "National" Government, there were two old parties, the Reformers and Liberals, nearly equal in parliamentary strength, and the new Labour party, a creation of the preceding eight years, with seven or eight members in the House, but probably a larger proportionate strength in the country as a whole It was mainly a party of urban wage-earners The Reform party includes the bulk of the larger landowners, of manufacturers, and of merchants, the minority of these, as well as a good many of the poorer people, forming the Liberal party. Thus it is only the Labourites who are a class party, the two others (as in Australia before the Coalition of 1908) being drawn from both rich and poor

Of the three, it is only the Labour men, now called the Social Democratic party, who have any regular organization, the Trade Unions having in many towns created political Trade and Labour Councils, whose delegates meet in an annual central Congress, the most powerful unofficial body in the Dominion, though it does not represent all the workers. The wage-carners are also organized in a body called the United Federation of Labour. The other parties have local political committees, but with no such complete and controlling organization as that which the "Liberal" (or anti Labourite) party had created for itself in Australia in 1912. Candidates for the House of Representatives offer themselves to the electors, and if more than one of the same party come forward, the question who shall be the party standard bearer is, if not settled locally, referred to the parliamentary chief. In New Zealand party ties are not and never have been strict, and party spirit, except sometimes in the Assembly during conflicts, has not been intense, much less bitter. Neither has the Labour party created any such powerful centre for its

political action in the legislature as is the parliamentary Labour Caucus in Australia. Of its two sections, the larger and more moderate includes Socialists and Trade Unionists of the older type, while the smaller and more advanced is under Syndicalistand revolutionary influences, and goes by the name "Red Feds," as its leaders formed the kernel of the former Federation of Labour. The party programme for the election of 1915 demanded a Right to Work Bill, with minimum wage, a citizen army "democratically organized" on a volunteer basis, and never to be used in industrial disputes, and the Referendum, Initiative, and Recall. The extreme section has been much influenced by the most advanced Socialists of Australia, and seems to be growing. Being weaker than the Australian Labour party, and having had less immediate prospect of carrying the legislation it desires, it seven more disposed to the policy of strikes, yet hopes to secure some of its measures by parliamentary pressure on the other parties.

The Liberals and Reformers were distinguished rather by tendencies than by specific tenets. When the former lost power in 1912 they had no bold legislative programme, for the work done in the twenty years preceding had left them comparatively little to accomplish. They have, however, been more identified than their rivals with the extension of State action and the promotion of the interests of the workers. The Reformers came into power on an unexciting platform, the chief features of which were the disposal of land to small owners on freehold rather than leasehold tenure, with retrenchment, i.e. small borrowings and careful administration, this being, as both parties admit, a chief need for the country. Towards Labour questions their attitude has been that of caution and criticism, for they conceive that the country has gone far enough for the present in the extension of State action and in piling taxation upon the rich, but they uphold compulsory arbitration and the system of advances to settlers. Liquor legislation is the subject which rouses most controversy, but upon it neither party has ventured to announce a distinctive policy. When a sharp conflict arose over a proposal that the Bible should be read in the public schools the issue, though raised by a Ministerial Bill, was fought not on party lines, but rather as between Episcopalinis and Presbyterians on one side and the secularist Social Democratic party on the other, the latter supported by some of the smaller religious communities

Communities

The languor of party feeling and action in the country had been, even before 1914, reflected in the Assembly, where political life ought to be most vigorous. In point of composition the House fairly represents all the elements of New Zeiland society. There are some few working men, and about as many landowners, while all the other classes, manufacturers, merchants, shop-keepers, farmers, and professional men, contribute their quota. The lawyers are, as in Australia, a comparatively small element. Members of the House receive a salary of \$\frac{1}{2}000\$ at year, those of the Legislative Council \$\frac{2}{2}000\$ There is a time-limit on speeches, a rule needed to check obstruction, called here, as in Australia, "stone-walling":

walling "1"

The House of Representatives is in one sense too representative, for its members are little above the average of their electors in knowledge or ability. That average is no doubt high, but nearly all my New Zealand informants declared that the quality of the legislature, instead of rising with the growth of the country, had declined during the last thirty years, and that the debates were now on a lower level than in the days of Sir George Grey or Sir Harry Atkinson. Some said the declension dated from the rise of Seddon, which led the more cultivated class to withdraw. Up till then every member was, according to M. Siegfried, ex. officio admitted to the Wellington Club. The country has no lack of capable men, thoughtful and well educated,—none of the self-

³ For some time there was a second ballot law providing for a second election which in case that not a majority of all the votes cast but this was recently repealed by the Return M in any.

governing Dominions has a larger proportion, -but very few of those seem to find their way into the Legislature.
When travelling through New Zealand I had the good
fortune to meet in each of the four chief cities a group
of men who used to come together to discuss the problem of the relations of each Dominion to the British Empire as a whole, and they impressed me, in every city, by their high intelligence and sound judgment. But hardly any of them belonged to, or seemed to think of standing for, the Assembly, which is left to persons five-sixths of whom do not rise above the level of the investinis of which do not have about the feet of the town councillors of an English town. English town councillors are good citizens capable of managing the daily business of their community in an efficient way, but their functions seldom require more than practical common sense, whereas the New Zealand Assembly holds in its hands the fortunes of a young nation which will some day be a great nation, and has to deal with most of those complex problems of law-making which tax to its utmost the capacity of every European legislature.

Why do not the electors choose men of marked ability? They abound, and are not excluded by the cost of elections, for there is no bribery in New Zealand, and legitimate election expenses are light. Except in the very few constituencies which the Labour Unions dominate, there is not, as frequently in the United States, a party machine which controls the choice of candidates, nor, as frequently in Australia, an aversion to candidates who belong to the wealthier class. Neither is the choice of a candidate confined to persons resident in the electoral district.

The reasons lie partly in the conditions of parliamentary life, partly in the competition of other careers. The position of a member carries very little social prestige, and has many disagreeable incidents. The member is expected to be the slave of his constituents, and to act, as one of them observed,1 as a sort of Labour agent. His chief business is to get something for the constituency, or for individuals who belong to it, by constantly preferring requests to Ministers, an ungrateful task, and one that distracts him from his proper duties. His merits are measured by whatever benefits he can manage to secure for the place or some of its inhabitants

Other careers are more attractive A lawyer or a college professor or a business man, unless he happens to live in Wellington (the capital), must neglect his duties or his private business if he has to attend the sittings of the House, for the other three chief cities lie far off, and two of them can be reached only by a voyage over a stormy sea. There is no leisured class in the country, although one finds some families retaining that tradition of familiarity with public life which used to be strong in England and is not extinct in the older States of the American Union. The prizes of public life are few, not to add that here, as elsewhere, small is the number of persons who, while enabled by their private means to enter that career, feel themselves called to it by motives of pure patriotic duty. It may be suggested that the Legislative Council, a

It may be suggested that the Legislative Council, a Second Chamber in which work is lighter and a seat is secure for seven years at least, might be used to gather in a number of persons who, when they had already secured a competence, or could leave their farms or business to the care of younger men, would be willing to place their experience and ability at the service of the country. The Council has, however, exercised little attraction, for its powers are limited, its life is sluggish, as it has nothing to do with installing or ejecting a Ministry, and its debates are little reported or read or regarded. With members selected for nomination chiefly because they had rendered steady support to their party it had become an almost superfluous part of the constitutional machinery. Now, however, it has by recent legislation been turned into an elective body, which is ultimately to consist of forty persons to be chosen from districts, of which

there are to be two in each island, so a prospect of usefulness is opening before it.1

352

These things being so, the standard not only of attainments, but of debates and of manners also, leaves something to be desired. Thinking bears a low ratio to talking. Legislation tends to be, as in most democracies, too copious and too hasty. There are-so far as I know—no scenes of disorder, but there is a good deal of rudeness, and personalities are freely bandied to and fro. A most acute and experienced observer (quoted by M. Siegfried) 2 noted in 1898

"an absence of refinement among politicians without distinction of parties, which is the result of the pioneer life they have led. What is more serious is the absence throughout the Colony of serious economic study, of scientific investigation of those industrial and social problems which the politicians themselves attempted to solve "

The first part of this judgment seems to me, as to M. Siegfried, rather too sweeping. But there is certainly what one may call a sort of commonness, a want of that elevation and dignity which ought to raise above their ordinary level those who administer the affairs of a self-governing community with a great future; and this lowers the moral influence of Parliament upon the community itself. Against these defects one must not forget to set the personal probity in public affairs of nearly all the New Zealand politicians. Innumerable jobs are done for constituencies and party interests, but rarely is any one charged with abusing office or parlia-mentary position for the purposes of pecuniary gain. In this respect New Zealand stands, and always has stood, well above some older and larger democratic countries.

* Op cit. p. 75 (English translation)

¹ The voting is to be on the preferential system. The Council's powers in finance Bills are limited to the right of suggesting amendments to the House of Representatives, but it may amend or reject other Bills, and if a disagreement between the Houses cannot be otherwise settled, the Houses are to sit and vote together as one body, and if the Bill whereon they differ is not affirmed by such a voting, a dissolution of both Houses may follow.

CHAPTER LVII

RESULTS OF DEMOCRATIC GOVERNMENT

The general good nature and absence of political passion noticeable in New Zealand are reflected in the Press, which is creditably fair and free from violence or bitterness. There were in 1917 63 daily newspapers, besides 34 tri weekly, 32 bi-weekly, and 69 weekly, numbers large for a population of 1,100,000. No one among them has ever evercised so great a power as the chief dailies of Melbourne and Sydney enjoyed twenty years ago none indeed could, for there is no city that holds a leading place in the nation. But the general tone is good, and several are written with marked ability. They seemed to me to be doing more for the formation of an enlightened public opinion than was being done by the debates in Parliament, which, to be sure, are scantily reported.

Opinion is rather less sharply divided on the lines of social class than is now the case in Australia, and this uniformity expresses the homogeneity of the nation Still, one may distinguish between three sections, the wage-arners at the one end, the wealther landowners and merchants at the other, and the large mass between these extremes First, of the wage earners. Although less than half are said to belong to labour unions, it is these bodies and the United Labour Federation that dominate the whole, because they have an organization, and their programme makes a definite and positive appeal. Few of its members are revolutionary, though you.

354

some have become imbued with communistic ideas. Whatever may appear in electoral platforms prepared by leaders more extreme than their followers, the aim of the great majority is not to create a collectivistic society but to secure further increases of wages and improvements in labour conditions. As already observed, there is an advanced party which, stimulated by Australian Syndicalists, and discontented with the Court of Arbitration, prefers the method of strikes, and has begun to advocate the nationalization of all the means of production and distribution, but the prospect of any such change is distant, for the moderate section is the more numerous, nor is the wage-earning element likely to grow large enough to dominate politics. Though the men of property are few, they carry weight, not by voting strength or by any power over the votes of their employees—for no attempt to exert such power would be tolerated—but by the sort of influence which persons of education and commercial importance cannot but possess in a community which feels that its pros-perity depends on agricultural production and the export of its products. Such men are of course the stronghold of conservative opinion. Between these two extremes stands the bulk of the voters, including not only the middle but also a considerable part of the poorer class. Its opinion is the deciding force in the country. The principal articles of its faith may be summed up

It believes in equality, social as well as political, values constitutional freedom, knows that order must go with freedom, and condemns revolutionary methods. It is firmly—more enthusiastically perhaps than any of the other Dominions—attached to Britain and the unity of the British Empire. Proud of New Zealand, it likes to feel that New Zealand has by its experiments been giving a lead to older and larger countries. It has no fear of experiments, thinking it can try them safely, and drop them if they do not succeed; so, however far it may be from professing what are known as Collectivist doctrines, it would not disapprove of any measure merely because branded with that name profound trust in the future makes it heedless of con sequences "This plan promises well let us try whether it will benefit us now The future will take care of tiself."

Here is the answer to those Europeans who ask, after reading of New Zealand's experiments in legislation, "Are the New Zealanders all Sociulists, and if so, what has become of the Individualists?" They are in principle no more Socialists than Individualists The great majority do not think in abstractions they have no use for theories If the most obvious way to avert some evil or obtain some good seems to lie in invoking the State's action, they invoke it "What is the State but ourselves? It is ours to use, why be jealous of it?" There is in this none of the German deification of the State as Power The State is not to them a mighty organism in which national life is to centre, and by which national life is to be moulded and controlled, but rather an instrument ready to hand to be employed for diffusing among themselves and their neighbours comfort and prosperity, the things they really care for, and which rather than the growth of power or population, occupy the New Zealand mind, leading them to tolerate that working class resistance to immigration which surprises Europeans and Americans
Public opinion follows the doings of Parliament

Public opinion follows the doings of Parliament with intelligence, but with little deference and no keen interest. What one may call the high voltage of politics in France or England or the United States is absent. There are no great prizes and few small prizes offered to ambition.

Both here and in Australia one is struck by the absence of traditions. The institutions of course are not new, and the Speaker of the House wears a wig But that flavour of the British House of Commons thinking and manners, which was brought by the most educated among the first Anglican settlers at Christchurch and

PT. II

the first Presbyterian settlers at Dunedin, has almost died out with them, and the present generation seems, patriotically British as it is, to have but slight sense of the long British past behind it. Traditions are needed, and great men are needed to create them in these new countries, striking figures that can touch the imagination and throw some rays of colour over the landscape of national life. Tame are those regions of the sky in which no stars of the first magnitude glitter. Leaders of some talent and force there will always be in every free country, but it is a misfortune when a nation's most forcible and most trusted leaders do not represent something more ideal than did Richard Seddon. thing more ideal than did Richard Seddon.

A description of the attitude of New Zealanders towards their Government and politics needs to be prefaced by a few words on their character and temperament

temperament
They are all (except of course the fifty thousand aborigines) of British stock, and one often notes in them, especially in Otago (the far South), a slightly Scottish tinge, whereas the Australians are more distinctively English, with now and then a touch of the Irish. They are strong and healthy, frank, simple, courageous, Before there was any talk of war they instituted a system of physical training and drill which public opinion, with some few exceptions, approved, and had created for defence a force, into the management of which politics scarcely entered. What may be called the social atmosphere of the country is rural rather than urban, for only one city counts more than one hundred thousand inhabitants, and outside the towns population is sparse, except where dairying or fruitpopulation is sparse, except where dairying or fruit-growing enables a small district to support many house-holds. Nowhere, not even in Western Canada, is the level of comfort higher. The total private wealth has been calculated at £387,000,000, and the average wealth per head, for persons over twenty years of age, estimated

Auckland had in 1916 133,000 inhabitants, Wellington 95,000, Christchurch 92,000, Danedin 69,000. Only six other boroughs had populations exceeding 10,000.

at £604, and this although there are no millionaires and very few persons rich according to British or American standards. A great number of the artisans own the houses they live in No class is sunk to anywhere near the margin of subsistence, and the traveller, from the moment of landing, feels that the economic pressure of life is light.

Every body is educated, and a large percentage well educated, for secondary schools are abundant. The inhabitants especially in the smaller towns and rural areas, have the same intelligent interest in literature and social questions and public affairs, civil and ecclesiastical, as has been traditional among the towns folk and the peasantry of Scotland, and of Switzerland, and of New England until the flood of new European immigrants began to swamp the offspring of the old Puritan stock. The likeness to Scotland appears also in the religious habits of the people, for here (and especially in Otago) the habit of churchgoing seems to have maintained itself better than in any other purely British colony, except perhaps in Newfoundland and Ontario 2 Visiting New Zeuland soon after I had visited Argentina and Brazil, where men of the educated class have practically dropped Christianity, I was struck by this contrast between the descendants of the Spaniards and the Englishmen of the sixteenth century In New Zealand life is taken more easily than in Europe, and far more easily than in strenuous North America The people enjoy outdoor amusements, and are almost as addicted to horse racing (with the use of the totalizator), cricket, and football as are the Australians Their

² I must, of course the Dutch speaking parts of Couth Africa and the French speaking parts of Canada.

-r -- e r -- - - -

¹ The b rth site has continued to full from 1886 when was 35 40 to 1000 of populat on to 3,4 to 1376 but as the death rate a strenmaly low hump from size 1856 below 10 in 1000 of the populat on this coupled with a steady though small mangitude great a tast is increase of populat on at the rate of 164, per 1000. Had the standard rate of increase reco ded in the decase 1856 y by been minimized to be obtained when it is 185 with 1800 one would have then been 161 to 000. The country could minimize in four millions at least but it may be argued that the people are right in prieturing a 16 put touchast of constitution for two to lower standard for

358

temper and view of life has a leisurely and indulgent cast, as of those who wish not only to be happy but also to make everybody else happy, to the extent even of dissolving, for slighter causes than English law recognizes, the marriages of those who think that they would be happier apart. Though the level of knowledge and intelligence is fairly well maintained, men's interest in the greater world beyond the ocean lies in current events, especially those of the Motherland, rather than in following the movements of thought and literature and art. This may be attributed to the remoteness of the country from the European centres of intellectual life, the mevitable results of which it has not been attempted to meet by the establishment of a teaching university on the British or American scale. The country ought to possess, and all the more because Europe is so far off, a much larger number of persons occupied with the higher studies, both literary and scientific, for, over and above the direct influence of their teaching work, they help to keep up an intellectual atmosphere and to vindicate for learning and science a due place of honour. New countries are specially liable to be occupied with purely material interests, because those memorials and traditions of the past which touch imagination and inspire reverence are absent, and the first need of the settler is to subdue the land to his use and develop its resources for that export trade on which New Zealand depends. Material comfort and the volume of production may have for a time at least to take the first place, but they do not suffice for the full enjoyment of that leisure which New Zealand can command.

One may sum up the public opinion of the country by saying that it is temperate and reasonable rather than enlightened and foreseeing. In domestic affairs it thinks of comfort first, tolerates abuses, is glad to throw responsibilities upon Government, prefers to mitigate

¹ The number of divorces granted has tisen in New Zealand much faster than the population. In the ten years 1898 to 1907 it rose from 31 to 147, in the ten from 1908 to 1017 it rose from 171 to 221

CH LYII THE CHARM OF NEW ZEALAND 359

the consequences of political evils rather than exert itself to remove their causes, does not realize the need for a scientific study of the social and economic problems

which its politicians try to solve

The traveller in New Zealand is bewitched by the strange charm of its scenery, unlike any that is to be seen in the Old World or the New, lakes, and fjords like those of the Arctic Seas, running far into the recesses of snowy ranges like the Alps, volcanoes and geysers, trees and birds of families unknown elsewhere, and a race of aborigines in whose character, as seen by the first explorers the extremes of savagery and chivalry seemed to have met In such a land, remote and untouched by the influences of the old civilizations, he can hardly help expecting to find that simplicity and liberty which we associate with the conditions of primitive life What is his surprise to find in New Zealand the most modern forms of modernity, a people who have given a lead to the nations of our time in extending most widely the functions of the State and superseding the widely the functions of the State and supersound the action of the individual! Whatever he may think of this new departure, and however prosaic the machinery it has set working, he cannot quite escape the feelings tantistic as it may appear, that the grandeur and beauty of the country and the element of romance that belongs of the country and the element of romance that belongs to its scenery and to the misty twilight of its history will somehow or other mould or inspire the people. Those who inhabit such a land can hardly have a commonplace future. Will they not some day or other add something novel and striking, be it in letters or arts or institutions,

to the stock of mankind s possessions? It is now time to ask what Democracy has given to New Zealand, what it has failed to give, what special tendencies it has here manifested, what lessons it suggests for other countries Under it the people enjoy
Honest government, without bribery or election frauds
A tolerably efficient administration

An upright and competent judiciary

A pure and efficient unpaid local Government

PT. II

Good public order and a general respect for law. An adequate provision of instruction in public

schools.

These things, it may be said, existed before Democracy came, and are therefore not attributable to it. That is true. But universal suffrage and an unchecked legislature have not injuriously affected them.

The chief defects in the government of the country, not necessarily all of them due to its democratic character,

are the following:

The average of knowledge and ability in Parliament is not high. It wants dignity: its debates neither instruct nor inspire the people.

Though there is no pecuniary corruption in public life, there is a great deal of jobbery, especially in efforts

to gain the favour of constituencies.

Financial administration has been wasteful, both as respects the grants for local purposes and in the conduct of some of the many State undertakings. The debt is very heavy in proportion to the population, though part of it is represented by the asset of the railways. Here, as elsewhere, democracy is extravagant,

The growth of population is slow, partly owing to the

desire of the wage-earning class to check immigration. Too much land is in the hands of large proprietors,

both through mistakes made in the first years of the Colony, and also because the Ministries that tried to deal with the problem lacked skill and foresight,

Of these faults the two last are not chargeable on democratic government, for they existed before it came, as did much of the public debt. Between 1850 and 1880 the landowning class were as keen in the advocacy of borrowings and in demands for local grants as the voters on a wider franchise have been since.

The distinctive boon Democracy is supposed to have conferred is the body of acts passed in the interest either of the poorer class or of the general public. Many of these have been already examined, but of them, taken all together, a few more words may be said.

That which has chiefly turned the eyes of older countries upon New Zealand is the extension of State action to new fields, partly by laws interfering with free dom of contract, partly also by the taking over of industries previously left to individual enterprise. This is, however, the subject on which it is most difficult to pass a judgment, and that for two reasons. One is that difficult total of the parts again. different schools of thought apply different standards to the evaluation of results The Individualist condemns in advance a law which compels the employer to pay and the workman to accept a wage fixed by the State, and complains of regulations which make it difficult for him to obtain food in an inn after a certain hour Another section of opinion is prepared in advance to approve both. The only test which these schools can agree in applying is that of tangible results Does the State regulation of wages ensure industrial peace and give satisfaction alike to the employer and employed? Does the State, in working its coal mines, obtain as large an output at no greater cost than private coal owners obtain, and with no greater friction between employer and work man? To determine the results is, however no easy matter, for in some cases, as that of coal mining, they are disputed, while in others the experiment evidently needs to be tried for some time longer before its success or failure can be proved Compulsory arbitration with the judicial fixing of wages has reduced the number and extent of strikes and prevented much loss of work and wages, but the hopes at first entertained that it had solved the labour problem have been dissipated Just when the employers were beginning to acquiesce, the workmen became less and less satisfied, and a section among them prefers the strike to the Court The system of loans to settlers and to workers for enabling them to acquire houses was working well so long as the Government could borrow in England at 31 per cent, and lend in New Zealand at 5 per cent But can it continue when the Government must pay interest at, or even above, the higher figure? Though the evidence I

obtained regarding the management of Government enterprises seemed to show that the State pays more for the work it gets than private employers do, because its workers "go slow," and discipline is lax, it would be unsafe to treat this as an established fact, and hard to ascertain the amount of resulting loss. The future will have to settle these controversial issues, and throw some much-needed light on the question how far Paternalism can go without economic loss and galling restrictions on individual liberty.

Still more difficult is it to estimate the effects upon national character of the supersession by the State of individual initiative and enterprise, for such effects, always somewhat intangible, are slow in revealing themselves. They may not become evident until a generation has grown up under their influence, and in the meantime other causes may have modified that influence, making it hard to assign to them their share in affecting national character, for national character, though often talked of as if it were a permanent fact due to a racial strain, is always changing, and changes faster in our age, even in an isolated people, than it ever did before, because the influences that play on it are more numerous. Thus the field for speculation is still open. Neither those who hold nor those who deny that the increase of State interference weakens individual mituative are at present entitled to cite New Zealand in support of their doctrine.

It has already been observed how kind Nature has been in bestowing upon these isles all that could be needed for the growth of a vigorous race and a prosperous self-governing community. Philosophers like Plato in the ancient and Sir Thomas More in the modern world, have indulged their fancy in imagining an ideal State, in which wisely planned institutions and wholesome habits would ensure peace and happiness to a people placed on a fertile soil under genial skies, protected by their remoteness from external attack, unhampered by the resentments of a troubled past, and fitted by their intelligence and character to order life according to right reason. No people planted in a new country has seemed better fitted to realize that ideal than the men who settled in New Zealand None had a better chance of creating institutions that might serve as a model to less favoured lands These advantages they have possessed for eighty years. The country has grown steadily and not over swiftly in wealth, and has preserved the purity of its stock without that inrush of ignorant immigrants which North Americans have reason to regret. Its inhabitants have added education to the inborn energy and intelligence of their race, nor have any acute dissensions disturbed the public peace. Government, based on universal suffrage, and peace Government, based on universal surfage, and exercised (in practice) through one Chamber only, has been as entirely popular as any government can be Yet a censorious critic can remark that here, too, the defects characteristic of popular governments in older countries have appeared Want of foresight allowed most of the land to fall into the hands of large proprie tors, while those who would have been better occupied in tilling it have crowded into towns, where they live by artificially stimulated industries. The citizens are not sufficiently interested in public affairs to observe them with a constant vigilance Public life attracts too little of the nation's best intelligence, so the laws are often ill framed and their administration imperfect Expenditure is lavish and sometimes wasteful Local interests often prevail against the common good. Industrial strife, which it had been hoped to eliminate, constantly recurs Political parties are more and more forming themselves upon the lines of class distinctions, and the opposition of the poorer and the richer, long familiar to the Old World, has reappeared There is rather more comfort and contentment than in the great countries of Europe, but no approach to the ideals of Plato or to those of Sir Thomas More can be discerned

Nevertheless, true though it be that the dream of an ideal democracy has been realized no more in New

NEW ZEALAND 764 PT. II Zealand than elsewhere, the critic would admit that she has escaped two at least of the evils from which most

democracies have suffered, the dominance of money and the control of party organizations, and has made great economic changes with no disturbance of order. Nowhere can we expect to find the bulk of a people striving after ideals, not even when Nature and a secure isolation beckon them upwards. The New Zealanders, after having gone a good way towards State Socialism, showed that they could pause to consider whether they should go farther. They have never attempted a general levelling down, have never lost that reasonable temper which the practice of self-government is fitted to foster. And so, far from being enervated by their seclusion in the midst of a vast ocean, they displayed,

when a crisis arrived, their willingness to make every sacrifice needed to meet it. They raised a War Loan immense in proportion to their resources. They sent their youth freely to scale the heights that look across the Hellespont over the plains of windy Troy, and their youth gave proof on the battlefield of unsurpassable patriotism and valour

PART III

This concluding Part contains-

A An examination and criticism of democratic institutions in the light of the facts described in the survey contained in Part II of the working of six democratic governments

(CHAPTERS LVIII LAVIII)

B Observations on certain phenomena which bear on the working of Democracy everywhere

(CHAPTERS LXIX LXXII)

C General reflections on the present and future of Democratic Govern ment suggested by a study of the forms it has taken, the changes it has undergone, and the tendencies that are now affecting it

(CHAPTER'S LXXIII to End)

CHAPTER LVIII

THE DECLINE OF LEGISLATURES

EVERY traveller who, curious in political affairs, enquires in the countries which he visits how their legislative bodies are working, receives from the elder men the same discouraging answer They tell him, in terms much the same everywhere, that there is less brilliant speaking than in the days of their own youth, that the tone of manners has declined, that the best citizens are less disposed to enter the Chamber, that its proceedings are less fully reported and excite less interest, that a seat in it confers less social status, and that, for one reason or another, the respect felt for it has waned. The wary traveller discounts these peremiads, conscious of the tendency in himself, growing with his years, to dwell in memory chiefly upon the things he used to most enjoy in his boyhood, the long fine summers when one could swim daily in the river and apples were plentiful, the fine hard winters v hen the ice sheets on Windermere or Loch Lomond gathered crowds of skaters Nevertheless this disparagement of the legislatures of our own day is too general, and appears in too many forms, to be passed by There is evidence to ind cate in nearly even, country some decline from that admiration of and confidence in the system of representative government which in England possessed the generation who took their constitutional history from Hallam and Macaulay, and their political philosophy from John Stuart Mill and Walter Bagehot, and in the United States that earlier generation which between 1820 and 1850 looked

on the Federal System and the legislatures working under it in the nation and the States as the almost perfect model of what constitutional government ought to be. In the middle of last century most Liberal thinkers in France and Spain, in Italy and Germany expected a sort of millennium from the establishment in their midst of representative institutions like those of England, the greatest improvement, it was often said, that had ever been introduced into government, and one which, had the ancient world discovered it, might have saved the Greek republics. from the Macedonian conqueror and Rome from the despotism of the Caesars So the leaders of the revolutions which liberated Spanish America took as their pattern the American Federal System which had made it possible for a central Congress and legislative bodies in every State to. give effect to the will of a free people scattered over a vast continent, holding them together in one great body while also enabling each division of the population to enact laws appropriate to their respective needs. By the representative system the executive would, they believed, be duly guided and controlled; by it the best wisdom of the country would be gathered into deliberative bodies whose debates would enlighten the people, and in which men fit for leadership could show their powers. Whoever now looks back to read the speeches and writings of statesmen and students between 1830 and 1870, comparing them with the complaints and criticisms directed against the legislatures of the twentieth century, will be struck by the contrast, noting how many of the defects now

visible in representative government were then unforeseen. These complaints and criticisms need to be stated and examined, if only in view of the efforts which peoples delivered from the sway of decadent monarchies are now making to establish constitutional governments in various parts of Central and Eastern Europe

As in Part II. the failings of the legislatures in the six countries there dealt with have been already indicated, only a brief reference to them is here required. In the States of the American Union a sense of these failings has

CH LVIII

led to two significant changes Many restrictions have been everywhere imposed by constitutional amendments on the powers of State legislatures, and more recently many States (nearly one-third of the whole number) have introduced the Referendum and the Initiative, the former to review, the latter from time to time to supersede the action of those bodies The virtue of members had so often succumbed to temptations proceeding from powerful incorporated companies and the habit of effecting jobs for local interests was so common, that a general suspicion had attached itself to their action Moreover, the so called "Party Machines which have been wont to nominate candidates, and on whose pleasure depends the political future of a large proportion of the members, prevented the will of the people from prevailing, making many members feel themselves responsible rather to it than to their constituencies Like faults have been sometimes charged against Congress, though conditions are better there than in most of the States, but the Referendum and Initiative are of course inapplicable to the National government since the Federal Constitution makes no provision for them

In France, while Paris is enlivened, the nation has been for many years wearted by the incessant warfare of the Chamber, divided into many unstable groups, with frequent changes from one Cabinet to another The politicians have become discredited partly by the accusations they bring against one another, partly by the brokerage of places to individuals and favours to localities in which deputies act as internediaries between Ministers and local wire pullers, while scandals occurring from time to time have, although few deputies have been tarnished, lowered the respect telt for the Chamber as a whole

The same kind of brokerage is rife in Italy also The deputy holds his place by getting grants or other advan-tages for his district, and is always busy in influencing patronage by intrigue
In Great Britain these last named evils have not

VOL II

appeared, partly because the Civil Service was taken entirely out of politics many years ago, partly because the passing of "private bills" for local or personal pur-poses is surrounded by elaborate safeguards. Yet the House of Commons seems to hold a slightly lower place in the esteem of the people than it did in the days of Melbourne and Peel. Its intellectual quality has not risen. Its proceedings are less fully reported The frequency of obstruction and of the use of the closure to overcome obstruction have reduced the value of the debates and affected the quality of legislation, while also lessening respect for a body which is thoughtthough this is inevitable under the party system-to waste time in unprofitable wrangling) The "sterile hubbub of politics" was noted by a non-political critic even thirty years ago.1 The independence of members has suffered by the more stringent party discipline. The results of these causes are seen in the diminished deference accorded to Parliament, perhaps also in its slightly diminished attractiveness for able and public-spirited men.

In the new overseas democracies—Canada, Australia, and New Zealand—we cannot, except perhaps in New Zealand, now talk of a falling off, for the level was never high. Corruption is rare, but the standard both of tone and manners and of intellectual attainment is not worthy of communities where everybody is well off and well educated, and where grave problems of legis-

lation call for constructive ability.

370

Setting aside the special conditions of each particular country, because in each the presence or absence of certain institutions may give rise to special defects, let us seek for some general causes which in all the countries named, though in some more than others, have been tending to reduce the prestige and authority of legislative bodies.

The spirit of democratic equality has made the masses of the people less deferential to the class whence legislators used to be drawn, and the legislatures themselves are to-day

filled from all classes except the very poorest This is in some respects a gain, for it enables popular wishes to be better expressed, but it makes a difference to Parliamentary better expressed, but it makes a difference to Parliamentary habits In England, for example, the old "country gentlemen," who used to form more than half the House of Commons and from whom many brilliant figures came, are now a small minority Constituencies are everywhere larger than formerly, owing to the growth of population and to universal suffrage, while the personal qualities of a candidate do less to commend him to electors thes of a candidate to less to comment man of excess who are apt to vote at the bidding of party or because the candidate is lavish in his promises. Not only do the members of legislatures stand more than heretofore on the same intellectual level as their constituents, but their personal traits and habits and the way in which they do business are better known through the press. In some countries much of the space once allotted to the reports of debates is now given to familiar sketches, describing the appearance and personal traits of members, in which any eccentricity is "stressed" "Scenes' are made the most of, and the disorders which mark them have left a painof, and the disorders which mark them have left a pain-ful impression. Legislators, no longer conventionally supposed to dwell in an Olympian dignity, set little store by the standards of decorum that prevailed when, as in France and England two generations ago, a large pro-portion of the Chamber belonged to the same cultivated social circles, and recognized an etiquette which prescribed the maintenance of external forms of politeness. The defect perpetuates itself, because men are apt to live up to no higher standard than that which they find. The less the country respects them, the less they respect them-selves. If politicians are assumed to move on a low plane, on it they will continue to move till some great events recall the country and them to the ideals which

recent the country and them to the local which inspired their predecessors

The disappearance of this sense of social responsibility has affected the conduct of business Every rule of procedure, every technicality is now insisted upon and "worked for all it is worth" This stiffening or

372

hardening of the modes of doing business has made parliamentary deliberations seem more and more of a game, and less and less a consultation by the leaders of the nation on matters of public welfare.

A like tendency is seen in the stricter party discipline enforced in the British self-governing Dominions. As party organizations are stronger, the discretion of representatives is narrowed they must vote with their leaders. The member who speaks as he thinks is growing rare in English-speaking countries. Whips called him a self-seeker or a crank yet his criticisms had their seld-seeker or a crank yet his criticisms had their self-

The memoer was speaks as no trinks is growing rare in English-speaking countries. Whips called hun a selfseeker, or a crank, yet his criticisms had their value. The payment of members has been supposed to lower the status and fetter the freedom of a representative.

First introduced in the United States, where it was inevitable because in so large a country members had to leave their business and their often distant homes, to live in the national or in a State capital, it became inevitable in European countries also when the enfranchised wage-earners desired to send members of their own class into

Parliament. How far it has affected the character of the representatives is not yet clear, but it everywhere exposes the poorer members to the imputation of an undue anxiety to retain their seats as a means of livelihood. Just as the increased volume of platform speaking by leading politicians has lessened the importance of the part which Parliamentary debate used to play in forming public opinion, so has the growth of the newspaper press encroached on the province of the Parliamentary orator. Only the very strongest statesmen can command an audience over the whole country, such as that which a widely read newspaper addresses every day. The average legislator fears the newspaper, but the newspaper does not fear the legislator, and the citizen who perceives

this draws his own conclusions.

Other organizations occupying themselves with public questions and influencing large sections of opinion, have arisen to compete with legislatures for the attention of the nation. The Conventions or Conferences of the old and "regular" parties, both in England and in America,

have no great importance, for, being practically directed by the party leaders, they add little or nothing to the programmes whereto the party has been already committed But the meetings of industrial sections and of the new class parties, such as the Trades Union Congress in England and the Congress of the Peasant party in Switzerland, the Socialist Congresses in France, and the Labour Union Congresses or assemblies representing the farmers or miners in the United States, the gatherings of farmers in Canada, and the still more powerful meetings of Labour organizations in Australia—all these are important, for they represent a large potential vote, and their deliverances serve as a barometer showing the rise or fall of opinion on industrial issues. Those who lead them may win and wield a power equal to that of all but the most outstanding Parliamentary chiefs

Whether or no it be true, as is commonly stated, that

Whether or no it be true, as is commonly stated, that in European countries the intellectual level of legislative assemblies has been sinking, it is clear that nowhere does enough of that which is best in the character and talent of the nation find its way into those assemblies 1 In this respect the anticipations of eighty years ago have not been realized. The entrance to political life is easier now than it was then, but the daily round of work less agreeable, while the number of alternative careers is

larger larger
These changes, taken all together, account for the disappointment felt by whoever compares the position held by legislatures now with the hopes once entertained of the services they were to render. Yet may we not ask whether there was ever solid ground for these hopes? Were they not largely due to the contrast which the earliest free assemblies offered to the arbitrary or obscurantist governments which had been ruling everywhere but in America, Britain, and Switzerland, and against which the noblest intellects in the oppressed countries were contending? It was natural to expect that when

¹ This point has been examined in the chapters on France the Linited States Canada and Austra a

374 men c

men of such a type came to fill the legislatures of France, Germany, Italy, and Spain, they would rival the assembles of the countries that were already thriving on freedom. That expectation was largely fulfilled as regards the first free assemblies, for those who led them were exceptional men, produced or stimulated by the calls of their time. The next generation did not in days of peace rise to the standard set in the days of conflict.

The issues of policy which now occupy legislatures are more complex and difficult than those of half a century ago The strife of classes and formation of class parties were not foreseen, nor the vast scale on which economic problems would present themselves, nor the constant additions to the functions of governments, nor that immense increase of wealth which has in some countries exposed legislators to temptations more severe than any that had assailed their predecessors. The work to be done then was largely a work of destruction. Old abuses had to be swept away, old shackles struck off, and for effecting this a few general principles were thought to suffice. The next generation was confronted by construc-tive work, a remodelling of old institutions in the effort to satisfy calls for social reorganization, a difficult task which needed more hard thinking and creative power than were forthcoming. Thus while the demands on representative assemblies were heavier the average standard of talent and character in their members did not rise. Never was it clearer than it is to-day that Nature shows no disposition to produce men with a greatness proportioned to the scale of the problems they have to solve.

Taking all these causes into account, whatever decline is visible in the quality and the influence of legislatures becomes explicable without the assumption that the character of free peoples has degenerated under democracy. It remains to enquire what have been the results of the reduced authority of representative assemblies. The power which has departed from them must have gone elsewhere. Whither has it gone 2.9.

In the several States of the American Union it has gone

to the Executive or to the People I has State Governor has become a leading figure whenever he happens to be a strong man with some initiative, some force of will, some gift for inspiring that confidence which legislatures fail to command Not often perhaps does such a man appear, but when he appears he counts for more than he would have done forty years ago In an increasing number of States, the introduction of the Initiative and Referendum has narrowed the power of the representatives and transferred legislation to the citizens voting at the polls, while the Recall has made members displaceable by a popular vote before their term comes to an end All State legislatures have lost the function of choosing a United States Senator, which has been now assigned to the popular vote, this being the only considerable change made in the Federal system Congress has fallen rather than risen and the power of the President, when he knows how to use it and happens to be a strong man who takes the fancy of the people, has been tending to grow The Constitutions of France and Great Britain have

The Constitutions of France and Great Britain have remained the same in form and on the whole in practice. But in France the recurring dissatisfaction with the frequent changes of Ministry which intrigues in the Chamber bring about continues to evoke cries for a more stable Executive. The discontent with "Parliamentarism" which nearly led to a coap d that in 1888 may have serious consequences, especially if the steadying influence excited by the fear of external aggression should cease to operate. In Britain the House of Commons is still the centre of political life and the driving—wheel of Government. But the power of the Cabinet over the majority has grown as parties have stiffened their discipline, for majorities are strong in proportion to their docility. If that so called "control of the caucus" which British pessimists bewait really exists, it is not so much the tyranny of a party organization acting under the committees that manage it in the constituencies as an instrument in the hands of the party chiefs

In Italy a somewhat different process seems to have made the Chamber more subservient than formerly to have Ministry, for although the party system holds no great power, deputies are brought into line by the manipulation of patronage and benefits bestowed on powerful business interests or on localities. The Spanish Cortes, divided into a number of groups, each following its leader, are little regarded by the people, who have shown (except in Catalonia) scant interest in the exercise of their now widely extended suffrage.

In these European cases it is rather the moral ascendancy than the legal power of the legislature that has been affected. But when moral power droops legal power cases to inspire affection or respect.

Can any useful conclusions, any lessons available for practice be drawn from these facts?

The mischiefs arising in the United States and (to a less extent) in Canada from the abuse, for electoral purposes, of legislative power in local and personal matters might be removed by stringent regulations, such as those which the British Parliament has imposed on the examination and enactiment of private Rills.

examination and enactment of private Bills.

A scandal complained of in some countries might be reduced if a system of strict competitive examinations for posts in the Civil Service were to cut away the opportunites members have of misusing their position for the purposes of patronage, while the transfer to local self-governing bodies of the powers exercised in administrative areas by the central government, together with the discontinuance of grants from the national treasury for local purposes would, while saving public money, dry up a copious fountain of jobbery, for where the money to be spent comes from local taxes its expenditure is more likely to be carefully watched. Anyhow the central legislature would be releved from one form of temptation.

These are what may be called mechanical remedies for evils arising from defects in the mechanism of Parina-

Where, howeved the undertaking extends over a wide area and has a national importance, national subventions may be unavoidable.

CH 1911 PARLIAMENTS AND PEOPLE

mentary institutions With those causes of decline which are either independent of the legislatures themselves, or arise from the intensity of party spirit, or the indisposition of men qualified to serve their country to offer themselves as candidates, -- for these causes the remedies have to be sought elsewhere Representative Assemblies must remain the vital centre of the frame of government in every country not small enough to permit of the constant action of direct popular legislation, and

even in such countries they cannot be altogether dispensed with The utility which Mill and Bagehot saw in them remains, if perhaps reduced The people as a whole cannot attend to details, still less exercise over the Executive the watchful supervision needed to ensure honest and

efficient administration /

CHAPTER LIX

THE PATHOLOGY OF LEGISLATURES

As new maladies assail the human body with advancing age or when the external conditions of life are changed, so with the progress of the years unforeseen weaknesses are disclosed in political institutions. The thinkers and statesmen of last century either did not discern, or gave little thought to several such weaknesses incident to representative assemblies which have now begun to cause concern. Five of these chronic allments, some of which have been briefly adverted to in the last chapter, deserve examination.

I. First comes the practice of what is called in England Obstruction, in America Filibustering, in Australia Stonewalling, in Germany Dauerreden, i.e. the systematic effort to delay the progress of business by speaking against time, or by a series of motions (usually amendments to a Bill) which are intended to keep the assembly as long as possible from reaching a decision on the main question before it. In a mild form this must have been an old device, pardonable or even justifiable when a party that found itself in a temporary majority tried to snatch a division. It could also be excused when employed as an honest protest by a minority which felt that it had not been allowed a fair chance of stating its case. In our times it has, however, been systematically used to paralyse the action of a legislature. In England, whose Parhament was formerly distinguished for a decorous propriety in the conduct of business, obstruction was raised to the level of a fine art, with the result not only of obliging the majority to spend excessive time over their measures, but of discrediting the House of Commons itself. The temptation to resort to it is strongest in countries living under the so called **Cabinet* or "Parliamentary system," because in them the fortunes of the Administration are associated with the measures it proposes, so that when an important Bill fails for want of time to be passed, or when the disproportionate expenditure of time upon it compels the abandonment of other measures the Cabinet suffers, having been unable to fulfil promises made to its supporters In such countries, therefore, an Opposition is often tempted to waste time, for even if the particular measure obstructed is not disliked on its merits, the Ministry is prevented from proceeding to other measures which are actually so disliked, and is thus made to seem impotent in the eyes of the electors. This abuse of the right of free discussion has, in most countries, compelled the adoption of rules enabling the majority to close debate and proceed to an immediate vot. Such rules, however and proceed to an immediate vot. Such rules, however necessity as a remedy, are themselves an evil, for they are in turn abused to pass measures which, having been imperfectly discussed, will probably prove faulty when they come to be applied. No remedy, except closure, has yet been discovered against obstruction, nor any for the misuse of closure itself. In England the Administration, when it suffered from the former, used to believe that the abuses. that the electors would punish obstructionist members by refusing to re-elect them, and these members, when silenced by the closure likewise believed that the electors would commiserate them and condemn the Administration But neither of these things happened The electors did parties, and regretted the good old days when neither majority nor minority pressed its rights to their utmost legal limit

¹ Some rema k of the Lord Chancel or n he House of Lords in March 1919 during a debate on Devolution contain an instructive account of the m thods practised in the House of Commons.

Obstruction is only one of the causes which have made it difficult for representative assembles to meet the demands for legislation, more numerous now than ever before, that are made upon them In France, in the United States, in England, arrears accumulate for overtaking which no means has yet been discovered.

II. A comparatively new feature in representative assemblies is the multiplication of parties. Most countries began with two—the party of Advance, called in England Whigs, and afterwards Liberals, and the party which defended existing conditions, called Tories or Conservatives. So in France there was the conservative party of the Right, and the party of the Left which pressed for changes, the former generally monarchical in its sympathies, the latter republican. But latterly, partly by the splitting up of these old parties, partly by the emergence of new issues, sometimes of race, sometimes of religion, sometimes of class, parties have grown more numerous. In the British House of Commons there were in 1914 three well-organized parties, to which two or three much smaller groups have now been added. In the German Reichstag there were in 1914 five or six* while in the French Chamber the eleven or twelve of 1914 have been but slightly reduced in number.

When a phenomenon appears simultaneously in several countries, one must search for a generally operative cause. Such a cause may be found in the fact that whereas the middle of last century was an era of destruction, when monarchical or oligarchic institutions were being rejected in favour of more popular forms of government, all the advocates of reform, while differing on some points, could agree in getting rid of what had become odious or obsolete. When, however, the work of construction had to be undertaken, divergences appeared

In Entana proposals have been made for a scheme of federalization or devolution creating local assemblies to relieve Parisanent.
It is not jet possible to define the position in the Reschitag of 1920.

between sections each of which had schemes of its own to propound. Thus Radicals drew apart from Liberals, and the classes which had special grevances tended to form class parties. Nevertheless there were also at work other causes, varying in different countries. Among these were Race and Religion. In Germany a Roman Catholic party arose many years ago, while the Poles formed a group by themselves. In the United Kingdom the sentiment of Irish nationality created a third party opposed to Liberals and Tories alike. In France the Catholic Church has kept alive a party which was at first Monarchist and still resists the anti-derical Republican majority. This has happened in Holland and Belgium also. In Canada a Farmers party, and even in Switzerland a Peasants party, has arisen. In these countries, as well as in Britain and Australia, Socialist or Labour parties have evolved themselves on between sections each of which had schemes of its Socialist or Labour parties have evolved themselves on a basis partly of class interest, partly of theoretic doctrine Only in the United States have the two old-established Only in the United States have the two old-established parties been strong enough to maintain their supremacy, doing this the more easily because it is not Congress but a vote of the people every four years that gives executive power to one or other party, so that the legislature is not, as in "Parliamentary countries, the centre of political conflict." That Republic has thus escaped two unfortunate results which the Group system has produced in countries living under the Parliamentary Frame of Government One is the instability of Cabinets, the other the difficulty of carrying through controversal legislation. Where there are more than two parties, it is probable that no one party may hold a majority of the whole Chamber The Executive, being dependent on the support of a majority, is in such cases liable to be defeated by any combination of the minority parties, and when power passes to the larger of those minorities, the new Executive consisting of the chiefs of that party, is exposed to a like peril. This affects

In the Lates States moreover, the elements of rel mous animos ty are absent. See as to the cause which have presented the new part sithat hale ben launched in America from maintain in themselves a sinst the old parties, Chapt is on the U.S.A. in Part II.

382

not only the tenure of office but the consistency and thoroughness of legislative measures, which have to be so framed as to obtain from members of the Opposition sramed as to obtain from members of the Opposition parties a support sufficient to enable them to pass. The only remedy lies in the making of bargains between the Executive and the leaders of one at least of the Opposition parties, thus creating a combination capable of keeping the Executive in power and helping it to pass some of its Bills; but such combinations are unstable, and legislation passed under such conditions becomes a matter of compromise, choosing the fulls includes: compromise, showing the faults incident to measures founded on no clear principle. Sometimes a minority party can, as the price of its support, extort from the party in power measures which the bulk of that party party in power measures which the bulk of that party dishkes, and which may not express the general will of the nation. For these evils, such as they are, members of the legislatures cannot be blamed. The sources he in the nature of a representative system; and though racial and even religious antagonisms may in time by a process of assimilation disappear from the greater countries, the social or economic bases of parties are likely to last so long as no single type of economic doctrine becomes completely dominant. Within the party of Advance there will believe he cannot design to more force the other. will always be some desiring to move faster than others, and theorists, attracting bands of followers, will point out various paths into the Promised Land.

III From groups in the legislatures one may pass to note the results of the existence in the electorate of small sections which exercise a power disproportionate to their numbers. Where electors having a personal interest, numbers. Where electors having a personal interest, such as a particular trade (e.g. dealers in mixicianing liquors), or the votaries of a particular view, such as anti-vaccinationists, regard their special interest or tenet as of supreme importance, they are apt to make their support of a candidate depend on his promising to support it in the legislature, and where the contest is likely to be close, the candidate will probably give the promise. Thus the

³ See as to these phenomena in Australia, Chapters on that country in Part 1L

interest or view, possibly little better than a fad, secures an artificial support in the legislature, and the real wishes of the electors are misrepresented. In Britain, for instance, the postal and telegraph clerks were (in the larger towns) accustomed to tell a candidate that they would vote for or against him according as he promised or refused to promise to support in Parliament their demand for higher salaries, and by this method they secured in the House of Commons a majority of votes which represented not the views of the electors generally, nineteen-twentieths of whom took no interest in the matter, but only the phablity of complaisant candidates, so that the Ministry which wished to resist the demand found itself overborne. It is a weakness of the representative system that it gives undue importance to any section which, forgetting its duty to the whole community, puts its vote up to be bid for by a candidate, to whichever party he may belong. In Australia such action by the railway employees once gave rise to serious trouble.

IV To understand another milads which now threatens the utility of representative assemblies we must cast a glance back into the history of the representative system. It began in the Middle Ages with the sending to the national council, presided over by the King, of persons deputed to grant to him money for the State services, and was also used for the promulgation of the few laws which were then passed, the chief of which in England, related to the tenure of land. I take England as the example to be described, because in it the representative system has had an unbroken career now continued in other English speaking countries. The earliest representatives had a simple duty that of granting money to the Crown for the national services. By degrees the House of Commons drew to itself larger and larger powers in legislation and delivered its views on the great political issues of the time. Members were understood to be expressing the general mind and will of those who dwelt in the shires and boroughs whence they came,

384

and they usually did so.1 Little question was raised as to their obligation to precisely ascertain and obediently convey exactly what their electors desired. Practically they had a wide discretion, and felt themselves to be not merely representatives of particular localities, but also members of the Great Council of the Nation, successors of the (non-representative) Witan of Old England before the Norman Conquest, the Wise Men who were wont to consult with the Sovereign on all great matters touching the welfare of the realm, bringing to those matters not only the will of their constituents but their own wisdom, and therefore a freedom which could not be limited by positive instructions, because it was to be exercised after heating what the Sovereign and his advisers, as well as their own colleagues, had to say to them. There were then, be it remembered, no newspapers, and public opinion expersect diself upon very few subjects. Broadly speaking, it was not till the eighteenth century that the question was seriously mooted how far a member's duty was to think, speak, and vote according to his personal views or according to the views of the majority of his con-stituents The point was discussed by Edmund Burke in his famous letter to the Sheriffs of Bristol. His argument that the member is not and ought not to be a mere delegate held the field till in our own time the stress laid upon the principle of popular sovereignty has led many to contend that in a true democracy the representative must be nothing more than a sort of conduit-pipe conveying the will of those who elected him. The growth of party organization makes possible the application of the principle, for the political committee which exists in each constituency can watch the votes of its member and is likely, especially if so requested by the Central Office of the party to which he belongs, to warn him that he must give un-

questioning support to the party leaders
The various views held regarding the grounds for

¹ Though in Tudor and early Stuart times the Crown, by giving the right of representation to many insignificant boroughs in which it could control the electors, tried to secure the presence of periods subserved to its will.

choosing a representative, and the duties incumbent on him, may be reduced to three theories or types of

(1) He may be chosen as the person whom the constituency selects to urge its special local demands, to state its special grievances, to obtain for it from the Government a full share of whatever is going in the way of money grants for local purposes, or any other favours

(2) He may be chosen as a person eminently fitted by character and attainments to meet and consult with

other representatives in the council of the nation on public affairs, while also in accord with the general views

of the constituency regarding those affairs

(3) He may be chosen as a spokesman of the party which holds a majority in the constituency, bound, whatever his personal opinions on any question, to speak and vote as the majority commands him, being thus a sort of vote as the majority communds him, being thus a sort or telephone wire by which it transmits its wishes. This is the doctrine of the mandat imperatif, and has been strongly urged by those who carry furthest the belief that the people, ie the whole mass of voting citizens, hold a definite opinion and are prepared to declare their will regarding every question of some moment. Any other kind of representation seems to them undemocratic and defusive

It is possible that the same man may combine the qualifications and fulfil the duties required and imposed by the first and third theories. He may serve the constituency for its local purposes and the party for its national as well as for local purposes. But he could not at the same time discharge also the duties prescribed by the second theory No man can serve two masters Cases arise in which the demand of a locality or the commands of a party are at variance with the interests of the nation, and the honest man who perceives this variance will have to sacrifice one or other. The third theory makes his duty to the party majority paramount

Are there not here two duties, each in itself clear, but at moments incompatible in practice? One duty of a member is that of securing full weight in Parliament for

the opinion of his constituents, both as to the persons to be entrusted with executive power and as to the laws to be passed The other duty, owed to the nation, is that of supporting whatever action, legislative or administrative, he believes to be best for the national interests. Does democratic theory require him to give a vote which his own judgment holds to be against those interests? Is he to be the mouthpiece of views he thinks mistaken? The matter is not so simple as it seems. The member may have been elected some while ago and conditions may have changed. Ministers may have so acted as to weaken the confidence his constituents then reposed in them. The question on which he has now to vote may be one on which he gave as a candidate no pledge, or maybe one which nobody foresaw at the time of the election. When his constituents chose him, can they have meant that he was not to profit by what he has learnt from debates in the legislature? If so, why have debates? It may be impossible for him to ascertain how the majority of his constituents would view the particular question now at issue. He may of course consult the local committee, but such a committee, being usually more partisan than is the majority of his party, will probably tell him to support his party leaders, being naturally biassed in their favour whatever their conduct. Why should he become the slave of a small caucus? The electors must have meant to leave him some discretion, though it is hard to say how much

It would seem, then, that the only way in which the system of the imperative mandate could be worked in practice would be to have in each constituency a committee constantly instructing the member how to vote, and for that purpose summoning a meeting of the party electors whenever an important issue arose. The objections to this need no stating. The only way in which a member could defend himself from charges of breach of fath to his electors would be by his announcing while a candidate that he reserved his freedom upon all questions save those on which he gave a positive and definite pledge.

One thing is clear. If a representative so dislikes the whole policy of his own party as to wish to cross over to the other, his duty is to resign his seat forthwith. This is now the rule in Great Britain. So, too, if his opinions have so changed as regards one important measure that, having been elected to advocate it, he can do so no longer, he must resign. But where the Executive Ministers have announced a new policy on a new issue, is he bound to follow them into it because the bulk of their and his party supports them in it? This question arose in England when a large majority of the Conservative party adopted a Protectionist policy in 1904–5 ft arose in 1899 when the Government of that day entered on the South African War. One of their supporters a member of the Government of that day entered on the South African War One of their supporters, a member of high personal character, who had been resident in South Africa and knew its condition, disapproved of their policy and spoke against in Parliament The issue was a new one, but his local committee, apparently with the support of the local majority, called on him to resign Nevertheless he retained his seat till the next general election and seemed to be generally held justified in doing so

The present tendency in England is to make the member more distinctly a delegate than he is in France or Italy, where people pass lightly from one to another of the various Liberal and Radical groups, or than he is in America where a straight party vote is exacted only on the main points of the party programme. In Switzerland the Constitution declares that he is not to consider himself a delegate, and the recent constitution of Esthonia enunciates the same view. To press hard the doctrine that a member is a mere delegate would result (a) in deterring men of independent character from entering Parliament, (b) in reducing the value of Parliamentary debate, (c) in increasing the control of local party committees, and (d) in making a Cabinet even more powerful over its followers in Parliament than it now is

⁾ In France however party due places g d among the Social stewholare the h storgan zed party

PT. III

V. There remains to be mentioned a more serious menace to the healthy action of representative bodies.

388

Every party in a legislature is strong not only by its numbers but by its unity, r.e. by the freedom from internal dissensions which enables it to bring to bear its full strength and cast a unanimous vote on every important occasion. When a party has a definite programme ortan occasion. When a pays has a context programme, it may require those whom it approves as candidates to promise to hold together in the legislature and vote as one united body. They may be content to pledge themselves at their election to every item in the formally adopted party programme, and to give their votes accordingly. But as that document, like every other document, needs to be interpreted and applied to the circumstances of each case as it arises, this plan might not always secure unity, for members might interpret its terms differently, and circumstances might make the particular terms inapplicable. Hence some organizations have gone further by requiring candidates to undertake that they will, if elected, always obey any direction which the majority of the party in the legislature, assembled in a secret conclave—such as is called in America a "party caucus"—may pronounce. The member who has given such a promise forgoes his independence. He has his chance of influencing his colleagues in the conclave, but when a decision has proceeded from the majority of those present and voting, he, whether present or absent, will be bound, irrespective of his own convictions, to obey that decision.

his own convictions, to obey that decision.

This method enhinees the power of a compact Group whether the group be a majority or minority of the whole Chamber. If it he a minority, the group are in a strong position to deal with the leaders of the majority party, for whenever the latter feel doubtful of success in a division, owing to differences in their own ranks, the group may offer to give its solid support upon certain terms favourable to themselves, and may thus extort from the other dominant party something the group desires. If, on the other hand, the group constitute a majority in

the Chamber, it is omnipotent. The ball is at its feet, it can count on passing all its measures, and need not trouble to expound or defend proposals in debate except for the purpose of saving appearances and putting its case before the country. It has only to go on voting steadily what has been previously determined on in secret, uninstructed and unmoved by arguments from any other part of the Chamber, because there is no need for listening to words which cannot affect its predetermined action The Chamber, having ceased to be deliberative, has become a mere voting machine, the passive organ of an unseen despotism. It may have even ceased to express the national will, for the majority of a majority party does not necessarily represent the view of the majority of the whole Chamber Assume that whole Chamber to consist of 210 members 110 of whom constitute the ruling Group members 110 of whom constitute the ruling Group Suppose the majority of that group who decide upon a particular course to be 60 against 50 dissentients. Add to these fifty the hundred other members of the Chamber who are also opposed to the course proposed. That course will be carried by a compact majority of 110 against 100, although, if the real opinion of the members were expressed by the vote it would be rejected by 150 against 60. If we were to try to ascertain the probable will of the people on the metter by examples the course. on the matter by examining the popular majorities in each constituency by which the 60 members whose vote in caucus prevailed had been elected, as compared with the number of votes cast for the 150 members who dis approved, the contrast between the true popular will and the decision rendered by those who are supposed to the decision rendered by those who are supposed to represent it in the Assembly might become still more evident. Yet by this method of subjecting the whole Assembly to a bare majority of a majority the most farreaching and possibly irrevocable decisions might be taken. This may seem a sorry result for representative government to have reached, yet it is a logical and legitimate development of the principle of Majority Party Rule and there seems to be no remedy except by invoking the whole people to pass judgment upon Bills by a Referendum

The Parliamentary caucus system here described was invented by the Irish party in the British House of Commons and worked there from 1880 to 1918. In its first ten years it proved effective, turning out two Ministries in succession after obtaining large concessions from each. It has also been practised with success in the legislatures of the Australian States and in that of the Federal Commonwealth. It has been to some extent adopted as regards various subjects by Socialist parties in the French Chamber, and may spread wherever party spirit is strong enough to induce men to subordinate their views and wills to the attainment of a few aims they are united in desiring. The requisites for its existence are two—a definite programme and a fervent party zeal. The presence of a strong-willed leader, able by his hold on the party outside the Chamber to maintain discipline among his followers within it, facilitates its work. C. S. Parnell's possession of the advantages mentioned counted for much, but the Australian Labour parties have won their victories mainly by the loyal cohesion of their members and the pressure of the outside Labour organization, to which all are responsible.

Every one of the defects in, or perversions of, representative government which I have enumerated arises naturally out of the conditions of political hie, and none is peculiar to countries living under universal suffrage. In particular, Obstruction and the rule of a legislative caucus are natural weapons of war, ready to the hand of party spirit. No attempt to deal by law with any of these evils has a promise of success. They can be cured only by the action of public opinion, which can show its displeasure at practices that lower the character and utility of representative assemblies. But opinion is in most countries too much absorbed with the economic and social aims to which legislation should be directed to give due attention to legislative methods, and the leaders of a party are ustally too cager for a temporary victory to forgo the means, however dangerous for the future, by which victory may be you. It is not that they are short-sighted:

CH LIX

forces

many foresee clearly enough the consequences of theu acts it is that in politics most men are prone to secretice the future interests of the nation to the temporary interests of the party or, to give them the benefit of a common excuse, to attend by permicious means a laudible and

excuse, to attuin by perincious means a lindible and Though the dignity and moral influence of representative legislatures have been declining they are still an indispensable part of the machinery of government in large democracies, since it is only in compiratively small populations that citizens can be frequently summoned to vote by Referendum and Institute Ulence the quitity of a legislature the integrity and capacity of its members, the efficiency of the methods by which it passes laws and supervises the conduct of the Executive must continue to be of high significance to a nation swelfare. The dictum of a legal sage in the seventeenth century. In glind can never be ruined except by a Parlament, is true to day of all countries in which the Parliamentary system exists, and is still able to hold its ground against revolutionary.

CHAPTER LX

THE EXECUTIVE IN A DEMOCRACY

As men are apt to estimate the merits of a religion by the influence it exerts on the conduct of those who profess it, so a form of government may be judged by what it does for the peace and welfare of the people who live under it. In applying this test to democracy, which purports to be a "government of the laws and not of men," we have to ask how far its legislative machinery succeeds in making good laws, its judicial machinery in providing for their just application, its executive machinery in carrying them out efficiently and in enforcing respect for them. The Legislature and the Judiciary have been already considered. It remains to examine the Executive or Administrative Department.

As freedom had been won by resistance to arbitrary monarchs, the Executive power was long deemed dangerous to freedom, watched with suspicion, and hemmed
in by legal restraints, but when the power of the people
had been established by long usage, these suspicions
vanished, so that now it is only in countries where constitutional government is not well settled, as is still the
case in most of the republics of Spanish America and
in the small kingdoms of South-eastern Furope, that
the head of the Executive, be he President or King, can
venture to aim at a dictatorship or can, as did the Kings of
Greece and Balgaria, betray the natuon into a policy it
disapproves. Only in France does enough of the old
apprehension remain to make the people fear to extend

the powers of their President Thus it is unnecessary to treat here of the Executive as a danger to democracy. The world asks to-day, not how far that branch of government hankers after mastery, but how far is it an efficient servant, capable and honest?

The Executive Department has been often described as the weak point in popular governments. In them, as compared with oligarches or autocracies, it is said to lack continuity in policy, promptitude in action, courage to enforce its decisions judgment in the selection of officials, and the possession of that special knowledge and technical skill which administration requires in our day. To estimate the truth of these allegations let us examine such evidence to support or rebut them as is supplied by the six countries whose governments have been described in Part II. That examination may be taken under four heads—first, the quality of the Ministers, i.e. the political heads of Executive Departments, in the countries aforesaid, secondly, the subordinate officials, i.e. the civil service of the nation, which conducts all internal administration, thirdly, the departments concerned with national defence, army, navy, and air fleet.

A fourth head, the conduct of foreign affairs, presents different problems, and to it a separate chapter is devoted

I In all the countries described, except Switzerland, the ministerial chiefs of the departments of State are politicians, members of a party, entering or quitting office as their parties gain or lose power. There are always among them men of some promunence and generally of talent, who have risen either in the representative assembly by the gift of speech or by a service to their party which marks them out for promotion. Thus every ministry, especially in Parliamentary, countries like France, Britain, Canada, Austraha and New Zealand, is sure to contain four or five, or possibly more, leading figures in the ruling party, and they may turn out capable administrators. But ministerial posts, even important posts, are often conferred upon mediocre men whom the Prime

394 PT. III Minister, or (in the United States) the President, finds it well to include in his Cabinet for political reasons of various kinds, perhaps because they have influence in some

particular part of the country or with some particular section of opinion. In none of these six countries is much regard paid either to special knowledge or to aptitude for administration, save that in the legal posts professional skill and eminence are essential. Otherwise political considerations come first, though in France it has been usual to choose as Minister of War a General, and as Minister of Marine an Admiral, Switzerland stands by itself as not changing its Ministers, for they are elected for five years and almost always re-elected. They are selected from politicians who have had legislative experience, and are chosen rather in respect of their general capacity and the confidence their character inspires than on

the score of their special fitness for any particular kind of administrative work. This method of choice (in the five first-named countries) is based on the idea that as the Government is a party government kept in office by a party whose policy is that of the majority in the legislature (or, in the United States, that of the party to which the President belongs),

the departments must be administered on the lines of this the departments must be administered on the lines of this policy, and be defended in the legislature (except in the United States) by men who are experts in politics if in nothing else. The two disadvantages of the system are that the Minister may have no special competence, and that, however competent, he will, when his party loses power, be ejected from the office he has successfully filled; but against these may be set the advantage that an able incoming Minister can bring in new ideas and help to keep his department in touch with the movements of public opinion. In France, England, Canada, and Australia there is the further merit of securing that there shall almost always be some competent critics in the ranks of the Opposition. On the whole, the system described gives good results, and would give better if more weight were allowed in constructing a Cabinet to the qualifications, general or special, of the politicians selected, and less to merely political reasons. This remark applies to England also, where, though family favouritism and social influence now count for very little, political considerations still take precedence of expert knowledge and

II In all the six countries described the working staff of the departments, se the administrative Civil Service of the country, is outside politics, and posts in it are held (except to some extent in the United States) irrespective of the transfer of power from one party to another. Partisan influences have, however, their influence on promotions, especially in the higher grades. In all the six motions, especially in the nigher grades. In an the six countries the civil servants are as a class competent and honest, equal to those to be found in any European monarchy, and of course incomparably superior to those of Tsarist Russia, where corruption flourished like a green bay tree from the top to the bottom of the official hierarchy. Nowhere, however, were they so admirably trained as was the German bureaucracy, nowhere was so large a proportion of the nation's ability to be found in the nation's service Democracy has given a better if not a more economical administration in France than did the monarchies that preceded it, and in Britain a much better administration than was that of the oligarchy before 1832 The British service contains plenty of ability in its higher grades, and all grades work loyally for their chiefs, to whatever party the latter belong III National defence against atricks from without

III National defence against atricks from without has been well cared for in France and Switzerland If much less was done in the United States, that was because the risk of war with any powerful State had seemed negligible down till 1915. The administrative work of the naval and military departments has been everywhere efficiently and for the wast part howestly done, though malpractices have from time to time occurred in connection with naval contracts in France and in the United States. To examine the reproach sometimes levelled at democratic Governments of neglecting their armies is not

here possible, for it would require an enquiry into the circumstances in each several case where neglect has been alleged. The wolf does sometimes come unexpected, but antegeu. The wort noes sometimes come interspected, out how often has the cry of "Wolf" been raised when he was nowhere in the neighbourhood! For a nation to be unprepared because it has itself no aggressive spirit is unwise, but to be so over-prepared as to grow aggressive and launch an unjustified attack may have a still worse ending.

Among modern democracies France and Switzerland alone impose compulsory military service. In the other countries and in Britain the need for it is matter of controversy. The United States imposed it when she entered the Great War in 1917, and so did Great Britain in 1915 after about three millions of men had volunteered, as did New Zealand and Canada also. The Australian people, twice consulted by way of Referendum, refused. Australia and New Zealand had before 1914, and with little opposition, prescribed a certain measure of military training in peace-time. On the whole, democracies seem at present disposed to peace, but the ancient and mediaeval republics were fond of fighting, and the United States, to-day the most pacifically minded among great States, was in 1846 and in 1898 drawn into wars which might easily have been avoided.

IV. Now comes a more difficult and controversial question, viz. the effect of democracy upon the enforcement of the laws and especially on the maintenance of public order. Some have argued that governments installed by the votes of the multitude will fear to resist and suppress manifestations of popular feeling even when they pass into violence and rioting. Others have replied that no government can so well afford to show firmness as one which stands solidly planted on the people's will. Where a monarch or an oligarchy may stumble or halt lest it provoke a revolution, men chosen freely by the nation may go boldly forward.

There are facts to support both these contentions. Much may depend upon the circumstances of the

particular case, much upon the character either of the Government in power or of the particular official who is charged with the duty of maintaining public order. In the United States the National Government has almost always shown the requisite firmness President Cleveland during the Chicago riots of 1894 quelled an outbreak by sending in Federal troops So recently as 1919 the action of a Governor of Massachusetts, who had dealt energetically with a strike of the Boston police, was, when he stood for re-election, endorsed by an enormous vote coming from both political parties On the other hand, there have been Australian cases in which State Governments—usually but not always Labour Governments-have shown timidity, leaving it to the action of private citizens to preserve order, and to bring a strike to an end by themselves undertaking the work of running tram-cars or discharging ships' cargoes which strikers were trying to impede 1 In France a Ministry whose head was himself in sympathy with Socialist views showed great vigour and, aided by public sentiment, saved a dangerous strike situation Like energy was shown in a like case in Switzerland in 1918, the great bulk of the nation approving the energetic action of the Federal Council The same may be said of Canada, which has faithfully preserved the British traditions which make the vindication of the law the first duty of the Executive Strikes which pass into violence are in our own day the most frequent causes of trouble, and the most difficult to deal with, because, although workmen on strike admittedly possess the right of endeavouring to induce those whom employers are trying to hire in their place not to accept the work offered, "Peaceful Picketing" is apt to pass into threats or something more than threats, nor is it easy to draw the line 2

It is particularly difficult in Australia for a Labour Government which is ruled by a caucus of representatives pie god to act together and a largely controlled by an outs de labour organization, to do all it might otherwise feel bound to do to greent at Kers from resorting to violence.

² Leniency to law breakers is by no means confined to labour unions or radical democrats. It was observed in England on two recent occasions that the law respecting spirt is only skin deep once when during the South African War attacks by mobs.

398

In some of the American State Governments there has been laxity on the part of officials and slackness in action by the citizens when summoned to aid in preserving order. Lynching prevails extensively in several of the Middle as well as in most Southern States, and, though the opinion of thoughful men condemns the practice, some Governors or Mayors who have tried to repress it did not receive the support they deserved. It is also stated that locally elected officials are often remiss in enforcing the payment of taxes, and prone to acquiesce in minor breaches of the law lest they should incur enmittes which would endanger their re-election.¹

In trying to answer the broad question from which this chapter started, whether a democratic Executive can be a strong Executive, let us distinguish two different senses which the question may bear. An Executive is strong against the citizens when the law grants it a wide discretionary authority to command them and override their individual rights. There is nothing to prevent a democracy from vesting any powers over the private citizen it pleases in its elected magistrates. This kind of strength, strictly limited in English-speaking countries, has been allowed to remain not only in Italy, Belgium, and Spain, but in France, where the Republican parties, though sometimes admitting that individual liberty is not duly safeguarded, do not like to part with a power they may need for crushing plots directed against the Republic.

But there is another sense in which the strength of an Executive is measured by its relation to the other powers in the State. The people may make it independent of the legislature, choosing it by their own vote, possibly for a long term of years. They may enable it to defend itself against the legislature by giving it a veto and a sole initia-

upon the houses of persons believed to be opposed to that war were palliated by persons of high official standing, and again when between 1907 and 1912 the destruction of cheriches (including the explouing of a house in westimater Abbey) and the setting fire to houses by militant suffragettes were detended or excessed by many members with "more researches."

tive in foreign affairs. The United States has gone furthest in this direction, and its President, independent of

Congress for a four years' term, is the least fettered of all Executives in free countries, though his power declines in moral authority as that term draws to its end, and though the temptation to seek re-election may unduly affect his independence. Continuity in policy is hard to secure where the representative assembly and the Executive are hable to be changed by frequently recurring elections. It is to be considered to the control of the party opposed to their own, are often deterred from bold action by the fear that it may endanger their own position, or may be reversed by their successors. They are unwilling to propose measures, however salutary, that are likely to be unpopular, and tempted to bid for support by promising bills whose chief merit is their vote-catching quality. This sort of instability and discontinuity is the price which must be paid for that conformity to the popular will of the moment which

one whose tending quality. This sort of instability and dis-continuity is the price which must be paid for that con-formity to the popular will of the moment which democracy implies. It is of course more harmful where the people itself is inconstant or capricious. Such have the people used is inconstant of capitious such have been some democratic peoples, such may be some of those that are now starting on their career as independent States. But it so happens that none of the nations we have been studying presents this character. In all of them there often comes a "swing of the pendulum" from one party to another at a general election, and sometimes the change rises into what Americans call a "tidal wave" These oscillations are, however, mostly due, not to changes of opinion on large principles, but to displeasure with errors committed by the Ministry Even the French, supposed to be of an excitable temper, are at bottom a conservative nation, safely anchored some to one, some to another set of ideas and beliefs Nowhere are changes of Ministry so frequent as in France, nowhere do they mean so little Nevertheless it remains true that the uncertain tenure of any particular Ministry both there and in Canada, Australia, and New Zealand-and this is true of England

also—does operate to disturb the course of administration as well as legislation.

The results of this enquiry may be summed up as

fol

Ministries in democratic countries are no better in their composition, so far as ability is concerned, than they are elsewhere, for political reasons may do as much to prevent the selection of the fittest men as secret intrigues do in monarchies or oligarchies. They are, however, more generally honest, being exposed to a more searching criticism than other forms of government provide.

The principle of equality has had the useful result of securing free access for all to the permanent Civil Service of the State and of restraining the tendency to favouritism? in promotions. The United States, where patronage was most abused, has by degrees fallen into line with other democracies, and its Civil Service is correspondingly improving. Democratic governments, not being militaristic in spirit, are reluctant to vote money for war preparations, but when convinced that there is a danger of aggression, they rise to the emergency. The Executive in a parliamentary democracy suffers from its slippery foothold, which often prevents it from carrying through those legislative or administrative schemes of reform the success of which depends on their maintenance for a course of years,1 Uncertainty of tenure deters it from action, however otherwise desirable, that is likely to offend any body of voters strong enough to turn the balance against it at an election. So, too, Opposition leaders who hope to overthrow and replace the holders of power are apt to trim or "hedge" in order to win the favour of a section that may turn the scale in their favour.2 On the other hand, a Ministry can usually count upon the support of the great majority of

No one can six in a British Cabinet without being struck by the amount of time it spends in discussing parliamentary factics, and especially how best to counter a bottlet motion in the House of Commons. These things, small as they may seem are useful, for the life of the Cabinet may be involved, so the larger questions of legislation have to stand over, privales to be lost for the session.

These phenomena may be studied in the history of British political parties in their dealings with Ireland, especially since the rise of the Irish Home Rule party in 1375-8.

the people in a war, or at any other grave crisis, and will then be quickly invested with exceptional powers. Even on less serious occasions, a democratic community, be it the nation or such a unit as an American State or city, will usually raily to a courageous chief who gives it a strong lead. Politicians fail more often by timidity than by rashness

As regards general domestic administration, democracies have nothing to be ashamed of We have found the civil servants are fairly competent in all the six democracies examined—perhaps least so in the United States where the results of the Spoils System are still felt—and the average of honesty is higher than it was in the less popular governments of the past. They are doubtlesselses efficient than was the bureaucracy of Germany before 1914, but efficiency was purchased at a price which free peoples cannot afford to pay.

CHAPTER LXI

DEMOCRACY AND FOREIGN POLICY 1

STATESMEN, political philosophers, and historians have been wont to regard the conduct of foreign relations asther reproach of democratic government. The management of international relations needs—so they insist—knowledge, consistency, and secrecy, whereas democracies are ignorant and inconstant, being moreover obliged, by the law of their being, to discuss in public matters unfit to be disclosed. That this has been perceived by the people themselves appears from the fact that modern legislatures have left this department to officials, because it was felt that in this one department democracies cannot safely be democratic.

Per contra, popular leaders in some countries have, with an increasing volume of support, denounced Foreign Offices as having erred both in aims and in methods. They allege that the diplomacy of European States is condemned by the suspicion which it has constantly engendered and that the brand of failure is stamped upon it by the frequent recurrence of war, the evil which diplomacy was created to prevent.

These views, apparently opposite, are not incompatible.

¹ This chapter, comprote before the Armistice of Newmine 1418, has been left unmodified (save by the addition of the last nettrice), because the time has not come either to draw a meral as to what it called "secret diplomacy" from the negotiation of 1519-ng, or to comment fieldy in a treatise wide a thin on the rectin ation of Government and people in their attempts to restore peace and order in the world, not sad that the extraordinary events of the four preceding years had no distribed the balance and normal working of men's much as to make it unangle to treat many things that have hadpened as singlying a basis for general conditions.

Oligarchies, and the small official class which in many democracies has had the handling of foreign affairs, may have managed them ill, and yet it may be that the whole people will manage them no better. The fault may he in the conditions of the matter itself and in those tendencies of human nature which no form of government can overcome. What we want to know is not whether oligarchic and secret methods have failed—that may be admitted—but whether democratic and open-air methods will succeed any better. What light does history throw on the

question? Here at starting let a distinction be drawn between Ends and Means in the sphere of foreign policy a distinction which, though it exists in all branches of administration, is less significant in the domestic branches, because in them Ends, if not assumed as generally recognized, are and must be determined by the people through their representatives Justice, the maintenance of public order, economy in expenditure are understood to be aims in every department, while the particular objects for which money is to be spent and the modes of raising it are prescribed by statute But the relations of States to one another, varying from day to day as the circumstances which govern them vary, cannot be handled by large assemblies in a large country, but must be determined by administrators who are incessantly watching the foreign sky Modern legislatures accordingly, though they sometimes pass resolutions indicating a course to be followed, or condemning a course which has been followed, by a Ministry, have recognized that in foreign affairs the choice of Means must belong to a small body of experts, and have accordingly left to these persons all details, and the methods which diplomacy must employ in particular cases, allowing them a wide, possibly a too wide, discretion

But while Foreign Offices and diplomatic envoys may be the proper persons to choose and apply Means, the general principles which should guide and the spirit which should inspire a nation's foreign policy are a different matter, too wide in scope, too grave in consequences, to be determined by any authority lower than that of the people. There may be a divergence of opinion on these principles, i.e. on the Ends to be pursued, between the People of a country and those of their servants to whom the daily conduct of foreign affairs has been left, and it may be that the latter do not obey the real wishes of the people but seek Ends and apply principles which the people, if consulted, would disapprove To this distinction as affecting conclusions regarding the range of popular action I shall presently return.

About one aim there can be no divergence. The State

must preserve its independence. It must be safe from attack, able to secure fair opportunities for its citizens to trade and to travel abroad unmolested; and these legitimate aims can be pursued in a spirit of justice and friendliness to other States. All States, however, whatever their form of government, have pursued other aims also, and pursued them in a way frequently at variance with justice and honour. They have attacked other States on trivial pretexts, have sought to acquire territory, to fill their own treasury, or enrich some of their own citizens, at the expense of their neighbours, have placed their State power at the service of men who pleaded that while enriching themselves they were benefiting the country by bringing money into it. Most States have, in pursuing these objects, been a law unto themselves. When strong, they have abused their strength, justifying all means by the plea of State advantage. They have disregarded good faith from the days when democratic Athens wantonly attacked the isle of Melos, killing and enslaving its in-habitants, down to the days of Louis XI. and Caesar Borgia, and from the days of Borgia's contemporary Machiavelli down to those of Frederick the Second of Prussia, who began his literary career with a book designed to refute the maxims of the Florentine statesman. Though in considering how popular governments have succeeded in the sphere of foreign policy, regard must be had to the moral quality of that policy both in Ends and in Means,

the moral aspect may be in the first instance reserved, and the enquiry may go only to the question whether a democracy is in that sphere more or less efficient than other forms of government Supposing "success to mean the maximum of power a State can attain in the world arena, what kind of government will best uttain it? We can thereafter return to the moral side and enquire what sort of government will be most likely to observe justice and good faith, doing its duty by its neighbour States as a good citizen does his duty by its fellows

Does Ignorance forbid success to a democracy? Let us hear the case which professional diplomatists make

A monarch is free to select his ministers and ambassadors from among the best informed and most skilful of his subjects, and in an oligarchy the mind of the ruling class busies itself with foreign relations, and knows which of its members understand and are fitted to handle them The multitude has not the same advantage It is ill qualified to judge this kind of capacity usually choosing its ministers by their powers of speech. If instead of leaving foreign affairs to skilled men it attempts to direct them either by its own votes, as did the Greek cities, or by instructing those who represent it in the legislature, how is it to acquire the requisite knowledge? Few of the voters know more than the most elementary facts regarding the conditions and the policy of foreign countries, and to appreciate the significance of these facts there is needed some acquaintance with the history of the countries and the characters of the leading men Not much of that acquaintance can be expected even from the legislature. One of the strongest arguments for democratic government is that the masses of the people, whatever else they may not know, do know where the shoe pinches and are best entitled to specify the the stope binders and are used entitled to specify en-reforms they need. In foreign affairs this argument does not apply for they he out of the normal citizen's range. All he can do at an election is to convey by his vote his view of general principles, and, in the case

of a conflict between two foreign nations, to indicate his

sympathies.

If the masses of the people have been inconstant in their views of foreign relations, this is due to their ignorance, which disables them from following intelligently the course of events abroad, so that their interest in these is quickened only at intervals, and when that happens the want of knowledge of what has preceded makes a sound judgment unlikely. They are at the mercy of their party leaders or of the press, guides not trustworthy, because the politicians will be influenced by the wish to make political capital out of any successes scored or ertors committed by a Ministry, while the newspapers may play up to and exaggerate the prevailing sentiment of the moment, claiming everything for their own country, misrepresenting and disparaging the foreign antagonist. Consistency cannot be expected from a popular government which acts under a succession of impulses, giving no steady attention to that department in which continuity of policy is most needed.

Secrecy in the conduct of diplomacy is vital in a world where each great nation is suspicious of its neighbours and obliged by its fears to try to discover their plans while concealing its own. Suppose the ministry of a country to have ascertained privately that a foreign Power meditates an attack upon it or is forming a combination against it, or suppose it to be itself negotiating a treaty of alliance for protection against such a combination. How can it proclaim either the intentions of the suspected Power or its own counter-schemes without precipitating a rupture or frustrating its own plans? A minister too honourable to deceive the legislature may feel himself debarred from telling it the facts, some of which may have been communicated under the seal of confidence. It is all very well to say that an open and straightforward policy best befits a free and high-minded people. But if such a people should stand alone in a naughty world, it will have to suffer for its virtues. As a democracy cannot do business secretly, it must therefore leave much, and perhaps much of grave import, to its ministers Herein the superiority for foreign affairs of a monarchy or an oligarchy is most evident 1

There is force in these considerations, yet a monarchy in which the Sovereign may be either a fool, or the victim of his passions, or the plaything of his favourites, may succeed no better than a democracy An oligarchy is better qualified, for in it power rests with a few trained and highly educated men who keep a watchful outlook on neighbouring states The Roman Senate, in which these matters were controlled by leaders less numerous than a modern Cabinet, showed singular tenacity and (in its best days) singular judgment in directing the foreign relations of the Republic And the same may be said of the ruling Council at Venice, who down to the eighteenth century were found able to keep secrets, and who proceeded upon well-settled lines, exempt from the caprices which an absolute sovereign is prone to indulge

To test the capacity of a popular government in this branch of its action, let us see how far such governments have shown wisdom in following sound aims and have succeeded in applying the means needed to attain them Of the six countries examined, three-Canada, Australia, New Zealand-had no foreign policy of their own, but adopted, while more or less influencing, that of Great Britain, so we must consider the policy of Britain along with those of France, Switzerland, and the United States

The case of France is peculiar in this respect, that the general lines of its policy have during the whole life of the Third Republic (1871–1920) been determined by its position towards Germany, the one enemy from whom hostility was always to be feared, and from whom it was hoped to recover territory lost in war. This

¹ Though secreey is d plumacy is oreas onally unavoidable, it has its perils. There was a case in our t me in which a secret agreement was made which a now universally adm tted to have been imprudent and has been condemned by its results and which would not have been made had it been possible for public opinion to have been consulted and obtained regarding it. Publicity may cause ome losses but may avert some m sfortunes

408 fact coloured all France's foreign relations, forcing her to husband her strength and to seek for allies. As all parties felt alike on this supreme issue, all were agreed in keeping it out of party controversy. The incessant changes of Ministries scarcely affected the continuity of policy. Democracy was on its good behaviour; fickleness as well as partisanship was held in check. Some friction arose between Ministers and Committees of the legislature, yet secrets were generally kept and the people acquiesced in a silence felt to be necessary. It goes without saying that errors were now and then committed, but these taken all together were less grave than the two which marked the later part of Louis Napoleon's reignthe expedition to Mexico and the war with Prussia. And, as the result has shown, they were incomparably less than those which brought rum on the three great monarchies which entrusted their foreign relations to militaristic bureaucracies—Germany, Austria, and

Switzerland's foreign policy has long been prescribed by the obligation to preserve a strict neutrality. Lying between four great military Powers, she, while maintaining her own dignity, strove neither to offend nor to seem partial to any of them. All this has been done successfully by the Federal Council (a Cabinet of seven), always in the closest touch with the small legislature. The suggestion made that treaties should be submitted to a Referendum has not received much support, though it would be consistent with the wide application given to the principle of the Popular Vote on all matters of importance. Questions of foreign policy are seldom entangled with domestic issues, and rarely excite internal differences, just because the safety and independence of his country is the first care of every good Swiss Particular steps might be blamed,2 but one can scarcely imagine the Federal Council taking

Russia.

¹ See above, Vol. 1, Chep XXIX., and of the book of M. Edouard Georg, Le Combile du peuple nor la politique extensore (Geneva, 1916). The question of Switzer-land a entrance into the Deague of Nations was submitted to and affirmed by a popular

As happened during the European War of 1914-1918

CH LXI

a course which, so far as principle went, public opinion

a course which, so far as principle wend, paule symmetry would disapprove

Of all the Great Powers, the United States is that which had, till recent years, the fewest foreign questions to deal with Standing apart in it so win hemisphere, and with no State of that hemisphere approaching it in resources for war, it had little to do except with Spain so long as Spain held Cuba, with Mexico and with Great Britain The people have cared little and known less about foreign affairs, their one favourite principle of policy, the exclusion of European interference from the New World, might seem to be affected In either of these contingencies public opinion, soon worked up by an alert press speaks out quick and loud. In this sense, therefore, public opinion controlled the conduct of foreign affairs whenever it cared to do so. At other times it has left them, unnoticed or unheeded, to the Executive and to the Senate The Constitution associated that branch of Congress with the President, because, since neither he nor his Ministers can be ejected from office during his four years term, it was deemed unsafe to let him have sole control. The President has a Secretary of State to advise him, who is sometimes a a Secretary of State to advise him, who is sometimes a man of first-rate gifts, but more frequently only a politician selected because of his party standing, and possessing little knowledge of world affairs. The staff of the office has been small, and too frequently changed. The Senate has been mainly guided by its Foreign Relations Committee, a fluctuating body, usually containing a few able men among others who know little of anything outside their own country, and may regard the interests of their own State rather than those of the Un on Jealous of its powers, and often impelled by party motives the Senate has frequently checked the President's action, sometimes with unfortunate results 1 It can debate with closed doors, but this does not ensure secrecy

The President, however, who is always anxious to

¹ See on this subject M W R Thayer's Lafe of John Hay

lead or to follow public opinion, and the Senate which is scarcely less so, concern us less than public opinion itself. As its rule is in the United States more complete than elsewhere, it furnishes the best index to the tendencies and capacities of a democracy.

The Republic has been engaged in three wars within the last hundred years. That against Mexico in 1846 was the work of the slave-holding party which then controlled the Executive and the Senate, and whose leaders brought it on for the sake of creating Slave States and strengthening the grip of slavery on the Union. It was widely disapproved by public opinion, especially in the northern States, but the acquisition, by the treaty which closed it, of vast and rich territories on the Pacific Coast

did much to silence the voice of criticism.

410

The war against Spain in 1898 might probably have been avoided, for Spain had been driven to the verge of consenting to withdraw from Cuba when the breach came. But the nation, already wearted by the incessant troubles to which Spanish misgovernment had given rise during many years, had been inflamed by the highly coloured accounts which the newspapers published of the severities practised on the insurgents by Spanish generals, and the President, though inclined to continue negotiations, is believed to have been forced into war by the leaders of his own party, who did not wish their opponents to have the credit of compelling a declaration. In obtaining, by the peace which followed a short campaign, the cession to the United States of Puerto Rico and the Philippine Islands, the President believed that he was carrying out the wishes of the people. This may have been so, for they were flushed with victory, and were moved not merely by the feeling that victory ought to bring some tangible gain, but also by a sort of philanthropic sentiment which was unwilling to hand back the conquered territories to Spanish maladministration. This war, therefore, though it shows that a popular government may yield to excitement and gratify its ambition for enlarged territory, cannot be deemed a case of mere aggression for the sake of conquest. The war of 1917 against Germany and Austria is too fresh in our memories to need comment. There was certainly nothing selfish or aggressive in the spirit that prompted America's entrance into it. The sinking of the Lustiania and other passenger vessels supplied a definite cause belli, the mind of the nation had been stirred to its depths by the sense that far-reaching moral issues were involved.

Not wars only, but also the general diplomatic relations of the United States with its neighbours to the North and South deserve to be considered. More than once, serious differences arose as to the frontier line between the Republic and Canada One in which the State of Maine was concerned was amicably settled in 1842, after long was concerned was anneasy section in 1642, are long negotiations. Another relating to the far North-West, where Oregon was in dispute between Britain and America, was, after bringing the nations to the edge of a conflict, adjusted by a compromise in 1845. Another guist of feeling which swept over the country in December 1895, roused by boundary questions between Great Britain and Venezuela, subsided as quickly as it had arisen, when the British government made a conciliatory answer to the American Note A fourth controversy, which had lasted ever since 1783, regarding the rights of fishing in the North Atlantic, was referred to arbitration and disposed of in 1910 by a decision which both sides accepted gladly. of in 1910 by a decision which both sides accepted gladly. By an exchange of notes in 1817 it had been agreed that only a very few armed vessels, just sufficient for police purposes, should be maintained by each country on the Great Lakes This agreement has been faithfully observed ever since, and along a boundary of three thousand miles by land and water the relaince placed by each on the good faith and good will of the other has made military and applications and defences medicine. The agentle researches and defences medicine. naval preparations and defences needless. The example thus set to the world is creditable to the two peoples alike

When in 1912 the long dictatorship of Porfino Diaz vanished like melting snow, Mexico relapsed into anarchy endangered Some murders and many robberies were frequently endangered Some murders and many robberies were

the capital could not suppress. Had the government of the United States wished to make these outrages a ground for occupying Mexican territory, it could have found justification for doing so. But the public opinion of the American people steadily resisted all temptations, perceiving that annexation would involve either rule over the Mexicans as a subject race, or their incorporation with the United States as full citizens. As both of these courses were equally fraught with danger, they determined to leave Mexico alone. A like disinterestedness had been shown in the case of Cuba, from which they had withdrawn their troops once (in 1903) after expelling Spain, and again a few years later when troubles in the island had compelled a second occupation All these cases give evidence

not only of the authority which popular opinion exerts over the main lines of foreign policy, but also of the growth in it of a spirit of good sense and self-restraint such as was not always seen in earlier years. The nation, when it came to full manhood, laid aside the spirit of self-assertion and the desire for conquest, and gave proof of a sincere desire to apply methods of arbitration and show its respect for the rights of other nations. An instance of this was furnished when in 1914 Congress, at the instance of the President and at the bidding of public opinion, repealed an Act by which it had in 1912 hastily asserted a particular power over the use of the Panama Canal, which the people, power over the use of the Anian Cana, which we people, after the matter had been fully discussed, convinced themselves that they had disclaimed by the treaty with Great Britain of 1901. With this higher sense of justice there has also come a stronger aversion to war. No great people in the world is equally pervaded by the wish to see peace maintained everywhere over the world. In Great Britain, the only other country which can be profitably referred to in this connection, the first long step

towards democracy was taken in 1832, a second in 1868, a third in 1885. From 1848 onwards the opinion of the masses of the people, as distinct from that of the richer or more educated classes, became a factor to be reckoned with CH. LYI

in foreign policy, though the conduct of diplomatic relations was left, and has indeed been left till now, in the hands of the Ministry of the day During two years of revolution on the Continent (1848-1850) the sympathies of the masses were with the Italian, German, Hungarian and Polish revolutionary parties, but this was largely true of educated volutionary parties, but this was largely true of educated Englishmen generally, especially in respect of Italy, where tyranny had been most repulsive Regarding the Crimean War no great difference showed itself between the governing class and the bulk of the people Had the latter realized the detestable character of Turkish government and the hopelessness of reforming it, there would probably have been a stronger opposition to a resort to arms than Cobden and Bright succeeded in arousing. But the general hatred of Russian autocracy, personnfied in the Czar Nicholas I, made even the Radicals think that a war against him must be a righteous war. In 1857 the action in China of a British official led to hostilities, and roused a sudden and sharp controversy. The House of Commons condemned the Governor's conduct The Ministry which defended him dissolved Parliament and secured a majority in the new House, the electors apparently considering that the high-handed behaviour of apparently considering that the inginitariated behaviour of the Chinese justified the extreme steps taken by the Governor The "insult to the flag" argument was largely used, and proved effective Britain did not interfere in the two wars which secured the liberation of Italy (1859 and 1866), but popular sympathy was in both cases given to the Italian cause When Garibaldi visited London in 1864 he received a welcome more enthusiastic than had ever before been given to any foreign hero

The American Civil War of 1861 1865 was the first occasion on which a marked divergence between the sentiment of the masses and that of the so-called "classes" disclosed itself "Society," ie the large majority of the rich and many among the professional classes, sided with the Southern States, while nearly the whole of the working class and at least half of the middle class, together

with many men of intellectual distinction, especially in the Universities, stood for the Northern. Feeling was

bitter, and the partisans of each side held numerous meetings, but it was remarked that whereas the meetings which were called by the friends of the North were open

to the general public, admission to those summoned to advocate the cause of the Seceding States was confined to the holders of tickets, because it was feared that in an open meeting resolutions of sympathy with the South could not be carried. These and other evidences, showing that the great bulk of the nation favoured the cause of the North as being the cause of human freedom, as soon as President Lincoln's Proclamation had made it clear that

slavery would disappear, confirmed the Cabinet in its refusal to accede to Louis Napoleon's suggestion that England and France should join in recognizing the Seceding States as independent. In 1876 a question of foreign policy emerged which revealed an even more pronounced opposition between

the opinion of the masses and that of the classes. The Turkish Government, fearing a Bulgarian insurrection, perpetrated a horrible massacre, attended with revolting cruelties. When this became known in Russia, the Czar Alexander II. summoned the Sultan to introduce certain reforms, and on his refusal proceeded to declare war. Lord Beaconsfield, who was then at the head of the British Government, did not conceal his sympathy with the Turks, and would probably have carried Britain into a war against Russia to defend them had not an agitation in the country, which had been shocked by the news of the massacre, deterred his Cabinet from that course.

When in 1878 the Russian armies had approached Constantinople and compelled the Turks to sign a peace largely reducing their territories, Britain was again brought by the Prime Minister to the verge of a war on their behalf, and a fresh popular agitation arose which lasted until the signature of the Treaty of Berlin. Through the angry political strife of these years the majority of the richer and more educated classes approved the Ministerial policy, while the anti-Turkish cause found its support among the masses. The line of political distinction did not coincide with that of class, for there were crowds which acclaimed the Prime Minister, and there were men of wealth and men of intellectual eminence who denounced both him and the Turks. Still, the antagonism between the view of the multitude and that of what is called "Society" was well marked both in this momentous struggle and in that which followed over the Afghan War of 1878–1879, the moral issues arising in which were essentially the same as those which had been the flought over from 1876 to 1878. This was not fully seen till the election of 1880, when the great majority recorded against Lord Beaconsfield showed how widely his policy had been disapproved by the voters. It was the first election since that of 1857 which had turned upon matters of foreign policy.

A like division of opinion reappeared in the years 189 to 1901, during which a Ministry, which had become involved in a war against the two South African republics, met with opposition from a comparatively small section of the wealther class and a much larger section of the professional and middle and working classes. How far the sweeping defeat of that Ministry at the general election of 1905 was due to its South African policy cannot be determined, for other questions also were before the voters.

determined, for other questions also were before the voters. This is not the place to discuss the ments of these three great issues which in 1861 to 1865, in 1876 to 1880, and in 1899 to 1901 so sharply divided the British people. But if we may take the prevalent opinion of the nation to-day (1920) as a final judgment, it as insteading to note that in all three cases the "classes" would appear to have been less wise than the "masses" would appear to have been less wise than the "masses "Everybody now admits that it was a gain for the world that in the American Civil War the Northern States prevalled and slavery vanished. Nearly everybody now admits that Lord Beaconsfield's Eastern policy has been condemned by its failure, for while the Turks continued

416 to go from bad to worse, the reduction of their power

which he tried to arrest in 1878 has been subsequently found inevitable. There is a less complete agreement regarding the South African War, but the majority of Englishmen seem now to regard that war as a blunder. which would have led at the outbreak of the Great War in 1914 to the separation of South Africa from Great Britain had it not been for the election of 1905, one result of which was to bring about the restoration of selfgovernment under the British flag to the Orange Free State and the Transvaal as parts of the Union of South

Africa. It may seem strange that in all these cases the richer and more educated classes should have erred, while the poorer have been shown by the event to have judged more wisely The causes are explicable, but to explain them and cite parallel phenomena from other countries would require a digression into history for which there is

no room here. Summing up the results of this examination of the foreign policy followed by three great democratic countries during the last fifty years, we find that the case of France proves that it was possible for a democracy to follow a consistent policy, the conduct of the details whereof was left in the hands of successive adminis-

trations, and safely left because the nation was substantially agreed as to the general lines to be followed. The case of the United States proves that public opinion, which is there omnipotent, is generally right in its aims, and has tended to become wiser and more moderate with the march of the years. The case of Britain shows that the opinion of the bulk of the nation was more frequently approved by results than was the attitude of the comparatively small class in whose hands the conduct of affairs had been usually left. Declarations of the will of the masses delivered at general elections told for good.

There is another way also of reaching a conclusion as to the competence of democracies in this branch of government. Set the description in and for the three countries examined side by side with those attained in and for, three other great countries in which no popular clamour disturbed the Olympian heights where sat the monarch and his group of military and civil advisers, controlling foreign policy as respects both ends and means In Russia, in Austria-Hungary, and in Germany the Emperors and their respective advisers were able to pursue their ends with a steady pertinacity from month to month and year to year No popular sentiment, no parliamentary opposi-tion, made much difference to them and in Germany they were usually able to guide popular sentiment. In choosing their means considerations of morality were in none of these countries allowed to prevent a resort to any means that seemed to promise success. Compare the situation in which Russia found herself in 1917, when the autocracy crashed to its fall, and the situations in which Austria and Germany found themselves in October 1918, with those in which that momentous year found the three free countries The temptations and snares which surround and pervert diplomatic aims and methods in States ruled by oligarchies or despots often differ from the temptations of which popular governments have to beware But they proved in these cases, and in many others, to have been more dangerous

In these last few pages Ends rather than Means have been considered, though it is hard to draw a distinction, for most Ends are Means to a larger End, and the facts examined seem to show that in determining Ends the voice of the people must have authority. But what is to be said as to the details of diplomacy in which, assuming the main ends to be determined by the people, a wide choice of means remains open? It has been deemed impossible for the people to know either which means are best suited to the purpose aimed at or, if the people is kept informed of them, to apply those means successfully, for in our days what is told to any people is-told to the whole world. So long as each nation of the world world So long as each nation of the successful in enterprises, or by forming profitable alliances, or otherwise driving bargains for its own benefit, those who You.

manage the nation's business cannot disclose their action without damaging their chances of success. Hence even the countries that have gone furthest in recogniz-ing popular control have left a wide discretion in the hands of their Ministers or envoys and have set bounds to the curiosity of parliamentary representatives. Must this continue? If it does continue, what security have the people against unwise action or the adoption of dishonourable methods?

One expedient used to overcome this difficulty has been that of a committee of the legislature which can receive confidential communications from a Minister and can bind its members to keep them secret. This is done in the United States, where the Foreign Relations Committee of the Senate, though it cannot dictate to the President (or his Secretary of State), can through its power of inducing the Senate to refuse assent to a treaty exercise a constant and potent influence. So also in France each Chamber has a Commission for foreign affairs. In both countries declarations of war must proceed from the legislature. The committee plan has its defects. No secret known to more than three men remains for long a secret; and a Minister can, if he likes, go a long way towards committing his country before he tells the committee what he is doing, taking of course the chance that he may be disavowed. Sometimes, moreover, action cannot await the approval of a committee, for to be effective it must be immediate.

The voices which in European countries demand he abolition of secret diplomacy and the control by the people of all foreign relations appeal to an incontestable principle, because a nation has every right to deliver its opinion on matters of such supreme importance as the issues of peace and war. The difficulty lies in applying a sound principle to the facts as they have hitherto stood in Europe. If publicity in the conduct of negotiations is to be required, and the mind of the people to be expressed before any commitment is made by its Ministers, there must be a renunciation of such advantages

CH. LYI as have been heretofore obtained by international comas have been necretorore obtained by international com-binations or bargains secretly made with other nations. If, on the other hand, these advantages are to be sought, secrecy must be permitted and discretion granted to Ministers. The risk that secrecy and discretion will be abused will be gradually lessened the more public opinion becomes better instructed on foreign affairs, and the more that legislatures learn to give unremitting attention to foreign policy. In England as well as in America few are the representatives who possess the knowledge needed, or take the trouble to acquire it It is this, as well as party spirit, which has led Parliamentary majorities to party spirit, which has led Parliamentary majorities to endeavour to support their party chiefs, even when it was beginning to be seen that public opinion was turning against them. If Ministries were to become more and more anxious to keep as close a touch with the feeling of the nation in foreign as they seek to do in domestic affairs, the risk that any nation will be irrevocably entangled in a pernicious course would diminish. So too if there should be hereafter less of a desire to get the better of other nations in acquiring territory or concessions abroad, if a less grasping and selfish spirit should rule foreign policy, fewer occasions will arise in which secret agreements will be needed. The thing now most needed by the people and its representatives is more knowledge.

p oples The conclusions to which the considerations here set

by the people and its representatives is more knowledge of the facts of the outside world with a more sympathetic comprehension of the minds of other peoples. The first step to this is a fuller acquaintance with the history, the economic and social conditions and the characters of other

forth point to are the following

In a democracy the People are entitled to determine the Ends or general aims of foreign policy

History shows that they do this at least as wisely as monarchs or oligarchies, or the small groups to whom, in democratic countries, the conduct of foreign relations has been left, and that they have evinced more respect for moral principles

420 MORE KNOWLEDGE NEEDED Pr. III

The Means to be used for attaining the Ends sought

cannot be adequately determined by legislatures so long as international relations continue to be what they have heretofore been, because secrecy is sometimes, and expert knowledge is always required.

Nevertheless some improvement on the present system is needed, and the experiment of a Committee deserves to he tried.

Whatever faults modern democracies may have committed in this field of administration, the faults chargeable on monarchs and oligarchies have been less pardonable and more harmful to the peace and progress of mankind.

If the recently created League of Nations is to succeed in averting wars by securing the amicable settlement of international disputes, it must have the constant sympathy and

averting wars by securing the amicable settlement of international disputes, it must have the constant sympathy and support of the peoples of the states which are its members. That this support should be effectively and wisely given, the peoples must give more attention to foreign affairs and come to know more of them. Ignorance is the great obstacle

CHAPTER LXII

THE JUDICIARY

There is no better test of the excellence of a government than the efficiency of its judicial system, for nothing more nearly touches the welfare and security of the average citizen than his sense that he can rely on the certain and prompt administration of justice. Law holds the community together. Law is respected and supported when it is trusted as the shield of innocence and the impartial guardian of every private civil right. Law sets for all a moral standard which helps to maintain a like standard in the breast of each individual. But if the law be dishonestly administered, the salt has lost its savour, if it be weakly or fitfully enforced, the guarantees of order fail, for it is more by the certainty than by the severity of punishment that offences are repressed. If the lamp of justice goes out in darkness, how great is that darkness.

In all countries cases, sometimes civil, but more frequently criminal, arise which involve political issues and excite party feeling. It is then that the courage and uprightness of the judges become supremely valuable to the nation, commanding respect for the exposition of the law which they have to deliver. But in those countries that live under a Rigid Constitution which, while reserving ultimate control to the people, has established various authorities and defined the powers of each, the Courts have another relation to politics, and take their place side by side with the Executive and

PT. III

the Legislature as a co-ordinate department of government. When questions arise as to the limits of the powers of the Executive or of the Legislature, or-in a Federation-as to the limits of the respective powers of the Central or National and those of the State Government, it is by a Court of Law that the true meaning of the Constitution, as the fundamental and supreme law, ought to be determined, because it is the rightful and authorized interpreter of what the people intended to declare when they were enacting a fundamental instrument.1 This function of Interpretation calls for high legal ability, because each decision given becomes a precedent determining for the future the respective powers of the several branches of government, their relations to one another and to the individual citizen.

Capacity and learning, honesty and independence, being the merits needed in a judge, how can these be secured? Three things have to be considered: the inducements offered to men possessing these merits to accept the post, the methods of selecting and appointing persons found to possess them, and the guarantees for the independence of the judges when appointed. The inducements are three : salary, permanence in office, and social status, this last being largely a consequence of the other two. The modes of choice tried have been three : Nomination by the head of the Executive, Election by the Legislature, Election by the Citizens generally at the polls. The differences in the practice of the free governments examined in Part II. yield instructive results.

In France the salaries of the higher judges are low compared to the style of living which a judge is expected to maintain, but as the position is permanent and carries social consideration, men of approved abilities and solid learning are glad to have it. The highest Court of

I See as to this Vol. I. pp 39-91. The new constitution of Germany appears to leave to the Supreme Court the decision of legal questions aroung between the Reich (the Federation) and the States within it, but not the decision of the question whether a law sufringes the Constitution. The Constitution of Czecho-Slovakia provides (\$ 102) that "judges in passing upon a legal question may examine the validity of an Ordinance; as to a Law they may enquire only whether it was duly promuleated "

Appeal enjoys great respect, and so do the chief judges in the great cities. All are selected by the Executive Although party influences may sometimes affect the choice, the normally lifelong tenure of the office has practically secured judicial independence. Once, however (in 1879-83), what was called a "purification" of the Bench was effected by the removal of a good many judges whose loyalty to the Republic was suspected, a step which, though possibly justified by special circumstances, set an unfortunate precedent.

Switzerland pays small salaries both to Federal and to Cantonal judges. All hold office for terms of years, but as they are usually reappointed if they have given satisfaction, the tenure is virtually permanent. The members of the Supreme Federal Court are chosen by the Federal Legislature for six years, * those of the Cantonal Courts are elected by the people. No one proposes to alter the practice, though some disapprove it. It is ancient, and is deemed the natural thing in a democracy Most of the Cantons are so small that the electors are usually able to estimate the honesty and good sense of a candidate.

In the United States the Federal Judges are appointed by the President with the consent of the Senate, and are irremovable except by impeachment. They receive salaries small in proportion to the income which an eminent counsel can earn at the bar, but the dignity of the office makes the best lawyers willing to accept it. In five of the States the judges are appointed by the State Governor, in two they are chosen by the State Interest in all the rest they are elected by the people for terms of various lengths, with salaries varying in amount, but almost always insufficient to attract the highest talent. The result has been to give an excellent Supreme Federal Court, a high average of talent in the other Federal Courts, a good set of judges in the States

¹ See Vol 1 p 305
2 The (recently enacted) Const tut on of the Esthon an Pepublic vests the election of the State (Supreme) Court in the Assembly and the appointment of lower judges in the State Court.

424

where appointment rests with Governor or Legislature, and in nearly all of the other States judges markedly inferior to the leading counsel who practise before them. In some States it is not only learning and ability but also honesty and impartiality that are lacking. The party organizations which nominate candidates for election for the Bench can use their influence to reward partisans or to place in power persons whom they intend to use for their own purposes. If the results are less bad than might have been expected, this is generally due to the action of the local Bar, which exerts itself to prevent the choice of men whom it knows to be incompetent.

The three British self-governing Dominions—Canada, Australia, and New Zealand—have followed the practice of the Mother Country. In all of them the judges are appointed by the Executive, hold office during good behaviour, i.e. practically for life, and receive salaries sufficient to attract leading lawyers. In all alike the posts are filled by competent men who enjoy the confidence of the community, a fact the more remarkable because the persons appointed have often been party politicians. It is the independence which life tenure gives, and the custom, inherited from England, which prescribes perfect impartiality and abstention from all participation in politics, that have made the judiciary trusted.

Why does popular election, which the Swiss do not condemn, give had results in the States of the American Union? Mainly because in the former the matters that come before the Courts are comparatively small, whereas in the latter it may be well worth the while of a great railway or other incorporated company to secure the election of persons who will favour its interests when they become the subjects of litigation, and such a corporation can influence the party organization to nominate the men it wants. In small communities, moreover, such as are nearly all of the Swiss Cantons, a large proportion of the voters have some direct personal knowledge of

the candidates, so that no party guidance is needed or would be tolerated, and can watch their behaviour in office, while in communities which count their population by millions the bulk of the voters have no such knowledge, and follow the lead given by the party organizations, each of which has its own friends to reward or axe to grind, and cares more for the subservience than for the ments of a candidate

It may, however, be asked, Why should popular election produce a worse Bench than appointment by an Executive, "eeing that the Executive is in the countries named (except Switzerland) an officer, or a Cabinet, chosen by a political party and disposed to serve its interests? Why then should a Prime Minister be any more likely to make good appointments than is a party organization? If the Boss of an American State party organization is a party man, so is a State Governor, so is the President of the United States himself. The explanation is that the President is responsible to the Nation, and the Governor to his State Either official would damage himself and his party if he made bad appointments, whereas the party Machine has no official character, and cannot be made responsible for what is legally the act of the voters when they elect a person whom the Machine has put forward as a candidate The choice is theirs, for they need not have obeyed the Machine Except in small communities such as boroughs or counties, the average elector has no means of knowing which of several candidates, with names probably all unfamiliar, has the talent needed, or the character, or the special attainments. Law has become science, and a modern judge needs to know his own science just as much as does a professor of chemistry, the voters being no more fitted to choose the one than the other

So much for the modes of appointment As respects salaries and tenure, the moral of the facts stated needs no pointing Where the inducements offered are scanty, capable men will not offer themselves Unfortunately

PT. III

426

the average citizen has not, in some democracies, realized that the qualities needed must be well paid for, nor that a judge who has not to think of his re-election or promotion finds it easier to be independent.

There are three of the countries described in Part III. in which apprehensions are at present entertained regard-ing the status of the judiciary. In France the power of the Executive to promote men from lower to higher posts is thought to influence the minds of some magistrates who wish to stand well with the ruling party. In the States of the North American Union the displeasure of States of the North American Official to displeasant or those eager to see Labour legislation promptly carried out, a displeasure evinced by the proposals for the Recall of judges or of decisions, may deter State judges from giving effect in their interpretation of State laws to those provisions of State Constitutions which inhibit the legislatures from interfering with rights of property and freedom of contract. In Australia the creation of a Court of Arbitration empowered to fix rates of wages and conditions of labour has made the selection of a person to discharge this delicate function a matter of berson to discharge this declare indicate in the keen interest to employers and employed alike, so that the Executive which appoints the judge is liable to be secretly pressed by persons belonging to one or other class to choose a man whose proclivities they think they know, yet whose moral authority will suffer if those proclivities are believed by the public to have affected the choice.

A review of the judicial branch of government in the countries already examined suggests, except as regards some States of the American Union, nothing to discredit democratic government, for it has provided justice, civil and criminal, at least as good as did any of the European monarchies or ohgarchies, and better than did most of them. In Canada and Australasia public opinion has been vigilant. Barristers promoted from politics to the Bench have, when once they take their seat there, breathed an atmosphere so saturated with the English

traditions, now two centuries old, of judicial impartiality and independence that they have very seldom yielded to partisan sympathies or party pressure. It has also been a benefit that in these countries they have been invariably which they maintain social relations, undisturbed by political differences, and to whose good opinion they are sensitive Nor has the Bar been without its influence on the Government of the day in deterring it from appointing, in satisfaction of party claims, persons whose capacity or character fell below the accepted standard The new States that lately have been or are now being formed in Europe have not, nor had the republics of Spanish America, the advantage of like traditions and of an equally watchful opinion In none of the now liberated countries, and least of all in those that had suffered from Turkish tyranny, were the Courts entirely trusted It is much to be desired that they should regard the organization of the judiciary on a sound basis as one of the most important among the tasks that the creation of constitutional governments presents, seeing that nothing does more for the welfare of the private

selected from the Bar, with their former associates in citizen, and nothing more conduces to the smooth working of free government, than a general confidence in the pure and efficient administration of justice between the individual and the State as well as between man and man

CHAPTER LXIII

CHECKS AND BALANCES

THAT a majority is always right, i.e. that every decision it arrives at by voting is wise, not even the most fervent democrat has ever maintained, seeing that popular government consists in the constant effort of a minority to turn itself by methods of persuasion into a majority which will then reverse the action or modify the decisions of the former majority. Least of all do revolutionaries respect majorities, for they always justify, even in governments based on universal suffrage, the use of force to overthrow what a representative assembly may have decided, declaring that once the admirable result of their action has been seen it will secure general approval. Every people that has tried to govern itself has accordingly recognized the need for precautions against the errors it may commit, be they injurious to the interests of the State as a whole or in the disregard of those natural or primordial rights which belong to individual citizens. Some sort of safeguard is required. A majority may be very small, or be uninstructed on the particular matter that comes before it, or deceived by those who speak to it, or be under the influence of temporary passion; and whether the action of the majority be directly given by popular vote, or proceed from a representative assembly, a majority vote may fail to express the best will of the people, who may regret to-morrow what was done to-day and blame the ignorance or the passion that misled them or their representatives. Nevertheless, the will of the majority must somehow prevail in the long run, for the acceptance of its decision is the only alternative to an appeal to force. Hence the problem arises. What can be done, while respecting the principle of majority rule, to safeguard the people against the consequences of their own ignorance or impetuosity. History records many a decision whose deplorable results might have been a oided had there been more knowledge, more time for reflection, more opportunity for reconsideration.

The annals of democratic governments largely consist in an account of the various expedients resorted to

One is the constitutional restriction of the powers either of a Primary or of a Representative Assembly by imposing on its action certain restrictions which it cannot intringe without transgressing the Constitution, such as direct ing certain delays to be interposed or certain formalitie to be observed before a decision becomes final, or by prescribing a certain majority as necessary for specially important decisions, or, in the case of a representative important decisions, or, in the case of a representative assembly, by excluding certain subjects from the range of its functions. (The other form is by a division of the whole power of the people, entrusting part of it to one part to another authority. This may take place by making the Executive independent of the Legislature, of yesting up over against the Legislature Assembly (whether Primary or Representative) some other authority are to be a reason of the authority of the property of the results of the construction of the control of the the action of the Assembly is to have legal effect. The former of these modes may be called that of Checks, the latter that of Balances, each of the two authorities acting as a counterpoise to the other Twenty-four centurie ago the ancient republics tried both plans, and their experiments have still an interest. The Greeks relied chiefly on Checks, the Romans chiefly on Balances
In most of the Greek democracies the popula

In most of the Greek democracies the popula Assembly of the whole body of citizens, exerting a wide sway than belongs to any single body in modern republics brooked no rival Unwilling to restrict its powers, the

PT. III

Greeks devised other safeguards. One was the setting apart from the ordinary expressions of their will on current matters certain enactments passed in a specially provided way as being "Laws of the City," not to be changed except in the same special way, and so coming near to what moderns would call a Rigid Constitution. They could not give due legal protection to the peculiar character of permanence which they desired these Laws Assembly from doing what it pleased; and when it passed a "Decree" inconsistent with a "Law" no one could call it to account nor with impunity disregard the "Decree" on the ground that it had, or that he believed it had, transgressed the "Law." But as the sanction which protected the "Laws" was moral rather than legal, not invalidating the decree, though furnishing a ground for arguing that the decree should not be passed, the Athenians devised two methods for rendering more difficult atransgression of the enactments meant to be specially respected. One was to threaten with a penalty any citizen respected. One was to threaten with a penalty any ctizer who should propose to repeal them. This had a certain awe-inspiring influence, but could of course be got round by first repealing the law which imposed the penalty and then proceeding to propose a repeal of the law that had been so entrenched against attack. The other and more ingenious plan was to permit a criminal process to be instituted by any citizen against the person who had induced the Assembly to wiolate the Law, much as in the seventeenth century in England a minister who had led the Crown into pernicious courses by giving it bad advice could be impeached and punished, or, to use a more familiar illustration, just as in some States of the American Union a saloon-keeper who had supplied to a customer the liquor that intoxicated him could be sued for damages by a person whose property the intoxicated customer had injured. This possibility of prosecution for wilfully misleading the people seems to have had a deterrent effect upon Athenian demagogues.³

² See as to Athens, Vol. I Chap XVI., ante.

The Romans, also unwilling to restrict the powers either of their supreme legislative body, the Comitia, or of their chief executive magistrates, or not knowing how to do so, resorted to the method of Balances, and worked their government by a number of authorities each set over against the other Wide powers, deemed needed in a State that was always at war, were left to the magistrates, while other authorities were provided who might prevent the abuse of those powers in civil affairs. The Senate balanced the Consuls, the two Consuls balanced one another, the Tribunes by their veto power balanced all the higher magistrates. The Assembly itself could be arrested in its action not only by the Tribunes but by one Consul, for a quant survival of ancient superstition permitted a Consul to send a message to the Assembly, when summoned at the call of his colleague or of a Tribune, announcing that he was watching the skyl for birds that might give omens, favourable or the reverse, to the Assembly In this case the Assembly could not meet, and its meeting might be delayed from day to day while the pously obstructive Consul continued the search for cheering omens.

The United States has rivalled Rome in the pains taken to divide and subdivide power among various authorities and in the variety of the restrictions imposed upon most of them. The functions of government have been divided into the Legislative, Executive, and Judicial departments. The two branches of the Legislatire, the Senate and the House, are balanced against one another, and limited by the vero of the Executive. The Executive is limited by the right of the Senate to disapprove his public service appointments and disallow treaties made by him, while the Judiciary as the interpreter of the Constitution has the function of declaring void any action of the other departments of Government which transgresses the will of the people as set forth in the Constitution. The ultimate fountain of power, Popular Sovereignty, always flows full and strong welling up from its deep source, but it is thereafter diverted into many channels, each of

ments that it cannot overflow, the watchful hand of the Judiciary being ready to mend the bank at any point where the stream threatens to break through.

The only checks which France and England and New Zealand have provided are to be found in the existence of Second Chambers, and will be mentioned in the chapter

next following. Without setting forth in detail the methods adopted

in other countries, I may proceed to classify the precautions against hasty action under four heads. The first, that of

rules regulating the procedure of legislative assemblies, is open to the objection that rules prescribed by the legis-lature itself can be by it, if a sovereign body, repealed

at its pleasure, destroying thereby the security they seemed to promise If therefore they are to be effective, they must be placed out of its reach by being included in a Constitutional Instrument which the legislature cannot alter. "A second form of restriction consists in withdrawing from the competence of a legislature certain classes of subjects, reserving these for the direct action of the people.

themselves, so that if the representative legislature attempts to deal with them, its acts are legally null and void. The outstanding instances of this plan are found in provisions of the Federal Constitution and State Constitutions of the American Union, which reserve many matters for direct action by the people in the form of Constitutional

amendments. The defects of this method are that it may prevent the passing of a measure urgently required, for the process of amending the Constitution is inevitably slow, and that it raises questions as to the validity of a law which cannot be promptly settled, for when it is doubtful whether a legislature has exceeded its powers, the question of validity must remain unsettled till decided by the Courts. Thus the legislature is hampered by doubts

as to its powers, while the citizen may be embarrassed by not knowing whether or not to obey the challenged law, Despite these inconveniences, the system continues to find favour in America, where it has prevented much unwise action by the legislatures It is also used in Australia and Canada and in Switzerland, though to a less extent, since neither the Confederation nor the Cantons distrust their representatives as the citizens do in many American States

Thirdly, some nation, have entrusted to the Executive the right of rejecting bills passed by the legislature This plan, adopted in the American Federation and also in all but one of the several States of the Union, is possible only in those democracies which choose their chief executive migistrate by a popular vote for a comparatively short term of office, so that he, equally with the legislature, holds a direct mandate from the people and is responsible to them. Openocratic principles would forbut the vesturg of a veto in a hereditary king, or even in a president elected for life) The British Crown virtually parted with its right of dissent from the Houses two centuries ago, though that right has never been extin-guished by statute. In the United States the veto of the President (in federal legislation) as also that of the State Governor (in State legislation) is valued as curbing the Governor (in State legislation) is valued as curbing the tendency of legislatures to pass faulty measures either from a demagogic purpose to curry favour with some large section of the citizens, or at the bidding of powerful business interests which can get at the individual representatives or at the local party leaders who command a majority in the legislature. So largely and so beneficially is it used in the States that the Executive often gains credit with the people by his vetoes, and points, when he seeks re-election, to the list of bad bills which he has billed. The existence of the procease has few likes. seer's re-election, to the last of that only which he has killed. The existence of this power has formed in State legislatures the habit of passing, in reliance on the Governor's "lethal chamber," measures they know to be bad, thus contriving to earn men's with some person, or some section of their variationaries, without injury to the general public

The term vets or the House of I ords

The term vets or the House of I ords

Both are trehn ca y parts of the same enact ng author tv, the Great Counc of the Nat on under the K ng n Part amens assembled

VOL II

2 F

434 ORIGIN OF SECOND CHAMBERS PT. III

A fourth way of restraining the legislature is found in submitting its acts to popular vote. This is the so-called Referendum, applied in Switzerland both in the Confederation and in the Cantons, and in many American States. It is separately examined in the chapter which deals with Direct Popular Legislation. On the direct action of the people by the Initiative no check is placed but the instances in which the Swiss have erred in the use of this unlimited power seem to have been extremely few. They are an extraordinary people. The results in America are discussed elsewhere.

Lastly, there is the method of subjecting measures passed by the popular representative assembly to revision or rejection by another legislative body. This is the so-called Second Chamber scheme, preferable to a simple veto because it provides opportunities for a second discussion and possible improvement of a measure. It is so

extensively used in democracies as to demand treatment in a separate chapter. It is interesting to observe that in some of the countries

mentioned the checks and balances which exist, or have existed, were not devised as safeguards, but were incident

to the process of transition from monarchy or oligarchy to democracy, the old powers exercised by the Few being allowed to subsist in a reduced form side by side with the new powers conceded to the Many. This was the origin of the English House of Lords, now acting as a Second Chamber, which is a continuation of the ancient Great Council of the Nation (Magnum Concilium), whence the representative House of Commons was evolved, as a section thereof, in the thirteenth century.2 So the Second Chamber of Sweden survives as one of the old Four Chambers of the Four Orders (nobles, clergy, burgesses, and peasants) which had lasted down to our own time. Most of the Senates in modern countries have been deliberately contrived as

¹ See Vol II Chapters XLV and LXV

³ Scotland had only one House in its Parliament before the Union, but a committee called the Lore's of the Articles acted as a check on the whole body. See the work of Professors Dicey and Rait, Thoughts on the Umon between England and Sectland. pp 14 179

on the popular House, in imitation of ancient rof England The veto of the President and the Jovernor in the United States was suggested by wer, already disused long before 1787, which mer, already disused long before Crown The merly belonged to the British Crown amerly belonged to the British crown ons on legislative action contained in American utions were new, but they arose naturally as inin the creation of Rigid Constitutions and along he arrangements of a Federal system which allotted arrangements of a reueral system while leaving a powers to the National Government while leaving

The need for safeguards against imprudent action in emocracy, and the practical utility of such safeguards iny particular case, depend largely on the character of the particular case, depend largery on the character of h people. As there are individual men so impatient or pulsive that they do well to make it their rule never to pulsive that they no wen to make it then the never to judicious adviser or re-read it after thelve hours, hile there are others whose coolness or caution renders nine there are others whose coomess or caution renders ich a rule superfluous, so likewise there are peoples, ich as the Swiss, who can dispense with some of the safe

How to find a means of restraining the hasty impulses uards others have found needful ther of the whole people in a primary assembly or of ner of the whole people in a philips been a difficult representative legislature has always been a difficult representative registrature has anyays ocen a united to problem, because a balance has to be struck between the need for caution on the one hand and the need for promptitude on the other Checks may work ill tor promptitude on the other Checks may work ill to much weight to minorities, or by read to much weight to minorities, or by read to much speed is essential Delays and obstacles placed in the way of the majority's will may and to mean the way of the majority's will may be a majority and to mean the way of the majority's will may be a majority and the way of the majority's will may be a majority and the way of the majority's will may be a majority and the way of the majority's will may be a majority and the way of the majority's will may be a majority and the way of the way of the majority and the way of the majority and the way of the majority and the way of the way obstacles placed in the way of the majority's wife may tend to exasperation, and exasperation may induce viotend to exasperation, and exasperation may induce vio-lence or even revolution The United States has gone farther than any other popular government in limiting arther than any other popular government in limiting and balancing the powers of each organ of government, and bas doubtless escaped thereby some dangers while suffering some monveniences Britain and the British self-governing Dominions have followed a different path, and provide, except to some small extent in the ConWhen the first constitutions of the American States were drafted, a Second Chamber was deliberately introduced in imitation of the British Parliament with its two Houses. The example has been followed in most of the countries that have given themselves frames of more or less popular government in modern times, including not only those which in the Old World have been influenced by the British model of Cabinet and Parliamentary government, but those also which in the Western hemisphere have taken the United States as their pattern. France, both in 1830 and 1875, created two, not regarding the dictum of Sieves, who is said to have asked, "Of what use will a Second Chamber be? If it agrees with the Representative House, it will be superfluous; if it disagrees, mischievous," a dilemma which recalls that attributed to the Khalif Omar when he permitted the destruction of the library at Alexandria, "If the books agree with the Koran, they are not needed; if they differ, they ought

to perish. In European States (as also in Iceland) except Greece, Bulgaria, Finland, Esthonia 2 and Jugo-Slavia the legis-

lature consists of two Chambers.

438

The aim pursued in all these countries was sub-stantially the same, viz. that of creating a legislative authority whose function it should be to review measures passed by the popular House in such a way as:

To prevent undue haste in the passing of important laws by securing a period during which the opinion of the people regarding a law may be duly formed and expressed.

Assembly, but to consider the topics that were to come before it, much as does a modern Cabinet See as to the Athenian Council Vol. I Chap XVII

1 As usually happens, these dilemnas owe their point to the omission of other

2 Esthonia has, however, provided a check on its legislature by the adoption of the Referendum I have been unable to ascertain how matters stand in Poland and Lithuanis, and in the Georgian and Armenian Republics and that of Azerbaijan

possibilities A Second Chamber may do work involving neither agreement nor disagreement with the Other House, and it may, where it agrees in aims, suggest other and better means of attaining them. The Khalis's remark would begun to have force only if the Koran were as encyclopactic containing all a Musim needs to know. I robably he thought it was

CH LXIV To subject every project of law to a revision which might introduce improvements in form or substance

While in some countries there were statesmen who desired for the Second Chamber powers practically equal to those of the 'popular' House, it was, as a rule, intended that the latter should predominate

METHODS OF CREATING A SECOND CHAMBER

Many are the ways in which nations have constructed their Second Chambers To classify these let us begin by dividing Governments into the Federal and the Unitary or non Federal

In Federal States the need for providing a representation of the several communities which make up the federation suggested the creation of a Chamber to which each component entity should return members, and this naturally became a Second Chamber for the whole nation The United States led the way in creating its Federal Senate, and its example has been followed by Switzerland. Australia, the Union of South Africa, Argentina, Brazil and some other American republics. This plan is simple, and has the great advantage of securing for the Second Chamber that weight which the representation of important communities such as the Swiss Cantons or the American and Australian States carries with it i

Unitary countries have adopted one or other of the following methods Some have assigned to the head of the Executive the right of nominating to sit in the Second Chamber any persons he thinks fit Others, while giving nominations to the Executive, have restricted its choice to persons above a certain age or belonging to specified categories, e g men who have filled certain high offices, or who possess a certain amount of property, or who come from a titled aristocracy, or who occupy

The Domin on of Canada a Federal State has a Senate filled by the nominees of the Domin on Government selec en a cer am proport one f om the nine Provinces which make up the Fede stoon and in so far rep senting those component com munities though not chosen by them Only two of the Provinces (Quebec and Nova Scot a) have a Second Chamber and members of these are nominated for 1 fe by the Provinc al M n str es

440

positions which qualify them to express the wishes of important professions. Thus the Italian Senators are nominated for life by the Crown, i.e. by the Ministry. Spain, and Hungary before the destruction of the Austro-Hungarian Monarchy, had Chambers with some hereditary peers and other persons chosen by electorates composed of persons holding property of a prescribed value. The Legislative Councils in four of the Australian States are elected by voters possessing a (low) property qualifica-tion. Another method is to vest the election in the members of various local bodies, or persons selected from them, such as are the "Electoral Colleges," created from the Councils of the Departments and of the Arrondissements, and from the Communes in France (see Vol. I. p. 259). This plan, adopted also in Sweden and Portugal, has been termed "indirect election," or "popular election in the second degree," because the electors have been themselves elected by bodies chosen by the citizens.

Finally, in many countries the members of the Second Chamber are directly elected by the people on the same suffrage as members of the other or "more popular" House, but in and by larger constituencies, so as to pro-vide a Second Chamber less numerous than the First. This is the method used in all the States of the North American Union, in each of which the State Senate, a body much smaller than the State Assembly or House of Representatives, is elected on manhood (or universal) suffrage, but in larger electoral districts. Federal Senators also are now (since 1914) elected by the people on a general vote taken over each State, and so are the members of the Senate in the Australian Federation (see Vol. II. p. 190, ante). Direct popular election has also been adopted by the Czecho-Slovak Republic for its Senate, the electors being over twenty-six and the candidates required to be over forty-five years of age, and the term of office eight years.

In the functions and powers allotted to Second

¹ See Vol II. p 192, ante.

Chambers there is also a diversity so great that I must be content with indicating the three classes into which these assemblies fall, viz.:

1. Those which are equal in power, both legally and practically, to the First or "Popular" House. These include the Second Chambers elected on universal (or manhood) suffrage, such as the Senates of American States, the Senate of the Australian Commonwealth, and the Federal Senate of the United States. The powers of the latter are indeed greater than those of the House of Representatives, for it is not only a branch of the legislature but also a sort of Council to the President, advising and to some extent controlling him,

2. Those whose functions are legally equivalent, or nearly so, to those of the First Chamber, but whose power is practically inferior, perhaps much inferior. To this class belong the Chambers of France, Italy, Belgum, and most other European States, as well as those of New Zealand, the Dominion of Canada, and the Union of South Africa. Among these the French Senate is the strongest and that of Canada among the weakest.

3 Those whose powers are legally as well as practically slender, such as is the Senate in Holland and the

Lagthing in Norway.1

The difference in functions between the two Houses turns chiefly on finance An assembly not directly chosen by the tax-payers does not seem entitled to equal power with one directly elected as respects the raising of taxes and the appropriation of their proceeds to particular purposes, and since the control of revenue is the means of controlling the Executive, it follows that in countries where Ministers hold office at the pleasure of Parliament, such as France, Britain, and the British self-governing Dominions, it is the Popular House whose vote practically instals and displaces them

Broadly speaking, the powers of the Second Chamber vary with the mode of its formation. They are widest

¹ It is really a sort of Committee of the Stor Thing or popular assembly

442

where it is directly elected, narrowest where it is nominated or hereditary. The more it is Popular the more authority, the less it is Popular the less authority will it possess. Where not directly elected, it is always under the disadvantage of fearing to displease the popular House, lest the latter should seek to get rid of its resistance by rousing clamour among the people against it. The test of effective power is this: What happens when the two Houses disagree and each seeks to persist in its own view? to persist in its own view? Now let us return to the methods of composing the

Now let us return to the methods of composing the Second House, and See which works best in practice.

None of the systems enumerated has altogether approved itself. Direct election by universal suffrage has doubtless the merit of securing for the Second Chamber a representative quality equal to that of the other Chamber. But in doing so it inevitably creates a competitive claim to equal authority. Springing directly from the people, and giving to each of its members this advantage over members of the larger House, that inasmuch as he is chosen by a larger electoral district he may claim to represent a greater volume of opinion. he may claim to represent a greater volume of opinion, it is sure to become a rival of the First Chamber. The plan has, moreover, another fault. If the Second House has been elected at the same time as the larger House, it is likely to be controlled by the same political party, in which case its value as a moderating influence disappears. If, on the other hand, one of the two has been appears. If, on the other hand, one of the two has been cleeted either earlier of later, whichever House has last come from the people will claim to be the true exponent of the people's mind. Moreover, the men who compose the two Houses will—an age limit makes no practical difference—have been drawn from the same class, so no new element of knowledge or wisdom is brought in to serve the nation. In the States of the American Union the Senates are no better than the Houses of Assembly; indeed, where corruption prevails the Senates may be worse, because as their members are fewer in number each member's vote is better worth buying and

443

fetches a higher price. In Australia the Federal Senate, though smaller, is inferior to the House in the quality of its membership, because the abler and more ambitious men seek to enter the latter, from which Ministers are more frequently drawn. Nevertheless it asserts its equality. Little has been gained for that country except indeed that second consideration of Bills which their passage through another House implies, for the so-called mental outfit of the two Chambers is the same, or differs to the disadvantage of the Senate The plan of nomination by the Executive is even less

to be commended, because members seem to be usually selected for party reasons, sometimes, as in Canada, not merely for the sake of securing for the Ministry a majority in the Second Chamber but also in order to reward its elderly supporters, who, weary of courting constituencies, gladly subside into a dignified armchair There are countries in which secretly rendered political services or liberal contributions to party funds are believed to open the door of the Chamber to those whose be'teved to open the door of the Chamber to those whose merits the public had failed to discover Election on a restricted franchise exposes the Chamber to the charge of being a class body, habitually opposed to the popular will Election by Colleges drawn from local authorities has given to France a capable Senate, but it has brought party politics into the popular elections of those authorities themselves. Candidates seeking to enter a Depart treat it Coursel arrangement of the control of the course o mental Council announce themselves as party candidates, and party organizations work for them, so each local body comes to be divided on partient lines prescribed by national issues which have little or nothing to do with its proper functions

As in the United States the choice of Federal Senators by State legislatures helped to stamp upon those bodies almost from the first a partisan character, so the Departmental Councils in France are now more affected by national party influences than they might have been if a share in electing the Senate had not been assigned to them. Thus every method of choice has proved to have its defects, and nowhere have

444 the results attained given complete satisfaction, a conclusion which does not in the least condemn the bicameral sion which does not in the least condemn the bicameral system in principle, for if no Second Chamber is perfect, neither is any First Chamber perfect. For each country the question is not whether it has got the perfection it desires, but whether it would not fare worse without some such addition to, or check upon, its popular House

as a Second Chamber provides. The reason which has made it more difficult to construct a Second Chamber than a "First" or "Popular" Chamber is that the latter can be and now is almost everywhere created by direct election on a very wide suffrage. The application of this method has become a part of modern democratic theory, because it is supposed to be required by the fundamental dogma of Popular Sovereignty, and it has therefore led in America Popular sovereignty, and it has therefore for in America and Australia to the election of their Senates by universal suffrage. The objections to its application are (as already observed) that it creates two rival Chambers, and that they will be composed of the same kind of men. Why then have two? Cannot the will of the people be fully expressed through one? Accordingly, the most "advanced" theorists of our time seek to destroy Second Chambers altogether, while those who, because they have less absolute faith in the wisdom of the multitude, desire to check its impulses, are driven to look for some plan. other than direct popular election, by which a restraining authority can be created. But whichever way they turn they are stopped by the democratic dogma. Nomination by a Ministry, indirect election by local authorities, election in constituencies limited by property qualification, even election by the more popular House itself, all offend against that dogma. The ultimate issue comes to be whether the principle of direct and absolute Popular Sovereignty is incompatible with, or can be so far de-parted from as to admit, the imposition upon the legis-lature of such checks as will ensure that the deliberate will of the people itself shall be fully ascertained, after opportunity for deliberation has been afforded, before the

final determination of any momentous question. If it is desired to make that departure from the dogmn aforesaid by establishing a Second Chamber qualified to impose the check, such a Chamber must have some basis for its authority. What is this basis to be?

FUNCTIONS AND POWERS OF A SECOND CHAMBER

Let me now turn from this survey of the plans that have been tried and the results they have yielded to consider the Second Chamber problem in the light not only of experience but of the changed conditions under which popular government has to be carried on in the twentieth century. It is a double problem. What was said in the last preceding chapter makes it superfluous to restate the arguments used to prove that a Second Chamber is needed, so we may go straight to the two ought it to be constructed, i.e. how should its members be chosen? and, What powers ought to be assigned to it?

It may be said that the structure of the Chamber will depend upon the powers which it is meant to exercise. This is true. The powers will affect the structure. But so will the structure affect the powers. In discussing either branch of the problem we have to think of the other. If the powers are to be wide, the Chamber must be so constructed as to be fit, #e strong enough, to exercise them. If it is built upon a foundation not solid enough to bear a heavy weight the powers must be slender, otherwise it will totter under a shock. Bearing this in mind, let us begin with the Structure.

If we try to generalize some conclusions from the experiments heretofore made in divers countries, there will spear to be three sources from which a Second Chamber has in the past derived, or can now derive, the authority without which it would not be worth having The first of these grounds is traditional respect felt for it by the people If it has a long and dignified history,

if its members belong to a powerful class which still enjoys social distinction, it may hold its place by the deference accorded to the persons who compose it. This deference maintained the House of Magnates in Hungary and the House of Lords in England, until the latter, in which both the ancient parties had been strong down till the middle of last century, passed so entirely under the control of one political party that it incurred the constant hostility of the other, its social status being at the same time rapidly lowered by the very large additions made to its fiumber. Respect for antiquity has everywhere declined in our time, whose ways of thinking do not favour the maintenance either of time-honoured traditions or of any form of social deference.

The second ground of authority an assembly may enjoy comes from its representative character. If it is chosen by the people, it is deemed to speak the mind of the people and to have the weight of the people behind it. Upon this foundation the Senates of the several American States, whose members receive scant respect as individuals, and the Councils of the Swiss Cantons have been made to rest. Similarly, though to a slighter extent, the Second Chambers formed by Indirect or Secondary election, such as those of France, Denmark and (partially) Belgium, feel themselves, though weaker than the First Chambers because not the direct choice of the people, yet able, especially if adorned by men of talent, to exert widerable influence. Where, as is usually the case, working of office is longer than that prescribed for the

By handber, the Second Chamber draws some strength Second ampler experience of its members, but is in brought there as its representative authority has suffered a great cone of time, since it seems to reflect the past have an upped present mind of the people.

have an oppose present mind of the people.

mistakes or oncound is the personal merit and intellectual
the Bill original members of the Second Chamber. If
dealing with the
discover in the nation, outside their
correct various me, one hundred of the ablest men in
experience and distinction in their;

THE ROMAN SENATE CH LXIV 44, several tallings and also possessed of political knowledge and sound judgment, and to stock a Second Chamber with these men, it may be thought that the ifluence of their tested characters and personal eminence would compensate for the absence of popular election and would make their debates and decisions carry weight with the country This does in fact happen, but to no great extent, with the Senates of Italy, Belgium, and Spain For the best example of what authority intellectual power coupled with the glamour of tradition may give to an as sembly, we must go back two thousand years to the long and splendid career of a body which was not elected, was not (in strictness) a Legislature, and cannot be classed as a Second House, because there was no First House but only the whole body of citizen voters set over against it The Roman Senate may well claim to have been the most successful of all the councils that have ruled in any state. It consisted, during the later Republic, of persons nominated, virtually for life,2 by two magistrates of the high. . nk and reputation called Censors, elected once in five years. Custom prescribed that every person who had held one of certain high elective offices, including of course the consulship and practor ship, should be nominated to a seat in it and left the phoice of the rest to the discretion of the Censors actus the Senate had two sources of authority, the memory of centuries during which it had guided the fortunes of the State, and the high distinction and official service of a large proportion of its members. Sustained by this

a large proportion of its members. Sustained by this traditional reverence if survived the popular assembly consuppopular freedom itself to become a passive instruminary the Emperor's power, retaining a legal status with the sometimes usefully turned to account, and sof offering for more than fourteen centuries till at the o the First 1 The Senate could pass resolut one of an executive characteretween ther were a practice obliged to regard as author tat we but was not until custom invested t with that tight when I be government to Circust may say that whereas during the Republic a Sens as Conmay say that whereas during the Repuis a some at some of a Le the doctrine experient in the words Lena are Which the S dence (Dig I 3 a) soon became recogn red under the Empre Though I able, a very except onal case to be remised. A Selici which deter

organization of the ruling majority which binds all its members to support whatever measures have been adopted by the larger part of that majority. Where this happens it is not the legislature as a whole that governs, but a majority of a majority which may frequently be a minority of the whole body.¹

Taken together, these defects are a danger to demo-

cratic government. If a nation proceeds on the principle not only that the people are always right, but that their directly elected representatives are always competent to carry out in an efficient way the people's will, even when its action has been most hasty, then of course no check and little revising skill are needed. VOr if, again, it be held that the harm caused by the errors of a representative body is less than the harm which would result from any attempt to delay its action, then again there need be no talk of a Second Chamber. But unless this view prevails, there must be some means for correcting the defects aforesaid, which tend to grow more dangerous because the functions thrust upon governments are becoming more numerous and complex, so that greater and greater special knowledge and skill are required to discharge them. More and more do they demand not only technical attannments, especially in the economic sphere, but also that power of steady and penetrating thinking not often present in the average The consequence is either that legislation

unistration decline or that they fall into the

unistration decline or that they fall into the Before setting nent officials constituting that sort of examination of the to domination orthodox democracy Structure and the Pow a nation is to lag behind its comto point, there is a further lefects of legislatures, or power misting the line which such a body may example not more practically efficient. dealing the representative Legislatt tries does not sufficiently le has come to feel; and inc and social problems which have produced the setting legiscorrect is left and line and social problems.

CH LYIV UTILITY OF SECOND CHAMBER 453

in a directly practical spirit by those on whom the duty falls of passing into law measures dealing with them, because legislatures incessantly occupied with party strife and with the supervision of the Executive in its daily work of administration have not the time, even if a sufficient number of their members have the capacity, for such investigation

These considerations suggest that where such defects exist, with little prospect of curing them by improving the quality of directly elected legislatures, a remedy may be found in the creation of a Second Chamber into which men might be gathered who are eminent by their ability and the services they have rendered to the nation or to the district in which they reside, men who have gained experience in various forms of public work, such as local government and the permanent civil service at home or abroad, or who possess special know-ledge of important branches of national life, as for instance agriculture, commerce, manufacturing industry, finance, education, or who have by travel and study acquired a grasp of foreign affairs and the general movements of the world 'LSuch a Chamber might be made a kind of reservoir of special knowledge and ripened wisdom to be added to whatever knowledge and wisdom have already been gathered into the more popular House. Place might be found in it for persons representing the great professions, such as scientific research, medicine, law, engineering, though, of course it ought not to be a mere aggregate of specialists, but predominantly composed of men familiar with public life and capable of dealing with political questions in a practical spirit, for the eminent man of science or man of letters is not always judicious, nor even cool and open-minded, when he approaches politics No assembly can escape partisanship, but a calm and impartial spirit in a large propor-tion of its members would moderate that tendency in the whole body, and go far to secure popular confidence

² See as to the Vol II Chapter LVIII ante where reasons are stated which deter or prevent many men of pol t cal capacity from entering the Popular Chamber

454 FUNCTION OF SECOND CHAMBER PT. 111

The function of such a body in a country governed by Universal Suffrage would be not to aim at equal power with the Popular House but to approach all questions with as much as possible of a judicial mind and temper, recognizing its responsibility to the people, and resisting the Popular House only when there was good reason to believe that the matter in dispute had been hastily or rashly dealt with. It should not persist in opposition to whatever could be shown to be the people's will, but be content with trying to comprehend and give effect to that will when duly expressed, endeavouring to inform and influence the people through debates which would be conducted under freer conditions than is always possible in a large representative assembly. It would provide a forum in which foreign policy, seldom adequately handled in popular Chambers, might be dealt with at moments when the larger Assembly had no time to spare for them. Its Committees might study and report upon, either alone or in conjunction with Committees of the other House, questions of a non-partisan character upon which legislation seemed to be needed, and might prepare measures which would pass the more readily because proceeding from an authority not associated with one political party. In this way the labours of the Executive might be aided or relieved, and the longer term of service, say from six to nine years, assigned to its members would enable them to acquire an experience helpful in this branch of its work."

If such a Second Chamber be desirable, how could it be created? Clearly not by direct popular election, which would tend to make it a mere replica of the First Chamber. Possibly by some one of the forms of Indirect Election previously referred to such as that used in France. If this method were disapproved on the ground that it might create a Chamber scarcely less partisan

¹ The method of renewing the Second Chamber from time to time by the returnions, at intervals of two years, of a part of the body gives stanfaction in the Linted State. In the Ashtrahina Commonwealth half retire every three years, if need hardly be said that the observations here made are all general, and that every scheme would need to be adjusted to the conditions of the country for which it was trung created.

than the Popular House, and not much more certain to represent the special qualities and attainments which a Second Chamber ought to possess, other expedients might be tried. One would be that of election by the First House, divided for that purpose into local groups, and electing only a certain number of persons in each year, another that of Selection by a Commission appointed for that purpose, exercising by the appointment and in the name of the people a function resembling that of the Roman Censors.

The principle of making a Second Chamber strong and respected solely or mainly by the quility of its members and by the reputation their careers have guined for them, deserves to be considered by any nation which does not feel bound to press democratic principles to their full logical consequences Let us imagine a small Selective Commission of men generally respected and trusted by the best opinion of their fellow-cutzens to be specially appointed by the Legis lature for the purpose of selecting persons fitted by ability, experience, knowledge of affairs—including of course high ex-officials—to sit in a Second Chamber for a term of not less than six or nine years. Such a Com-mission of Selection, created and renewed from time to time under the provisions of a permanent law, might choose, on principles and lines laid down in that law to guide their action, the persons who are to sit in the Chamber The Commission ought to be a small (and as far as possible a non-partisan) body, both for the sake of fixing responsibility upon its members and in order to permit them to discuss freely and confidentially the qualifications of the persons to be chosen for the Chamber and it might be desirable that most, though probably not all, of its members should be drawn from the existing Chambers, as they would have exceptional opportunities for knowing where the ablest and fairest minds among

¹ It has been argued on behalf of the suggestion that it would tend to keep the two Houses in friendly touch with one another while at the same time the members of the Second Chamber sitting for a longer period, would not be a mere reflect on of the First Chamber.

PT. III

men engaged in public affairs were to be found. However conscientious and impartial the Commissioners might be they would be faced with one task of special difficulty. Capable and trusted men may be found if only experience, capacity, and character have to be regarded. But as the Chamber must be so composed as not to fall under the permanent control of one political party, for that would impair the moral influence on public opinion desired for it, some regard must be had not only to the eminence and wisdom of the persons to be selected, but also to the political opinions they hold, for if the Selectors should, however innocently, create a Chamber in which one party was evidently predominant, other parties would complain of unfair treatment, the prospects of success for the Chamber would be clouded, and its influence be discredited at the outset. Probably, therefore, the safest method which a Selecting Commission could follow would be to assign to each political party, in fair proportion to its strength in the "more popular" House, a certain number of the persons possessing the merits which marked them out for selection, and then to add to these a number of others who were not avowed adherents of any section of opinion, but, being also eminent in their several ways, were known as men of impartial and independent minds, fitted to hold the balance fairly between parties, and to exercise an unbiassed judgment on each issue as it arose. In some such way as this it might be possible to create a Chamber which, starting without anything like a large predetermined majority for any particular party, would be accepted by the people as entitled to speak with the authority which belongs to knowledge and experience. But so hard would it be to create a Selecting Commission not only capable of daing a work so delicate, but also

"The confidence accorded to the Commuttees of Selection in the two Houses of the British Parliament, small bodies composed of members of all partner appointed to choose other members to et al. Commutatee with date regard to the representation therecan'd all the chief partner or groups, encourage the hope that such a Selecting Communique on state membered to the text might be no less successful. The plan might be started by allowing the Second Chamber, when once constituted, to fill up a certain amplified of the visionate from time to time occurring in its own by

457

CH LXIV

sure to be generally recognized as having done it in an honest and impartial spirit, that one cannot be surprised to find that the experiment is still untried Were am plan of this nature proposed, the old question

would recur, whether in a democratic country a Chamber so chosen would be allowed the powers necessary to attract to it men of distinction, and necessary also to render it an effective part of the constitutional machinery

It might be decried as unresponsive, because not by direct election responsible, to popular sentiment Only at rare moments, such as was that in which the American Constitutional Convention of 1787 met, are the people disposed to forgo any part of their power for the sake of their security Thus it happens that the very conditions which make a moderating Second Chamber desirable

are those which prevent its creation Though the dangers which used to be feared from oligarchies of rank and

least likely to be provided

wealth have been passing away in free countries, though nobody now ventures to defy public opinion, though it is against new perils that precautions are needed to-day, it still seems unlikely that any people could be induced to feel so much self distrust or exercise so much self restraint as the delegating of part of its authority would involve Yet further experience of the defects of existing legislatures and of the undue control exercised over them by party or class organizations may some day enforce the call for the safeguards a Second Chamber could best provide Unfortunately the time when safe guards are most required is the time when they are

CHAPTER LXV

- DIRECT LEGISLATION BY THE PEOPLE.

No feature of modern democracy better deserves study than the methods recently introduced for enabling the whole body of citizens to enact laws by their own direct action; and this for three reasons.

These methods are a return to the earliest form free government took in the Primary Assemblies of ancient

nations, such as the Greek and Italic and Phoenician republics. They witness to a distrust of the representative system of government, which had been for a long while the only form employed in large countries, and was deemed to be in them not merely inevitable, but to have marked a long step forward in free government. This latest novelty, having been approved by the experience of some communities, being warmly advocated in others, and seeming to indicate the line which changes in popular government are likely to follow, well deserves to be examined.

As the chapters on Switzerland and the United States have described the working in those countries of the Referendum and Initiative, this chapter need do no more than summarize the results which these two institutions as there worked have given, and state briefly the general arguments which commend and the objections which dissuade their introduction elsewhere.

The movement of opinion towards the direct action of the whole people in legislation springs from two sources, one theoretic, the other practical,

459

The theoretic source is to be found in the dogma of Popular Sovereignty, very ancient in its legal form, for it goes back to the law of Rome, but in its modern garb fascinating and familiar from the days of Rousseau It is fervently preached both on the European Con-tinent and in the United States by enthusiasts who

hold not only that all power belongs by Nature and of right to the People, but that it is truly and effectively their power only when exercised by them directly, not through persons chosen to represent them, for the socalled mandat imperatif by which the people instruct their representatives how to vote on their behalf has been found insufficient and in practice unworkable. The water must be drawn fresh from the spring among the rocks, not from the brook in its lower and perhaps polluted course

CH LXV

The other or practical source is that disappointment with and distrust of legislative bodies which, more or less evident in all free countries, has reached its maximum in the United States In many States the people, balked in repeated efforts to cure the faults of those bodies, have assumed the power to review their action by sub-jecting acts passed by the legislature to a popular vote of approval or rejection, and have also authorized a prescribed number of citizens to prepare and submit to such a vote Bills to be enacted without any inter-. vention on the part of the legislature It is this sense of an actual evil that has helped forward the movement beyond the Atlantic, whereas in Europe its strength has been chiefly drawn from abstract doctrine

Decisions of the people may have their value for other purposes also Where a legislature consists of two Chambers, and differences of opinion arise between them, each persisting in its own view, then, unless the Constitution provides that the voice of one of the Chambers shall prevail against that of the other, a means of deciding between them may be found in submitting the law, or those parts of it on which the Chambers differ, to a vote of the whole people This is now done

in the Australian Commonwealth, is talked of in Norway and Belgium, finds a place in the new Constitution of Germany, and has been suggested as an expedient fit to be employed in Britain. There are also cases in which the nature of a law proposed makes it specially desirable that the wishes of the citizens should be so directly expressed upon it as to ensure their cordial support of its enforcement if enacted. A familiar instance is found in proposals to restrict the sale of intoxicating liquors. Legislatures have sometimes, either for the reasons just mentioned or to relieve themselves of responsibility, referred such questions to popular vote in a State or a city.

Direct Popular Legislation exists in Switzerland and in many States of the North-American Union, and that in two forms. One is the Referendum, i.e. submission to popular vote not only of amendments to the Constitution but also of ordinary laws passed by the Legislature. In some Swiss cantons all laws are required to be thus submitted—this is the Obligatory or Compulsory Referendum; in other cantons and in the Confederation, and also in the American States, the submission of a law takes place only at the demand of a prescribed number of citizens—this is the Optional Referendum.

The other form called Initiative is the proposal by a prescribed number of citizens of a Constitutional amendment, or a law, to be voted upon by the whole people. It exists in the Swiss Confederation as respects Constitutional amendments, as also in many of the Cantons and in many American States as respects both Constitutional amendments and laws.

Let us now see (a) what use is made of each of these modes of legislation; (b) what matters can be submitted; (c) what is the proportion of citizens who vote; (d) what measures are taken to assist the citizens to vote aright; (e) what influence party spirit and party organizations exercise on the voting; (f) how far the people have shown themselves qualified for the function

46 I

assigned to them, and (g) what has been the general result on the peace and welfare of the communities which have tried these new methods

(a) Use made of the Referendum - In the Swiss Con federation it has always been used sparingly, and in recent years less and less 1 In those Cantons where it is optional it is little resorted to, but the output of laws in Switzer-land is at all times and in all cantons very small as compared with that of English speaking communities Zurich, where legislation is exceptionally brisk, and all laws are submitted, passed only 254 in the fifty years from 1869 to 1919, and the large majority of those were accented

In the United States the Referendum is much more freely used, not only because the laws passed are more numerous than in Switzerland, but also because more numerous than in Switzeriand, out also because many are passed at the instance of individuals or companies seeking benefits for themselves, and legislatures are distrusted. It is, however, now less frequently demanded than when it was first introduced, a change which may mean either that the legislatures are mending their ways or that the citizens have grown more indifferent, and less eager to deliver their judgment on enactments

Use made of the Institutive - This also is infrequent in the Swiss Confederation, where it is used only by way of constitutional Amendment Between 1905 and 1919 eight proposals were submitted under it, of which only two were carried It is more freely used in the Cantohs, but even in Zurich only on twenty-eight occasions for laws and thrice for Constitutional amendments between

1866 and 1908, both years inclusive
In the American States many bills are proposed by
Initiative, because there is a more active spirit of dis
content or aspiration which desires to effect sweeping
reforms by popular impulse, believing these to be retarded

¹ Between 1905 and 1919 a total number of 62 laws and decrees were passed by the National Assembly In only three cases was a Referendum asked for and in all three the law was accepted by the people

PT. III

by sordid influences playing secretly on the legislatures. If the need for an institution is to be judged by the use made of it, that need was great in many States. The Initiative proposals accepted are, roughly speaking, about as numerous as those rejected, whereas in Switzerland the majority are rejected. (b) Nature of the Matters submitted .- In the Swiss

Confederation the Legislature has under the Constitution the right of withdrawing from the operation of the Referendum any Decrees or Resolutions (being of general application, and not including the annual Budget) which it may deem urgent, and this right is frequently used, sometimes even when the urgency of the measure was far from apparent.1 A like power is allowed to the Legislature in American States, and has there also been occasionally employed under circumstances raising suspicion, so that Governors have vetoed Bills declared "Urgent" where the declaration seemed to have been made for the purpose of preventing the

people from delivering their judgment. In the American States Initiative proposals made in the form of a Constitutional amendment are subject_to The restriction imposed by the State Constitution, because any such restriction would be overridden by a change in the Constitution itself; and in point of fact both there and in Switzerland matters which ought to be

dealt with by Laws and find no place in a Fundamental Instrument of Government are now constantly made the subject of a Constitutional amendment proposed either by a Legislature or by Popular Initiative. 2

(c) Proportion of the Citizens who vote.—In Switzer-

land the proportion of persons voting to the whole number of qualified citizens is, both at Referendum and at Initiative votings, almost always lower than at elections of repre-sentatives to Legislatures. In the Confederation it has risen as high as 79 and sunk as low as 34 per cent.

¹ See Vol. I. Chap. XXIX. p. 420 ² This practice has almost effect the distinction between Constitutional provisions and ordinary laws See Vol. I p. 427, and Vol. II. p. 156.

463 In rural Cantons it has occasionally sunk to 21 per

cent Abstentions are, of course, more frequent where there is no organization to bring up voters to the poll, and are in the United States often explicable by the fact that some Bills refer to purely local matters, out of the range of the average voter's knowledge or interest One may say that the number voting, while

varying with the interest felt in the particular question at issue, seldom exceeds half the total number of electors This is true also of the American States, in which, how-

ever, the proportion voting is usually smaller 1 Cases have occurred in which measures have been carried by a minority, even a small minority, of the registered voters Where this happens, can it be said that the will of the people has been expressed? It is argued that those who do not oppose must be taken to assent, but abstention may be due to ignorance of the importance of the issue or to a modest consciousness of incapacity to express any opinion whatever (d) Methods adopted to enable the Citizens to understand the Issues submitted -Both in Switzerland and in America

copies of the Constitutional amendments, laws, and proposals made by Initiative, sometimes accompanied by a statement of the arguments commending or attacking the law or proposal submitted, are circulated officially, while supporters and opponents start their respective

campaigns in the press and by public meetings (e) Influence on the Votings of Parties and Party Spirit — In Switzerland, since party organizations are rarely active in discussing the issue submitted or in rarely active in discussing the issue submitted or in bringing up voters to the polls, the voting tends to convey the real judgment of the people unbiassed by party feeling. In the American States this is so far true that the party organizations, which exist rather for offices than for principles, seldom step openly into the arena, so the merits of the question submitted have a

³ Pres dent Lowell (Public Op non and Popular Government published in 1913) est mated the average percentage in Am r can States of voters at Referenda and It at ver to the whole number of qualified voters at about 60 per cent. It seems to be pract cally the same in Sw tzerland

464 CAPACITY OF THE VOTERS PT. III better chance of being fairly considered than an election

would afford.

(f) How far have the People shown themselves qualified for Direct Legislation?—No nation has ever been better

for Direct Legislation?—No nation has ever been better prepared for this task than the Swiss, for among them ignorance of and indifference to politics are least common. Every political issue to be voted on is abundantly discussed at public meetings and in the press; and the

Every pointical issue to be voted on is abundantly discussed at public meetings and in the press; and the echoes of the discussion are heard far up in the secluded Alpine valleys. No one need want the means for forming some sort of opinion. The Swiss, shrewd, cautious, and inclined to conservatism, think before they vote. The minds of the pressures are slow working, comewhat

some sort of opinion. The SWSS, since they vote. The minds of the peasants are slow working, somewhat narrow, as might be expected from rural folk living by tillage and dairying, thrifty and parsimonious, little influenced by abstract notions or plausible catchwords, but intelligent, willing to ponder any arguments within the range of their knowledge. The average citizen is withal independent and cool-headed, not surrendering

himself to party leaders, and with a patriotism that qualifies the tendency to approve or condemn a proposal solely with a view to his personal interests. It was the right sort of people in which to try the experiment of the Referendum, and the success of the experiment has proved the people's competence. The fact that popular voting has been less and less used in the Confederation, though it has through the growth of population become easier than it was in 1874 to collect the signatures needed

though it has through the growth of population become casier than it was in 1874 to collect the signatures needed to bring a law before the people, is a further evidence of the good sense which confines the use of this power to cases in which there is a body of adverse opinion sufficiently large to make it worth while to put the country to the trouble and expense of a general voting.

Of the eighteen American States it is more difficult to speak in general terms, for though in most of them

country to the trouble and expense of a general voting.

Of the eighteen American States it is more difficult to speak in general terms, for though in most of them the population is agricultural, it is in others mixed with manufacturing or mining elements, and in some the recent immigrants from the backward parts of Europe are numerous. Hence both the proportion of educated 3

and thoughtful men, capable of giving good leadership, and the average level of intelligence in the voters, differ greatly from State to State The Western Americans take as intelligent an interest in public affairs as do Frenchmen or Englishmen, or Belgians, have had more political experience than Germans, are less impulsive and passionate than Spaniards or Italians or the Slav peoples 1 They are more restless and rather more inconstant and decidedly less conservative than the Swiss, but taken all in all they seem quite as fit as any European people, and probably fitter than the races of Central and Southern Europe, to apply the methods of Direct Legislation Thus their example, if the experiment succeeds, will not suffice to prove that peoples like those of Lithuania and Poland, Serbia and Rumania, destitute of the experience Western Americans have enjoyed, can expect results equally good

(g) What have these Methods of Legislation done for the Welfare of the Communities that use them?—The test of the success of the system is to be found partly in the approval it has found and the satisfaction it has created in the peoples which employ it, partly in a scrutiny of the merits or demerits of the enactments which it has accepted or rejected

For Switzerland the former question is readily The people are so entirely content with the Referendum that while no one proposes to abandon or restrict it, some propose to extend it. Regarding the laws passed or rejected a stranger must speak with diffidence, but the instances given in a previous chapter 2 go to show that legislation has advanced on sound lines. and that under it the country and all classes therein have attained an unusual measure of material well being and domestic concord The spirit of conservatism and the spirit which seeks betterment by change have tempered

CH LXV

465

The difference between the exerge cities and the every manher of a hypoloture. s of course slighter in the United States than in European count es so a law passed by a legislature carries a slighter presumpt on of being the product of super or intell gence and knowledge * Sec Vol I Chap XXIX

PT. III

466

each the other. Some good enactments have been delayed by the Referendum, but the loss has been slight, and possibly compensated by the more general support which the law obtained when ultimately passed. The results of the Initiative are less easy to estimate, but it receives a more general approval from the wise than it did thirty or even fifteen years ago. No one suggests that it has done any serious harm; many believe that it has accelerated several needed reforms. That its immense power is not abused appears from the fact that it has been invoked, in the Confederation, only thrice within the last fifteen years.

In the United States there is no such general consensus of opinion in the States which have experience of the Referendum: but it deserves notice that the number of States which have adopted it since S. Dakota led the way goes on increasing, and that in none where it exists do the people seem disposed to drop the power of direct law-making. Many observers, especially among lawyers, continue to dwell on the defects of the plan, pointing to the occasional rejection of Bills whose merits the people had not understood, to the confusion brought into administration by haphazard decisions, and to inconsistencies in policy, as for instance in the voting or rejecting of appropriations for educational purposes. Though the malign influence of the "money interests" has not been entirely eliminated nor the tone of the legislatures raised, many of such jobbing Bills as these bodies were wont to pass have been killed by Referendum or prevented from reaching that stage at which it would have killed them? The working of the Initiative has proved more faulty, because rejection of the bad is easier than construction of the good, a task especially difficult when essayed by isolated groups of citizens, each group anxious to push forward its own projects. Many proposals submitted are not only ill-drafted, but calculated to confuse the existing law; many embody "Tads," or contain schemes with a kernel of

sound principle, but presented in an unworkable form.

467

Although therefore no serious harm has resulted, for the common sense of the people rejects most of the freak bills,' the merits of the Initiative need to be tested by longer experience Defects might be reduced by requiring all proposals to be put into a technically correct form by an official draftsman, and by limiting the number of issues to be placed before the citizens at the same voting

In Oregon in 1912 there were submitted together at one fell swoop thirty-seven laws and Initiative proposals, while at the same time a number of officials had to be elected. The citizen on entering the voting compartment had to make up his mind on between forty and fifty distinct issues, merits of measures and ments of men. The best informed and most experienced could hardly have an opinion on even one fourth of the questions his vote was to decide.

This review of the working of Direct Popular Legislation in the countries where it has had a fair trial suggests some general reflections on its value for other democracies

The arguments used to recommend it may be concisely stated as follows

The Referendum corrects the faults of legislatures Where those bodies act under the influence of corrupt motives or of class motives, or of purely party motives, an appeal from them to the whole people may prevent mischief Legislature issues of permanent significance are disjoined from those transient party and per sonal issues which dominate legislatures. Where one party brings in a Bill from which it hopes to gain credit at an approaching election, its own fortunes, and especially those of its majority in the legislature, are bound up with the success of the measure, and the party in opposition has a motive for resisting it, because they will improve their chance of office by defeating the Bills of the party in power. Thus the merits of the case recede into the background. But if the Bill has

to go to a popular vote, the decisive fight over it takes

PT, III

place before the people, for its enactment or rejection is their act. They may reject it without censuring the officials who prepared or the parliamentary majority which passed it. If the officials continue to be personally respected and trusted they may remain in office, though their Bill has failed, and the nation is spared the trouble of those general elections resorted to under the British Parliamentary system whenever a first-class Ministerial Bill has been defeated.

Take another case. In a legislature divided into groups one group specially anxious to carry a particular measure may by a "deal" with another group which dislikes that measure but is willing to accept it in order to carry some pet measure of its own, succeed in passing a Bill which the real mind of the majority of representatives and still more the bulk of the electors condemns. Or a section of the dominant ministerial majority may threaten to withdraw their support unless the Ministry consent to pass some Bill which it dislikes. The Ministry, in order to escape defeat, yields to the threat, so the Bill goes through, and in this case also against the real wishes of the majority. In both these cases a reference to the popular vote will checkmate the manœuvres of politicians.

An election is an Election, a choice between candidates as well as between policies, an occasion when so many issues of policy are simultaneously presented, that it is seldom possible to treat any one as having been really decided. After the election one party claims that the electors gave a "mandate" on one particular issue: another party or section makes a like claim, and there is no means of telling which is right. Only a consultation of the people can decide.

The Referendum helps the legislature to keep in touch with the people at other times than a general election, and in some respects in better touch, for it gives the voters an opportunity of declaring their views on serious issues apart from the distracting or distorting influence of party spirit. Thus representatives get to understand better the real mind of the electors as a whole, including those who are not their political supporters and therefore less known to them personally

The Referendum gives security that no law is passed which is opposed to popular feeling Legislatures may mistake the will of the people, or may, from party motives or class interests, take the risk of transgressing that will in the hope of doing so with impunity An appeal to the

People is the proper remedy
Popular voting reduces Sectionalism in a nation, because men of different classes and parties find them selves working and voting together on issues which are outside the sphere either of class sentiments or of party

programmes

A law receives strength from the approval which
the people by their direct vote have stamped upon it
Because it is their own work they feel a fuller obligation

to obey it and to make it obeyed

The judgment of the whole people is a final judgment, from which there is no appeal Roma locuta est. The ultimate authority having given its decision, controversy is stilled, at least until such time has passed and such new circumstances have arisen as may encourage a belief that the people will change its mind

a belief that the people will change its mind. The three last mentioned arguments recommend the Initiative also, but as it supersedes the legislature by enabling the people to pass a law without the participation of the latter, some further reasons must be advanced for introducing it. These reasons are in substance all one and the same, viz that legislatures do not adequately express the people's will, so that the Referendum, which is confined to an expression of that will upon matters previously dealt with by the legislature, confers on the people only a part of their rights, giving no free scope for their action independent of the Legislature. Why should a body of persons chosen by the people close the door against the people themselves, allowing only such proposals of reform as take their fancy to pass through so that the people can deal with them? A

party majority, perhaps corrupt, probably selfish, may for its own purposes hold back the people from getting what they desire, and the people must stand and wait, helpless till a general election arrives; and even then a new legislature may fail to carry out properly such wishes as the people have expressed. Thus while needed reforms are delayed, a sense of injustice is created which may break out in violence. Finally, if the people are fit to negative a Bill presented by the legislature, why are they not fit to frame one themselves?

470

In the American States—and wherever a Rigid Constitution limits the powers of legislatures—there is this further technical reason for employing the Initiative, that when employed by way of amending the Constitution, it overleaps all restrictions placed on the legislatures, because what the people put into the Constitution annuls those restrictions which their predecessors had imposed. This argument rejoices those who, desiring a free, swift unhampered course for the people's will, condemn the restrictions which Rigid Constitutions impose, while it repels those who value the restrictions just because they fear that swift unhampered course.

The objections urged against the Direct Intervention

of the people can be even more briefly stated.

It reduces the authority and status of the legislature, lessens its responsibility to the people, and may induce it, yielding to a temporary and possibly factitious demand, to pass measures it does not approve in the hope that a voting by Referendum will reject them. (It places matters that have been carefully considered and debated in a legislature—matters often beyond the comprehension of the average citizen—at the mercy of the voter's ignorance or prejudice. It is an appeal from responsibility, to irresponsibility, from knowledge to ignorance. Not these objections only, but others of graven import apply to the Initiative. (It brings before the people Bills that have never run the gauntlet of parliamentary criticism, which, if they have been carelessly or clumsily drafted,

will, if enacted, confuse the law, creating uncertainty and inviting litigation. Citizens summoned by Referendum to vote on a Bill have at least the advantage of knowing that it has been scrutinized and amended by a competent legislative body, but an Initiative proposal has not had this advantage. It may contain many provisions, some which please, others which displease the voter, but he cannot amend it; and must either reject it as a whole or accept it as a whole, whatever its faults

or accept it as a whole, whatever its faults

The Initiative offers a strong temptation to an excited faction or an unscrupulous leader to bring forward some scheme of sweeping change, promising to a section of the people benefits so alluring as to carry the law through on the top of the wave before its dangers can have been brought home to the nation. The fact that such attempts have failed when made in Switzerland because the good sense of the people repelled them, does not show that they might not succeed in some less intelligent and less cautious population. Once a revolutionary step has been taken by limitative, repentance comes too late. It is far harder to agitate for its repeal, even if there is time to do so before it takes effect, than to rouse the people to compel by Referendum the reversal of a decision given by a legis-lature.

lature.

The reader can weigh for himself the pros and cons of the case. Were I to express my own opinion it would be that the Referendum has worked well in Switzerland, and if less well in the American States, yet not fatally ill, for no conspicuous mischiefs have followed and some good may have been done. The Initiative was not really needed in Switzerland, but neither in the Confederation nor in the Cantons has positive harm resulted. In the American States the reformers would have done better to improve the methods of their legislatures and raise the quality of their members rather than try to supersede them by the Initiative, but of this they seem to have despaired. In experimenting with it, they have given it not quite a fair chance, for it has been employed

not only far more frequently than in Switzerland but with a neglect of obvious precautions which would have reduced the defects it has shown. American experience has, however, been too short to enable a final judgment to be pronounced.

Before proceeding to enquire what light the data supplied by Switzerland and America throw upon the general value and applicability to other countries of Direct Popular Legislation, let me enumerate certain provisions which might, if attached to the use of the Referendum and Initiative, tend to cure or mitigate the risks incident to the employment of either.

- 1. The number of signatures required to support the demand for a Referendum should be determined with regard not only to the total number of voting citizens, but also to the distribution of population, for signatures are more easily collected in densely populated areas. It would seem desirable that the percentage of persons signing required to the total number of qualified citizens should be not less than 8 to 10 per cent for a Referendum, and nearly twice that number, say 16 to 18 per cent, for an Initiative ¹
 - 2. The practical evil most complained of in the working of the Initiative is the obscurity and confusion, due to bad drafting, of the Bills proposed. This might be remedied in one of two ways. The proposers might be required to have their Bill prepared for them by a skilled official draftsman, or, seeing that the special object of the Initiative is to bring before the people some proposed enactment which the legislature refuses to embody in a law, this object might be attained by giving to the Initiative proposal the form, not of an English or American statute drawn out in full, but of a concise statement of the object to be attained, with a command to the legislature to prepare a Bill dealing with

The number of 30,000 was fixed in 1874 as required for a Referendum in the Sum of Confederation and 50,000 (as 1871) for an Institute, but the population has so much increased since those disce (from 2,70,000 and 4,100,000 to ever 4,000,000, that the collection of signatures has become much easier have been fewer in recent plans.

the matter which would be in due course submitted to a

popular voting. 3 When any Bill is to be submitted, every voter should be officially supplied not only with the text of the measure to be voted on, but also with an explanation

of its purpose and a summary, concise and impartial, of its provisions.

4. In the case of an Institutive, the proposed law should be submitted to the Legislature for examination, and that body should be required to prepare a statement of its views upon the proposal, which statement should be officially circulated with the text of the Bill, the legislature being also further entitled to draft and circulate an alternative Bill, calculated to effect such of the aims of the Initiative proposal as it approves. Another plan would be

to entrust this function to a standing non-partisan Board 2 5. Some matters should be excluded from the operation of the Referendum, as for instance certain kinds of financial laws, and those which need immediate applica-To these some would add all treaties with foreign countries Whether Initiative proposals ought to be allowed to include Bills for imposing taxes and for granting money seems more than doubtful The danger

is obvious 6 The number of propositions to be submitted at the same voting ought not to be larger than a citizen of average knowledge and intelligence can fit himself to

vote upon 7 To prevent the frequent repetition of an Initiative proposal, a time might be fixed within which a proposal substantially the same as the one rejected should not be re-submitted 3

2 It has been objected to this suggestion that the opponents of a proposal intended to be subm tted might, when they heard it was coming hurry on ahead of it a number of

¹ This is provided by the Const tution of Oklahoma, which restricts every statement to two thousand words d vided between supporters and opponents

Another reason for having Bills proposed by Initiative carefully scrutinized is that in same of the American States attempts have been made to improve the prospects of the measure by putting in its forefront certain catching proposals, while hiding away, sometimes in words carefully chosen to conceal the effect oth r proposals likely to rouse opposition being of the kind called colloquially ' jokers

474 8. Inasmuch as the object of the Referendum is to elicit the opinion—if he has one—of the individual citizen, the influence of party organizations upon popular votings ought, so far as possible, to be reduced; and it would conduce to this if the votings were fixed for a time when no important election of a party character, such as the election of members of a Legislature, or of a President or other high official, is to take place.

or other high official, is to take place.

9. To prevent important decisions from being determined by a minority of the whole people it might be provided that no Intrative should be carried at a voting in which less than a prescribed percentage, say three-fifths, of the qualified citizens took part.

10. Since in countries so large as France or Great Britain votings cannot be frequent, for the expenditure of time and money would be prohibitive, it would seem desirable to define the class of Bills on which a Reference of the second of the seco

endum might be demanded. But can any definition be devised? In countries which, like Britain, have no constitution embodied in one instrument, there is no means of deciding what is a Fundamental Law; and in case it were thought proper to determine by a popular vote differences of opinion between two legislative Chambers, who shall say what differences are sufficiently important to warrant recourse to this solution? Some mechanical method such as that of requiring a prescribed number of citizens, or of members of the Legislature, to demand a Referendum might be adopted, but such a provision would be open to abuse, for it may be assumed that mne politicians out of ten will resort to any device for embarrassing an appropriate address and form antagonist or delaying a defeat,

Two serious difficulties stand in the way of the use of the Referendum in large populations such as those of France, Italy, and Great Britain. One is that of determining the cases in which a Referendum may be demanded. In France, if the Swiss precedent were to

other proposals which would take precedence and jostle it out of the way. There are, however, methods of preventing this artifice, for the discussion of which I cannot here find space.

be followed, the number of signatures required to support a demand for Referendum would exceed 300,000, so the verification of signatures would be an almost impossible task, yet a necessary one, if the plan were to the activity of organizations could bring up the voters to the poll, the campaigns for and against a measure would fall into the hands of party or class organizations, and a large percentage of the votes given would come from persons who took little real interest in the matter, which means that the best-organized party would usually win, and the chief aim of a Referendum, that of eliciting the genuine judgment of the citizens themselves, rather than opinions imposed on them by their would-be guides and by the pressure of numbers, would not be attained

A nation which, encouraged by Swiss and American experience in Popular Legislation, desires to follow in the same path, must begin by considering the conditions favourable or unfavourable to the experiment 1

The first of these is the size and population of the country The larger these are, the more costly and less satisfactory will popular votings be, for the influence of party or class organizations will be greater since without them it is hard to "get out the vote" 2 Swiss success has been largely due to the comparatively small voting areas

CH IVV

In a country where the citizens are divided by sharp antagonisms of race or religion, voting will tend to follow the lines of those divisions and the citizens be less likely to deliver an independent and well-considered opinion on the merits of a proposal

¹ The interest ng and well drawn Const tut on of the Republic of Czecho Slovakia (a R gid Const tutton since it can be altered only by a majority of three fifths in each Chamber) enables (sect on 46) the Govennment, if unan mous to submit to a Referendum a Eu presented by the Government which the Parliament has rejected.

² It may be thought in a popular voting many cit zens of moderate views will a nee they can do so safely because secretly break away from the r class and party would happen in Sw trerland and America but be less probable in most European countries. In Australia the strong organization of the Labour Linous has given the . Labour Party no small advantage for the purpose of a popular vote

476

Where classes stand opposed to one another by social antagonism or conflict of economic interests, and where each class reads only the newspapers that make it their business to state its case and support its claims, the tendency to class solidarity in voting may be strong enough to make a popular vote, especially where the voting masses are large, grow into a menacing crisis. In a legislative body each side has to listen to the arguments of the other, and bitterness is mittigated by friendly personal relations between opposing politicians; but a struggle in which the whole nation directly takes part knows no such mitigations.

The value of Direct Legislation in its working depends largely upon the amount of power party organization and party spirit exert. If they are weak, or do not interfere when the voting is on Laws, there is a good chance of getting the real and independent judgment of the citizens; otherwise not. In Switzerland and the American States aforesaid these favouring conditions are present. They are, of course, most likely to be present in times of comparative quiet, when no vital issues rouse excitement, and men are less disposed to blindly follow a party leader.

Thus it may be said that Direct Legislation is most likely to give good results in a small country, with a homogeneous population, intelligent and unemotional, not dominated by party organizations or inflamed by party bitterness. These are the conditions under which all democratic governments, great and small, have the best chance to flourish. As the function of direct lawmaking carries the citizens of a democracy a step further than that of choosing representatives who shall act on their behalf, the best proof of their civic competence is found in the successful discharge of this function. far Switzerland alone has given that proof, her advantages having been such as few countries possess and few can hope to acquire.

I have not dwelt, because it is obvious, on what is, if not the greatest, the most incontestable merit

or against it?

claimable for Direct Legislation It is unequalled as an instrument of practical instruction in politics. Every voting compels the citizen who has a sense of civic duty to try to understand the question submitted, and reach a conclusion thereon Many, sometimes even a half, fail to come to the polls, yet even these may derive some benefit from the public discussion that goes on Everybody can listlessly read articles in the press or listen to speeches in a meeting, but thinking is strengthened and clarified and concentrated when it leads up to a plain issue. It is a good thing for the citizen to be relieved from the pressure of those personal or party predilections which draw him to one candidate or another and to be taken out of the realm of abstract ideology to face concrete proposals. Here is a plan which throws on him the responsibility of declaring a definite opinion on a specific proposition, forcing him to ask himself, Is it sound in principle? Will it work? Shall I vote for the

CHAPTER LXVI

THE RELATION OF CENTRAL TO LOCAL GOVERNMENT

In an earlier chapter (Chapter XIII) something has been said of the origin of self-government in small communities, and of the service it renders to democracy by implanting a sense of civic duty in the citizens and training them to discharge it. We have here to consider in the light of the facts described as existing in the several countries dealt with in Part II., but without repeating details there given, (a) what it is that a large democratic State may gain from the existence within it of a system of local self-government; (b) how governmental functions should be distributed as between the Central and the Local Authorities; (c) what is the best form in which democratic principles can be applied to the creation of the latter authorities; and (d) what defects in the working of local governments need to be guarded against.

In countries which, like France, Britain, and Australia, are governed by representative assembles it is desirable to relieve, so far as possible, the strain upon the Central Government. A practically omnipotent legislature is liable to sudden fluctuations of opinion, and the fewer are the branches of administration which such fluctuations disturb, the more regular and stable will be the general course of affairs. Those of national importance must of course the dealt with by the National legislature, but there are many matters in which uniformity is not required, and the more there are left to local control the less will repre-

CH LXVI ADMINISTRATIVE PATRONAGE 479
sentatives be drawn away from national work. Where local
discontents arise, it is better for them to find vent in the
local area rather than encumber the central authority.

Under a federal system of government such as that of the United States, Canada Switzerland, where many matters are left to be settled by State, or Provincial, or Cantonal assemblies, controversial issues are divided

between those assemblies and the central national legis lature, and a political conflict in the latter need not coincide with other conflicts in the former. The same principle holds true with regard to local authorities in smaller areas, such as the country or municipality. Men opposed in national politics may work together harmoniously in the conduct of country or municipal business, as happens in Switzerland and England, and to a large extent in the United States also

a large extent in the United States also

The wider the scope of a central government's action, so much the larger is the number of the persons employed in the administrative work it directs, and the larger therefore the patronage at its disposal Patronage is a powerful political engine, certain to be used for party purposes wherever admission to the civil service and promotion therein are not controlled by rules which secure competence through examinations administered by a non partisan authority. The fewer temptations to the abuse of patronage are left within the grasp of the central authority, necessivily partisan in all the countries we have been studying, the fewer abuses will there be. The

in the Federal service, but the evils would have been even greater had the same party been steadily supreme at the same time in the National Government and in the local governmental areas

Elementary education is a branch of administration assigned in some countries to a central, in others to a local authority. The argument for giving it to the latter is strong because the interest of parents in the instruction of their children ought to be stimulated by the function of

United States suffered until recent years from the so called Spoils system, applied in municipalities as well as choosing the local school authority as well as by the tight of representing to it any local need or grievance. This function they have enjoyed in the United States, Canada, and New Zealand, as well as in Switzerland and Great Britain, but to a much smaller extent in France, Australia, and Ireland. Reformers, impatient with the slackness and parsimony common among local authorities, have, however, been everywhere advocating State intervention, insisting that the reluctance of the local citizen to spend freely makes it necessary to invoke the central government, both to supervise schools and to grant the money from the national treasury for the to grant the money from the national treasury for the salaries of teachers and various educational appliances. Here, as is often the case, the choice is between more rapid progress on the one hand and the greater solidity and hold upon the average citizen's mind which institutions draw from being entrusted to popular management.

In some countries possessing a highly trained civil service each department tends to lay undue stress upon uniformity, becomes attached to its settled habits, dislikes novelties, contracts bureaucratic methods, and may assume towards the private citizen a slightly super-cilious air. Progress is retarded because experiments are discouraged. Popular interest flags because popular interference is resented, and officials fall out of touch with general sentiment. The more the central bureaucracy controls local affairs, the wider will be the action of these tendencies.

of these tendencies.

Lastly we come to another benefit, of a more theoretical aspect, yet with real value, which local self-governing institutions may secure. They contribute to the development of local centres of thought and action. Many a country has had reason to dread the excessive power of its capital city. There ought to be many cities, each cherishing its own traditions, each representing or

^{1.} Exceptions are the United States and Canada, in which the opinion of the capital cities is practically that only of the legislatures and officials, and Australia, where the future political capital (Canberra) is still a mere vallage.

CH. LXVI EXPENSES OF PUBLIC WORKS 481 embodying a certain type of opinion, and each, instead of

taking its ideas submissively from the capital, supporting tournals of the first excellence in point of news supply and intellectual force Such cities will be all the more useful in forming independent centres of opinion if they have also strong local governments which enlist the active service of their leading citizens of all classes

France has in Lyons, Marseilles, and Bordeaux cities capable of fulfilling this function, and in the German Empire the influence of Berlin was qualified or counterbalanced by that of Munich, Frankfurt, Hamburg, Leipzig, Dresden, Cologne Upon the much-debated question whether the construction of public works not of evident national

importance should be left to local authorities, their cost being defrayed out of local taxation, or whether this duty and burden should be undertaken by a central government, some light is thrown by the experience of France, Canada, New Zealand, and the United States In all these countries a wide door has been opened to political intrigue and corruption by the practice of voting large sums for so-called 'local improvements" from the national treasury in order to win support for the repre-

sentative who presses for the grant of money and for the ministry which proposes of supports it In the United States immense sums are wasted annually in this way, demoralizing both the legislature and the constituencies Nobody is the better off in the end, but each locality, desiring to throw upon the State the cost of a work which it would otherwise have to pay in local taxation, forgets that in the long run it pays as much by the additional national taxation to which it contributes, indeed perhaps pays more, because it frequently happens that the improvements" asked for are not needed, and are being undertaken for political reasons only. This is a

that the cost of works undertaken solely for the benefit

habit to which democratic governments are specially prone, because the keepers of the public purse yield to the demands which representatives make The principle

TOT IT

of a locality ought, in the interest of economy, to be defrayed out of local funds, would seem irresistible were it not for the fact that in many great cities a large majority It not to the fact that in many great cutes a large subject of the voters, since they pay no local taxes, have no interest in thrifty management, and willingly support a council which spends lavishly on local purposes and wins popu-larity thereby. Where this happens the tax-paying class may think itself safer in the hands of the Central Government.

In the six countries examined in Part II. all the higher judges are appointed by the Central Government, as they are in Britain, but in some States of the American Union counties and cities are allowed to choose their judges, which they do by popular election, with results not always satisfactory. The detachment of the Bench not only from party politics but from all local influences is so evidently desirable that the choice of judges by

local voting is a risky experiment.

482

Of such public institutions as prisons, reformatories, and lunatic asylums it is enough to say that their management by a central Government is likely to be more scientific and skilful than that of most local authorities would be, while not less economical. The questions that relate to pauperism are more difficult. Where the indigent have a legal claim to relief, to throw the cost of that relief on national funds while leaving the administration of it in local hands would be to invite extravagance and waste. If the locality dispenses the locality ought to pay, especially if outdoor relief is given. This question, which was a grave one for England ninety years ago, has fortunately little importance in other English-speaking countries or in Switzerland.

Whether the maintenance of public order should be entrusted to a national force, such as the gendarmerie in France and Italy and the Royal Constabulary in Ireland, or to local county and municipal authorities as in most English-speaking countries, is a question which will be answered according to the varying conditions of each nation. Experience seems to show that the less the. police acquire the character of an army the better, and that character is more easily avoided when they are (as in England) raised, controlled, and paid by local authorities. There have, however, been in America city governments in which politics had so much infected police management that the State felt itself obliged to create within a city a police force under its own orders. Apart from this case, and the exceptional case of Ireland, the practice of English-speaking countries seems justified by the results ¹

The composition or organization of local authorities in rural areas needs only a few sentences, for in all English speaking countries, except the United States, and also in France and Switzerland, the plan of elected councils has been adopted, whereas in many States of the American Union there is no elected council for a county, each executive official being chosen by direct popular election for a particular branch or branches of work, his duties wherein are prescribed by the laws of the State This plan has the disadvantage of disjoining from each other the various administrative departments, and leads to laxity in administration, because the only means of enforcing responsibility is by prosecuting an offending official 2. The smaller unit called the Town (corresponding to the smaller communes of Continental Europe) is better provided for, because although each branch of local business is handled by elected officers, who may act independently, the area is so small that their conduct can be watched and reviewed in the annual Town meeting, a popular primary assembly 3 In other English-speaking countries the counties, and any small areas such as the parish, are administered by elected councils, who appoint and supervise the officials This is also the case in Switzerland and in France, where, however, the Central Government exercises a large measure of control 4

Municipal government presents more difficult prob-

¹ The police of London ste directed by the Central Government, but it is a due partly to the minease are of that city whose suburbs stretch far out into four count or partly to its being the capital of the country

2 See Vol II p 106

2 See Vol II p 14

3 See Vol II p 316

lems, especially where the pooter sections of a large population inhabit one part or parts of the city, while the richer live in other parts or in the suburbs. In Canada, Australia, and New Zealand, as in Great Britain, boroughs and cities are governed by popularly elected councils, while the mayor is chosen by the council (except in some Canadian cities where he is elected by the people), and administration is carried on by committees of the council directing the officers whom it appoints. This system has, as a rule, been worked efficiently and honestly in Great Britain and New Zealand, while in Canada and (to a less extent) in Australia there have been occasional lapses into corruption or malversation. In Germany also there are elected councils, but their duty is not themselves to administer, but to supervise the trained permanent officials who handle the departments. The economy and practical success of this method are unquestioned, but some observers deem it too bureaucratic.

The Swiss system, which resembles that of Great Britain and her Dominions in assigning management to elected councils, differs therefrom by its free use of the direct popular vote or Referendum, by which measures of importance are submitted to the people for their approval or rejection. This plan works well, the cities being of moderate size, none with a population exceeding 200,000. Administration is efficient, economical, and honest. In France also every commune (a city as well as a rural area is a commune) has its popularly elected council, the authority of which is, however, limited by a right of interference allowed to the National Government. The abuses which have occurred in a few of the largest cities furnish justification for this check.¹

It is in the United States cities that we find the most numerous and striking illustrations of the maladies to which democratic government is liable, but he who seeks to draw general conclusions from the scandals which have occurred there must remember how exceptional

¹ See as to French cities, Vol. 1 p 317-

485

CH LXVI their circumstances have been. The cities have grown with extraordinary swiftness by the influx of masses of ignorant immigrants from Europe, and these immigrants,

having no experience of politics and no social ties with the native American population, become an easy prey to the wiles of the unscrupulous leaders of party organiza-

tions Having started with a system which left all power in the hands of elected councils upon whose members it was hard to fix responsibility, the Americans have been driven to withdraw power from these large bodies, and transfer it either to a popularly elected mayor possessing a wide discretionary authority or to small commissions acting (in many cases) through a business manager whom they appoint These experiments are valuable contributions to the science of practical politics Let it be added that American reformers prefer the plan of electing the commissioners by a general vote over the city to the other method, generally followed in the British Dominions, of elections in wards (divisions of the city), holding that the general ticket gives less scope for intrigue and secures better men

Party organization and the microbe of party spirit, apparently endemic in National governments where large issues of policy have to be decided at elections, would be transient and practically negligible phenomena in local government were it not for the habit, old and strong in the United States, and often found in the municipalities of France and England, of fighting local elections on the issues of National party politics, even when these have nothing to do with the work of the councils to be chosen at those elections This habit exists in the elections to the councils of departments and arrondissements in France, but scarcely at all in those of county councils in Great Britain, or of communal authorities in Switzerland Three results which have proved harmful in America naturally follow The minds of the electors are diverted from the personal ments of the candidates and from the local questions which the candidates, if elected, will

have to deal with, to national partisan issues The

members of councils when elected are apt to act together as parties in those bodies, and such patronage as lies in the gift of a council is liable to be misused for partisan purposes, i.e. bestowed upon persons because they have served the party rather than because they are qualified to serve the city.

The plan has, however, been defended on the ground that it draws men of ability and ambition into local affairs, gives them a chance of showing their quality, opens a door to success in national politics. Without party guidance, moreover, the voter will not, at least in large populations, know whom to vote for, and the guidance which the party gives is worth something, since it must, for the sake of its own credit, put forward reputable candidates. Since a chief difficulty incident to municipal government is the reluctance of the leading men to devote their time and labour to work which interferes with the conduct of their own business and has little promise of any reward beyond the good of the city and the gratitude of fellow-citizens, the motive which party spirit and the prospect of an opening in national politics supply must be appealed to.¹ Nothing but the wish to serve his political party or to make his way in public life will suffice to induce a man, tired by a long day's work in his office, to take up a further burden and give his evenings to municipal committees in the centre of the town instead of seeking repose in his home far off in the suburbs. Many of the men who have risen highest in American politics, and a few who have attained like distinction in England, have begun in local politics a career which led them far.

There is weight in these arguments, yet on the balance of considerations it is better that bodies whose proper functions he in local matters should be kept free from the disturbing influence of questions foreign to their sphere. One of the values of local self-govern-

It may be suggested that some marks of honour might be bestowed on crizens who have rendered exceptionally good unpud public service, that there are, unfortunately few countries in which the National Government could be trusted to award such distinctions in a non-particus synt.

a town or district of bringing their knowledge and capacities into common stock for the benefit of the whole community, maintaining those friendly personal relations which befit neighbours, and not distracted by a desire for ulterior gains to their political party. When such gains become a motive, men are less scrupulous, suspicion thickens the air, a contentious spirit is engendered. There has emerged in recent years one question of national moment which, since it belongs also to the sphere of local government, furnishes grounds for party action there. Where the State has assumed some func-

tions previously either uncared for or left to private action, such as the conduct of a business, the housing action, such as the conduct or a business, the housing of the poor, the supply of milk, the provision of music or theatrical entertainments, the law may permit a local authority to carry out policies of this nature at the expense of the local taxpayers. When a Socialist or Labour Party runs its candidates for local office as well as for the national legislature upon a platform including these policies, other political parties who resist such policies put forward their candidates also and use their party organizations in the electoral campaign, so that the elections inevitably take a party colour. If it is suggested hat the national legislature should determine by general statutes the principles involved, and leave to local authorities only the mode of carrying them out, it may be answered that even in the application of such laws many concrete cases must arise on which Socialists and In dividualists will differ, so that each party will have a legitimate motive for trying to secure the election of its own adherents

The experience of the United States, conspicuous by the number and variety of experiments tried in local government, suggests some conclusions fit to be considered in Europe, in Canada, and in Australasia

It is possible to have too many elections When there are many posts to be filled, whether elective offices or seats in administrative councils, the number of pollings

and the number of persons to be chosen at the polls becomes so large that the voter, unable to give an independent and intelligent vote, either stays away in weariness or votes blindly at his party's bidding.

488

Municipal administration has become more and more a business matter for experts in such sciences as sanitation and engineering. The chief duty of an elected council has therefore come to be that of appointing and supervising the permanent officials, and for this a comparatively small council can well suffice even in a large city.

The American and Swiss practice of submitting questions of moment to a popular Referendum has worked with results generally if not always satisfactory, and might if applied in Europe, at least in municipalities not exceeding a million of population, stimulate public interest and help towards a better definition of policy is municipal administration.

Human nature being what it is, favouritism and

jobbery may always be expected, and the larger and richer communities grow, the greater will temptations be, so the one thing needful is to fix the constant attention of the people on the conduct of their affairs. Vigilance I unceasing Vigilance I What was said in an English city where the management of the police by a Committee of the Council had given occasion for criticism, "Watch the Watch Committee," may be said of all Councils and Committees.

criticism, "Watch the Watch Committee," may be said of all Councils and Committees.

In European cities the duty of watching and criticizing municipal councils and officials is usually left to the press, but in American cities there are frequently associations of men, belonging to all political sections, who being well known and respected for their judgment and probity, render to the community the service not only of keeping an eye on municipal authorities, but that of recommending candidates to the citizens as worthy of confidence. Such a service is needed in those large European cities where the bulk of the electors do not and cannot know for whom to cast their votes in local contests.

It was in small communities that Democracy first arose it was from them that the theories of its first literary prophets and apostles were derived it is in them that the way in which the real will of the people tells upon the working of government can best be studied,

THE SMALL UNITS

480

CH LXVI

them that the way in which the real will of the people tells upon the working of government can best be studied, because most of the questions which come before the people are within their own knowledge. The industrial and commercial forces which draw men together into large aggregations seem to forbid the hope that small self-governing units may reappear within any period to which we can look forward. Yet who can tell what may come to pass in the course of countless years. War and the fear of war were the chief causes which destroyed the little States. If the fear of war could be eliminated there might be some chance of their return

CHAPTER LXVII

COMPARISON OF THE SIX DEMOCRATIC GOVERNMENTS EXAMINED The examination contained in Part II, of the institutions

which Democracy has given uself in different countries and of the phenomena which their working in each has shown needs to be completed by a comparison of those phenomena, for the rule of the people, taking in each different forms, has shown resemblances as well as diversities, both in the spirit which the institutions

evoked and in the tangible results that have followed. No democratic government is typical; each has its merits, each its faults; and a judgment on democratic institutions in general can be formed only by observing which faults are most frequent, and how far each of these is specially characteristic of Democratic government, or

rather belongs to Human Nature as displayed in politics. This chapter is meant to present the comparison in three ways:

First by noting the salient features of popular government in each of the six countries examined, and what each has contributed to political science in the way of example or warning.

of example or warning.

Next by showing in which of those countries, and to what extent in each, the faults commonly charged on

democratic government exist.

Thirdly by noting the presence in each country of what may be called the mental and moral coefficients. viz. those qualities in a people that help democracy to

CH. LXVII

491

in the largest measure the benefits which governments have been established to secure

I begin with a brief statement of the features most characteristic of each country

I SUMMARY VIEW OF SALIENT FEATURES

In France administration is highly centralized, much business which is in English-speaking countries left to local authorities being managed by officials who are appointed by and take their orders from the central government, so local self-government, narrowly circumscribed in its functions, and exciting little interest, does comparatively little for the political education of the people France, having so far as methods of administration go, preserved the inheritance of the old monarchy, is the least democratic of democracies, for State authority is strong against the individual citizen. Yet although Government is strong, Ministries are unstable, because dependent on majorities in the legislature which fluctuate under the influence, sometimes of party passion, sometimes of personal intrigues The legislature, or rather its directly elected branch, the Chamber of Deputies, is master of the political situation, and its individual members control individual Ministers, obtaining from them as the price of their support favours for their respective constituencies, and by means of these favours holding their own seats In matters of moment the Second Chamber, largely composed of able and experienced men with a longer tenure of their seats, exerts a useful guidance or restraint, and the high average quality of the Civil Service makes administration efficient

Behind both deputies and Ministers stand the great financiers, powerful through their wealth and the influence at enables them to exert apar the newspapers. Their influence, though sometimes steadying, can also be baneful, for it may induce the sacrifice of national interests to private interests, and it has sometimes

enveloped public men in a mist of suspicions. The sky is seldom free from signs of storm, for fifty years of republican government have not assuaged the bitterness which divides the various parties, yet the faults of politics which sometimes seem to be a game played in the legislature by a comparatively small class, have not seriously affected the strength and progress of the nation. Foreigners have judged France too much by its politics and its politicians, underrating its spirit and vitality and stability.

The emergence of strong overwingstones adventions.

The emergence of strong organizations advocating communistic doctrines, and accentrating antagonism between classes, are phenomena now visible all over the world, and the revolutionary movements thence arising would be more threatening under a less popular constitution. French democracy, with difficulties to face greater than any that have tested the other countries we have surveyed, has nevertheless brought the nation safely through a time of unprecedented perils.

Stytizefland presents a striking contract. Not have

Switzerland presents a striking contrast. Nowhere is administration so decentralized, for functions and is administration so decentralized, for functions and powers are parcelled out not only between the Federal and the Cantonal Governments, but also between the Cantons and the Communes. The people are called upon to take a more direct and constant part in public work than any other State requires from its citizens, being accustomed to review by their votings the measures passed by their legislatures; and the citizens can, by the Initiative, put forward, without consulting those bodies, legislative proposals which popular voting adopts or rejects. The practice of local self-government has trained the people to fulfil these functions efficiently, keeping their attention fixed upon those who represent them in their assembles or are entrusted with official business. Party spirit is comparatively free from virulence; elections have roused little passion; the same member is returned time after time to the legislatures; the

¹ I speak of France as it was in 1914, for the time that has passed since the Great War ended has been too short to judge what effect it has had upon politica.

same members are retained for many years in the same members are retained for miny years in the Administrative Councils. The less agreeable side of what may be called "small scrile politics" appears in the petty intrigues which affect elections to minor posts in some communes and Cantons. Though the absence of corruption, both in the Federal and in Cantonal Governments, and the high standard maintained in public life for many years, are partly due to the absence of those temptations which men of great wealth can apply to politicians, much must also be ascribed to the vigilance of public opinion in small communities In no democracy has the power of money counted for so little, in none has political life had so few prizes to offer But after all, the most interesting lesson it teaches is how traditions and institutions, taken together, may develop in the average man, to an extent never reached before, the qualities which make a good citizen—shrewdness, moderation, common sense and a sense of duty to the community It is because this has come to pass in Switzerland that democracy is there more truly democratic than in any other country

As France shows at its maximum the power of the legislature, and Switzerland the power of the body of citizens voting directly, so the United States is the best example of the strength which party organizations can attain and the control they can wield Legal authority, divided between the Federal Government and the Governments of the several States, is in both divided also between the elected Executive and the two also between the elected necessary and the two elected houses of the legislature, the frequently recurring differences between which complicate both ad ministration and legislation. Such co-operation as is needed to make the machinery work is created by the party organizations, which nominate for election

In Brita o all o the legulature, or rather the House of Commons is legally supreme but in place to smuch cont olled by the Cab net who can disolve the and can appeal to the party organizations over the country to recule members to reoder steady support. Though as Bagethot observed, a committee of Parliament they a.c.a. Ru ng Committee

PT. 111

both the representatives and (in the several States) the higher officials in each State as well as its Executive head; and as persons elected in the same area at the same time usually belong to the same party, both officials and representatives are expected to carry out its policy. The work which the organizations have to discharge has called into being a large class of professional politicians who live off the offices which they are able to secure for themselves and the various gains which fall to those who can exert private influence. Next to the power of Party, the most salient features of the United States system are the wide application of popular election to the choice of officials, including judges, and the recent introduction in many States of direct popular legislation in the form of Initiative and Referendum, as also of direct popular action on administration in the provisions for the Recall of executive and judicial officials by popular vote. Thus the inordinate number of elections throws on the voter more work than he can properly discharge.

Two of the faults charged on government in the United States are due to exceptional causes. That the Money Power has attained such huge proportions as to assail the virtue of officials and demoralize some State legislatures, must be largely ascribed to the prodigious fortunes which the swift development of a new country's resources created, the possessors of which found it worth while to buy favours from politicians who had them to sell. Simularly, the worst scandals of municipal misgovernment appeared where a sudden influx of old-world immigrants flooded cities that were already growing fast, phenomena unforeseen by those who granted universal suffrage to ignorant crowds who had no interest in honest and economical administration. These supervenient factors have told heavily against the working of democratic institutions. As against the evils they have caused must be set two points in which the institutions of the country have won the praise of foreign observers. One is the action of the Federal Courts in

so interpreting and prudently developing the Constitution as to enable it to work well under new conditions that have imposed a heavy strain upon it Another is the practice of local self-government, which, diffusing an amount of political knowledge and creating a sense of civic responsibility, is surpassed only in Switzerland It has helped to develop that public spirit which has from time to time, and notably in recent years, carried through movements of sweeping reform by which the political atmosphere has been purified

Canada, Australia, and New Zealand have in common the English frame of parliamentary government, but their economic and social conditions are sufficiently dissimilar to have imprinted a different character on its

working in each country In Canada two-thirds of the population live by work on the land, and nearly all the farmers own the soil they till This has given stability to political parties and to the government as a whole Ministries last on an average ten times as long as does a Ministry in France or in Australia The legislatures, especially in the Provinces, have not fully maintained the best traditions received from England, for both they and some members of the administrations they install in power have been suspected of abusing their position Responsibility is, however, pretty well secured by the power of ques tioning and dismissing Ministers, justice is honestly administered, order is effectively maintained over a vast and thinly peopled Western territory, and the difficulties which the presence of two races speaking different languages presents have been surmounted

In Australia, where nearly half the population is gathered into a few great cities, the wage-earning class has been fully organized and obtained a political power which in other countries it is still only seeking The rich, among whom there are no millionaires, take little part, at least openly, in politics Frequent and hard fought strikes have roused class antagonisms The Labour Party created in the legislatures caucuses which, working along with the Trade Councils outside, obtained complete control of the Federal Parliament, and at one time or another of each of the State Parliaments; at one time or another or any of the essence of parlia-mentary government may be destroyed with an apparent respect for its forms. Bold experiments in extending State action to industrial undertakings and in fixing wages by State authority have been tried by Labour Ministries and by others which depended on Labour support, but, except during strikes, law and order as well as a creditable standard of administrative efficiency and judicial purity have been maintained.

New Zealand has an agricultural landowning popula-tion larger in proportion to the whole than in Australia, but smaller than in Canada. The urban hand-workers, though they have never obtained a majority in Parliament, have been strong enough to secure legislation which in some points anticipated that of Australia in extending State functions, fixing wages, and taking over branches of business or industrial production. Parties, less organized than in Australia, have been less strictly disciplined. As the dominance of the parliamentary caucus has been Australia's most distinctive contribution to the art of politics, so has State Socialism been the contribution of New Zealand. Ministries have been stable, and public business not ill-managed, though with scant regard to economy and a tendency to purchase parliamentary support by improvident grants to local purposes. Apart from this form of jobbery, government has been honest, and except among the wage-earners, who show their discontent by frequent strikes, a spirit of general good-will bears witness to the country's prospenty.

In these three British Self-governing Dominions members of the legislatures receive salaries, but no class of professional politicians has arisen except in so far as the officials of Trude or Labour Unions, occupying themselves with politics as well as with purely industrial

matters, can be so described.

II DEFECTS OBSERVABLE IN THE SIX GOVERNMENTS

497

(a) Instability of the Executive Government owing to frequent changes

This, most conspicuous in France, has been conspicuous in Australia also, both in the Commonwealth and the State Governments. In the United States it is prevented by the constitutional arrangements which install an administration for a fixed period. It is not seen in Canada and New Zealand, and least of all in Switzer-

(b) Failure of the Executive to maintain law and order In America this is evident in some only of the States, where lynching and other disorders have been tolerated Against none of the other democracies is it chargeable Strike riots have been frequent in Australia, France, and New Zealand, to a less extent in Canada, and though such breaches of the law occur in all countries, they are doubtless more frequent and more serious where the fear of losing votes by offending strikers deters an Executive from action

(c) Administrative extravagance

land

Economy, once expected to be among the strong pounts of democracy, has proved to be its weakers Financial waste is worst in the United States National Government, owing to the desire to win votes by grants from the public treasury to localities, but the same evil is rampant in Canada and New Zealand, and to a less extent in France and Australia (A) Want of honesty in Administrators, Legislators, and

(a) Want of honesty in Administrators, Legislators, and Voters

Though no democracy has sunk so low as either the ancient republics or many autocracies, such as those of Russia, Turkey, and China, the atmosphere has not been altogether wholesome in France, in Canada, and in many of the American States In the United States Federal Government the tone is now satisfactory Bribery occurs sporadically in the United States and Canada, but to a less extent than it did in England before that country

PT. III

498

had been democratized. Australia, New Zealand, and Switzerland have a good record.

(e) Faulty Administration of Justice.

In many of the American States where the Judicial Bench is filled by popular election, the Judges are far from competent; and in a few they are suspected of corruption. Everywhere the administration of criminal justice is so defective that a very high authority has called it "a disgrace to American civilization." In France the inferior judges are not altogether trusted. In the other four countries the character of the Bench stands high.

(f) The spirit and power of Party.

Party spirit is no stronger in these democracies than it has often been under other governments, and it everywhere rises and falls according to the circumstances of the time. Party organization is a comparatively new phenomenon, first developed in the United States, where a strong and skilfully constructed system grew up between 1826 and 1860 It has rendered some services, but far greater disservices, in the land of its birth, and has been more or less imitated in Australia, New Zealand, Canada, and Great Britain, in all of which it is possibly the source of more evil than good. In France it counts for little, and in Switzerland for less.

(g) Professionalism in Politics.

The growth of a class which makes its living out of politics, due partly to the number of persons needed to work a party organization and partly to the existence of legislative and administrative posts sought as a livelihood and obtainable by party patronage, tends to pervert and even debase politics by making it a business occupa-

procedure.

President Taft speaking at Chicago in 1909, quoted in Mr Moorfield Storey's book, The Referra of Legal Procedure

A full and careful examination of this subject may be found in the work of Mr Raymond B Fordick, American Police Systems, published while these sheets were passing through the gress in Nov 1920. He remarks with truth that the mefficiency of the police in the United States as compared with Europe is largely due to the immense mass of foreign-born population; but this fact does not excuse the faults of criminal

tion, in which the motive of civic duty is superseded by the desire of private gain The class, large in the United States, exists in the other democracies, again excepting Switzerland, but is nowhere numerous, though it may increase with that raising of legislative silanes, recently effected in France and Australia, and now demanded in Britain, which makes a seat in the legislature more destred

(h) The power of wealth

Democracy was expected to extinguish this ancient evil, for every citizen is interested in preventing men from using money to secure gains for themselves at the expense of the community It has, however, proved as noxious in republics as it was in the days when the favourites of kings could be bribed, though the methods now in use are less direct. Of the six countries, the United States has been that in which money has been most generally powerful during the last sixty years, France that in which it is probably most powerful now, while Canada comes next, Australia, New Zealand, and Switzerland being practically exempt, though of course a party or a group of men with ample funds for elections and able to run newspapers in its interest enjoys everywhere an advantage

III PRESENCE OR ABSENCE OF FAVOURING CONDITIONS

We have so far been considering the results which democratic institutions, differing more or less in their features, have produced in six countries These results have, however, been due not merely to the greater or less excellence either of the institutions or of the external conditions of the countries described, but also to the intellectual capacity and public spirit of the peoples that work them Let some paragraphs be therefore given to this branch of the comparison

(a) The intelligence of the Average man, and the sense of civic duty which leads him to try to understand and vote honestly upon the questions submitted at

PT. III

500 elections, are most largely developed in Switzerland, next in the United States, in Canada, and in New Zealand, with Australia perhaps a little behind. In France it is certainly not intelligence that is deficient, but a feeling among the peasantry and petite beurgeoisie that every citizen ought to make his opinion felt and his voice heard. As nothing approaching an absolute quan-titative test can be applied to determine the volume of the health-giving ozone of public spirit in the atmosphere, one can do no more than conjecture whether it is inseemed to me to be growing, though slowly, while improvement is perhaps most evident in the United

States, where a reforming spirit is abroad. (b) The extent to which the best-educated class, including many besides those who would be called the intellectual élite of the nation, exert themselves in public affairs, is to be measured not merely by their taking a hand in legislative or administrative work, but also by the contributions they make to thought on public questions and by their influence in the formation of national opinion.

Here the results of observation are disappointing. The extension of the functions of government and the increasing magnitude and complexity of the subjects falling within those functions have not elicited a corresponding will to serve the community on the part of those best fitted to serve it. In some countries one is told of a decline; but this may be because the want is more felt, not because the supply has fallen off there is not less water, but more thirst. It is in France that public life seems to draw out most brilliance of talent, in Switzerland the most of sober wisdom. In none of the other countries does the traveller feel that the class to which wealth or knowledge or capacity gives social influence is doing its full duty to the State. Administrative work attracts a fair number of competent men, but neither the legislatures nor more than a few of the Ministers seem equal totheir tasks. The causes of this, already explained in the accounts given of each country, are much the same everywhere, but have in some been increased by the disposition to require from candidates a pledge to speak and vote with the majority of their party, whatever their individual opinion, a pledge which men of spirit refuse to give It was thought, fifty years ago, that the extension of the suffrage and the growth of the sentiment of equality, coupled with the diffusion of education and the cheapening of elections, would draw new streams of talent, energy, and unselfish patriotism into the service of the State But this has nowhere happened Though the number of those who, belonging to classes formerly excluded, have now entered the legislatures, has increased, and though legislation is everywhere directed far more than formerly towards ameliorating the conditions of health and labour, there is no more talent no more wisdom, no more of the disinterested zeal which subordinates all other interests to the common good The more educated class, to whatever political party they belong, are in many countries heard to complain that public life is being vulgarized, that the laws which determine national prosperity are being misunderstood or ignored because abstract theories and vigue sentimentalities fill the public mind, and that social classes are being alienated from one another for want of mutual under standing and the sense of a common interest If and so far as there is any truth in these complaints, is not a principal cause to be found in the failure of the most educated and most thoughtful to take the part that belongs to them in public life?

oelongs to them in public line?

(c) The evistence of a sentiment of national unity and of an intelligently active public opinion. These two things go together, for if the former be weak, if the clashing of sectional interests and tenets diverts each section from its loyalty to the common good, sympathy a chilled and reciprocal comprehension lessened. I have dwelt in a previous chapter (Chapter XV) on

the advantages of government by Public Opinion as compared with the mechanical though indispensable methods of government by voting, and have sought to show that the value of Public Opinion depends on the extent to which it is created by that small number of thinking men who possess knowledge and the gift of initiative, and on the extent to which the larger body, who have no initiative but a shrewd judgment, 1 co-operate in diffusing sound and temperate views through the community, influencing that still larger mass who, deficient both in knowledge and in active interest, follow the lead given to them. Taking the rule of Opinion in this sense, it is most fully developed in Switzerland and the United States, rather less so in Canada and New Zealand. In France, great as is the devotion to national glory and the Sacred Soil, the assimilative and unifying influence of opinion is weakened by the sharp divisions on religious questions, as it is in Australia by a like division upon Labour and class issues, a source of acerbity which has begun to appear also in such countries as Belgium, Holland, and Italy. The diffusion from one country into another of new types of economic doctrine and new schemes for the regeneration of society preached by enthusiastic missionaries has increased those forces that disunite nations, as in the sixteenth century there were Protestants who renounced their loyalty to a Roman Catholic king, and Roman Catholics prepared to revolt against a Protestant. Such phenomena may be transient, but for the present they disintegrate national opinion and subject democracy to an unexpected strain.

Neither the presence nor the absence of the three conditions just enumerated can be ascribed to democratic institutions, for much depends on the racial qualities and the history of each people, but their presence or absence is nevertheless a credit or discredit to those institutions, because it indicates how far they tend to accompany and strengthen democracy, enabling the

machinery to play freely and smoothly without shocks and jars It is a sign that something is wrong with a government if it fails to attract to its service enough of such talent as the country possesses, it is an evidence of its excellence if the will of the people is amply and clearly brought to bear on the governing authorities through the means by which opinion expresses itself in the intervals between the moments when it is delivered at the polls

The examination and comparison made above have shown that however marked the differences are between one modern democracy and another, all have some defects in common Wherever rich men abound the power of money is formidable in elections and in the press, and corruption more or less present I will not say that wherever there is money there will be corruption, but true it is that Poverty and Purity go together The two best-administered democracies in the modern world have been the two poorest the Orange Free State before 1899 and the Swiss Confederation In every country but Switzerland financial administration is wasteful, and that form of political jobbery which consists in angling that form of pointed jovernity which consistencies to conspicuously rife. So too, the rise of a class of professional politicians must be expected if large salaries are paid to representatives Such a class grows in proportion to the work party organizations have to do, and patronage is misused for party purposes wherever lucra tive posts or so called honours are at the disposal of a party Executive These phenomena are all natural, the nevitable result of tendencies sure to operate where circumstances invite their action and only two, the habit of buying support by grants to localities and by bills intended to capture votes from some section of the voters, are directly due to the system of party govern-ment by the votes of the masses The existence of a class who make their living by politics, though ascribed to democratic government, is no worse than was the bestowal of places and pensions on Court favourites, or on the relatives or friends of Ministers, in monarchies

or oligarchies. Unscrupulous selfishness will have its way under one system as well as another.

These observations may be summed up by saying that the chief faults observable in the democracies

described are the following: (1) The power of money to pervert administration or legislation.

(2) The tendency to make politics a gainful profession.

(3) Extravagance in administration.

(4) The abuse of the doctrine of Equality and failure to appreciate the value of administrative skill,

(5) The undue power of party organizations.(6) The tendency of legislators and political officials to play for votes in the passing of laws and in tolerating breaches of order.

Of these faults, the first three have been observed in all governments, and the first not worse under Universal suffrage than it is to-day, though the forms of all three are now different and their consequences more serious; for the number of useless or undeserving persors who lived off the public revenue under the English oligarchy of the eighteenth century was smaller in proportion to the population than that of persons of the same type who live off it in the United States to-day, and the waste of public money in favours bestowed on constituencies and individuals under that English oligarchy or under the Prussian oligarchy down to 1914 was less in proportion to the total revenue than it is now in France or the United States or Canada. As the third fault is in Switzerland not visible, and the second only in a slight degree, these are plainly separable from democratic institutions. The evils attributable to the fourth, fifth, and sixth sources may be more definitely connected with popular government. The new democracies in particular suffer from an insufficient appreciation of the need in modern States of legislative and administrative knowledge and skill, an error particularly unlucky in nations which have been

piling upon the State new functions for the discharge of which knowledge and skill are required The years of rapid constitutional development in Australia and New Zealand coincided with the spread of new ideas in populations less well equipped for constructive work than were the older nations, and class antagonisms sprang up before a thoughtful and enlightened public opinion, able to profit by the lessons of experience, had time to establish itself as a ruling force. These things, however, may come the defects of new countries are less disheartening than the declensions of old countries

Democracy has opened a few new channels in which the familiar propensities to evil can flow, but it has stopped some of the old channels, and has not increased the volume of the stream No institutions can do more than moderate or mitigate these propensities, but that which they can do is sufficient to make it worth the while of those who frame constitutions or lead reforming movements to study the institutions which have in one country or another given good results Two dangers threaten all these six countries, and

indeed all modern democracies. One is the tendency to allow self-interest to grasp the machinery of government and turn that machinery to its ignoble ends The other is the irresponsible power wielded by those who supply the people with the materials they need for judging men and measures That dissemination by the printed word of untruths and fallacies and incite ments to violence which we have learnt to call Propa ganda has become a more potent influence among the masses in large countries than the demagogue ever was in the small peoples of former days. To combat these dangers more insight and sympathy, as well as more energy and patriotism, are needed than the so-called upper and educated classes have hitherto displayed

CHAPTER LXVIII

TYPES OF DEMOCRATIC GOVERNMENT

The forms which popular government have taken are many, and the future may see the emergence of others, though mankind shows singularly little inventiveness in this field of action compared to the resourceful ingenuity it evenes in adapting the forces of nature to its service.

This chapter may be confined to representative Frames of Government, since the direct rule of popular assemblies, universal in the ancient world, but applicable only to very small communities, has disappeared except in the Swiss Forest Cantons, while the direct action of the people by voting in large areas has been dealt with already. Among representative Governments three specially deserve to be studied-the Parliamentary and Cabinet System of Britain, which, reproduced in the British self-governing Dominions and France, has been more or less imitated in other European countries; the Presidential system of the United States, adopted in many of the other American republics, and the Executive Council system of Switzerland. As each of these has been described in the chapters of Part II. dealing with France, America, and Switzerland, this chapter is intended only to compare each with the others in respect of characteristic merits and defects. All these Frames have in common certain features, viz.:

1. They can exist (in essentials) either under a Republic or a (Nominal) monarchy, for the form of Monarchy which exists in such countries as Britain and the British self-governing Dominions, in Italy, Holland, Belgium, Sweden, Norway, and Spain, resembles the ornamental façade of a large public building behind which the work of the office is carried on in a number of rooms. the arrangement of which has nothing to do with the design of the façade

2 They can exist either under a Rigid Constitution embodied in a single Fundamental Instrument (as in the United States, Switzerland, and Australia) or under a Flexible Constitution, where all laws can be made and repealed by the same authority at any moment (as in Britain and New Zealand), or where there are only two or three Fundamental Laws easily changed (as in France)

3 They are all based on the doctrine of Popular Sovereignty, recognizing the people as the ultimate and only source of Power, to whomsoever it may delegate that power

4 As a consequence of this feature, the right of raising revenue and appropriating it to the several services of the State belongs in all these systems to the representatives of the people

5 They are all worked by political parties, this being what the old logicians called an Inseparable Accident, a quality not essential, but in fact always present

The distinctive features of each of these systems or Frames of Government may be concisely stated as follows

I The Cabinet or Parliamentary system has for its organs of government

(a) A (titular) Executive Head of the State, either elected for a term of years (as in France, Germany, Finland, Czecho-Slovakia, Poland, Esthonia, Portugal) or hereditary (as in Italy, Britain, Holland, Belgium, Greece, Norway), who is not responsible to the Legis

lature nor removable by it (b) A group of Ministers, virtually, if not formally, selected and dismissible by the representative Legis-

lature, and responsible to it This group, constituting the working Executive, is called the Cabinet, and its 508

members must, everywhere by custom and in some countries by law, be members of the Legislature.

(c) A Legislature, of one or two Chambers, elected by the citizens for a prescribed term of years but (in some countries) liable to be dissolved by the Executive Head, which means in practice the Cabinet.

II. The Presidential System consists of: (a) An Executive Head of the State, elected by the people for a term of years, removable (in many countries) by impeachment for grave offences, but otherwise irresponsible to the Legislature, not a member of the Legislature but entitled to address it, empowered to appoint and dismiss the chief officials and to conduct the external affairs of the country, though in these two functions the Legislature, or one branch of it, may be associated with him

(b) A group of Ministers, called the Cabinet, appointed and dismissible by the President, acting under his orders and responsible to him but not to the Legislature, and

incapable of sitting therein,1

(c) A Legislature, usually consisting of two Chambers, elected by the citizens for a term of years, and not dissoluble by the President. Their power of passing resolutions or statutes is subject (in the U.S. and some other countries) to a veto by the President, but (in the United States) any enactment so vetoed can be repassed and so become law by a majority of two-thirds in each Chamber.2

III. The Executive Council System, which for

brevity's sake I shall call the Swiss, consists of :

(a) A small Administrative Council chosen by the Legislature for a short term of years to carry on the executive business of the State under its direction, its members not sitting in the Legislature though allowed to address it.

(b) A Legislature, consisting in Switzerland of two

In Chile the Ministers are deemed to be responsible to the Legislature. A similar Frame of Government exists in all the States of the American Union

with the difference that in nearly all the States there are, instead of a Cabinet appointed by the State Governor, various administrative officials elected by the people, and that in some States the people can legislate by Initiative and reject laws by Referendum.

dissolution.1

(c) The People, se. the whole body of citizens, who can, when any constitutional amendment or law or resolution is submitted to them in pursuance of a demand proceeding from a prescribed number of citizens, approve or reject by their votes such enactment (Referendum), and who have also the power of enacting any proposal for a constitutional amendment, the submission of which has been demanded by a prescribed number of citizens (Initiative). The People are thus a second directly legislative authority, placed above the representative Legislature

In all these equally democratic forms of government the sovereign power of the people is delegated, being in the Parliamentary form delegated entirely to the Legislature, in the Presidential form delegated partly to the Legislature, partly to the (elected) Executive and partly reserved to the People when they act by amending the Constitution, while in the Swiss form it is divided between the Legislature and the People acting on the occasions when they are summoned to vote by Initiative

or Referendum 2

In comparing the aforesaid types three points have to be regarded

(a) Which of them succeeds best in giving prompt

and full effect to the Will of the People.

(b) Which is best calculated to guard against errors into which the people may be betrayed by ignorance,

haste, or passion. 1 With the Swiss system may be compared a kind of government occasionally

occurring in revolutionary times that of a Legislature ruling through or along with an Executive Committee chosen from its own body. This was tried by the Long Parl ament in England and by the French Convention in 1793 with the Committee of Publ c Safety, and later with the Directory overthrown by Bonaparte in 1799 Other interesting types of free constitutional government existed in the Orange

Free State and the South African Republic (Transvasi) before the South African War of 1899 In these the Pres dent, Head of the Executive, was elected by the citizent, could be removed by the Legislature could and constantly dd address it but had no vote in it, and was assisted by a small Council elected by the Legislature The scheme worked extremely well in the Free State which had a small population of intelligent fandowners scattered over a wide area A description of these Constitutions may be found in the Author's Studies in History and Jurisprudence, published in 1901 CABINET AND LEGISLATURE Pr. III

(c) Which secures the highest efficiency in adminis-

tration. The Parliamentary Type concentrates the plenitude of popular power in one body, the Legislature, giving to its majority that absolute control of the Executive which enables the latter, when supported by the Legis-lature, to carry out the wishes of the majority with the maximum of vigour and promptness. The only power which the Executive has against the Legislature is that of appealing to their common master the People at a general election; and in France the consent of the Senate is required for this purpose. The essence of the scheme is that the Executive and the majority in the Legislature work together, each influencing the other; the Cabinet being in fact an Executive Committee of the Legislature. The working of the scheme presupposes not only the existence of parties, but a sentiment of party unity strong enough to induce the majority in the Legislature to entrust a large discretion to the Cabinet, and to support it, except now and then in very grave matters, with a trustful loyalty which assumes its action to have been right till proved to have been wrong. The Cabinet on its side is bound to adhere to the principles which are dear to the party as a whole and to keep the majority in the Legislature in good humour, straining its loyalty no further than is absolutely necessary, and taking from time to time into its own body members of the majority who have won their way to the front.

The presence of Ministers in a Legislature has two other advantages. Being in constant contact with members of the Opposition Party as well as in still closer contact with those of their own, they have opportunities of feeling the pulse of the Assembly, and through it the pulse of public opinion, and can obtain useful criticism, given privately in a friendly way, of their measures, while the members can by their right of questioning Ministers call attention to any grievances felt by their constituents and can obtain information on current public questions. Like other things, the right to inter-

CH LXVIII RESPONSIBILITY 511

rogate is frequently abused, but any one who has been

a Minister in the British House of Commons values the means it gives him of correcting or contradicting erroneous statements, of refuting calumnies, of explaining the reasons for his administrative acts without being obliged to seek the aid of the newspapers.

This system is therefore calculated to secure swiftness in decision and vigour in action, and enables the Cabinet to press through such legislation as it thinks needed, and to conduct both domestic administration and foreign policy with the confidence that its majority will support it against the attacks of the Opposition To these merits there is to be added the concentration of Responsibility For any faults committed the Legislature can blame the Cabinet, and the people can blame both the Cabinet and the majority. In the long run the enforcement of Responsibility depends on the activity and sanity of public opinion in each party and the strength of its outside party This Parliamentary system renders an incidental service in bringing able men to the front, giving them a position from which they can catch the ear of the nation and show themselves qualified for office Power of speech is what first attracts notice. but if to that they add solid qualities of character-good sense, industry, loyalty, honesty—their colleagues in the I egislature come to respect them, and to trust them when they rise to be Ministers Moreover, the alternation of power from one party to another provides in the leaders of the Opposition men who can criticize with knowledge the policy of their successors, and who if called upon to

erience with them

As the actual working Executive has necessarily a party character, it is a merit of this system that the Nominal Executive, be he King or President, should stand outside party, and represent that permanent machinery of administration which goes on steadily irrespective of party changes. An elected President cannot so easily fill this rôle as can a hereditary king.

succeed those successors, bring in their turn some ex-

512 FAULTS DUE TO PARTY SYSTEM PT. III

though some Presidents have filled it well in France. When a Cabinet falls, the transfer of power to another is a comparatively short and simple matter. The Executive Head (i.e. in England the Crown, in France the President, in Canada or Australia the Governor-General) commissions the leader of the Opposition to form a new Ministry; the occupants of the chief offices are promptly changed, and the ship, having put about, is soon under way on her new course, commanded by a new captain, and all this may happen without the worry and cost of an election.

These merits of the Parliamentary system are balanced

by serious defects. The system intensifies the spirit of party and keeps it always on the boil. Even if there are no important issues of policy before the nation there are always the Offices to be fought for. One party holds them, the other desires them, and the conflict is unending, for immediately after a defeat the beaten party begins its campaign to dislodge the victors. It is like the incessant battle described as going on in the blood-vessels between the red corpuscles and the invading microbes. In the Legislature it involves an immense waste of time and force. Though in theory the duty of the Opposition is to oppose only the bad measures and to expose only the misdoings of the Administration, in practice it opposes most of their measures and criticizes most of their acts. Legislation is either, as in France, apt to be sacrificed to "interpellations" intended to damage the Cabinet, or, as in England, to be delayed and clogged by the interposition of party conflicts.

Debates over measures admittedly good are often vexatiously protracted merely in order to prevent the Ministry from carrying other measures which are dishked, or an angry Opposition may seek to damage it by so obstructing all business as to force them to present at the end of the session a sorry harvest of statutes.

Crediting the close association of Executive and

consequences may be unfortunate. In the eighteenth century the Ministry commanded a large section of the British House of Commons by means of pocket boroughs which the Crown held, or could obtain the use of from their owners In England, whenever a Ministry has a strong party organization at its beck and call, it can put pressure upon members through the local party com mittees in their constituencies, and it has happened in Italy that a Minister may in one way or another obtain

513

control by unseen methods over a large section of the representatives In France, on the other hand, it is Ministries that suffer, for members are able to extort all sorts of favours for their constituencies from Adminis trations whose instability compels them to angle for every possible vote, and in Australia a Labour Ministry is a passive instrument in the hands of a parliamentary caucus which is itself controlled by an organization out side Parliament A subservient Ministry loses the respect of the nation, as a dominant one lowers the credit of A system which makes the life of an Administration depend upon the fite of the measures it introduces disposes every Cabinet to think too much of what support it can win by proposals framed to catch the fancy of the moment, and to think too little of what the real needs of the nation are and it may compel the retire ment, when a bill is defeated, of men who can ill be

spared from their administrative posts The Cabinet system grew up in Britain when there were only two parties When between 1876 and 1906, there appeared a third and, somewhat later, a fourth, it worked less well The same thing happened in Australia after 1900, has since then happened in South Africa, and is now happening in Canada In France for many years past no Ministry has been able to hold office except by getting several groups to unite so as to form majority of the whole Chamber Group alliances are TOT. II

what chemists call an unstable compound, and when they dissolve, down goes the Ministry.1

Lastly, the very concentration of power and swiftness with which decisions can be reached and carried into effect is a source of danger. There is no security for due reflection, no opportunity for second thoughts.

Errors may be irretrievable. The Presidential or American system on the other hand was built for safety, not for speed. Founded on the doctrine that the Executive and Legislative departments ought to be kept separate, because only thus could the liberty of the citizen be secured, it not only debars the Executive Head and his Ministers from sitting in the Legislature, but in the United States permits the latter both to narrow by law the President's field of action and to refuse him the money needed for carrying out any policy they disapprove. He is helpless against them, except in the narrow sphere which the Constitution reserves to him, and in that sphere the Senate can hamper him in the selection of his high officials.2 These well-meant provisions, grounded on fears for liberty, have proved inconvenient by impeding the co-operation of representatives and administrators. The former cannot question the latter, except by means of Committees. The latter have not, unless through a Committee, the means of conveying the needs of their departments to the representatives. Delay, confusion, much working at cross purposes are the result : and this is particularly felt in the sphere of finance where the legislature may refuse money when the Executive needs it, and may grant money for no better purpose than to purchase the political support of powerful sections or clamorous constituencies. The "Separation of Powers" has for some purposes turned out to be not

 $^{^3}$ See as to France Vol. I. Chap XX. In Australia Ministries have been singularly tractable, Vol. II. Chap $\lambda LVII$

The custom has been not to exert this power in the case of Cabinet Ministers, but it is applied to all other officers (including ambistudors and judges), and used to obstruct the property of the present on matters of general policy. The reader need hardly be reminded that the President has against Congress the formulable power of veto

the keeping apart of things really distinct but the forcible disjunction of things naturally connected. There is, moreover, no certainty that the Legislature will carry out the wishes of the Administration, however reasonable. They may even decline to pass the statutes needed to

give effect to treaties duly ratified. The Presidential system leaves more to chance than does the Parliamentary. A Prime Minister is only one out of a Cabinet, and his colleagues may keep him straight and supply quulities wanting in him, but everything depends on the character of the individual chosen to be President. He may be strong or weak, wise or short sighted. He may um at standing above party and use his authority and employ his patronage with a single eye to the nation's welfare, or may think first of his own power and his party's gain, and play for his own re election. The re eligibility of the President has so often been supposed to unduly affect his action that many Americans think he should be legally disqualified.

publics such a provision exists

The United States has best shown the strength and weakness of the system, but just as it works differently in the hands of different men, so is it a different thing in different countries

In nearly all of the republics of Latin America racial and social conditions throw larger powers into the hands of the Executive chief than would be permitted to him in the United States

This has been seen in constitutional Argentina and Uruguay, as well as in those disorderly States where a President is usually a military

dictator Legally the powers may seem the same practically they are wider in the countries where constitutional traditions are still new and public opinion

for a second continuous term of office 2 In some re-

still weak or divided into sections by an economic or religious antagonism

1 Th shaocenel recently in the United States when Congress ne Jested to gass the legs at one required to give effect to a treaty for regulating the fisher es in the Great Lakes with thind been accepted by the Senate and which promised real benefits to the United States as well as to Canada.

The Ame can trad t on which forbade a pe son to be chosen President mo e than twice seems to have recently lost nearly all its influence.

PT. III

516 For administrative purposes it is a gain that the members of the Cabinet are not, like those of Britain, obliged to give constant attendance in the Legislature, and that when a Minister starts a promising policy he can count on carrying it on without being upset by a sudden change of government. The Legislature, too, since it cannot displace the President, nor even a Minister, is not distracted from the work of legislation by debates intended to discredit the existing and instal

a new administration. Two other merits may certainly be credited to the Presidential scheme. Under it legislatures are less dominated by party spirit than those of Britain and France, of Belgium and Australia and Canada, for party discipline is not so strict at Washington as at Westminster, though the party organizations are stronger.1 Under it there is also a greater sense of stability, partly because a shifting of the political balance can take place only at elections, points fixed by law, partly because the legislature can by withholding funds check the Executive in any project thought to be risky, while the Executive can by its veto arrest the legislature in a dangerous course. In either case, the appeal is to the judgment of the nation, to be given, if not forthwith by public opinion, then before long at the next general election. The moderate elements in the country need not fear a sudden new departure. the demagogue cannot carry his projects with a run.2

Is Responsibility to the People, a cardinal merit in every form of free government, better secured under the Parliamentary or under the Presidential system? Apparently under the former, because there is more unity, the Cabinet having over the whole policy and administration of the country that full power which their majority in

¹ See as to this the figures as to voting collected by Mr Lawrence Lowell from the records of distrious in the House of Commons and the House of Representatives respectively, in his Covernment of England, vol 11 pp 76-89

^{*} That the demagogre bacillus seems to be less rate or less harmful at the United States than in some European countries may be partly due to the fact that the American people (omitting recent immigrants) have by their experience of more than a century become more "smmise" than are the European masses.

the Legislature has granted them If they err by omission or by commission, they cunnot shift the blame to Parliament, for if they do not receive from it the necessary support they can either dissolve it or resign office, trans

517

support they can either dissolve it or resign office, transferring responsibility to it or to their successors. Under the Presidential scheme the President is responsible, except where the Legislature fails either to pass at his request the lives, or to supply the money needed to carry out the policy he recommends, in which case it is not he but the legislature that becomes answerable for any resulting evil. The majority in a Legislature which prevents a President from acting of course incurs a responsibility attaching to the party which has elected it and a party may so suffer, but it is a responsibility far less definite than that attaching to a Cabinet, or to the leaders of an Opposition, in a Parliamentary country. When President and Legislature belong to the same party, it is to him that the nation looks, for he can ask the Legislature for all that the conjuncture requires, be it statutes or grants of money. But when he and the Legislature are at odds, and the country is not evidently with the one or the other, there is nothing for it but to bear with the deadlock and await the next ensuing

election

In the Presidential system the man chosen to be Head of the Government becomes more definitely Head of the Nation than does a Prime Minister in a Parlia mentary country like France, Canada, or England The eyes of the whole people are fixed upon him even if he be a man of less than first rate quality, whereas in Parliamentary countries it is only striking personalities such as Pitt or Cavour or Bismarck that existe a similar interest and exert a similar authority. An American President stands high above others, meaning more to the people than leaders in Congress do, and always sure to command attention when he speaks. He need not

¹ Says Mr. Lawrence Lowell In countres where power s dv ded among a number of bod es o h dden away in Comm these response by a intang be. Every one can throw to off his shoulders and t may become the subject of a game of h de and seek. (Covernven of England voi p 532)

For administrative purposes it is a gain that the members of the Cabinet are not, like those of Britain, obliged to give constant attendance in the Legislature, and that when a Minister starts a promising policy he can count on carrying it on without being upset by a sudden change of government. The Legislature, too, since it cannot displace the President, nor even a Minister, is not distracted from the work of legislation by debates intended to discredit the existing and instal a new administration.

Two other merits may certainly be credited to the Presidential scheme. Under it legislatures are less dominated by party spirit than those of Britain and France, of Belgium and Australia and Canada, for party discipline is not so strict at Washington as at Westminster, though the party organizations are stronger. Under it there is also a greater sense of stability, partly because a shifting of the political balance can take place only at elections, points fixed by law, partly because the legislature can by withholding funds check the Executive in any project thought to be risky, while the Executive can by its veto arrest the legislature in a dangerous course. In either case, the appeal is to the judgment of the nation, to be given, if not forthwith by public opinion, then before long at the next general election. The moderate elements in the country need not fear a sudden new departure: the demagogue cannot carry his projects with a run.2

Is Responsibility to the People, a cardinal merit in every form of free government, better secured under the Parliamentary or under the Presidential system? Apparently under the former, because there is more unity, the Cabinet having over the whole policy and administration of the country that full power which their majority in

See as to this the figures as to voting collected by Mr Lawrence Lowell from the records of divisions in the House of Commons and the House of Representatives respectively, in his Government of England, vol ii pp 76-89

That the demagogic bacillus seems to be less rife or less harmful in the United States

than in some European countries may be partly due to the fact that the American people (omitting recent immugrants) have by their experience of more than a century become, more "immune" than are the European masses

the Legislature has granted them If they err by omission or by commission, they cannot shift the blame to Parliament, for if they do not receive from it the necessary support they can either dissolve it or resign office, trans ferring responsibility to it or to their successors

Under the Presidential scheme the President is responsible, except where the Legislature fails either to pass at his request the laws, or to supply the money needed to carry out the policy he recommends, in which case it is not he but the legislature that becomes answerable for any resulting evil The majority in a Legislature which prevents a President from acting of course incurs a responsibility attaching to the party which has elected and a party may so suffer, but it is a responsibility far less definite than that attaching to a Cabinet, or to the leaders of an Opposition, in a Parliamentary country 1 When President and Legislature belong to the same party, it is to him that the nation looks, for he can ask the Legislature for all that the conjuncture requires, be it statutes or grants of money But when he and the Legislature are at odds, and the country is not evidently with the one or the other, there is nothing for it but to bear with the deadlock and await the next ensuing election

In the Presidential system the man chosen to be Head of the Government becomes more definitely Head of the Nation than does a Prime Minister in a Parlia mentary country like France, Canada, or England The eyes of the whole people are fixed upon him even if he be a man of less than first rate quality, whereas in Parliamentary countries it is only striking personalities such as Pitt or Cavour or Bismarck that excite a similar interest and exert a similar authority. An American President stands high above others, meaning more to the people than leaders in Congress do, and always sure to command attention when he speaks He need not

¹ Saya Mr. Lawrence Lowell In countries where power a divided among a number of bod es or bidden away in Committees responsibility a niting ble. Every one can throw toff his shoulders and it may become the subject of a game of hide. and seek (Government of England vol p 53)

consult his Cabinet nor regard its advice as must a French or British Prime Minister. To his Cabinet he is a Master, to a French or British Cabinet only a Chief. A Prime Minister may fall at any moment if the Assembly tires of him: a President stands firm, and has to be taken by the nation for better or worse while his term lasts. Hence the method of choosing the Irremovable Head becomes proportionately more important. No perfect method has been found, but this much may be said for popular election, that whereas the method of natural selection from the Assembly in parliamentary countries gives a perhaps undue advantage to oratorical brilliance, the method of deliberate choice by a legal act of the whole people affords a wider field of choice for persons of other gifts, for men like George Washington, or of the type to which in their different ways such strong personalities as Grover Cleveland and Theodore Roosevelt belonged. It often fails to find the fittest men, but it has, at least in the United States, excluded the unworthy. American experience cannot, however, be taken as a general guide. There are in Europe, as well as in those Spanish American republics in which a popular election without violence is now possible, countries where election by an Assembly is the safer method.2 This was the view of those who framed the present Constitution of France.3

These two types of government so far resemble one another, having both sprung from the common root of a feudal monarchy, that it has been necessary to consider

⁴ The method of Nomination by party caucuses in Congross was tried and abandoned, but the attempt to make nominations truly repulse has not succeeded.
³ One risk mudent to an election by the nation method of by an Assembly appeared

in the United State in 1956 when a conferency arress on the management of the decrease or harvestment the results make risked to the management of the decrease or harvestment the results may be indeed as the management of the decrease or harvestment the results made is also as a forth of the management of the decrease or harvestment the results made is also the management of the decrease or harvestment the results made in the management of the management of

Election by a vote of the people had been discredited there by the two "plebiscites" taken under universal suffrage, which created in 1852 and renewed in 1850 the Empire of Louis Napaldon, yet it must be remembered that in both those cases no alternative was presented to the people; they had to choose between confirming the entiting ruler and an entirely uncertain februle which might have been one of crull war.

CH LXVIII THE SWISS SYSTEM

sovereign, and its legislature grew out of the diplomatic conferences in which the delegates of thirteen little States met to discuss their common foreign policy? The main spring of the Constitution is the National Assembly, which controls the Executive and in which the whole power of the People is embodied, except in so far as the Constitution limits legislative action and in so far as the people have a final voice in legislation by the Referendum and Initiative The Swiss system has the advantage of simplicity and of a concentration of authority. The National Assembly chooses and supervises the small Federal Council which carries on administration. Both are watched by public opinion, and can be overruled if necessary by popular vote Policy, both foreign and domestic, is continuous, moves with an even step, the ideas the same, the men the same. No time is wasted

them together 1. The third or Swiss type has a very differ ent source, for Switzerland was never ruled by a single

519

in party strife Economy and efficiency are secured The unchecked power which the people can exercise when by the Initiative their votes amend the constitution or enact a law, has not proved dangerous in a country with a population so shrewd, cool, and accustomed to the use of freedom. There are few prizes ambition can strive for beyond the respect and trust of fellow citizens A hundrum State, but it is prosperious and contented, and nowhere does patriotism glow with so steady a flame.

Can the advantages which this type of government has bestowed on Switzerland be secured elsewhere by like

¹ The I th Cab not has subscrited the o d yowers of the foods lang, the American Pres dent was exaction the model of the English Executive as a stood in the days of Geo pc III mod find by boung made not be of lary but eccive for a short term of years. the statement who firmed the American Count turn on the hamp red sed how far effect we power had been even by 1789 transferred from the Crown to the Chust. They d don't see, any Bagboot, that the Soverage had become a cog in the mekans me of the B 18 th Cons tut on.
² The tert most but now form the Sw as Confedention were all parts of the all

institutions? The conditions are peculiar a small nation, its citizens not indeed poor, but very few of them rich,

Romano German c Emp e but ne ther the Cantons nor the Confederat on trace the r or gin to government of the Emperor or given the Emperor o

highly intelligent, long trained by local self-government, little distracted by party spirit. It is hard to suppose in any other country a coincidence of these conditions sufficient to give such an institution as the Swiss Federal suncient to give such an institution as the Swiss Federal Council a like chance of success. Nevertheless, we may imagine that even in a country twice the size of Switzerland, a small Cabinet Council appointed by and in the closest touch with the Legislature, and itself appointing and supervising the heads of administrative departments, might, in quieter times than the present, carry on public business with less friction and at less cost than has been found possible under either the Parliamentary or the Presidential system. An Administration not immersed in the whirlpool of party politics might devote itself to the task of bettering the condition of the masses of the people by measures none the less effective because they were not designed to win the momentary support of any section. Politics would be less spectacular: but after all politics were made for men, not men for politics. It would be hard to introduce such a system in any country where the passing of laws has been long associated with party strife, and where the distrust of opponents, intensified in our days by class senti-ment, makes each side suspect whatever proceeds from the other; but since alike in France, in America, and in England the constitutional machinery that exists for investigating, preparing, and enacting legislation upon economic and industrial topics has failed to give satisfaction, light upon the problem of improving that machinery ought to be sought in every quarter.

Other schemes of government than the three here

described might be invented, and one such, that of a series of local Assemblies, each sending one or more of its best men to a higher Assembly till they culminate in a Central Executive and a Central Council, has taken a sort of shape in the scheme of Russian Soviets. Many paths might be cut in the forest, but for the present it is enough to indicate those that are well trodden.

If we return to the questions whence we started,

C2 I

CH. LYVIII COMPARISON OF SYSTEMS

people's will receives a fuller and prompter effect under the Parlamentary system and the Swiss system than under the Presidential. The distinctive quality of this last, which some would call a fault and others a merit, lies in the fact that by dividing power between several distinct authorities, it provides more carefulls than does the Parlamentary against errors on the part either of Legislature or Executive, and retards the decision by the people of conflicts arising between them. The

Swiss, guarding themselves against mistakes committed by the Legislature but placing no check on the direct action of the people, seem to take the greatest risks, but they are really the most conservative in spirit of all the nations, and make the least use of the wide powers reserved to the citizens. Efficiency is most likely to be secured by the Parliamentary system, because whatever the Executive needs it is sure to obtain from its majority in the Assembly, subject, of course, to any check which the existence of a Second Chamber may provide

As between these two systems the Parliamentary seems to be preferred by the new States which have arisen

As between these two systems the Parliamentary seems to be preferred by the new States which have arisen in Europe during the last hundred years, the newest adopting it in the French rather than in the British form. The Presidential system has found favour among the Latin American republics which drew their ideas of self-government from the United States, and has in most of them allowed the Executive a wider power, going so far as in the Argentine Federation to permit a President to supersede the elected officials of a State on the ground that this is necessary to secure a fair election, no party trusting its adversary to conduct elections fairly So far as the experience hitherto acquired warrants any general conclusion, that conclusion would be that while the Parliamentary has many advantages for countries of moderate size, the Presidential, constructed for safety rather than prompitude in

action, and not staking large issues on sudden decisions.

is to be preferred for States of vast area and population, such as are the United States and Germany. Those who hold the chief ment of a scheme of government to lie in the amplitude of its provisions for the

522

expression of the popular will may observe that the Swiss system is the only one which brings out that will in an unmistakable and unpervertible form, viz. by an Initiative or Referendum vote, whereas under the other two systems a vote given at an election, being given primarily for a candidate, not for a law or executive act, does not convey the people's judgment on any specific issue.1 That is true, but the cumbrousness and cost of any frequent use of the Referendum in a large country are practically prohibitive, and the party which possessed a strong and ubiquitous organization would have an unfair advantage at a voting. The opinion delivered would be for half or more of the citizens not their own, but an opinion imposed upon them by others. If the Will of

the People means the personal mind and purpose of each individual citizen, to search for it is to search for the pot of gold at the foot of the rainbow.

1 See Chaps LIX and LXV

CHAPTER LXIX

THE MONEY POWER IN POLITICS PHILIP, King of Macedon, was wont to boast that he could take any city into which he could drive an aediden with gold Many statesmen from Philip's time

down to our own have spoken to the like effect. So long as private property exists, there will be rich men ready to corrupt, and other men, rich is well as poor, ready to be corrupted, for "the love of money is the root of all evil". This has been so under all forms

of government alike The House of Commons in the days when Walpole, looking round its benches, observed, "All these men have their price," was no worse than were most of the Jacobin leaders among the French revolutionaries, and the fact that Robespierre's influence rested largely on his epithet "the Incorruptible" tells its own tale. Two absolute monarchies, Russau under the Tsars and China under the Manchu Emperors, were the countries in which corruption was seen in its most shameless luxuriance in our own time. The power money can exert upon Governments is to be specially feared in countries where two conditions, naturally connected, coincide, the existence both of large fortures and of opportunities for

making fortunes which the State, through its various organs, can grant or can withhold. Of many forms in which money can exert its power, compution is only our.

chapters has provided. "Corruption" may be taken to include those modes of employing money to attain private ends by political means which are criminal or at least illegal, because they induce persons charged with a public duty to transgress that duty and misuse the functions assigned to them.

Four classes of persons owing a duty to the public may be thus led astray, viz. (a) Electors, (b) Members of a Legislature, (c) Administrative Officials, (d) Judicial

Officials

(a) Electors.—The bribery of voters is a practice from which few countries have been exempt. To-day it is hardly discoverable in Switzerland, in Australia, and in New Zealand Uncommon in France, not extinct in Belgium and Holland, and found also in Italy, it is pretty frequent in parts of Canada and of the Northern United States, where even well-to-do farmers are not ashamed to take a few dollars for their vote, sometimes excusing themselves on the ground that they ought to be paid for the time they spend in going to the poll; and it is also reported from the cities, chiefly among negro voters. The practice was a flagrant scandal in England till the enlargement of constituencies and a stringent law (passed in 1884) reduced it to a few towns in the southern counties. In Spanish America it was scarcely needed, because the Governments of most of the republics have been accustomed to take charge of the elections and secure such Greece it appeared chiefly in the citizens. But at Rome it became in the later days of the Republic so gross as to be one of the causes of the Republic's fall. Rich men bought consulships and praetorships from the lower class of citizens whose votes in the Comitta conferred these offices, and more than reimbursed themselves for what they had spent in bribes by the spoils of the provinces which they were sent in due course to govern.

(b) Members of a Legislature — Legislative power necessarily includes the power to pass measures, general

CH LXIX CORRUPTION IN LEGISLATURES 525
or special, which involve some pecuniary gain or loss
to individuals A customs tariff, especially if designed

to individuals. A customs tariff, especially if designed to protect domestic industries, may enrich one min or impoverish another. The grant of what is called in America a franchise, eg the right to construct a railroad or tramway, may have vast possibilities of gain. A vote for the making of some public work may so raise the price of landed property in a particular spot as to make it well worth the while of the owner of such property to persuade the legislature to pass the vote Where a member of a legislature has influence with administrative officials, as for instance, with those who have contracts at their disposal, or who administer State possessions in a Colony, the member may be bribed to exert his influence In these and other way members of the legislature hold in their gift benefits sufficient to expose them to temptations from rich men willing to pay high. As on a rocky sea-shore one can tell how far the tide has fallen by observing how many limpets adhering to the rocks are to be seen above the level of the water, so the healthiness of public life may be judged by seeing how many rich men or their agents are found shpping into the halls of a legislature and approaching persons who can bring political influence to bear

Bills affecting particular localities or persons have been, in American legislatures, and especially in those of the more populous States, a source of corruption surpassed only by the prostitution of their legislative functions by the members of municipal councils. In one such State the question "What sort of a legislature have you got? elicited the reply "As good a one as money can buy In France such abuses have arisen chiefly over contracts or business operations in connection with public undertakings, sometimes in the colonies, and in Canada some of the Provincial assemblies are similarly suspected, but the adoption, in the self governing Dominions of British Parliamentary rules enacted seventy years ago regarding the treatment of private bills have generally protected their legislatures from exposure to temptation.

(c) Administrative Officials.—An examination of the Civil Service in France, the United States, Switzerland, Australia, and New Zealand shows that in all these countries the highest ranks of this service maintain a good standard of honesty, though lower down, where salaries are small and the corporate tradition of purity is less strong, the seductions of wealth may sometimes prevail, especially where a secret commission is offered upon a naval or military contract

upon a naval or military contract
Fifty years ago some Cabinet Ministers in the United
States were compromised in scandals, as have been more
recently some Canadian Ministers, especially in the
Provinces in neither country are the municipal officials
of some large cities spotless. A frequent form of corruption is seen in those American municipalities where
business firms bribe the police to wink at breaches of
municipal regulations. Payments so made to escape
prosecution have been in New York no inconsiderable
source of emolument to officers in the police force and to
the great political club of which most of those officers are
honoured members

(4) Judicial Officials.—Of all kinds of corruption that of the judiciary is the most odious, being one of the commonest ways in which the rich man gets the better of the poor. In the countries hereinbefore described one hears no charge of venality brought against the higher National judges. Frenchmen, however, do not seem to place implicit trust in their lower Courts; and in some States of the American Union the Bench is now and then discredited by the presence of men known to have been elected by the influence of great incorporated compunies, or to be under the control of powerful politicians; and there are cities where some lawyers have made a reputation for "fixing a jury." 1 Neither are judges trusted in most countries of Portuguese or Spanish America, though there

¹ That we hear little or nothing about the brings of Athenian juries may be attributed to the great size of these bodies (see Vol. I. Chap XVI). But the similars of the juries who six in the Roman anders pathots to re-terminal cases and not prevent bring It was, as we gather from Cierco, prestuded on a magnificent scale, and sometimes realed notations offended to exame.

it is a family or personal friendship rather than money that is apt to pervert justice In the British self-governing Dominions the traditions of purity brought from the mother country have been carefully preserved

The means by which corruption is effected have, with the march of civilization, become in most countries more delicately elusive. Many are the devices available, many the cases that can be imagined in which there may many the cases that can be imagined in which there may be strong grounds for suspicion while the proof of a corrupt inducement is too weak to warrant prosecution. No coin, nor always even paper, need pass. Were Philip now seeking to capture a city council instead of a city, he would not load the ass with gold, but would intimate that shares in a company being formed to work a copper mine were to be allotted below par to some good friends. and would certainly go to a premium in a few weeks In Russia under the Tsar a Minister, who was asked by some one from Western Europe for official sanction to a perfectly legitimate enterprise calculated to benefit the country, was accustomed, while inventing one objection after another, to rattle a drawer containing some loose roubles until the hint was taken, but in democratic countries, where a higher standard of purity is expected and the press as well as political opponents are prompt to detect and expose those who fall below it, more subtle methods are needed Such methods often succeed

From distinctly illegal modes of employing money in politics we may pass to others which are for any reason undesirable, as calculated to warp the spontaneous action of the citizens' minds and wills, or as giving to rich men an advantage which is undue, because derived from wealth and not from any superior fitness to serve the community. Some classes of such cases the law can reach, others it leaves untouched, perhaps because the motive that prompted the act may have been doubtful, perhaps because legal intervention would do more harm than good. A few illustrations may be given, beginning with cases wherewith the law has sought to deal.

Election Expenses -In countries where power is con-

528

ferred by the votes of the people the efforts of parties and candidates are chiefly directed to the winning of elections. Now Elections cost money. Money may legitimately be spent on them, but if it is spent lavishly, an advantage is given to the rich candidates and to the party which has the larger campaign fund. Hence, though there is nothing intrinsically wrong in flooding a constituency with canvassers, circulating an immense mass of printed matter intended to influence the electors, and spending money in conveying electors to the polls, British legislation restricts the total expenditure which a candidate may incur, the amount being determined by the number of electors in a constituency Similar statutes have been passed in the United States also as respects Federal elections, and in some States for State elections; and in the United States the political parties have also been required to furnish statements of their total National campaign funds. These funds had often received large contributions from great manufacturing or trading companies, usually because such companies had an interest in the provisions of the protective tariff and expected the party to whose fund they subscribed to repay the service by giving them the kind of tariff they desired. Such practices come pretty near to bribing, not indeed the voters, but a political organization which might be able to "deliver the goods," so they have now been forbidden by law.

These laws relate to elections intended to bear fruit may be sown at other times also. In England, and to a less extent in Scotland, a habit has grown up and spread widely of expecting members of Parliament to subscribe to local purposes, and not only to charitable purposes, such as hospitals, but also to all sorts of associations formed for amusement, such as football and cricket and swimming clubs. have been known to spend many hundreds of pounds annually in such subscriptions, and prospective candidates

In Britain the conveyance of voters in vehicles hired for the purpose is prohibited, but as the conveyance in vehicles belonging to the candidate or his friends is still permitted, the porsession by him of a large number of sympathisers who own and will lead motor-cars is supposed to improve his chances

have also begun to do so, the practice being called "nursing the constituency". It would be difficult to forbid these things, for if the member or candidate resides within the constituency, he would naturally subscribe to some of these objects in his quality of a resident, while if non-residents only were forbidden so to do, this might be held to give an advantage to residents. The practice, however, tends to demoralize the electorate and the candidate, and to deter men of limited means from offering themselves. Another regrettable habit visible at present only in the

United States, because it is only there that party organizations exercise a practically controlling influence on the
selection of candidates for any post, is the use of pecuniary
inducements to influence a Boss, or any leading wirepullers, in the selection is candidate for office of an aspirant
whom the party that selected him is bound to support
with its solid vote. Here no offence is committed because
a Boss, having no legal position, has no statutory duty
and responsibility, being merely a private citizen to whose
counsels other private citizens are wont to defer. American
legislation, though it provides penalties for bribery or other
misfeasance in the conduct of nominating meetings, can
hardly go so far as to recognize a Boss and surround his
action, influential as it is, with safeguards, not to add that
it would seldom be possible to pry into the dark corners
where the spider spins his web.

The practice of "Lobbying," 1e besetting and worrying members of legislatures with persuasions to vote for or against a bill which promises gain or threatens loss to some business enterprise, while occasionally discernible in France, and perhaps not unknown in some British countries, attains dangerous dimensions only in the precincts of American legislatures. When the lobbyist bribes he is of course punishable, but the employment of a crowd of professional agents, though it secures advantages for those who can afford to employ them, cannot well be forbidden. There is nothing wrong in persuasion per se, and who shall fix the limits of reasonable

persuasion? Lobbyists might, however, be recognized as a sort of profession and subjected, like parliamentary agents in England, to disciplinary rules.

The granting by railway companies of free passes over their lines, a practice formerly common in the United

States, was in itself legitimate and often well employed. Ministers of religion, and sometimes others also whose journeys seemed useful for the community, such as University professors, received this privilege, which was, however, so much abused by the companies as a means of propitiating influential persons, especially members of legislatures, that it was forbidden by law.

These various forms in which the power of wealth has

been felt, and to some extent curbed, count for less than another which appears to defy all regulation. This is the manufacture of public opinion. A group of rich men who have a special business project or class interest, be it legitimate or deleterious, may combine to start a prespropaganda on behalf of their interest or project, partly by pamphlets or books, partly by inducing or capturing journals, so as to deluge the public with facts and arguments advecting their schemes in believed. ments advocating their schemes or helping a party whose chiefs are secretly committed to the support of those schemes. Such a group may, by its control of a large part of the press, succeed in impressing its views on a public easily misled because one side only of the case has been constantly and ably presented to them while the opposing arguments are ignored or decried. The aim may be unobjectionable, but even if it be sordid, even if the facts be garbled and the arguments fallacious, how can such a propagnada be arrested? The only remedy, in a free country, is to disprove the facts and refute the fallacies. But it may not be worth anybody's while to incur the expense of a press opposition.

The great firms that manufacture munitions of war have

been frequently accused of using their revenues to foster a warlike spirit and thereby dispose nations and their legis-

A like difficulty has been found in distinguishing "peaceful picketing" in a strike of workmen from a picketing which becomes coercion

latures to spend immense sums in military preparations. I know of no evidence to show that this has happened in France or the United States or England, but it is generally believed to have happened in Germany, and might no doubt happen anywhere. There have certainly been cases in which unscrupulous men have, from selfish motives, used the press to push a nation into war

The methods here enumerated are only some among the ways in which wealth can make itself felt in politics. It commands social influences It can put politicians under personal obligations. It can by subscriptions to party funds obtain, as has often happened in England, titles of rank, which carried, till they began to be lavishly distributed, some social influence. Large sums may be expended for purposes sinister but not illegal, and where these tactics succeed, a bad precedent is set and the standard of honour is lowered. The most conspicuous example of a State demoralized and brought to ruin by the power of money is afforded by the history of the later Roman Republic. The saying of the Numidian Jugurtha was prophetic. "The City is up for sale, and will perish if some day it finds a purchaser."

Among modern democracies the two which have been the purest, the best administered, and the most truly popular in spirit have been Switzerland and the Orange Free State as it was in 1895 before the South African War¹ They were those in which there were no rich men. On the other hand, those free countries in which wealth has been most powerful are the United States, France, and Canada. In the United States he swift growth of prodigious fortunes, and the opportunities for increasing them by obtaining favours from the governments of States and cities, had coincided with the building up of party organizations through whose help these favours could be obtained. The influence of what is called "Big Business," wealth concentrated in a few hands and finding its tools in politicians and party organizations, was for many years a fruitful source of mischef, exploiting the

resources of the country for its selfish purposes. abuses provoked a reaction. "Big Business" began to be bitted and bridled, and though it still shows fight, can hardly recover the dominance it enjoyed thirty years ago,

for public opinion has grown more sensitive and vigilant.

To estimate the harm done in France by the power of finance is more difficult, because the breezes of publicity do not blow so freely as in America over the field of politics, and where the facts are seldom ascertainable, rumour and suspicion are all the more active. however, beyond doubt that Frenchmen believe the hidden influence of the heads of some great undertakings, industrial and financial, to be a potent force, manipulating the press, raising or depressing the fortunes of statesmen, and by one device or another turning the machinery of government to serve private ends. I speak not as knowing but only as reporting what is believed.

In Canada there have been fewer charges and complaints, but the close contact between finance, especially railway finance, and the political parties has caused disquietude. In Australia the rich are supposed to support party funds, but so far as I could learn, from

political rather than directly personal motives. In England it has thrice happened that a group of men who had made great fortunes abroad tried to use that wealth for political ends. The first instance was that of the so-called Nabobs, men who had brought back wealth from the East in the days of King George III. They bought electorates, and formed a group which, after rousing hostility by its prominence for some years, had vanished long before the Reform Act of 1832 abolished pocket-boroughs. The two other instances are too near our own times to be fit subjects for comment here. did not permanently injure political life, but they disclosed some of the weak spots which wealth may assail. Apart from these passing, and in two instances pernicious, manifestations of the insidious influence money can bring to

bear on the formation of opinion, England has not suffered from the malady since 1832, which never thereafter, not even while electoral corruption was frequent, seriously threatened its with organ, the House of Commons. Were the centre of vital force to pass from the House of Commons to the press, there would be ground for anxiety. In every country unscrupulous wealth can, by artificially "making opinion," mislead and beguile the people more easily and with less chance of detection than in any other way.

Democracy has no more persistent or insidious foe than the money power, to which it may say, as Dante than the money power, to which it may say, as a summer said when he reached in his journey through hell the dwelling of the God of Riches, "Here we found Wealth, the great enemy." That enemy is formidable because he works secretly, by persuasion or by deceit, rather than by force, and so takes men unawares He is a danger to good government everywhere, no more active, no more mischievous in popular than he is in other governments Why then are we more shocked when we find him active and successful in a democracy? Is it because we are prepared to expect selfishness in monarchies and oligarchies, but not in States which live by public spirit and where the common good is the common aim? The hope that public spirit will guarantee purity is one which, however often disappointed, no one would like to lose Yet why should it be supposed that the ordinary failings of mankind will be materially lessened by the form of government any men live under? Can democracy do more than provide restraints and impose penalties less hable to be evaded than those tried elsewhere? Has not experience shown that safeguards may be more easily evaded where authority is vested in the multitude. for it is likely to be less vigilant, less prompt in detection and punishment, than is a well-organized bureaucracy?

The truth seems to be that democracy has only one

¹ Quvu trovammo Plato il gran nento Inf Catto VI 1 115 There can be l'utile solut that Plato a to be here taken to mena P utus the Roman God of Wealth, but perhays h a name was confused with that of Plato the h. ng of the necher world, who represents to Roman mythology the Hamer devel espison. Allowerie, H F Tours to another in his em neathy subscoase Commentary on the Devana Comment's nelines to this view, which is also that of M Paget Topybee.

marked advantage over other governments in defending itself against the submarine warfare which wealth can wage, viz. Publicity and the force of Public Opinion. So wage, viz. Fusicity and the proce of rusine Opinion. So long as ministers can be interrogated in an assembly, so long as the press is free to call attention to alleged scandals and require explanations from persons suspected of an improper use of money or an improper submission to its influences, so long will the people be at least warned of the dangers that threaten them. If they refuse to take the warning, they are already untrue to the duties freedom prescribes.

The two safeguards on which democracy must rely are law and opinion. Laws, though they cannot cover all the cases in which the power of wealth is exerted against the public interest, and though strict proof may be wanting where the offence admits of little doubt, always render a service in providing a test and setting a standard by which men can recognize a temptation when it is presented to them. They help to keep the conscience of the people at

a high level

534

Public opinion is, however, even more important than law, since more flexible and able to reach cases not amenable to legal process. Opinion forms in public life antenante to legal ploces. Opinion on its in ploce in that atmosphere which we call Tone and on whose purity the honour and worth of public life depend. Opinion is sometimes strangely lement, with a standard purely conventional. The England of a century ago smiled at the candidate who gave a bribe, but despised the elector who took it. The habit was an old one, but so was the habit of duelling, so was the habit of intoxication, neither condemned by the code of custom. Those who conduct the affairs of a nation ought to be held to a standard of honour in some points higher and more delicate than any which law can set. Tone can decline as it declined at Rome, but it can also rise, as it rose among English politicians in the days of Chatham; and so has it also risen in the United States since 1890, where modes of gaining and using wealth once taken as part of the game are now under the ban of opinion. Money will always have

CH LXIX THE NEED FOR VIGILANCE 535
power, because the rich man has something to give which
others are glad to receive, so Power cannot be dissevered
from wealth so long as wealth exists. All that democracy
cin do is to watch its action with ceaseless attention,
restraining its predatory habits, respecting its possessor
only so far as he devotes it to purposes beneficial to the
community, and regirding as "undesirable citizens" those
who use it to gain something from the public for their
own benefit

CHAPTER LXX

RESPONSIBILITY

Popular Government rests upon the principle that it is every citizen's business to see that the community is well governed. Each man, rich or poor, learned or ignorant, is alike bound to discharge his duty as a voter, or a representative, or an official, or a juryman, according to the measure of his powers. In this concentration of all the disinterested activity and wisdom the community possesses the strength of democracy was expected to lie.

Its weakness was long ago noted in the saying that What is everybody's business is nobody's business. an oligarchy or a monarchy the few rulers have, because few, a comparatively strong and direct interest in seeing that State affairs are efficiently managed. The personal interest of an individual may sometimes override that of the privileged class, but the share of each member of that comparatively small class in whatever weal or woe befalls the community is larger than that of the citizen in a democracy. Where there are a hundred shareholders in a company each has more interest in the dividend than each has where there are a thousand. The citizen in a democracy of millions is prone to measure his own duty by his neighbour's, reducing his own obligations down to the level of the less conscientious, rather than raising them to the level of the most conscientious among his fellows. thinks, "should I take more trouble about public affairs than I see my next-door neighbour do? He minds his own business and prospers; so will I. Let somebody else

CH LXX

with more time to spare work for the public The officeholders are paid to do it I am not 'He forgets that among those who profess to work for the public, office-holders and others, there will be many working for their personal interests only, perhaps to his detriment and that of the community

The first and nearest duty of a citizen is to bear his part in selecting good men, honest and capable, to do the work needed by the community, and to make sure that they do it In a small community like a little Swiss Canton or a New England Town this was a simple matter, because everybody knew everybody else and could see whether the work was being done or neglected, and if an officer neglected his work he was dismissed A century ago the Town of Concord in Massachusetts met once a vear, chose its Treasurer to gather and keep the scantr revenue, and its Road Superintendent, and its Hog Reeve—an office which local tradition says was discharged by Ralph Waldo Emerson But when the work to be done for the State of Massachusetts or for the National Government of the United States had to be provided for, it became necessary to delegate the selection of officials and the supervision of their conduct to persons chosen for that purpose, i e representatives in an assembly, and these representatives again might have to delegate both selection and supervision to persons whom they appointed for those functions Direct oversight by the citizens in Concord and the other Towns being impossible, there was constructed for the purpose machinery of securing wise choice and efficient oversight, a system of what is called a Frame of Government, representative and administrative and one of its prime objects was to provide for all the citizens, as the ultimate rulers of the State, full means of knowing and judging how each part of the State's work was being done, carefully or negligently Since they cannot personally oversee the work, they must know whether it is duly overseen by the persons appointed to this function, and whether these persons are in their turn watched and judged by those others placed above them, either official administrators

538 THE NEED FOR SUPERVISION PT. 111 or representatives, to whom has been entrusted the duty of overseeing the first set of overseers. There is thus created a chain of responsibility connecting every State employce of lower or higher rank with the People as the ultimate sovereign. If any link in this chain is weak, the right of the people to see that their work is duly carried out is infringed, and their power to secure efficient administration is reduced or destroyed. This is what we call the Principle of Responsibility, everywhere indispensable to good government. Each State servant, from a stoker at the furnace of a battleship up to the Secretary of the Navy, has his job, and is accountable to his immediate superior, who is in turn accountable to his superior, and so on If his work, be it manual labour or direction and supervision, is done well, he is praised and continued or promoted. If it is ill done, he is warned or dismissed. Experience has shown that this principle, on which every private business is conducted, is the only guarantee of efficiency, for it relies on and uses motives common to all men. There are persons who work hard from a sense of duty There are others who work hard because they

like their job, and have an intellectual pleasure in seeing things well done. But far more numerous are those whose motives are chiefly self-regarding. They fear dismissal, they desire continuance or promotion. Fear, as well as whatever sense of duty they may have, helps them to resist temptation Most people work better for the hope of some reward: everybody works better for knowing that he is watched and may suffer for default, for as an American philosopher has observed . True as it is that the wicked fleeth when no man pursueth, he makes better time when he knows that some one is after him. So let each State employee have his job. Let him be watched at his job. Let the watcher be himself watched to make sure that the watching is duly done, and let there be thus a line of responsibility all the way from the Minister at the one end to the weekly wage-earner at the other, so that when any fault is alleged to have been committed,

there shall always be some one whose business it is to

meet the charge, and the people shall always have some one to blame if the fault be proved

In olden days the autocrat secured responsibility by Fear, which Montesquieu calls "the principle of Despotism," a sentiment echoed by a member of the French Convention when he said "By Responsibility I mean Death" The people stand in the place of the monarch and must, in such a world as the present, rely upon Fear as well as Conscience to enforce Responsibility 1

All this is common sense and common practice in commercial and industrial life, and so is it also inside any properly organized department of public administration. Every Frame of Government contemplates and purports to recognize it, but some Frames have failed to apply it thoroughly, and with unfortunate results To understand these failures let us compare the arrangements already described (Chapter LXVII), which exist in France and in the United States

In France, as in England and in the British self-governing Dominions whose constitutions reproduce that of England, every member of the civil administration is responsible for the proper discharge of his duties to some superior in that department and ultimately to the Minister at the head of the department The Minister is responsible to the legislative assembly, in which he sits and where he can be questioned in any matter relating to his department If the explanation or defence he tenders his department if the expandation of desirate in timeters for his own conduct or that of any of his subordinates is unsatisfactory, the assembly may express its disapproval, or demand an enquiry if the matter is one of some consequence, and the Minister is censured, he resigns if it is very serious and the Ministry as a whole support the is very sections and the tornistry as a whole support him, they will as a Cabinet resign. This they will also do if their collective policy on any important subject is disapproved of by the Chamber of Deputies, since to it the Cabinet is responsible. The system works well

¹ I quote this from a valuable little book by the late Mr Arthur Sedgmick, entitled
The Democrane Minish
2 Tany may in Britain and the self governing Dominions dissolve Parliament, but
this course is indirequent, and in Prance very rate,

540

inside each department, and pretty well as regards the relations between the Administration and the Legislature, though it sometimes happens that an error goes uncen-sured because nobody in the Chamber calls attention to it at the time, or because the majority in that body is unwilling to weaken the Ministry which it desires to keep in office,1 and in that case the Chamber, by supporting the Administration, assumes a part of their responsibility. But the legislature is a large body, and the majority includes so many members that the share of each is small. Responsibility accordingly practically falls only to a small extent upon the members of the majority, and more fully on the Cabinet who are the leaders of the party. If the nation is displeased, it is primarily the Cabinet and secondarily its supporters in the Chamber that are the persons to be blamed

To whom is the Chamber responsible? Only to the electors; and this responsibility can be enforced only at a general election. It is therefore possible for laws to be passed or executive action sanctioned by a vote of the representative assembly which the majority of the people would disapprove if they could be consulted. But as general elections cannot be ordered whenever a question as to the real wish of the people arises, this is an inevitable evil, the only remedy for which would be the taking of a Referendum as in Switzerland, or the Recall of members of the Legislature, as in some American States.2

Turn now to the United States In its National or Federal Government the President is not responsible to

2 As to Swiss administration and the position of the Federal Council, which, though responsible to the Chambers, differs otherwise from administrations in France

and England, see Vol I, chapters on Switzerland

¹ Upon one point there has been some difference of opinion and practice in the British House of Commons Is it the duty of the Ministry to oppose bills brought in by private members of which they disapprove? In 1880 that duty was generally recognized. The House was deemed to be entitled to the advice of a Minister, and it was only he who could be relied on to see that if it was a bad bill it should not go through because there was not enough resistance from non-official members to defeat Nowadays private members' bills have little chance of passing, so the question seldom arises, but it would seem that Ministries are less disposed to recognize and discharge their responsibility for stopping such bills if mischievous

the legislature, and his only responsibility to the people consists in the general approval or disapproval which his action evoles. Their favour is of course what every President strives to win for his parry as well as for him elt. But he cannot be practically defined accountable for the incompetence of errors of his official subordinates not even of that comparanyely small number who belong to the higher grades unless he has mide so many unfortunate appointments as to discredir his capacity for sel tion Nor are his Mir sees responsible, for they are mer ly his servants, and do no sit in the Legis'a u e Committees of Conoress may be appointed to investigate their conduct but dismissal resis with the President only and Congress cannot competed In many branches of his dues he needs the help with h Corpress can render by lagislation and by yours of money but these he may be unable to ob air for in one or o her house of Congress the pa, v opposed to him may hold a majority. This when things go wrong and the people complain it is no clear who is at fault, for the President can throw the blame on Congress and Congress on the President Furthermore the equality make it difficul to fix upon e her respons bility to the failure to legislate since o e party may hold a majority in the Senate while the other puty holds it in the House Add to this that the tale of most bills is decided in committees whose po endings are no public and i will be sen h w hard t may be to apport on blume B ordly speaking and regarding only comparatively laige issues, it is the poli cal parties on whom responsibility can be those easily fixed. They can be punished by losing vo -s and seats at the rext el ction, but individual culp.b.l.tr may escape any penalty except that which public displasare inflicts on promount figures Here the defict to be noted in the subdividing of

public dispiser or infinites on prome and figures. Here the defect to be no ed is the subdividing of responsibility till it almost disappears. In the several States of the Union another detect is visible. The chief officials of each State are, including the judges, not

appointed by the Governor, as the Federal Ministers and judges are by the President. The wish to make these officials responsible dictated the assignment of their election to the people's vote, choosing them, as the Governor is chosen, for short terms, so that they may not forget their dependence on the people. They are not responsible either to the Governor or to the State Legislature, but to the people only, and in this sense only, that they may be rejected if they offer themselves for another term of office. This constitutional arrangement, adopted in order to recognize the sovereignty of the people and make the officials feel themselves directly accountable to the citizens, has had the exactly opposite effect. The people, having neither the knowledge required to select nor the time and knowledge needed to supervise these officials, have left the nomination of them to the party Organizations; each organization repays by a nomination those of its adherents who have worked for it, and the candidates whom their party carry at the elections owe their posts and their obedience to it and not to the people. So far as they safely can, they work for the party, looking to it for renomination or some other favour, and the party, so long as they are loyal, stands by them if attacked. Thus the plan which was meant to create responsibility to the citizens has made such responsibility a sham, while creating a real responsibility to the secret and non-legal authority, the Organization or "Party Machine," which can reward or punish. Inside that non-legal organization Responsibility is strictly enforced by a system of rewards and punishments, so obedience and efficiency are secured.

The enforcement of Responsibility is a comparatively easy thing in the sphere of administration where individual men are concerned, and each has his specified work to do. As in a great manufacturing industry the foremen supervises the workmen, and the head of each department supervises the foremen, and the General Manager supervises the heads of departments, and the Board of Directors, or proprietor, supervises the General Manager, so in a government department the Minister, added by

CH. LXX INDIVIDUAL RESPONSIBILITY 543 the permanent secretary, can keep everybody up to the

mark by punishing default and rewarding merit. It is when bodies of men are concerned that difficulties emerge A mob is dangerous because each man in it feels that his own responsibility for a breach of the law is lessened by the participation of many others A representative assembly in which most men wait for some one else to give a lead, each feeling that he will be blamed no more than others for indolence or timidity, does not enforce accountability on offenders so well as can an individual Minister, who knows that others are looking to him, and this is especially true of the Minister in a monarchy or oligarchy who has usually a freer hand as well as a more direct hability to censure than any politician holding power by the favour of an assembly can have Still more difficult is it to enforce the responsibility of a representative assembly to the people The members are many, who can fix blame upon any in particular? The people is a vast indeter-minate body, who can speak for it or get it to speak for itself? This explains why the trend of opinion in the United States has latterly been to vest larger and larger powers in a State Governor and in the Mayor of a city or a very small Board The citizens can watch him or them, they cannot so well watch a set of elected officials, or the aldermen in a municipal council Like considerations have made thinking men tolerate party considerations, with all their defects. The Party is usually the only power that can be relied on to induce the people to inflict by their votes a penalty for misdoing, and upon the Parties some measure of responsibility can be fixed, for each has a motive for enforcing responsibility upon its opponents and makes itself to some extent responsible if it fails in that enforcement A "party in power' has a motive for avoiding gross scandals and maintaining a tolerable standard of competence in administration, because if offences are

too flagrant, the people will rise and turn it out A "party in opposition" has at least as strong a motive for letecting and exposing all the offences of the party in

power whose fall will instal it in office. Thus, whatever be the motives, the public interest is not wholly neglected, and abuses which might escape notice under a careless monarch or be hushed up by a selfish oligarchy have a chance of being corrected

It remains true, nevertheless, that the enforcement of accountability on those appointed to serve the State is one of the abiding difficulties of democracy. Attempts have been made to control the member who represents a constituency in an assembly by exacting pledges and fettering him by instructions. Such a plan involves evils greater than those it could remove. Some American States have tried the experiment of giving to the citizens a power of ejecting from office, before the expiry of his term, an official or a representative, but reasons have already been given which dissuade this device of the so-called Recall. Experience has so far pointed out only one path worth following, that of making the way plain and simple by laying on the ordinary citizen only such tasks as he can be expected to perform. He cannot give much of his thought and attention to public affairs which for him come only in the third or fourth or fifth rank of his interests in life. To ask from him too much is to get from him too little He can, however, concentrate his thoughts upon the election of a few men to do public work, and may try to watch these few, making each of them feel that he is being held responsible whether it be for what he does himself or for what he does in watching and directing others. Keep the searchlight steadily playing upon the few conspicuous figures, be it in a larger or a smaller area of government, in city or county, in State or nation, so that each person charged with public duties shall never forget that he has an account to render

I have dwelt on this subject, familiar as it is, because the neglect to fix responsibility has been one of the most fertile sources of trouble in popular governments. There is no better test of the value of institutions than the provisions they contain for fixing and enforcing it upon every

one who serves the State.

CHAPTER LXXI

DEMOCRACY AND THE BACKWARD RACES

THREE causes have in our time set a new problem for Democracy by raising the question of its applicability to backward peoples. We see attempts made to create among races which, whether we call them civilized or semi civilized, have had no practical experience of any but autocratic control, some form of popular government. Democracy which has been a natural growth in the civilized countries that now enjoy it, will in these despotically ruled countries that now enjoy it, will in these despotically ruled countries be an artificial creation, built upon ideas brought in from outside, unfamiliar to all but the educated few, unintelligible to the masses

The first of these causes is the contact, closer than ever before, which now exists between the more Advanced and the more Backward families of mankind

The second is the immense influence and authority exercised by the Advanced Races over the minds of the Backward, an influence chiefly due to the development among the former of the sciences of nature in their application both to war and to the economic needs of life.

The third is the passion for Equality, civil and political, economic and social, which, having grown strong among the Advanced peoples, has not only spread among the more educated part—everywhere a tiny part—of the Backward peoples, but has disposed the Advanced to favour its sudden extension to the Backward through the creation of institutions similar to those which had

slowly developed themselves among the Advanced. This love of equality is not found in Europeans who live among coloured races, who, so far from treating the latter as equals, generally contemn and exploit them. But human equality has become a dogma, almost a faith, with a majority of those who, dwelling in Europe, have no direct knowledge of the races to whom their theoretic

sympathy goes out.

The subject has recently acquired a new and possibly disquieting significance. That military as well as intellectual predominance which the nations of Europe have held in the world since the battle of Salamis 1 may be threatened. The fierce rivalries of these nations, culminating in the war of 1914, and the internal strife by which each of them is now torn, have so reduced both the resources and the prestige of Europe as to disturb the balance between it and the Backward peoples of the Old World. Should the latter succeed in appropriating and learning to use the forces which scientific discovery places at the disposal of all peoples alike, Europe may one day have reason to rejoice that so many of her children have occupied the Western hemisphere. Thus it is now something more than speculative curiosity that leads us to consider what political developments may be in store for those Asiatics whom the Advanced races have been wont to regard with disdain. The problem has taken different forms in different countries. India has been governed by the British on lines necessarily despotic, though the despotism has long been more well meaning and disinterested than any one people had ever before exercised over others Despotism would probably have continued but for the desire expressed by that extremely small section of the Indian population which has been instructed in British principles of government to see those principles applied in their own country, and to be permitted to share in its administration. This wish Britain has now set itself In the Southern States of the North American

With a few brief interruptions, the latest nearly four centuries ago.

Union the extinction of negro slavery was followed by the over hast; grant of full political as well as private civil rights to the emancipated slaves are suffrage has been gradually withdrawn from the large majority of the coloured people of the South, but a minority are still

547

CH LXXI SPANISH-AMERICAN RACES

permitted to vote, and much controversy has arisen as to their moral claim and their fitness In the Philippine Islands the United States Government, after conquering them from Spain in 1898-99, was faced with the diffi-culty of reconciling its rule with the doctrines of the Declaration of Independence In Egypt a demand is heard for the creation of self governing institutions under the sultanate set up by European arms In Central and South America the colonial subjects of Spain, when they threw off her yoke a century ago, formed republics in whose constitutions legal distinctions as respects political rights have not been generally made between the Europeans and Mestizos (the mixed race) on the one hand, and the aboriginal races on the other, although the latter, who in most of these States consti tuted the large majority, were entirely devoid of the knowledge and the experience required for the exercise of the suffrage In these last mentioned cases supreme control has practically remained with the educated class of European (or, to a less extent, of mixed) stock, so the institutions set up have continued to work, how

of the suffrage In these last mentioned cases supreme control has practically remained with the educated class of European (or, to a less extent, of mixed) stock, so the institutions set up have continued to work, how ever imperfectly. But to day we see other cases which raise the problem on a vaster scale and in a novel way. Not to speak of the farcical attempts to create parlia mentary government in Turkey, or of the similar attempt in Persia, which has fared little better, two vast countries have proclaimed republican governments, intended to be something more thin shams, among populations which foreign observers had assumed to be absolutely unfitted for any but an autocratic government. In China the Manchu dynasty was overthrown by a few students, educated at American or Japanese universities, who, profiting by the incapacity of the Central imperial government and its failure to quell local insurrections,

set up a republic, there being no person fit to mount the vacant throne. A republic has continued to exist in name, not because the nation desired it, but because the old dynasty had lost its hold, and no man arosy strong enough to obtain general obedience over an enormous territory. In Russia the contempt aroused among the educated classes by the folly and feeblehess of the Tsardom and the turpitude of its Ministey's led to its sudden collapse; and control passed, after some months of ineffectual struggles by the moderate reformers, into the hands of self - appointed revolutionary Committees, while the millions of ignorant persants were left in a welter of anarchy, soon superseded by a ruthless tyranny.

All these cases, otherwise widely dissimilar, have one common feature. Each is an attempt to plant institutions, more or less democratic, in a soil not prepared for them either by education in political principles or by the habits of constitutional government. The races or nations summoned to work those institutions did not understand them in theory, and had never tried them in practice. Everywhere else the self-governing institutions that have grown up among the peoples now using them are suited to their ideas and habits, while in these backward countries they were thrust upon men, the vast majority of whom, ceasing to care for the old things, neither knew nor cared anything about the new.²

Here is the real difficulty. It is said, with truth, that knowledge and experience as well as intelligence are needed to fit a people for free self-government. But a still graver defect than the want of experience is the want of the desire for self-government in the

well as alien in tace, faith, and speech

² Yuan Shi Kai's attempt to make himself Emperor seems to have failed because as a new man he was unable to command the sort of religious awe which had consecrated the throne till its weaker's forfeted respect

an active up as we measther of south from Europe formed between 180 and 1878 (for Me new measthers of south from Europe formed between 180 and 1878 (for Me new persons of the prince) the Turbab Europe as Europe are also normace in which constitutional self-government was best used upon peoples aware theoretic from a bardware despots. By me all of them the mass of the people, unprepared as each was to work a constitution, but at least actively desired freedom and had make efforts to gain int from males who were mainfalled and corrols. In

mass of the nation When a people allow an oldestablished government, like that of the Tsars or the Manchus, to be overthrown, it is because they resent its oppressions or despise its incompetence. But this does not mean that they wish to govern themselves. As a rule, that which the mass of any people desires is not to govern itself but to be well governed. So when free institutions are forced on a people who have not spontaneously called for them, they come as something not only unfamiliar but artificial. They do not naturally and promptly engage popular interest and sympathy, but are regarded with an indifference which lets them fall into the hands of those who seek to use the machinery of government for their own purposes. It is as if one should set a child to drive a motor car. Wherever self government has worked well, it is because men have fought for it and valued it as a thing they had won for themselves, feeling it to be the true remedy for misgovernment.

Some of the experiments that are now being tred wind the self-time of the other times.

might have been better left untried. But as they are being tried, let us consider what are the conditions and what the methods that will give them the best chance of success Something depends on local facts, something on racial quality. It is easier to set up self governing institutions in a country no larger than Switzerland or Bulgaria than in a huge country like Russia or China. where the people of one region know nothing about the leading men of another, and few know more than the names even of the most prominent national figures Social structure is an important factor. Where men are divided by language, or by religion, or by caste distinctions grounded on race or on occupation, there are grounds for mutual distrust and animosity which make it hard for them to act together or for each section to recognize equal rights in the other Homogeneity, though it may not avert class wars, helps each class of the community to understand the mind of the others, and can create a general opinion

550 in a nation. A population of a bold and self-reliant character is more fitted to work free institutions than is one long accustomed to passive and unreasoning obedience. Men cool of temper, slow and solid in their way of thinking, are better than those who are hasty, impressionable, passionate; for the habit of resorting to violence is one of the prime difficulties in the orderly working of political institutions, as any one will admit who recalls the sanguine expectations entertained half a century ago, and compares them with the facts of to-day in nearly every free country. Swift wits and a

lively imagination are not necessarily an advantage in this sphere. Education, that is to say the education given by schools and books, signifies less than we like to think Native shrewdness and the willingness to make a compromise instead of yielding to impulses and pushing claims of right to extremes are more profitable. The glib talk, common in our time, which suggests that education will solve the problems of China and Russia, of Mexico and Persia, misleads us by its overestimate of the value of reading and writing for the purposes of politics. Illiterate peoples have before now worked free institutions, or at any rate institutions which, being conformable to their wishes, were not oppressive, successfully enough to secure tolerable order and contentment, to enforce the rule of customary law and maintain both the solidarity of the community against external attack and a fair measure of domestic contentment. The small self-governing groups of Norway and of Iceland in the tenth century had a kind of free government which perfectly suited them, with a strong or rich prominent man and a popular assembly for the central authority of each. In the islands of the South Pacific Ocean, at the other end of the world, the chiefs were leaders in war and administered a rude justice, but their rule was controlled by public opinion almost as effectively as if there had been an assembly. The people were satisfied. All went well, because wants were few, the conditions of life simple, the areas CH LXXI HOW SELF-GOVERNMENT GREW 551

so small that every one was virtually responsible to his neighbours, even the chief to his tribesmen. The Basutos of South Africa are almost as much below the Tahitans as the Tahitans were below the Norsemen of Iceland, yet the Basutos have public assemblies which exercise some control over the chiefs and express the will of the nation

So much for general considerations Let us, however, turn back to history, our only guide, and see what light on the prospects of self-government in Backward races can be derived from a study of the process by which popular governments have been developed in the past among the European peoples whose forefathers stood once where those races stand now

The process has been a slow one, except in those few spots where small communities, protected from conquest or absorption by the inaccessibility of their dwelling-place, were able to retain a primitive equality and independence. Elsewhere there has been, usually with much fighting, a gradual wresting of freedom from the hands of local magnates, feudal lords, or bishops, or city oligarchies. It was the desire to escape from tangule grievances that prompted the struggle. Abstract doctrine and the love of independence for its own sake came later, when personal injuries and insults, or oppressive imposts, or the attempt to compel religious observances, had already roused the spirit of resistance if the ruler, whether a monarch or an oligarchic group, had the prudence to abate the grievances, trouble would generally subside till some fresh abuses arose. Men who had been accustomed to be tolerably governed were willing to go on being governed in the same way, until new exactions enforced or new hardships suffered provoked them to claim for themselves a power whose

³ The Valley of Andorra us the Pyractor where fattle rout republic catled officially in Vallen, of Newsortarie, has, extend executed respect (Ondorrangen, no. 6 mm) or example. Like San Manno and some of the oldest Sw ss Cuntom at a survey of the many small self greem age communits of that once existed in Southern and Western knoppe streff a leapse of five tmy communes. Varinage in 1879 I found the hard of the Satte a sulvave of pensant, in a red fanned and training out to 5 mm.

abuse by others they were beginning to resent. The outburst which overthrew a tyranny did not necessarily create the love of self-government, which is by no means a natural growth in all soils, but was rather a child of circumstance, appearing spontaneously in small and isolated communities, and in others growing up and isolated communities, and in others growing up because economic changes sapped the power of a ruling class. There must be also a sense that it is only self-government which can permanently cure the ills complained of. Revolts were usually led by persons prominent by their social position or their restless spirit, who, feeling insult or oppression more keenly, had something to gain by upsetting the powers that be, while the average man, too much occupied with making his daily livelihood to care about what did not directly concern him desired to get heat to be accustomed concern him, desired to get back to his accustomed round of life as soon as the grievances were reduced. That which all insurgents had in common was to establish the primary right of the subject to security of life and property and be relieved from harsh exactions.

The first stage towards freedom was marked by the concession of these rights. The next was to provide means for their defence against any return of oppression. This meant self-government; and the effort to win it, unsuccessful in some countries, succeeded best in populations where the habit of joint action already existed; because groups linked together, either by economic interests or religious feeling or tribal relationship, were better fitted for political freedom than others where the individual man, leading his own life in his own way, had little sense of obligation to his fellows. Self-government rests on the habit of cooperation, which implies the finding of capable and trustworthy leaders. Political leadership naturally grew out of social leadership, but the social importance of rank or wealth or any other kind of power (such as ecclesiastical office) was qualified or supplemented by the personal talent and energy of men without these advantages who sprang from the humbler class, and thus the ascendancy of rank was broken, another step towards freedom, and a means of bringing different strata of the people into closer touch with one another. Thus there came into being both a Frame, at first rudimentary, of constitutional government together with a set of persons fit to work it, and as the Frame developed, the extension of a share in government to the masses

became only a question of time

Let us see what help a consideration of these facts can afford to those who seek to create some kind of free self-government in peoples hitherto without it Nature must be the guide, for it is by following or imitating the natural processes whereby the peoples now free obtained their freedom that the peoples in the tour free can hope to advance most steadily.

History, the record of these processes expressly that

History, the record of these processes, suggests that one of the first things to be done is to secure for every man the primary right of protection against arbitrary power. His life, his personal safett, his property must be secured, the imposts laid on him must not be excessive nor arbitrary. When co operation in the work of protecting and manigning the affairs of the community is being organized, every actually existing kind of local self government, however small its range, ought to be turned to account. Every social or economic grouping, every bond which gathers men into a community helps to form the habit of joint action and that sense of a duty to others which is the primal bond of civic life. If any existing local or so ial unit is fit to be turned into an organ of local self government it ought to be so used. If there is none such, then such an organ must be created and entrusted with some control of those matters in which a neighbourhood has a common interest.

Small areas are better than large areas, because in former men can know one another, learn to trust one another, reach a sound judgment on the affairs that directly concern them, fix responsibility and enforce it. Even family jealousies and religious enimities may subside when the questions touch the pecuniary interests of the

554

neighbourhood. The older rural Cantons of Switzer-land show what self-government in a small community can do for forming political aptitude, and the same lesson is taught by the tithings and hundreds of early England and by the Towns of early Massachusetts and Connecticut. The examples of these three countries suggest the value of primary meetings of the people in these small areas. The Folk Mot of Old England, the Town meeting of New England, the Thing of the Norsemen, were the beginning of freedom.

Political institutions ought to be framed with careful regard to social conditions, for much depends on the relations of the more educated class with the masses, and the influence they can exert on the choice of representatives. The people must have due means for choosing as leaders, be they officials or representatives, those they can trust; but if these posts go by free popular choice to the "natural leaders" in any community, small or large, so much the better, for they have more of a character to lose than has the average man. Leaders who have their own aims to serve may misrepresent mass sentiment, or may call for self-government only because they desire to make their own profit out of it. The more ignorant and in-experienced is the multitude, so much the more will power fall to a few, and the main aim must be to see that the latter are prevented from abusing it for personal or class purposes, and turning an attempted democracy into a selfish oligarchy.

The question of the suffrage by which persons are to be chosen for public functions, local or national, must depend on the conditions of each country. Those who hold the right of suffrage to be a Natural Right, inherent in every human being, may feel bound to grant universal suffrage everywhere, but they can hardly expect that with the gift the power to use it wisely will

¹ The "Intelligentian" in Russia, the "Cientificos" in Mexico, were too few to exert this influence. Even apart from their other deficiencies, there were not enough of them to form a public opinion, enabling them to hold their ground without an armed force.

CH LXXI

555

descend by some supernatural grace upon the hill tribes of India, the Yakuts of Sibern, and the Zapotecs of Mexico Nature does not teach the methods of constitutional government to an Egyptian fellah, any more than it teaches a Tuareg of the Sahara to swim when he first sees the Nile Common sense does indeed suggest to him that he should vote for some one he knows and respects personally, but if the electoral area be large there will probably be none such among the candidates. As a wide suffrage gives advantages to the rich man and the demagogue, while a limited suffrage means the rule of a class, some have suggested the plan of allowing a local organ of self-government, whose members have been elected on a comparatively wide suffrage in a small area, to send its delegate to an assembly for a larger area, which will thus consist of persons of presumably superior competence.

Any frame of government must secure the responsi-

bility of legislators and officials to the people, but re sponsibility presupposes publicity, and how is publicity as respects the conduct of officials and legislators to be secured in countries like China or Russia? Difficulties arise where there are differences of religion, especially if ecclesiastics have power at their command, but it so happens that this sort of power does not count for much in serious affairs among Hindus, Buddhists, or Muslims, though fanaticism is sometimes a source of danger Political parties when they arise will doubtless make it their business to note and denounce every error of their opponents, but how can the multitude judge the truth of partisan charges as it could in times when the functions of government were few and the areas of self government comparatively small? The populations of the countries we are considering are enormous, while to break them up into manageable political areas would run counter to those forces which have tended to unify and improve administration and to facilitate the intercourse of members of the same nation for commerce, for education, and for other kinds of intellectual and moral development. Liberty and selfgovernment grew up in comparatively small and homogeneous populations. India, China, and Siberia are vast countries inhabited by diverse races in very different stages of civilization.

Among the dangers against which the institutions to be created among peoples devoid of constitutional experience must provide, three specially need to be guarded against.

One is the aggression of ambitious neighbour States. Could a Chinese republic, which has so far been able to assert only a precarious and intermittent authority in the Southern and Western provinces, defend itself against Japan, or a Russian republic defend itself against Japan, or a Russian republic defend itself against an intriguing neighbour? International guarantees would seem needed, but these have sometimes proved to be broken reeds. The new League of Nations may perhaps prove more effective.

Another is the maintenance of internal order. The old monarchies, though they had regular armies and the prestige of long-established authority, often failed to do this, and the difficulty will be greater in a people which, told to govern itself, has not yet learned that the constitutional scheme adopted must be supported. A government which has not stood long enough to inspire fear or acquire respect needs a strong army at its back, yet an army is a temptation to the Executive that commands it. Internal troubles subside when constitutional methods have become rooted in the minds of the people, but the process of subsidence may take centuries.

A third peril is the exploitation of the poorer classes by the stronger. If a restricted suffrage confines political power to the wealther and more educated part of the people, because the ignorant are confessedly unfit to exercise it, the ruling section is likely to legislate and administer for their own benefit and oppress those beneath them. Were India governed by assemblies in the hands of the land owning and monied sections the ryots would be worse off than under the oversight of British officials, and similarly the native peons of Mexico would fare ill if left at the mercy of the landowners

It iert at the mercy of the landowners. A fourth evil would be the corrupt abuse of their functions by officials and legislators, an evil frequent in many countries, but specially formulable where it has long permeated the ruling class. In China that class was intellectually able, thanks largely to the peculiar institution of a mandarinate recruited from the ranks of those who had, under the old system, won their spurs at the examinations in verse composition, but peculiation and "the squeeze" had pervaded it under the successive dynasties which have reigned for uncounted ages. Not dissimilar are the phenomena of Russia and the risks that await her. Under the autocracy of the Tsars talent sometimes rose, but seldom had the masses the means of learning to recognize and honour either talent or virtue. When the Tsardom collapsed few men whom the nation could follow were ready to take its place, and the official class, in which low standards of honour and public spirit had prevailed, shared the discredit into which the autocracy had fallen

To give more concrete reality to these general observations, let us look more closely at the particular countries concerned, and see what foundations exist in each of them on which self-government could be built

Europe has been wont to think of the Chinese as semi civilized. It might be truer to say that they are highly civilized in some respects and barely civilized in others. They are orderly and intelligent. They have admirable artistic gifts. Many possess great literary talent, many observe a moral standard as high as that of the ancient Stoics. On the other hand, they set a low value on human life, their punishments are extremely cruel, corruption is general among officials,

 $^{^{1}\,}$ Th s malady s said to have already broken out in a v rulent form in some of the new Sta es recently established in Europe

5 5 8

the most primitive superstitions govern the conduct of the immense majority. Diviners determine the exact spot in which a house ought to be built so as to enjoy the best influences proceeding from the unseen world, for a child born in a dwelling favourably located enjoy me oest innuences proceeding from the unseen world, for a child born in a dwelling favourably located will be likely to become a mandarin, while another situation will give him a chance of winning fame as a poet. Walls or wooden screens are placed opposite a door or gate so as to prevent malign spirits from entering the house, because these beings can fly only in a straight line, making neither curve nor zigzag. Such a juxtaposition of highly cultivated minds with beliefs that elsewhere linger only in savage races is among the strangest of the phenomena that startle a traveller in China. But in some ways China furnishes no unpromising field for an experiment in popular government. Its people have five sterling qualities—Industry, independence of character, a respect for settled order, a sense of what moral duty means, a deference to intellectual eminence. They have the power of working together; they can restrain their feelings and impulses; they are highly intelligent and amenable to reason. Weak as they have seemed to be in international affairs, they have plenty of national pride and a sort of patriotism, though it does not flow into military channels. What one may call the raw material for popular government is not wanting, but unfortunately there have existed few institutions that can be turned to account for the purpose. The smallest unit is the vallage, ruled by the purpose. The smallest unit is the village, ruled by the purpose. The smallest unit is the village, ruled by the heads of the chref families, usually with one Headman, to whom any orders of government are addressed. So late as 1913 there was no larger rural area possessing any self-government, and the cities were ruled by officials appointed by the Governor of the province, who is himself appointed by Peking. More recently Provincial Assemblies consisting of popularly elected delegates from the districts have been created in some Provinces. These bodies advise the provincial Governor, who has hitherto been appointed by the central government, and been

responsible to it only 1 Thus a beginning, promising so far as it goes, has been made It is for those who know China intimately to judge how far the system can be applied to cities and minor rural areas. One can imagine councils in cities, and a district council for a large subdivision of a province, which might be composed of delegates from the villages The constitution-makers have assumed a Central Parliament for the whole country But whoever considers the immense size of China, and the differences in language and custom between the provinces, and the strength of provincial feeling, may think that what is wanted is a sort of federal system, most of the functions of government being assigned to provincial assemblies and officials, with those only reserved to the Central Parliament which must be uniform in their action for the whole country, and provide for its common interests as respects commerce and national defence It is an evidence of the practical sense and law abiding quality 2 of the Chinese that though there has been a sort of intermittent civil war, more or less acute in different regions, ever since the fall of the Manchu dynasty in 1911, and though robber bands have sometimes ravaged the Western provinces, there was com paratively little disorder over the country as a whole Internal trade continued, the steamers plied on the rivers, trains ran much as usual, the customs and salt tax were collected under European supervision and the proceeds remitted to the capital

A monarchy would probably suit China better than a republic, because the traditional habit of obeying the sacred autocrat has been hallowed by long tradition, and the veneration paid to him, which was paid to his Office, not to the dynasty, might have been passed over

¹ I learn from S r John Jordan late Br t sh Min ster at Peking and probably the h ghest living European authority on Chinese politics and character that when he v s ted the Prov s onal Counc I in the prov nce of Shan S in 1918 he was favourably impressed by is working and by the useful relations between it and the Go ernor

² It m ght be more correct to say custom ab dung for there s very I tile law in the European sense of the word n Cb na though well established author ty is usually obeyed and well sett ed usage almost always fol owed Collect one of Ordinances ex st but seem to be seldom used

558

the most primitive superstitions govern the conduct of the immense majority. Diviners determine the exact spot in which a house ought to be built so as to enjoy the best influences proceeding from the unseen world, for a child born in a dwelling favourably located world, for a child born in a dwelling lavourably located will be likely to become a mandarin, while another situation will give him a chance of winning fame as a poet. Walls or wooden screens are placed opposite a door or gate so as to prevent malign spirits from entering the house, because these beings can fly only in a straight line, making neither curve nor zigzag. in a straight line, making neither curve nor 2igzag, Such a juxtaposition of highly cultivated minds with beliefs that elsewhere linger only in savage races is among the strangest of the phenomena that startle a traveller in China. But in some ways China furnishes no unpromising field for an experiment in popular government. Its people have five sterling qualities— Industry, independence of character, a respect for settled order, a sense of what moral duty means, a deference to tell-level agriculture. Their them she covers of to intellectual eminence. They have the power of working together; they can restrain their feelings and impulses; they are highly intelligent and amenable to reason Weak as they have seemed to be in international affairs, they have plenty of national pride and a sort of patriotism, though it does not flow into military channels. What one may call the raw material for popular government is not wanting, but unfortunately there have existed few institutions that can be turned to account for the few institutions that can be turned to account for the purpose. The smallest unit is the village, ruled by the heads of the chief families, usually with one Headman, to whom any orders of government are addressed. So late as 1913 there was no larger rural area possessing any self-government, and the cities were ruled by officials appointed by the Governor of the province, who is himself appointed by Peking. More recently Provincial Assemblies consisting of popularly elected delegates from the districts have been created in some Provinces. These begins relives the extensive the province of the provin bodies advise the provincial Governor, who has hitherto been appointed by the central government, and been

soldier, maintained order and did much for economic development, but for educational and moral progress and for the welfare of the aborigines, nearly all of whom are either agricultural serfs or semi civilized tribes, little was attempted, while the very small educated class, the so-called Cientificos, were too few, too much occupied with their own interests, and too little in touch with the masses, to exert a reforming or enlightening influence The examples of Argentina and Uruguay show that had a rule like his lasted for another half century the country might have become rich enough to make settled government possible, because a large class, in terested in the development of industry and commerce, would have arisen As things are now, a democratic constitution would probably prove just as unworkable in practice as any of the constitutions that have been enacted during the century of independence When elections were held under Diaz, ballot boxes were placed in the public squares, but so few voters appeared to drop ballots into them that the local Colonel usually sent a squad of soldiers towards evening with orders to cast into the boxes the voting papers which had been served out to them This indifference was due not merely to the sense that the President was a dictator, but to the total want of interest in the whole matter on the part of the population, who desired nothing except tranquillity and amusements For such a country the choice is at present-of the future one need not despair -a choice between oligarchy and a succession of short anarchies, each ending in a tyranny

Of India I will not speal, because an experiment of the utmost interest is now being tried there under an Act of the British Parliament passed in 1970, the results of which may before long throw much light on the problem it is meant to solve Under the guidal and of the British Government, to which some depart ments of administration have been prudently reserved, good hopes for at least a partial solution may be formed. In Egypt there is a prospect that a somewhat

VOL II

similar effort will be made to create self-governing institutions, with the advantage that the population is fairly homogeneous and has learnt, since the deposition of the Khedive Ismail, to realize the value of an honest administration and impartial Courts of Justice. As to Russia, the events of the last few years have given evidence, if indeed evidence was needed, that a vast multitude of ignorant peasants is ill fitted to work the complicated machinery of a democratic government; but as the recollections of the system by which the Village Community managed its land have not yet vanished, there may be a chance of creating a scheme of local self-government in small rural areas and reconstructing the Zemstvos in larger areas to form a basis on which representative institutions may be erected, so soon as a strong Central Executive which the people can trust has replaced the present irresponsible tyranny.

The vital fact to be noted in all these cases is that in none of them has the demand for free institutions come from the masses of the people, though it is by them that those institutions would have to be worked, or even from any considerable section of those masses. The principles of democracy may be brought from the United States or Europe and scattered like seed, but it is only in soil already fertilized by European influences that they will take root; and even the few who understand them lack the skill to apply them. The group of Marxian Communists who seized control of the revolutionary movement in Russia, and the republican theorists who compose what is still called the Parliament of China, have in neither country had more than a trifling following of convinced supporters.¹ The success which the former attained was due not to the good will of the peasants but to their war weariness and their desire to appropriate the land. The latter, once the Manchu

¹ I do not mean to disperage the intellectual quality of the Parliamen* of Chica, which seemed, when I saw it at work in 1975, to contain many alert and earnest young men, but it had no power get its command and no hold on the people

dynasty was gone, lost control of events That passed to those who could get money to pay the troops

Let me now try to state the substance of views

CH LXXI

Let me now try to state the substance of views given to me by experienced observers in the countries above referred to, together with those of students of history whose opinions on the problem I have sought, men who, while they feel that change must come and are not hopeful of its results, counsel wariness and patience in every effort to apply democratic principles among peoples hitherto ruled by arbitrary governments. I will summarize their views in a statement of the case for doubt and caution.

" Eighteenth-century philosophers who drew from the reports of travellers the material for their speculations upon the natural capacities of man did not greatly over-estimate the possibilities of free government among unsophisticated men in small communities, where wants were few and conditions nearly equal What is needed for the success of such government is the co-existence of a sense of personal independence with a spirit of intelligent co-operation in common affairs, the latter implying a willingness to obey the generally accepted authority, be it that of the chieftain or of the public gathering Public opinion is strong in such a community, and gives a security for the rights of its members There is not much for government to do except settle disputes (including blood fines), summon men to follow the leader in war, and manage the common land, pasture or forest This is possible for administrative purposes where life is simple and social groups are small, possible also in a senii-nomad tribal system in which each community has little to do with any other except by way of tribal wars Most of Arabia and Mesopotamia are in this condition, and civilized Powers might do well to leave the Sheiks alone, and let them raid one another, since that is the life they enjoy. When the question is of a population as large as was that of ancient Egypt or Assyria, still more as that of modern China (or even a province of China) or Russia, everything is different. To break up vast populations into manageable political areas would be to run counter to those forces which have been tending to unify peoples industrially and facilitate intercourse not only for commercial purposes but for those also of education and various forms of intellectual development. Civilization has created countless needs and tasks of legislation and administration. Large revenues are needed, much science, many officials. Moreover, money is to be made out of government work as well as spent upon it. Many prizes bring many temptations. Few of the people have any means of knowing personally the officials or representatives who conduct their business. To watch them so as to gauge their ability and honesty, and to evaluate the technical side of their work, needs a capacity and experience beyond that of the average citizen. In India the difficulty may be reduced, for there the guiding power of the British Government, as in the Philippines that of the American Government, can retain (at least for a time) those branches of administration which require most technical skill or afford the largest opportunities for malversation, and it can see that the work is properly done. But how can the ability to watch and judge be expected from an untutored multitude in Russia or Egypt? They must be guided by leaders belonging to the small educated class who have interests of their own to serve : how are they to judge which of these leaders they should trust, that being the judgment democracy expects from those to whom it commits the power of ruling by their votes, though the only judgment they are qualified to form is whether their own grievances are being redressed and their own desires satisfied? In old days, as the body of the people slowly gained control of government in a country like England, they grew up to its tasks. Of them it could then be said, 'As thy day is, so shall thy strength be.' Political institutions were the machinery

they made for themselves; and in making it they learnt how to work it. It became more and more

CH LXXI WHAT ADVANCES ARE POSSIBLE 666

complex; but increasing experience taught them how to handle it. How different is the plight of inexperienced masses suddenly called upon to work the vast and elaborate organization of a great modern State, and that, too, without the help of the officials who used to administer it, because these may, as in Russia, be distrusted and rejected! It is like delivering up an occan steamer to be navigated by cabin boys through the fogs and reebergs of the Atlantic. In such circumstances may not the attempt to create democracy end in the creation of an oligarchy unredeemed by the traditions and sense of social responsibility which ungoesd some

and sense of social responsibility which imposed some check on the oppressions often practised by European aristocracies in former centuries?

"What then is the use of giving democratic institutions to those who neither desire the gift nor know how to use it? Better let the people have what they understand, a national monarchy or even an oligarchy under the name of a monarchy. In China, Persia, Mexico, and Russia, apparently even Respect it and let no foreign government dominate the country

There are practical grievances, among them monstrous corruption in China, flagrant disorder as well as corruption in Persia and Mexico Provide means whereby the people can state and press for the removal of their grievances If the educated class desire oppor-tunities for their careers, let these be afforded. This much can well be attempted without the complicated

machinery of a representative democracy, machinery which is sure to be perverted, because the people have no means of restraining those who will seek to turn it to their own ends You cannot build upon shifting sand, nor effect by a single sudden stroke what in other countries it has taken centuries of struggle and training to accom plish Neither individual men nor nations change their natures at one swoop In the moral sphere there are doubtless such things as Conversions, when some one, repenting of and confessing his sins, renounces them

under the influence of a strong religious impulse. Emotion can accomplish that. So, too, emotion may string up a sluggish people to a forceful if only momentary activity. But it can enable neither a man nor a people to assimilate knowledge, to form new habits of thinking, to work the complicated machinery of institutions. Prectous for the battlefield and for religious propaganda, it is unprofitable for the labours of administration or legislation. By all means begin the work of fitting the multitudes for self-government, but do it by slow degrees, following as far as possible the process by which Nature worked during the centuries in which the free peoples of to-day made their gradual advance."

The case for doubt or caution which I have here stated is, however, not the whole case. Other considerations have to be regarded. Though lions stand in the path which leads the Backward peoples towards democracy, the movement has begun, and dread of the lions will hardly arrest it. The advocates of change point to facts which in our time qualify the application of arguments drawn from the past. They point to Japan. They cite the Philippine Isles, where American administration has started a sort of legislative body which has been giving good results. Grounds for hope may be found in the earlier examples set long ago by the peoples that are now free and civilized which overcame difficulties as great as those which we see in China or Egypt. True it is that example has not the value of experience; it is their own direct experience that counts for nations as for individual men; yet it is also true that whereas the teaching of experience often comes too late for the individual to profit by it, each generation of a people can in the long span of national life go on learning from the successes or errors of its own ancestors. Errors and misfortunes there are sure to be, but so long as a nation is not enslaved or absorbed by a stronger neighbour, failures are rarely irretrievable; and one of the values of self-government lies in the fact that mis-fortunes bring knowledge, and knowledge helps to CH LXXI

wisdom, whereas under even a benevolent autocracy wiston, whereas finder even a beneficial to the education of a people proceeds slowly if it proceeds at all. This is why the ancients held Tyranny to be the worst kind of government. No gains compensate for the sufferings it inflicts. The only thing it creates is the will to destroy it and start afresh To-day the nations we are considering are faced by accomplished facts. The ancient despotisms have fallen, and as the social structure of which they were a part has decayed, it will be better to replace them, not by tyrannies resting on military force, but by governments possessing some kind of constitutional character out of which truly popular institutions may in the long course of time be developed

It would be folly to set up full blown democracy, but it may be possible to provide (a) Guarantees, enforceable by law, for the civil rights

of the individual

(b) Full opportunities to the masses for stating their (c) Means for declaring the wishes of the masses upon

questions falling within their own knowledge

ground for expecting

(d) Protection by international agreement against aggression or exploitation by the civilized Powers

Among modern conditions and under the stimulus of ideas proceeding from the more advanced peoples, intellectual development proceeds faster than ever before The influences playing on the mind and habits even of a backward race are now unceasing and pervasive There is more moving to and fro, more curiosity, more thinking and reading Changes which it would have needed a century to effect may now come in three or four decades Superstitions and all else that In three or tour accuses "spectations and an else that is rooted in religion hold out longest, but the habits of deference and obedience to earthly powers can crumble fast, and as they crumble self reliance grows." Thus the capacity for self government may be in our time more quickly acquired than experience in the past would give there is a logic of events. In India or Egypt or the Philippines, for instance, when a government has, directly or implicitly, raised expectations and awakened impatience, misgivings as to the fitness to receive a gift may have to

yield to the demand for it. There are countries in which, seeing that the break up of an old system of government and an old set of beliefs threatens the approach of chaos, an effort must be made to find some institutions, however crude, which will hold society together. There are

moments when it is safer to go forward than to stand

still, wiser to confer institutions even if they are liable to be misused than to foment discontent by withholding

them.

CHAPTER LXXII

THE RELATION OF DEMOCRACY TO LETTERS AND ARTS THE question whether democratic government either favours or discourages the power of intellectual creation

and the growth of a taste for letters, science, and art, lies rather outside the scope of this treatise, yet deserves to be considered by whoever attempts to estimate the value of democracy for the progress of mankind Two opposite theories have been advanced The Liberal thinkers of the generation which saw the

American and French Revolutions expected the democratic form of government to make for progress in the

intellectual as well as in the moral sphere. In delivering men's minds from bondage and arousing their
crivic activities it would stimulate the free development of
thought and give fuller play to individuality in philosophy
and art. Every man and every type of opinion would be
sure of a hearing A public enlightened by freedom
and delivered from caste prejudice would have a finer
appreciation of truth and beauty. With the greater
simplicity of manners and the independence of view which
equality would bring, the moral standard would rise,
and the honour formerly paid to rank be transferred to
virtue, to intellectual emmence, and to disinterested service.

The other theory holds that political equality tends to depress individuality and originality, disparaging genius Equality, making the will of the numerical majority supreme, produces uniformity, and uniformity produces monotony, and monotony ushers in a reign 550

570

of dulness by bringing every one down to the level of the average man, whose beliefs and tastes impose the rules which few are bold enough to defy. If here and there a solitary voice is raised to challenge them, no one gives heed, because the principle that the majority is right, and whether right or wrong must be obeyed, has become an axiom. No tyranny is so crushing as the peaceful tyranny of a stolid and self-satisfied multitude, because against it there can be no insurrection. Grey and cheerless will be the world in which excellence excites suspicion, and the weight of numbers passes like a steam-roller over the souls of men.

Both of these doctrines suggest points of view for which much may be said, but they are a priori doctrines, based not on facts but on conjectures as to what may happen under certain political conditions which are assumed for the purposes of the argument to be the only conditions worth regarding. The sphere of speculation is boundless and conjectures worthless, because political conditions cannot be isolated from other influences at work. There is only one test applicable to speculations, that of setting them side by side with such facts as we possess, so if any positive conclusion is to be reached it must be by noting what history has to tell us about the influence which forms of government have in fact exerted on intellectual life, and especially on creative intellectual power.

The view that democracy develops mental activity seems drawn from the fact that such a development has often occurred in times of transition, when the old maxims and practices of arbitrary governments were being broken down. The apostles of liberty who assailed such governments were men of force and courage, eager and sanguine, inspired by their ideas, and living a life of strenuous enthusiasm, so they naturally supposed that the lively play of mind round, all the subjects on which discussion, once prohibited, was how being opened to all, would continue. Freedom had won those ble ings, freedom would retain them. It did not occur to them that combat is more

CH LXXII

ideals which have inspired one generation may, just because they have triumphed, lose their vivifying power for the next. A striking instance is afforded by comparing the heroes of the Italian Risorgimento (1820 to 1870) with

the men of the succeeding generation. A reaction almost always follows on times of exiltation, human nature dropping back to its normal level with the discouragement of disappointed hopes Just as there is nothing to show that democracy has

intensified intellectual life, neither are there facts to support the view expressed by Robert Lowe in a once famous speech that it is a "dull and level plain, in which every bush is a tree' Plato, with all his moral censure for the government of his own democratic Athens, does not accuse to of inducing uniformity but rather of encouraging an undue license and irregularity in thought and manners

Travellers who visited the United States between 1820 and 1860 were struck by the universal devotion to material progress and complained that only in a few Boston coteries could intellectual interests be discerned. This prosperous democracy, they remarked, even some years later, shows not only an overweening confidence in itself but an overestimate of material success, with a corresponding indifference to the things of the mind If the facts were so, the swift development of the country's natural resources, occupying nearly all its energy, furnished a sufficient explanation. America has now been a democracy for a second and longer period, yet she shows to-day a more vigorous and various intellectual life than was that of sixty years ago The tyranny of the majority which disheartened Tocqueville in 1830 is not now visible, except at times of unusual strain, when national safety is supposed to be endangered. In France, where democracy is only half a century old, social equality is older, and though both have alienated many men of fastidious taste, there are no signs of dreary monotony or an oppressive intolerance in the realm of thought No one has been able to point to any instance in which

where they have come naturally and have not been imposed by State authority, display a tendency to induce uniformity of thought, or to prevent genius from making its way, irrespective of the accidents of birth. But if a popular government were to attempt to enforce economic and social equality by compulsory methods, and if this were carried out, as some have suggested, by allotting to each man, without regard to his own wishes and personal bent, his work and whatever remuneration for it the State authority might fix, individual initiative might wither away and thought be compelled to revolve in the limited circle which the State approved. Certain devotees of democracy have indeed argued that a democratic government must, when once installed in power, inculate its principles not only through instruction in the schools but also by forbidding any other doctrines to seduce the minds of the Spanish

is only a step away from persecution. To make true doctrines prevail becomes for him a duty.

If we ask under what kinds of government letters and art and science have flourished, history answers, Under all kinds. Among the Greeks, the great philosophers and the lyric poets came from oligarchic as well as from democratic cities. Short was the age of Athenian glory in poetry, and it ended many years before free government was extinguished in the Hellenic world. The most illustrious Roman writers in verse and prose wrote within a period of seventy years covered by the lives of Julius Caesar and Augustus, during which republican institutions were disappearing; 'and of these only one was born in Rome. In mediaeval Europe, and especially in Italy, the thirteenth century stands out as that illumined by the largest number of famous names, and in the fourteenth

Inquisition. Whoever is absolutely sure that he is right

and fifteenth Florence produced, in proportion to het

Lucretow, Catallia, Harace, Virgal, Owal, Prepertina, Taballas, Coerto, Sallini, Livy,
After an interval, a accord period of fifty years covers Senera, Lucin, Satissa, Tacirus,
Javanal, Marial.

population, far more than her share of the finest genius in literature as well as in art. The explanations that may be given of these phenomena cannot be drawn from political given of these phenomena cannot be drawn from political conditions. The same may be said of the age of Shakespeare and Bacon in England, and of the age of Louis Quatorze in France. Both were periods of exciting events, of growing enlightenment, and of great mental activity, but popular government had not been born. The group of poets that was the glory of England and Scotland during the last quarter of the eighteenth and the first quarter of the nineteenth century arose under an oligarchy, and were the harbingers rather than the harvest of political freedom. This holds true also of the German poets and scholars and hyllecophers from Gesthe and Schiller. I service scholars and philosophers from Goethe and Schiller, Lessing and Kant, down to Heine, Ranke, and Mommisen The merit credited to democracy of occasionally producing brilliant orators is counterbalanced by the flood of commonplace or turgid rhetoric which it lets loose If we turn from literature to art it is still more evident that painting and sculpture have flourished alike under kings and in republics Music, the most inscrutable of all the arts, seems to be quite out of relation to the other intellectual movements of the world, except possibly to those which feel the touch of religious emotion 1

The causes that determine the appearance of genius in any branch of intellectual or artistic creation have never been determined, and are perhaps beyond discovery, but though we cannot tell why persons of exceptional gifts have been born more frequently in particular times or at particular spots than at other times and in other places, there are some data for determining the conditions under which genius best ripens and produces the finest fruit Into this fascinating enquiry I must not enter, for a long historical digression would be needed to render probable the theory I should have to propound. Enough to say that history does not prove the conditions aforesand to have been sensibly affected by forms of government, except

 $^{^{1}}$ Palestrina coming in the days of the Catholic revival $\,$ s usually taken as the typical instance

perhaps where rulers have (as in Spain after Charles V.) set themselves sternly and steadily during a long period of years to repress the expression of any opinions except those which they approved. The movements of intellectual and moral forces are so infinitely subtle and intricate that any explanation drawn from a few external facts is sure to be defective and likely to be misleading. It is a common habit to seek the solution of large social or historical problems in a single obvious cause. Any sciolist thinks he can explain the characters of individuals by saying that such and such a one has the Celtic, or the Slavonic, or it may be the Jewish strain; and similarly it is easy to attribute to their form of government the political and moral tendencies of a people But just as the race factor, important as it is, cannot be isolated from the whole environment of a race or an individual, so it is with forms of government. History finds much less than is commonly fancied to connect them either with the creative genius of individuals or with the innermost beliefs and mental habits of nations.

More than thirty years ago James Russell Lowell wrote: "Democracy must show a capacity for producing, not an higher average man, but the highest possible types of manhood in all its manifold varieties, or it is a failure. No matter what it does for the body, if it does not in some sort satisfy that inextinguishable passion of the soul for something that lifts life away from prose, it is a failure. Unless it knows how to make itself gracious and winning, it is a failure. Has it done this? Is it doing this? or trying to do it?"

Few will maintain that democracy has approached any nearer to Lowell's ideal since his words were written. But did he not ask more from democracy than any form of government can be expected to give? The causes that raise or depress the spirit of man he deeper.

The cittzens of a democracy do, however, show certain traits which, whether or no due to the form of governments which, whether or no due to the form of governments.

ment they live under, find full expression in it One of these is the self-confidence of the man who, feeling himself, because he has an equal share in voting at elections, to be as good as any one else, is disposed to think that he and his neighbours of the same class are qualified for most public posts, and who, if himself imperfectly educated, underestimates the value of knowledge and technical skill Equality tends not only to reduce the deference due to superior attainments, but also to the older forms of politeness and the respect which used to be paid to official rank the seventeenth century the Dutch and the Swiss were, as republicans, charged with rough manners by the French, but manners were no less rough among burghers and peasants in the monarchical states of Germany, the difference being that those classes did not in the latter come into official contact with French critics Broadly speaking, one finds to-day no more rudeness in democracies than under other governments, though some races have by nature more tact and courtesy than others The manners which offended Dickens and other

The manners which offended Dickens and other European travellers in Western America eighty years ago were the fruit of the conditions of a society in a country still raw, and no such criticisms could now be made

The spirit of equality is alleged to have diminished the respect children owe to parents, and the young to the old. This was noted by Plato in Athens. But surely the family relations depend much more on the social structure and religious ideas of a race than on forms of government. In no countries do we see age so much respected and young children so kindly treated as in China and Japan. the passing traveller notes their gaiety and apparent happiness. May not this be connected with the conception of the Family implanted by the worship of ancestral spirits rather than with the nature of the government? Athensan women had a life less free than Roman women, but Athens was a democracy and Rome was not

Athens was a democracy and Rome was not More truth may be found in the view that democratic peoples carry indulgence to wrongdoers further than a regard for the safety of the community permits, because the disposition to let everybody go his own way and please himself, perhaps also in some countries the weak-

ness of a directly elected Executive, induces lemency. The peccadilloes of public men are too quickly forgotten. Whether a tendency to self-indulgence and licence is any commoner than under other kinds of government it is interestable to the property of the percent of the property of the percent of the pe

impossible to say, for many other causes come into play. Divorce has become easier and divorces more frequent in all free Protestant countries, but this is a phenomenon observable in nearly every modern country, scarcely commoner in America and not commoner in Switzerland than it is in Germany Its frequency is, moreover, no test of the sexual immorality of a country: there have been countries where divorce was unpermitted, while the laxity of morals was notorious. In this as in many other matters it is what may be called the spirit of "modernism," rather than the democratic influence of a form of government, that has been working a change in social usages and moral standards. The disappearance of the old theological conception of Sin, and the disposition to attribute a man's evil propensities to heredity or to surroundings for which he cannot be held accountable, have produced a tolerance more amiable than salutary, a reluctance to use severity where severity is the only means of repressing crime. This fault, though also a part of modernism, may not be specially frequent in democracies. It has not so far diminished their power of recognizing and admiring virtue. Shining examples of dignity, purity, and honour are to-day no less respected in the persons of men who have risen by their own merits than they were when exhibited by sovereigns or states-

men in the old days of meduality.

CHAPTER LXXIII

THE RESULTS DEMOCRATIC GOVERNMENT HAS GIVEN

To test democracy by its results as visible in the six countries examined, it will be convenient to consider how far in each of them the chief ends for which govern ment exists have been attained, taking these ends to include whatever the collective action of men associated for the common good can do for the moral and material welfare of a community and the individual citizens who compose it, helping them to obtain the maximum that

life can afford of enjoyment and to suffer the minimum

life may bring of sorrow These ends may be summed up as follows

Safety against attack on the community from without Order within the community-prevention of violence and creation of the consequent sense of security

Justice, the punishment of offences and the impartial adjustment of disputes on principles approved by the

community Efficient administration of common affairs, so as to obtain the largest possible results at the smallest possible

cost Assistance to the citizens in their several occupations, as, for example, by the promotion of trade or the regu lation of industry, in so far as this can be done with

out checking individual initiative or unduly restricting ındıvıdual freedom

These may be called the primary and generally recognized functions of government in a civilized country

> VOL II 577

578 RESULTS IN ADMINISTRATION Pt. 111

Other results, needing a fuller explanation, will be presently adverted to. I take first the five ends above named.

I. Safety against External Muck.—In all the Six

1. Safety against External Attack.—In all the Six Democracies this end has been attained as fully as in most non-democratic governments, and in one respect better attained, because the necessary preparations for defence have not given reasonable ground to other nations to fear that armaments were being increased with a view to hostile aggression.
2. In most of the Six internal order has been well

2. In most of the SM internal order has been well maintained, best perhaps in Switzerland, least perhaps in parts of the United States, where, although the Federal Government has done its dusty faithfully, some State Governments have tolerated lynching and failed to check other breaches of the law. Rioting in connection with Labour disputes has occurred everywhere, but except in some Australian cases the constituted authorities have shown themselves able to deal with it.

3. Justice has been honestly and capably administered, quite as well as under other forms of government, in Switzerland, Canada, Australia, and New Zealand, and in France also, though perhaps with not so full a confidence of the people in the perfect honour of all the Courts. In the United States the Federal Courts are

Staffed (with few exceptions) by upright and capable men, and the same is true of certain States. In others, however, the Judiciary is below the level of its functions, and in a few it is not trusted, while criminal procedure is cumbrous and regrettably ineffective.

4. Civil administration has long been conducted with efficiency in France and Switzerland, and is now, since the partial abolition of the "Spoils System," beginning to be so conducted in the United States Federal Government and in many of the State Governments. A similar improvement is visible in Canada. Australia and New Zealand have permanent services which are honest but as yet not more than fairly competent. Still possessed by the notion that one man is as good as another, the

CH LXXIII

new democracies have not yet duly recognized the increased call for thorough knowledge and trained skill in handling the widened functions now imposed on governments, both in determining the principles of economic and social policy to be adopted and in carrying them out in a scientific spirit. That the management of national finances has, in every country except Switzerland, been lavish and frequently wasteful is the fault not of the civil services but of Ministers and legislatures who have spent vast sums in that form of electioneering bribery which consists in making grants of money to particular classes (as in the United States to those who professed to be Civil War Veterans), or to constituencies under the pretence of executing public works. This kind of bribery, like the indulgence extended to law-breakers whose displeasure can be shown at elections, is directly attributable to democracy.

5 What further services, beyond those already mentioned, Government may render to a community or any class of its citizens by acquiring property to be used for the common benefit, or by embarking on industries or trading enterprises, or by aiding individuals to do so, is a question on which opinions differ so widely that no standard exists whereby to estimate the ments or defaults of governments. The only two countries that have gone far in this direction are New Zealand and Australia, with results (described already) which raise doubts whether democracy is a form of government fitted for such enterprises. Other matters, however, which are now generally deemed to fall within the sphere of legislation, such as public health and the conditions of labour and the regulation of the means of transportation, have received in all the Six countries due attention, the newer democracies being in no wise behind their elder sisters

democracies being in no wise beannd their enter sisters.

Of the conduct of foreign policy, once deemed a department in which popular governments were unconstant and incompetent, nothing need be added to what has been said in a preceding chapter except that the errors of the peoples have been no greater than

580 INFLUENCE OF INSTITUTIONS Pr. 111 those committed by monarchs, or by oligarchies, or in

those committed by monarchs, or by oligarchies, or in democracies themselves by the small groups, or the individual Ministers, to whose charge foreign relations had been entrusted.

Outside and apart from these definite duties, legally assigned to and discharged by government, there is a sphere in which its action can be felt and in which both its form and its spirit tell upon the individual citizen. When polutical institutions call upon him to bear a part in their working, he is taken out of the narrow circle of his domestic or occupational activities, admitted to a larger life which opens wider horizons, associated in new ways with his fellows, forced to think of matters which are both his and theirs. Self-government in local and still more in national affairs becomes a stimulant and an education. These influences may be called a by-product of popular government, incidental, but precious. Whoever has grown up in a household where public affairs were followed with interest and constantly discussed by the elders and friends of the family knows how much the boy gains by listening, asking questions, trying to understand the answers given; and the gain to the budding mind is greatest when the differences of opinion he hears expressed are most frequent. In Britain and America every general Parliamentary or Presidential Election marked for many a boy an epoch in the development of his thought, leading him to reflect thenceforth on events as they followed one another. In the Six Democracies described this kind of education is always going on, and the process is continued in an even more profitable form where the citizen, when he has reached the voting age, is required to vote not only at elections, but also, as in Switzerland and some of the American States, on laws submitted to the people by Referendum and Initiative.

Could this examination be extended to six other European countries, Italy, Holland, Belgium, Denmark, Sweden, Norway, the results to be described would not differ materially from those set forth as attained in the Six countries examined in Part II. In none has justice or order or the efficiency of civil administration suffered in the process of democratization which all have undergone within the last ninety years, and in most these primary duties of government are better discharged We may accordingly treat the results our enquiry has given for the Six as substantially true for European democracies in general

Here, however, a wider question arises. Some one may say "These attempts to estimate what government has done or failed to do for the citizen do not convey a definite impression of what is after all the thing of most worth, viz the amount of satisfaction, be it greater or less, with life and in life which democracy has brought to the modern world. What has it done for human happiness? Is it discredited, as some argue, by the fact that, after its long and steady advance, those civilized peoples which had hoped so much from popular government have seen in these latest years the most awful calamities which history records? Has it, if we think of the individual man, made him more or less disposed to say, taking the common test, "If I could, I would live my life over again," or does it leave him still in the frame of mind expressed twenty-three centuries ago by the Greek poet, who wrote, "The best thing for a man is never to have been born at all, and the next best or truin swiftly to that darkness whence he came'?"

Shall we say, in the familiar lines of a liter poet, that the question is idle, because governments have infinitesimally little to do with the matter?

How small of all that human hearts endure, That part which laws or kings can cause or cure

What is Happiness? Nations as well as men have shown by their acts how differently they conceive it Some, like Albanians and Afghans, cannot be happy without fighting, and the exploits of the heroes recorded in the Icelandic sagas as well as the feats of warlike prowess

which fill the *Iliad* seem to show that the first European peoples to produce great literatures cherished the same ideals. Yet the ideals of peace also were never absent. Eris and Atē, Strife, and Sin the parent of Strife, loom large in the Homeric poems as figures to be hated, because they are sources of misery. That impassioned little poem, the hundred and forty-fourth Psalm, begins with the stern joy of battle in the verses:

Blessed be Jehovah my Strength who teacheth my hands to war and my fingers to fight

My goodness and my fortress, my high tower and my deliverer, my shield and he in whom I trust

And ends with a prayer for the blessedness of peaceful prosperity which the Almighty bestows:

That our sons may grow up like young plants and our daughters be as the polished corners of the temple

That our garners may be full affording all manner of stores.

That our oxen may be strong to labour, that there may be no breaking

in nor going out, that there be no complaining in our streets

Happy is the people that is in such a case, happy the people whose
God is laberah

God is Jehovah. So peace is for Dante the supreme good, which the government of an Emperor commissioned from on high is to confer upon an Italy distracted by internal strife, leading men to the practice on earth of active virtue in this world, according to the precepts of philosophy, as the successor of Peter is to lead them to celestial felicity in the world to come. The Greek philosophers, however, and the Eastern mystics and the Christian theologians agree in regarding Happiness as a thing which governments can neither make nor mar, since it is unaffected by the possession or the lack of earthly goods. From this exalted view there is a long downward scale, for the pleasures of sense must not be forgotten: many Europeans would deem Happiness unattainable in a land where alcoholic stimulants were unprocutable; and among the various ideals of different modern countries there is that of the maximum of amusement with the minimum of toil, high wages and leisure for bull-fights

or horse races and athletic sports, in which many, and that not in Spain or Australia only, place their Summum bonum

Of Democracy and Happiness can more be said than this, that whatever governments can do to increase the joy of life is so slight in comparison with the other factors that tell on life for good and evil as to make the question not worth discussing on its positive side? With the Negative side it is otherwise. The establishment of popular freedom has removed or at least diminished sources of fear or suffering which existed under more arbitrary forms of government France has never returned to the oppressions and injustices, even the religious persecutions which had lasted down to the days of Louis XV In England, under the dawning light of popular power, the Slave Trade and the pillory and the cruel penal code and the oppressive restrictions on industry had begun to disappear even before the peaceful revolution of 1832, and slavery in every British dominion fell at once thereafter In Germany, Switzerland,1 and Spain torture-chambers had remained till the advent of the armies of republican France Russia is the only country in which the overthrow of an old established country in which the overthind of an accommendative truenty has not been followed by the extinction of administrative cruelty freedom of thought and speech, if not everywhere the gift of popular government, has found its best guarantee in democratic institutions. It remains to see which among the things expected

It remains to see winch among the things expected from it by its sanguine apostless of a century ago Democracy has so far failed to bestow upon the peoples To Mazzini and his disciples, as to Jefferson and many another fifty years before, Democracy was a Religion, or the natural companion of a religion, or a substitute for religion, from which effects on morals and life were hoped similar to those which the preachers of new creeds have so often seen with the eyes of faith

What, then, has democracy failed to accomplish? It has brought no nearer friendly feeling and the

One sat il shown to travellers in Appeni

sense of human brotherhood among the peoples of the world towards one another. Freedom has not been a reconciler.

Neither has it created goodwill and a sense of unity and civic fellowship within each of these peoples. Though in earlier days strife between classes had arisen, it is only in these later days that what is called Class War has become recognized as a serious menace to the peace of States, and in some countries the dominant factor in political and economic conflicts. Liberty and Equality have not been followed by Fraternity. Not even far off do we see her coming shine.

It has not enlisted in the service of the State nearly so much of the best practical capacity as each country possesses and every country needs for dealing with the domestic and international questions of the present age.

It has not purified or dignified politics, nor escaped the pernicious influence which the Money Power can exert. In some states corruption has been rife, and the tone of public life no better than it was under the monarchies or oligarchies of the eighteenth century.

Lastly, Democracy has not induced that satisfaction ment which was expected, and has not exorcised the spirit that seeks to attain its aims by revolution. One of the strongest arguments used to recommend Universal Suffrage was that as it gave supreme power to the numerical majority, every section of the people would bow to that majority, realizing that their aims must be sought by constitutional methods, since a resort to violence would be treason against the People and their legal sovereignty. Nevertheless, in many a country revolutionary methods are now being either applied or threatened just as they were in the old days of tyrannical kings or oligarchies. If democracy is flouted, what remains? There was a Greek proverb, "If water chokes, what can one drink to stop choking?" If the

light of Democracy be turned to darkness, how great is that darkness! Any one can see that these things which have not been attrined ought not to have been expected. No

form of government, nothing less than a change in ten dencies of human nature long known and recognized as permanent, could have accomplished what philosophies and religions and the spread of knowledge and progress in all the arts of life had failed to accomplish. Christianity—a far more powerful force than any political ideas or political institutions since it works on the innoct.

trainty—a far more powerful force than any pointent fleas or political institutions, since it works on the inmost heart of man—has produced nearly all the moral progress that has been achieved since it first appeared, and can individual men transmute lead into gold, yet Christianity has not done these things for peoples, because, checked or perverted by the worse propensities of human nature, it has never been applied in practice.

nature, it has never been applied in practice. It has not abolished oppression and corruption in governments, nor extinguished international hatreds and wars, has not even prevented the return of hideous cruelties in war which were believed to have been long extinct. Yet the right way to judge democracy is to try it by a concrete standard, setting it side by side with other governments. If we look back from the world of to-day so the world of to-day with the world of to-day.

were believed to have been long extinct
Yet the right way to judge democracy is to try it by
a concrete standard, setting it side by side with other
governments. If we look back from the world of to-day
to the world of the sixteenth century comfort can be
found in seeing how many sources of misery have been
reduced under the rule of the people and the recognition
of the equal rights of all. If it has not brought all the
blessings that were expected, it has in some countries
destroyed, in others materially diminished, many of the
cruelties and terrors, injustices and oppressions that had
darkened the souls of men for many generations

CHAPTER LXXIV

DEMOCRACY COMPARED WITH OTHER FORMS OF GOVERNMENT

As everything in human affairs is relative, so also the merit of any set of institutions can be tested and judged only by comparison with other sets created for similar purposes. All institutions being imperfect, the practical question is which of those that are directed to like ends show the fewest imperfections and best secure the

show the fewest imperfections and best secure the general aim of every political system—the welfare of the nation which lives under it. That form of government is to be preferred which gives the better tendencies of human nature the fullest scope and the most constant stimulus, permitting to the worse tendencies the fewest

opportunities for mischief.

"Accordingly, to judge democracy aright it must be compared with the two other forms of government to which it was in the ancient world and is still the alternative, Monarchy and Oligarchy. By Monarchy I understand the Thing, not the Name, i.e. not any State the head of which is called King or Emperor, but one in which the personal will of the monarch is a constantly effective, and in the last resort predominant, factor in government. Thus, while such a monarchy as that of Norway is really a Crowned Republic, and indeed a democratic republic, monarchy was in Russia before 1917, and in Turkey before 1905, and to a less degree in Germany had the Austro-Hungarian Monarchy till

The merits claimed for Monarchy as compared with Democracy are the following

It is more stable, better able to pursue, especially in foreign relations, a continuous and consistent policy

It gives a more efficient domestic administration, because it has a free hand in the selection of skilled officials and can enforce a stricter responsibility

It enables all the services of the State to be well fitted into one another and made to work concurrently and harmoniously together, because the monarch is the single directing head whom all obey

TH INVIV

It makes for justice between social classes, because the monarch, being himself raised above all his subjects. is impartial, and probably sympathetic with the masses of the people whose attachment he desires to secure

Of these claims, the first is not supported by history so far as foreign relations are concerned, for monarchies have been as variable as democracies, and on the whole

more disposed to war and aggression

Some weight may, however, be allowed to the claims made under the second and third heads, whenever the sovereign happens to be an exceptionally capable and industrious man, or has that gift for selecting first rate administrators which sovereigns occasionally possess, as did Henry IV, Louis XIV, and Napoleon in France, Frederick II in Prussia, Peter the Great and Catherine II in Russia The seventeenth and eighteenth centuries saw many reforms in European countries which no force less than that of a strong monarchy could have carried through

There have been a few kings whose action justified the fourth claim, but modern monarchs in general have chiefly relied on and favoured the aristocracy who formed their Courts, and have allowed the nobles to deal hardly

with the humbler classes

History, however, if it credits some kings with conspicuous services to progress, tells us that since the end of the fifteenth century, when the principle of hereditary succession had become well settled, the number of capable

PT. 111

sovereigns who honestly laboured for the good of their subjects has been extremely small. Spain, for instance, during three centuries from the abdication of Charles V., had no reason to thank any of her kings, nor had Hungary, or Poland, or Naples. A ruler with the gifts of Augustus or Hadrian and the virtues of Trajan or Marcus Aurelius can be a godsend to a nation; and if there were any practicable way for finding such a ruler, he and public opinion working together might produce an excellent government. But how rarely do such monarchs appear! If a sovereign turns out to be dissolute or heedless or weak, power goes naturally to his ministers or his favourites, who become a secret and virtually irresponsible oligarchy. In most modern and virtually interpolately of disposition to obedience and sense of personal loyalty which used to support a here-ditary ruler who could win any sort of popularity, have waxed feeble, nor would it be easy to revive them. The fatal objection to autocracy is that it leaves the fortunes of a State to chance; and when one considers the conditions under which autocrats grow up, chance is likely to set on the throne a weakling or a fool rather than a hero or a sage.

a nero or a sage.

Oligarchies deserve, both because they suffer less from the hereditary principle, and for another reason that will presently appear, more consideration than monarchies. There have been various types. The feudal magnates of mediaeval European countries ruled partly by armed force, partly by the respect felt for birth, partly because their tenant vassals had a like interest in keeping the peasants in subjection. In the virtually independent Italian and German cities of those ages the ruling few were sometimes, as in Bern and Venice, nobles drawing wealth from landed estates or from commerce, sometimes the heads of trading guilds which formed a strong civic organization. The con-

It is greatly to be wished that we should possess in English a history or histories of mediaeval city oligarchies based on a comparison of the civic institutions of Italy and those of Germany, not without references to those of France, Spina, and England in which there was less independence because royal power was more effective. Separate

ditions of those days are not likely to return in this age or the next, so that in order to compare modern oligarchies with modern democracies it is better to take such cases as the British and French aristocracies of the eighteenth century, or the nobility and bureaucracy of Prussia since Frederick the Great, the last tracy of Frusia since Frederick the Great, the last king whose rule was personal in that country, or the groups of men who governed France under Louis Napoleon, and Russia since the Tsar Nicholas I, and Austria since Joseph II In these countries real power rested with a small number of civil and military officials, the sovereign being practically in their hands To such cases there may be added in our own time countries like Chile and Brazil, both republics but hardly democracies, for the real substance of power is in few hands The difference between these last countries and the monarchical oligarchies 1 of Prussia, Austria, and Russia is that in the latter not only did the personal authority of the sovereign occasionally count for something, but that to the power of the civil officials and of the leading soldiers there was added the influence of the strongest men in the fields of commerce and industry, great bankers, heads of railroad and steamship companies and manufacturing undertakings, for sing companies and maintenance of the power of wealth, considerable even in the days when Edward III borrowed money from the Peruzzi in Florence and Charles V borrowed it from the Fuggers of Augsburg, is now greater than ever 2 Any oligarchy of the future will apparently have to be either a mixture of plutocracy and bureaucracy, or else composed of the leaders of labour or trade organizations, and the wider

stud es on a cons derable scale of the h story of such cit es as Bern and Geneva S cma and Genesa Lubeck Hamburg Ghent and Augsburg are also wanting n our language though they might be made both instructive and interesting Such books exist for Venice and Forence

Venice and Foreuce

1 German we ters used to speak of Prus a sa a Constitut onal Monarchy—so Dr

Hashach throughout his book, Moderne Demokrate—but in actual working, it, was
down till 1918 more of garch of than monarch call and only a superman could have
made it a real monarchy.

This element was least powerful in Rus a because it contained fewer men of great wealth and in part cular very few who combined wealth with such intellectual capacity as d stinguished the German plutocrats

490

the extension of State functions, e.g., under a Communistic system, the greater will the power of the ruling few be likely to prove in practice. Being of all forms of government that best entitled to be called Natural, for it springs out of the natural inequality of human beings, it takes the particular form which the economic and social conditions of a community prescribe, military, commercial, or industrial, as the case may be; and however often it may be crushed, its roots remain in the soil and may sprout aftests.

Oltgarchy has undeniable merits. It has often proved a very stable government, able to pursue a consistent policy and hold a persistent course in foreign affairs, paying little regard to moral principles. Rome could never have conquered the world without a Senate to direct her policy abroad She escaped the inconstances which belong to the rule of monarchs, one of whom may reverse the action of his predecessor, and of assemblies which are at one time passionate and aggressive, at another depressed by misfortune or undecided when promptitude is essential. Rome, and Venice in her best days, were prudent as well as tenacious. The two great errors of the English oligarchy, its high-handed action towards the North American colonies in and after 1775 and its failure to pass Roman Catholic Emancipation concurrently with the Parliamentary Union of Ireland with Great Britain in 1800, were not its faults so much as those of King George III., to whom it weakly yielded.

whom it weakly yielded.

Domestic government has been often efficient under an oligarchy, because the value of knowledge and skill was understood better than has yet been the case in democracies. Unsympathetic to the masses as it has usually been, it has sometimes seen the need for keeping them contented by caring for their material well-being. The Prussian oligarchy, following no doubt in the footsteps of Frederick the Great, settled a tangled land question in the days of Stein, put through may beneficial measures and built up a singularly capable civil

CH, LXXIV

service along with a wonderful military machine. Even the government of Louis Napoleon, whose blunders in foreign policy were as much his own as those of his advisers, for he had unluckily taken internitional relations for his province, did much for the economic progress of France, and left the peasantry contented

These and other minor ments which oligarchies may claim have, however, been outweighed by its faults

Class rule is essentially selfish and arrogant, perhaps even insolent, and the smaller the class is, so much the more arrogant. It judges questions from the point of view of its own interest, and seldom does more for the classes beneath it than it feels to be demanded by its own safety. Legislation is stained by this class colour, and administration is likely to suffer from the personal influence which members of the dominant group exert on behalf of their friends.

Oligarchies are apt to be divided into factions by the rivides and jealouses of the leading families. Where these do not lead to violence, as they often did in ruder ages, they take the form of intrigues which weaken and distract the State, retarding legislation, perverting administration, sacrificing public to private interests

ministration, sacrificing public to private interests. The pervasive spirit of selfishness and absence of a sense of responsibility to the general opinion of the nation, as well as the secrecy with which business is conducted, give opportunities for pecuniary corruption. England under Walpole suffered from this cause, so did France under Louis Napoleon, so did Austria, so, and to a greater extent, did Spani also and the Italian Principalities, not to speak of Russia and China, where venal bureaucracies worked the ruin of both countries, creating habits which it may take generations to cure, and destroying the respect of the nation for the sovereigns who tolerated it England, in the years between 1770 and x800, we almost the only case of a country in which, this weed was quickly eradicated without a revolutionary chance.

Lastly, where a people advancing in knowledge and

prosperity finds itself ruled, even if efficiently ruled, by a class—and the example of Prussia shows that it may sometimes be so ruled—it is sure to grow restive, and troubles must be expected like those which England, and still more Scotland and Ireland, witnessed during the half-century before the Reform Act of 1832. That these troubles did not culminate in civil war, was due to the traditions and good sense of the Whig section of the aristocracy which espoused the popular cause. When aristocraces are seriously divided the end of their dominion is near. It was a scion of one of the oldest patrician families of Rome who destroyed the rule of the Optimates, though for this a civil war all over the Roman world was needed. A people in which the springs of ancient reverence have run dry will trust no class

with virtually irresponsible power.

There are points in which a democratic Government suffers by comparison with an oligarchic, for the latter is more likely to recognize the importance of skill in administration and of economy in the management of finance, since it is not tempted to spend money in satisfying the importunities of localities or of sections of the population. It draws as much ability as democracy into the service of the State, for although the upward path is not so open, more trouble is usually taken to discover and employ conspicuous talent; and it is less disposed to legislate in a recklessly vote-catching spirit. The executive vigour with which it is credited is, however, qualified by the fear of provoking resistance or disaffection by the use of force, just as in a democracy the Executive begins to shake and quiver when votes are in question. The selfishness of those old days, when Venice kept her Slav subjects ignorant lest they should be restless, and when the English landowners enclosed commons with little regard for the interests of the humbler commoners, began in later years to be corrected-as in Prussia-by the need felt for keeping the masses in good humour. In the matter of purity, there is, if we look at concrete cases, not much to choose The German Governments maintained a higher standard of honour among their ministers than some of the Canadian Provinces have done, and among their judges than some of the American States have done. The fear of social censure proceeding from the members of a highly placed profession may be as powerful a deterrent in an oligarchy as is the fear of public displeasure in a democracy.

Crediting Oligarchy with all these merits, it nevertheless remains true that few who have lived under a demo cracy would exchange its rule for that of an oligarchy, few students of history would honour the memory of a great oligarch like Bismarck as they honour the memory of men like Cavour or Cobden or Abraham Lincoln Individual liberty has a better chance-even if not a complete security—with the People than with a class There is less room for the insolence of power 1 The sense of civic duty and the sense of humin as well as civic sympathy are more likely to flourish. Government is more just and humane, not because it is wiser, for wisdom does not increase with numbers, but because the aim and purpose of popular government is the common good of all An enlightened monarch, or even a generous and prudently observant aristocracy, may from time to time honestly strive to help and raise the masses, but wherever power rests with a man or a class, a scornful selfishness sooner or later creeps back and deprayes the conduct of affurs. So long as democracy holds fast to the principle that it exists for the whole people and makes its officials truly responsible

to the whole people it will deserve to prevail

5 far I have spoken of the Rule of the Few as being
the rule of a Class There is, however, another sense
in which the Few may rule and do rule, which needs to
be considered, for it is of wide import.

The satety that comes of great wealth bre ds nsolence (τίκτε γάρ κορὸς ΰβρν όταν πολὸς δλβος ἔπητα) says a Greek poet wh knew ol garchs

CHAPTER LXXV

OLIGARCHIES WITHIN DEMOCRACIES No one can have had some years' experience of the

conduct of affairs in a legislature or an administration without observing how extremely small is the number of persons by whom the world is governed. Oxenstierna's famous dictum, Quantula regitur mundus sapientia, finds its exemplification every day, but it is a criticism not of the flocks who follow but of the shepherds who lead. In all assemblies and groups and organized bodies of men, from a nation down to the committee of a club, direction and decisions rest in the hands of a small percentage, less and less in proportion to the larger and larger size of the body, till in a great population it becomes an infinitesimally small proportion of the whole number. This is and always has been true of all forms of govern-

exercising direct personal government, only in two cases, viz. in a small community which he can, like the Sicilian tyrant Agathocles or Chaka the Zulu king, rule directly, or in a wider area when he is, like Julius Caesar or Napoleon, a superman in intellect and energy. In all other cases his personal will plays a small part, and the vast bulk of the business is done by his Ministers, so that the important part of his function lies in selecting those who are to govern in his name,

ment, though in different degrees. The fact is most obvious in an autocracy. The nominal autocrat, except in so far as the fear of assassination or rebellion obliges him to regard popular feeling, can be a real autocrat, CH LXXV GOVERNMENT ALWAYS OF FEW 595 and trying, if he be capable of the duty, to see that both

they and their personal entourage continue to deserve his confidence. In a Court like that of Louis XV the powers of the State were, subject to such directions as that voluptuary might occasionally give, divided between three or four high officials and the king's private favourites, with the reigning mistress or her favourites. The Ministers were themselves influenced by their secretaries and favourites, but the total number of persons who guided the destinies of France, exercising, say, nineteen twentieths of the power over national as distinguished from local affairs, may have been less than twenty Every Monarchy becomes in practice an Olivarchy

British India furnishes an excellent example of an enlightened, hard-working, disinterested, very small official class ruling a vast country Taking together the Central Government and the Governments of the Provinces, the traveller who has good opportunities for observation comes to the conclusion that the "persons who count,' that is, those from whom all the important decisions on policy proceed, do not exceed thirty or forty, including those private secretaries who may sometimes be quite as potent factors as their better known chiefs Within the large oligarchy of some hundreds of the higher British officials, this inner oligarchy rules, each member of it having an actual power which is often less or greater than that legally assigned to his office, his personal intelligence and industry making the difference. To take an example on a much smaller scale, that of a country which a democracy left to be governed practically by one man, though subject to the check imposed on him by the necessity of defending his acts in Parliament, andwhen the matter was exceptionally important—of per suading his Cabinet colleagues that those acts were defensible, it may be said that the persons who aided and advised the Chief Secretary, and in that way bore a part in ruling Ireland, were, on an average,

experienced officials, two or three of the popular leaders, and a very few private friends on whose advice the Chief Secretary set value, the power of each i.e. the share of each man in the decisions taken, being proportioned to the value which the Minister set upon that man's opinion. In Germany and in Austria the determination of great issues, even the tremendous issues of war and peace which arose in July 1914, lay with seven or eight persons. In large democratic countries like England and France, and above all in the United States, the number of persons who count, swelled as it is by journalists and by the leaders of various organizations that can influence votes, is very much larger in proportion to the population, but that

proportion is still infinitesimally small.

Conceive of Political Power as a Force supplied to a machine from a number of dynamos, some with a stronger, some with a weaker, current, and try to estimate the amount of that Force which proceeds from each dynamo. The force which comes from each dynamo that represents an individual man is capable of a rough evaluation, while that force which represented the mass of public opinion is not so evaluable, because it varies with the importance of the issue, which sometimes excites public opinion and sometimes fails to interest it. Whoever tries, in the case of any given decision on a political question, to estimate the amount of the force proceeding from the dynamos which represent the wills of individual men will be surprised to find how high a pro-

portion that amount bears on the average to the whole

2 The less the Chief Secretary happens to know of Ireland before he is sent there, the smaller will usually be the number of those whom he consults and the greater their influence on decisions.

influence on decisions.

The view stated in the text is based on the experience I acquired when, many years
ago, responsible for the government of Iroland

¹ Prilament governed fedured in the same that its washes, or what were conjectured as black to be as when, could not be defined, and that where legislation was needed, its content to that legislation must be obtained but the prayoutly that governed upport to the Cathest was smally so despected to vate as the Chothet without that an extremely wide field was left open for the volution of the Irish Government, and that volution was the work of the trapminish Muniter and the handful when the thought it worth while to commit

3 The less the Chuff Sentrary happens to know of Ireland before he is sent three.

volume, because in many cases public opinion, though recognized as the supreme arbiter, is funt or uncertain, so that in those cases decision falls to the few, and a decision little noted at the time may affect the course of the events that follow. This is plain enough in the case of the German decision of 1914. It is less evident in a democracy, for there public opinion is more active and outspoken, and when it speaks with a clear voice, omnipotent. But such cases are exceptional Moreover, even in democracies opinion itself is in the last analysis made by a comparatively small percentage of the nation, the party chiefs being specially powerful among these. Public opinion is in ordinary times deferential to those who hold the reins of government, leaving to them all but the most important decisions.

leaving to them all but the most important decisions.

The members of a representative legislature in a Parliamentary country are presumably men of exceptional ability, each being a sort of leader to his own constituents yet within every legislature power is con centrated in a few, including the six or seven strongest men among the Ministers, five or six prominent leaders of the various Opposition groups, and about ten per cent of the rest, the others practically following the lead given to them, and not merely voting but also mostly thinking and feeling with their party In the United States House of Representatives business was for many years directed by a very few persons After the Speaker ceased to be a dictator, it passed to a small Committee, the exigencies of business as well as the communities, the dominant party prescribing this. The selection of the persons to be nominated by the two great parties as candidates for the Presidency of the United States at their national Conventions falls in United States at their national convenious rates in practice into the hands of a small group of politicians, so the nation may be shut up to choose between two men whom few cutzens would have selected, the attempt made to ascertain the popular will by the plan of "Presidential Primaries" having virtually failed. In a large popular Assembly, like that of a Greek republic,

with hundreds or thousands listening to the speeches of orators, there was no party control, and every citizen voted as he pleased, but the contagion of numbers was powerful, and the dominant feeling swept men off their feet. No ruling assembly ever contained so many men who had intelligence to guide their wills coupled with freedom to express their wills by a vote, as did that of Athens, but that will was the will rather of the crowd than each man's own, and was in the last resort due to the persuasive force of the few strenuous spirits who impressed their views upon the mass. Even where the absolute equality of every voter was most complete, power inevitably diffect to the strong

What has been said of governments and assemblies is equally true of non-legal organizations. The two great parties in the United States, counting their members by millions, have long been ruled by small cliques: and in every huge city the Organization has its Great General Staff or Ring of half a dozen wire-pullers, usually with a Boss as chief. The much less important party organizations in England are directed by two or three members of the Government and of the Opposition, with a few officebearers of Conservative and Liberal Associations. But the most striking illustration of the law that the larger the body the fewer those who rule it, is furnished by the great Labour Unions that now exist in all industrial countries. The power which the members of the Unions entrust to their delegates to Trade Congresses and the docility with which in some countries they follow whatever lead is given them by a strong will, can, as an able writer who has given special study to the subject remarks, be in those countries paralleled only by the religious veneration given to saints.¹ Ferdinand Lassalle in

¹ The news stated in the text, which I had reached by other paths, are confirmed by an side writer who has given special study to the subject, R. Michael, as has book entitled Pathota Pathota, pp. 68, 74. It may seld that his description of the Sociality parties, of Gerthium is well wroth realing. He remarks that the Sociality closers come mostly (at sid Maria) from the bourgeoine, and are often idealists, led by their convictions, not by substant—though of consect they, like all lastleds, come to loop provid—and maintaining an intellectual standard equal to that of German politiciats generally, Some have been rely stacking frome.

Germany, Enrico Ferri in Italy, received a loyalty and adulation which hung upon every word. Millions of votes are controlled by perhaps a dozen leaders who have won confidence. This surrender of power by the Many to the Few is admitted by the leaders themselves, who, recognizing its abandonment of the principle of Equality, justify it by the needs of the case. A militant organization is an army which can conquer only as an army conquers, by Unity of Command. It may be said that after victory equality will return. Yes, but so will indifference. A party is most interested and excited when it is militant, and though the leaders may not be of the same type after the battle has been won, they will be still few and powerful.

We are thus driven to ask Is a true Democracy

possible? Has it ever existed?

If one finds everywhere the same phenomena they are evidently due to the same ubiquitous causes, causes that may be summed up as follows

I Organization is essential for the accomplishment of any purpose, and organization means that each must have his special function and duty, and that all who discharge their several functions must be so guided as to work together, and that this co-operation must be expressed in and secured by the direction of some few commanders whose function it is to overlook the whole field of action and issue their orders to the several sets of officers To attempt to govern a country by the votes of masses left without control would be like attempting to manage a railroad by the votes of uninformed share holders, or to lay the course of a sailing ship by the votes of the passengers In a large country especially, the great and increasing complexity of government makes division. subordination, co ordination, and the concentration of directing power more essential to efficiency than ever before

2 The majority of citizens generally trouble themselves so little about public affairs that they willingly leave all but the most important to be dealt with by a

INTEREST IN CIVIC DUTIES 600 PT. 111

few.1 The several kinds of interest which the average man feels in the various branches or sides of his individual life come in something like the following order :

First, the occupation by which he makes his living. which, whether he likes it or not, is a prime necessity.

Secondly, his domestic concerns, his family and rela-

tives and friends

Thirdly, but now only in some countries, his religious

beliefs or observances. Fourthly, his amusements and personal tastes, be

they for sensual or for intellectual enjoyments.

Fifthly, his civic duty to the community. The order of these five interests of course varies in different citizens: some men put the fourth above the second, some so neglect the first as to be a burden to others. But the one common feature is the low place which belongs to the fifth, which for more than half the citizens in certain countries scarcely exists at all. For nearly all-and this will obviously be most true where women possess the suffrage, because domestic cares necessarily come first in the mind and time of most of them-the fifth fills a very small place in the average citizen's thoughts and is allowed to claim a correspondingly small fraction of his time.

3. Even those citizens who do take some interest in the welfare of their community are prevented, some by indolence, some by a sense of their want of knowledge, from studying political questions. Those who think, those who quickly turn thought into action, inevitably guide the rest. The "common will " to which Rousseau attributes rule, must have begun as the will of two or

three, and spread outwards from them.2

4. Inequality of Natural Capacity. Comparatively few men have the talent or possess the knowledge needed for thinking steadily on political questions; and of those

As to the small minorities by which important questions are decided at votings on

Socialist of Labour affairs, see Michiels, pp 55-58, ep. cit

Rousseau wrote in the Contrar Social "A prendre le terme dans la rigueur de l'acception il n'a jamais existé de véritable democratic, et il n'en existera jamais Il est contre l'ordre naturel que le grand nombre gouverne et que le petit soit gouverné."

so qualified, many are heedless or lazy and leave politics alone, because they care so much more for other things that they confine themselves to delivering their vote at that they comme themselves to delivering their vote at elections. Thus leadership naturally passes to the men of energy and boldness, especially if they possess also the power of persuasive speech. They become the Ruling Few. This sort of oligarchy is the natural and inevitable form of government. In a curious little collection of songs. written to be sung by citizens during the First French Revolution there is a sort of hymn to Equality which begins, "O sweet and holy Equality, enfant chert de la Nature" But however sweet the child, Nature is not its parent Monarchy was natural in some states of society, oligarchy in others, but the direct rule of all citizens equally and alike never has existed or can exist. The propensity to obey is at least as strong as the sense of

independence, and much more generally diffused ¹
As these things are of course familiar to any one who has either read a little history or seen a little of practical politics in any assembly down to a parish meeting, how then did the apostles of democracy come to talk as they did? Where is the Will of the People? What

becomes of the rule of the people by the people?

These enthusiasts were not the mere victims of illusions, but as they lived in times of revolt against the misgovernment of monarchies and oligarchies, governments of the Few who selfishly pursued their own class interests, they leapt to the conclusion that the one thing needful for good government was to place it in the hands of the Many, and that the Many, ie the whole mass of the citizens, would take the same interest in using it for the good of all as the oligarchs had taken in using it for their own class. They saw the people roused as

Proudhon observed L espece humaine veut honte de mon espece Quoted by M ch els p 421 L'espece humaine veut être gouvernée elle le sera Jai

The phrase. Will of the People. seema to involve two follower or ruther perhaps two implications which induce fallacies and they spring from the habit of concerving. of the People as One The first is that the Will of the Majority is apt to be thought of as if it were the Will of All The second a that as it comes from many it is thought of as assuing alike and equally from many whereas in fact it or g nates in few and is accepted by many

602 they had not been roused since the religious conflicts of the sixteenth century, to take an eager interest in public affairs, and assumed that this interest would continue when the excitement had died down; and being themselves ardent politicians, they attributed to the mass a zeal like that which they felt themselves. The lapse of years has given us a fuller knowledge. It is time to face the facts and be done with fantasies. As Bishop Butler long ago observed: Things are what they are, and not some other things, and they

assuredly are not what we like to believe them to be. The proportion of citizens who take a lively and constant interest in politics is so small, and likely to remain so small, that the direction of affairs inevitably passes to a few. The framers of institutions must recognize this fact, and see that their institutions correspond with the facts. In one thing, however, the sanguine enthusiasts of whom I have spoken were entirely right. They saw that the chief fault of the bad governments they sought that the chief that of the being conducted for the benefit of a class. The aim and spirit were selfish; a government could be made to serve the people only by giving the people the right to prescribe the aims it should pursue. This was done by the overthrow of the oligarchs:

and this is one great service democracy has rendered and is still trying, with more or less success, to render. It will have to go on trying, for Nature is always tending to throw Power into the hands of the Few, and the Few always tend by a like natural process to solidify into a Class, as the vapours rising from the earth gather into clouds. Fortunately the Class also, by a like process, is always tending to dissolve. The old Olgarchies of the Sword lasted longest, because in rude feudal times they had seized and anchored themselves to the land.

The more recent Oligarchies of the Purse are less stable, because new men are always pressing in, and movable paper wealth may soon pass away from the descendants of those who acquired it. The Oligarchy of Intellect is still more fluid talent easily enters it, and talent is not transmissible like the shares in a railroad Philosophers who disliked the oligarchies of rank and feared the plutocracies that succeeded them rank and rearred the purson trees that a succeeding them have dreamed of an aristocracy of Intellect as the best kind of government, but though they knew that a State needs uprightness and public spirit as well as intellect in its rulers, they never succeeded in showing how the

in its rulers, they never succeeded in showing now the possessors of these qualities are to be found and chosen, and they forgot that to both sets of qualities there must be added another which only experience tests, that is, Strength, the power to move and control the minds and wills of men The Lingdom of Heaven is taken by violence, and the violent take it by force"

Thus Free Government cannot but be, and has in reality always been an Oligarchy within a Democracy But it is Oligarchy not in the historical sense of the Rule of a Class, but rather in the original sense of the word, the rule of Few instead of Many individuals, to wit, those few whom neither birth nor wealth nor race distinguishes from the rest, but only Nature in having given to them qualities or opportunities she has denied to others

What, then, becomes of Democracy? What remains to the Many? Three rights and functions and they are the vital strength of free government Though the people cannot choose and guide the Means administra people chinds cross and generate in Means and so although government may not be By the People, it may be For the People. The people declare the End of government to be the welfare of the whole community and not of any specially favoured section They commit the Means for attaining that end to the citizens whom they select for the purpose They watch those selected citizens to make sure that they do not misuse the authority entrusted to them Popular powers, however, though they determine the character and stope of government, are in practice more frequently Negative or Deterrent than Positive The people can more readily reject a course proposed to them than them

THE CHOICE OF LEADERS selves suggest a better course. They can say, "We dislike this: we will not have it" on many an occasion when they cannot say what else they wish to have, i.e. in what form such general benefits as they desire ought

PT. III

604

to be given.

Of these three functions the most important and most difficult is that of choosing leaders, for though it seems simple to say that government must pursue the common good, the power to discern and decide in any given case what is that good, and what Means best conduce thereto, needs a wisdom and an unselfishness possessed by few. Since the people can seldom do this for themselves, their leaders must do it for them, and be held responsible for the consequences. A nation is tested and judged by the quality of those it chooses and supports as its leaders; and by their capacity it stands or falls.

To realize how much power does rest, and must by a law of nature always rest, with the few who guide the fortunes of any community, be it great or small, is to indicate the supreme importance of the choice which a free nation is called to make. The larger the nation the more difficult the choice, because opportunities for personal knowledge are slighter. And the choice is

also more momentous, because the greater the body and the more numerous the various sections it contains, the more essential is it that strong leaders should be trusted with the powers needed to hold it together.

CHAPTER LXXVI

LEADERSHIP IN A DEMOCRACY WE have seen that the quality of the leaders in a

democracy is no less important than the quality of the people they lead, the conduct of affairs by the Few being a necessary condition in every government, no matter in whom State power is legally vested The chief difference is that in an Oligarchy, where legal supremacy belongs to the Few, it is only they and those who are closest to them that guide the course of events, whereas where legal supremacy belongs to the multitude actual power is exerted not only by the persons to whom it delegates its legal authority, but by those also who can influence the multitude itself, inducing it to take one course or another, and to commit executive

functions to particular persons Whoever, accordingly, can sway the minds and wills of the sovereign people becomes a Leader, an effective factor in directing their Hence, while in a Monarchy or Oligarchy

the ruling Few are to be looked for only in the small class in whom legislative and administrative functions are vested, one must in a democracy go further afield and regard not only ministers and legislators but also the men who are most listened to by the citizens, public speakers, journalists, writers of books and pamphlets, every one in fact who counts for something in the formation of public opinion

In a Democracy every one has a chance-not of course an equal chance, for wealth and other adventitious

advantages tell-of stepping out of the ranks to become a leader. The people are on the look-out for men fit to be followed, and those who aspire to leadership are always trying to recommend themselves for the function. What, then, are the qualities which fix the attention and

win the favour of the people? Two are of especial value. One is Initiative. Leadership consists above all things in the faculty of going before others instead of following after others; that is to say it is promptitude in seeing the next step to be taken and courage in taking it. It is the courage which does not merely stand firm to resist an approaching foe but heads the charge against him. Nothing so much disposes men to follow as the swift resolution of one who is ready to take risks, the courage which makes one captain take his ship out from a lee-shore, under full steam, against a hurricane, while other captains are hesitating and trying to calculate the danger,

The other quality is the power to comprehend exactly the forces that affect the mind of the people and to discern what they desire and will support. These two gifts are precious because they are rare: they bring a man to the front under all kinds of popular govern-ments, and by them, if he possesses the more ordinary gifts of a ready and telling speech, as well as industry and honesty-or the reputation of it-he can usually hold the place he has won.

Eloquence in some forms of government counts for more than in others. Where popular assemblies have to be frequently addressed it is indispensable, as in the Greek republics and at Rome. It is valuable in countries like France, Italy, and England where unending battles go on in representative assemblies, and is needed not only in the form of set speeches on the greater occasions, but in cut-and-thrust debates where a sudden onslaught or a telling repartee makes a member valued as a party fighter. Where, as in the United States, the Administration does not hold office at the pleasure of the legislature, neither the arts of debate nor those which enable a parliamentarian to wriggle out of a difficulty and to play upon the personal proclivities of individual supporters or opponents are so much needed, and it is enough if a leader can deliver a good set oration, even if he reads it from notes. Nevertheless, in all countries that genuine eloquence which can touch the imagination or hire the hearts of a popular audience has often brought its possessor to the front, endearing him to the people, and perhaps concealing a lack of steadfastness or wisdom. France and Australia are the countries in which debating power most frequently brings men forward, while in Switzerland and New Zealand, plain clear-headed good sense has been sufficient.

It is an old repreach against democracies that they are readily moved by a plausible tongue, and are beguled by those who have, ever since the republican days of Greece, been called Demagogues (leaders of the people), furnishing a term of abuse freely applied in many a modern struggle. In current usage the Demagogue is one who tries to lure the people by capitivating speech, playing upon their passions, or promising to secure for them some benefit. Such persons must obviously be expected in ill countries where power lies with the people, and they may spread their nets by the press as well as by the voice, reaching larger numbers by the former method, and dangerous because often irresponsible, raising expectations which they are seldom called on to find the means of gratifying. Why they should have been, as is sometimes said, more frequent in Germany, Italy, and England than in France or the United States is an interesting question into which I must not digress.

Self-confidence, if it does not pass into the vinity which offers an easy target to ridicule, helps a bold man to make his way. To speak with an air of positive assurance, especially to a half-educated crowd already predisposed to assent, is better than to reason with them A prominent statesman of our time, on being asked by a member of his party what arguments he had better use

608 LEADERSHIP BY VOICE AND PEN pr. 111

on behalf of the cause they were advocating, replied, "I sometimes think that assertion is the best kind of argument."

There are other ways besides cloquence by which leadership is won. Journalism, a form of persuasive rhetoric which may be called oratory by the pen, has sometimes been an avenue to power in France and in the United States—even in Russia under the Tsars Katkoff was an effective force half a century ago. Benjamin Franklin exercised enormous influence by his writings, though he took httle part in the politics of his State. A book, coming at an opportune moment, may diffuse dieas which have their immediate reaction on popular opinion and so dispose sections of a nation to follow, perhaps for many years, the path it pointed out. Tolstoi, the latest of the prophets, told profoundly on the thoughts of his time, though how far he affected politics it is not yet easy to determine. Not to speak of Rousseau and Tom Paine, the writings of Karl Marx told upon a

circle far wider than that of his associates in revolutionary agitation. Authorship gave to Henry George, the writer of Progress and Poverty, an influence which lasted through his life, though he never cared to enter either national or Californian politics. Deeds as well as words, and deeds in war even more than service rendered in peace, have shed on some figures unversed in state-craft a lustre which led them to the highest posts. Generals Andrew Jackson, Zachary Taylor, T. H. Harrison, and U. S. Grant all owed their Presidencies to their military fame. There are among American Presidents many instances, like that of Jefferson, to prove that a man may be a popular favourite without

When any one has risen bigh enough to be trusted with administrative work, his capacity is put to a new test, since some measure of honesty, industry, tact, and temper is required, and if it is a first-rate position, carrying leadership with it, he must show himself capable of inspiring confidence and attaching men to

himself 1 What, then, of that higher kind of wisdom which looks all round and looks forward also? It is not what the people chiefly seek for or often find they and their representatives have generally to be contented with some one, be he forceful or seductive, who can meet the calls of the moment. The busy life of a modern statesman leaves no time for reflection, and the partisans whom he has to please think of high states manship in the terms of a party platform. Taking the gifts aforesaid to be those which attract

Taking the gifts aforesaid to be those which attract the people, by what means do their possessors win the people's praise and confidence? In Parliamentary countries the easiest way to prominence lies through the legislature, where influence is quickly won by effectiveness in debate, more slowly by a reputation for knowledge and diligence and judgment. The chiefs of parties come in these countries from the Chambers, and if there is a scarcity of first rate talent among the party chiefs, it is because too little talent has found its way into the Chambers, as Talleyrand replied, when asked why the Generals of the time were not better, Because they are chosen from the Colonels. In the United States and in Switzerland men may

become known by their work in local government or in some high executive office, such as is, in America, the Mayoralty of a city or the Governorship of a State But the most potent help to advancement in the earlier stages of a career is the Party. In America, where it nominates the candidates for every office as well as for seats in legislatures, it shows little wish to find and push men of talent, reserving its favour for those who have worked hard for the party and are sure to be solid" with it, and all the more pleased when they are rich enough to contribute to election funds. This was also, mutaus mutania, the attitude of the Central Office of the political parties in England from 1850 to 1900, for

VOL II

¹ Mazz n de cr bei democ acy as the p ogres of all through all under the lead ng of the best and west. Author ty be says elsewhere sacted when consecrated by Gen us and V Itue

they seldom cared to bring into Parliament men who could serve the party by intellect, preferring the local wealthy man who, not liable to the aberrations of youth and originality, could be trusted to give a steady and, if possible, a silent vote. Even the Parliamentary heads of the British parties did less than might have been expected in this direction. The one merit of the otherwise grotesquely indefensible system of pocket boroughs lay in its bringing forward, now and then, new men of conspicuous promise like Canning and Gladstone. In Switzerland, Canada, and New Zealand party organizations have little to do with these matters, but in Australia the Labour candidate has usually carned his selection by the work he has done in his Union or in his Political Labour Leagues.

The newspaper press has become so effective an agency in helping politicians to get on and to stay in, that some one has well said that politics has in democracies become a branch of the science and art of Advertisement. In certain countries there have been persons, even among leading statesmen, who felt it so necessary to keep their names before the public that they not only cultivated the good will of editors and proprietors, but took pains to have their every daily act of life recorded, thinking, perhaps correctly, that the way to success is to fiscinate everybody by making him believe that everybody clse has been fascinated. Keep yourself at all hazards always before the public as if you were a patient medicine: on the principle of the painter who said to the newspaper critic, "If you cannot praise my picture, abuse it: silence is the only thing I fear." These tactics succeed, though of course, like well—advertised brands of tobacco, only if the article has some merit. Great is the power of iteration.

That publicity which the press alone can confer may everywhere do much to harm a politician, and still more to push him forward, but its power is not everywhere the same. In a small country like Switzerland the people have a personal knowledge of their prominent figures

CH LXXVI which relegates the newspapers to a secondary place In a vast country like the United States the abundance of newspapers, and the restriction to certain areas of even the most important, prevents the people from falling under the sway of any, and forms in them the habit of judging men not by the praise or blame of contending journals, but by their acts, so though some may get more and others less credit than they deserve, still in the large

majority of cases justice is done He who asks whether democracies have shown discernment in their choice of leaders must remember how different are the qualities of nations Gifts that would commend a man in Italy might be less attractive in Switzerland or Holland Some are more fastidious than others in their moral judgments, though generally disposed to pardon any means by which success has been secured Some put reason above amusement, some reverse the order, but crowds seem everywhere to relish high flown moral platitudes. In the small city republics of antiquity and of the Middle Ages the opportunities for personal knowledge were so abundant that we are not surprised to find that while the conspicuous figures were always men of some sort of bril liance, yet those whose power was merely rhetorical were seldom trusted with high office and did not hold their influence for long together In large modern countries, where the citizens have to form their opinion from what they see in print, the task is hard, so much is there of misconception as well as of deliberate misrepresentation How seldom are men correctly judged even by those who have good opportunities for judging and are not heated partisans! Even in a popular assembly it may be only the most intimate colleagues who are in a position to form a correct estimate of a man's real character, 1 who

There are of course, cases in which the sterling qualit es do win due recogn r on and secure for the r possessor an unbes tat ng confidence Mr Gladstone was fond of telling how during the passing of the Reform Ell of 183 a decate arose egating the disfranchisement of a particular rotten borough Many speeches were delivered making so strong a case for sparing the that the House of Commons was on the point of omitting t from the schedule of disfranchisements when Lord Althorp who was then leading the M n st rial major ty rose and sa d that he had unluckily forgotten to bring

have learnt to appreciate and rely upon the honour and chivalry and goodness of heart and courage in emergencies of a man too modest or too proud to play for popularity; or who have to work with another colleague in whom they must tolerate selfishnesses and self-deceptions, pettinesses and lapses from truth, and posings before the public. It has been well said that you never really know any one till you have been his partner in business, or his companion on a long journey through a wild country. The peoples, however, need not know all these things—some of them are best left unrecorded and may be well content if they can judge ability, courage, and honesty. Taking the six democracies already described, those which judge most shrewdly are Switzer-land and the United States, and next after these, Canada.² The French are of course the keenest of critics, but the vehemence of partisanship is such as to make the

estimate of a statesman's personal qualities unduly tinged by the attraction or repulsion of his opinions. The charge of ingratitude so often brought against democracies finds little support in history.2 Even among the volatile Greeks, where popular assemblies were often swept by gusts of passion, we are more often struck by their adherence to those they had once trusted than by their occasional anger at a general who had failed. In the annals of the United States there is scarcely an instance

with him the evidence supplied to him against the borough, but that this evidence was so conclusive that he felt sure it would have convinced the House. The House trusted him so implicitly that the borough was forthwith disfranchised. I may perhaps be permitted to add that the qualities which distinguished Lord Althorp reappeared in his nephew, the late Lord Spencer, one of the most admirable figures in the public life of his time.

An interesting discussion of the causes which affect leadership in democratic countries may be found in an address by Mr. James A. Beck in vol vi pp 1-23 of the American Journal of the National Institute of Social Sciences He finds in the United

States an excessive tendency to standardisation

R. Michiels, in his book published in English under the title of Political Parties, dwells upon the fidelity which the German Socialists have usually shown to their leaders

through a lone series of years,

A This was the view of Machiavelii, who had good opportunities for observing both He remarks (The Prince, chap vi) that the charges brought against the multitude might be equally well brought against all men and especially against princes. Similar deliverances are quoted from the Discorn by Mr. Burd in his edition of The Prince.
Machiavelli observes, "Un popolo è più prudente, più stabile e di miglior giudizio che

of any statesman who lost his hold upon the people save by his own errors, and very few who did not even after those errors retain a fair measure of support. In Canada, Australia, and New Zealand what surprises the observer is the undue indulgence extended to men whose faults ought to have brought their public career to a close. Monarchs have been more ungrateful than free peoples. Compare the treatment of Benedek by the Hapsburg Court after the war of 1866 with the fine loyalty of the Southern men in America after the fall of the Confederacy not only to the noble figure of Robert E. Lee, but even to others who might well have been censured for mistakes.

It is often said that every country has the leaders, like the newspapers, which it deserves This is not altogether true Fortune takes a hand in the game, and takes it for evil as well as for good, sometimes sending, perhaps from an unexpected quarter, a man of gifts which quickly raise him to an eminence he may use or abuse with consequences fateful for the future The people who welcome and follow an Alcibiades or an Aaron Burr cannot be expected to know his capacities for evil The people who welcomed and trusted the rail splitter from Illinois thanked Providence for the unlooked for gift of one who was exactly fitted for the crisis and gave him their loyal trust thereafter That which we call Chance -it is the only available word where causes are undiscoverable-has had more to do with the course of events than the builders of scientific history have generally liked to recognize

Notwithstanding such an instance as that of Abraham Lancoln, the first man who had ever risen from such small beginnings to the headship of a nation, it must

¹ The crt cs of democracy have often drawn examples of ts v ces f om the v olence of rumbs v h ch, his those of med se 1 Constant nope, turned fur onsily aga nat dethroned overe gas whom t had former y treated like a gal vist these cases for all no evidence against democracy because with mobs were composed of persons who had never shared the reposs b 1 es of free self government

² As descr bed in Mr W ckham Steed's book The Hap burg Monarthy Queen Elizabeth like her father behaved very Il to some of the Min ste's who had served her fa thfully

PT. III

be admitted that universal suffrage and the growth of equality in opportunity have done less than was expected to bring to the service of the State men of statesmanlike ability. Those who have compared the public life of France from 1815 to 1875 with its public

statesmanlike ability. Those who have compared the public life for France from 1815 to 1875 with its public life from 1870 to 1920, and that of the United States of the years 1850–1900 with that of later years, seem disappointed with the results. Similar complaints are heard from those who in England set the generation of Burke, Pitt, and Fox, and that of Peel, Disraeli, and Gladstone, beside the England of later years. If the alleged inferiority exists, it can be explained without attributing the paucity of brilliant figures to any deficient capacity of democracies for recognizing talent and virtue when they appear. The cause may he rather in changed economic conditions, and in the indisposition of the

expantly of democraters for tecognizing stand and when they appear. The cause may be rather in changed economic conditions, and in the indisposition of the class from which statesmen used to be chiefly drawn to throw themselves into public work in the spirit of their grandfathers. Still the fact is there.

The predominance of Party in democracies has made us, when we talk of leadership, think primarily of the militant function of the general who directs a political campaign and bears, like the champions in ancient warfare, the brunt of battle in his own person. But the best

us, when we talk of leadership, think primarily of the militant function of the general who directs a political campaign and bears, like the champions in ancient warfare, the brunt of battle in his own person. But the best kind of leader has a duty to the whole people as well as to his party. If he is in opposition he has neverheless the responsibility of directing the minds and wills of a large section of the people, and of aiding or resisting the policy of the Administration. In both cases his actions, as well as his views and arguments and exhortations, have weight with the whole nation for good or for evil; and this, most conspicuously true of the head of a party, is true more or less of all those to whom the nation is accustomed to listen. It used to be said of the British House of Commons that its tone and taste rose or fell with the Prime Minister who was guiding its deliberations. This applies to the body of the people also. A great man may not only form a school who

assimilate and propagate his ideas, but may do much to create a pattern for the people of what statesmanship ought to be If his honour is unblemished, his ideals high, his temper large, tolerant, and sympathetic, his example is sure to tell. Others try to live up to it. He may, without being a Washington or a Lincoln, a Pitt or a Fox, not only deserve to be gratefully remembered as a light of his time, but may, like Lord Althorp and Peel in one way, Cobden and Bright in another, so influence his younger contemporaries as to strengthen the best traditions of public life and maintain its standard.

So much is in our own time spoken and written on all the great questions before civilized nations that leaders are not expected to become, and indeed cannot for want of leisure become, students or philosophers, creators of new ideas or schemes. It is enough if, availing themselves of what the students produce, they can apply their experience to discern which of the many doctrines and projects that are seething up all around like bubbles in a boiling spring are most fit to be made the basis of wise legislation. Their function is to commend the best of these to the people, not waiting for demands, not seeming to be bent merely on pleasing the people, but appealing to reason and creating the sense that the nation is not a mere aggregate of classes, each seeking its own interests, but a great organized whole with a life rooted in the past and stretching on into the illimitable future A democracy is tested by the leaders whom it chooses, and it prospers by the power of discernment which directs to choice

Giscernment winc directs is choice

Leaders of this type stand in a wholesome and profitable relation with the average citizen, who, despite all the flattery he receives, is generally a sensible man, not conceited, willing to listen and learn. In Switzerland and America, though of course much influenced by his neighbours, he wishes to be independent and true to form an opinion for himself. But independence is compatible with deference to the opinion of those who

know more and have had a longer experience. Independence qualified by deference, an independence springing from the sense of personal responsibility, a deference rendered to moral as well as intellectual authority, creates the best relation between the leader whom it steadies and the citizen whom it guides.

As this chapter closes the comments to be made on the working of Governments in the Six Democratic countries described, I may here, before passing on to the present aspects of democracy over the world, sum up in a few propositions certain broad conclusions that may be drawn from a review of modern popular Governments. They are stated subject to certain exceptions, already mentioned, in the case of particular countries.

Democracy has belied the prophecies both of its friends and of its enemies. It has failed to give some benefits which the former expected, it has escaped some of the evils which the latter feared. If the optimists overvalued its moral influence, the pessimists undervalued its practical aptitudes. It has reproduced most of the evils which have belonged to other forms of government, though in different forms, and the few it has added are less serious than those evils of the older governments which it has escaped.

I. It has maintained public order while securing the

liberty of the individual citizen.

II. It has given a civil administration as efficient as

other forms of government have provided.

III. Its legislation has been more generally directed to the welfare of the poorer classes than has been that of other Governments.

IV. It has not been inconstant or ungrateful.

V. It has not weakened patriotism or courage.
VI. It has been often wasteful and usually extravagant.

VII. It has not produced general contentment in each nation.

CH LXXVI A RISING OR A FALLING TIDE 617 VIII It has done little to improve international relations and ensure peace, has not diminished class

selfishness (witness Australia and New Zealand), has not fostered a cosmopolitan humanitarianism nor mitigated the dislike of men of a different colour IX It has not extinguished corruption and the

malign influences wealth can exert upon government

X It has not removed the fear of revolutions XI It has not enlisted in the service of the State a sufficient number of the most honest and capable XII Nevertheless it has, taken all in all, given better practical results than either the Rule of One Man or the Rule of a Class, for it has at least extinguished many of the evils by which they were defaced On what is the most important question of all, whether democratic governments have been improving during the last half century in their practical working and in their moral and intellectual influence on the peoples who have established them, it is hard to reach a conclusion, for the conditions of the last few years have been abnormal In 1914 there were signs of decline

citizens in some countries where decline was hardly to have been expected, and of improvement in other countries, but nothing to indicate in any country either a wish to abandon democracy or the slightest prospect that any-thing would be gained thereby Disappointment is expressed complaints are made, but no permanent sub stitute has been suggested

CHAPTER LXXVII

THE LATER PHASES OF DEMOCRACY

Those whose recollections carry them back over the last seventy years will be disposed to think that no other period of equal length in the world's annals—not even the years between 1453 and 1721, nor those between 1776 and 1848—has seen so many profoundly significant changes in human life and thought. We are here concerned only with those which have affected popular government. But political changes are—apart from the action of some extraordinary individual—always due either to changes in the external conditions of man's life, economic and social, or to changes in man's thoughts and feelings, or to both combined. It is therefore worth while to glance at the influences of both these kinds which have had their repercussions in political ideas and political practice.

The swift advance in every department of physical science, enlarging our command of natural forces, has immensely enlarged the production of all sorts of commodities, and has, by providing quicker and cheaper modes of transport, brought food from one part of the earth to another in vastly increased quantity. Population has increased. Wealth has increased. The average duration of life has been lengthened. Many things which were luxuries have become indispensable comforts. Nations have been drawn closer to one another, and commerce has become a far more important element in their relations to one another. In the more pros-

CH LXXVII ECONOMIC EQUALIZATION perous nations new avenues to wealth have been opened, so that a large number of men sprung from what were the middle and poorer classes have accumulated great fortunes, while the ownership of land, once the chief source of wealth and social influence, has sunk into a second place The "economic factor" has attained a new importance not only in international intercourse, but within each country, changing the relation of social classes, effacing the old distinctions of birth and rank, and not only placing the new tich almost on a level with the old families, but destroying the old ties between the employer and his working. The isolated handworker has become rare, the factories that have replaced him are filled by crowds of toilers who have little or no personal touch with the incorporated company that pays their wages As the number of such workers

grew, they learnt to organize themselves, so that presently their combinations as well as their numbers gave them a power and an independence previously unknown Thus a process of equalization set in which not only placed the new rich on a level with the old rich, but raised and strengthened the hand workers as a whole, the processes of levelling down and levelling up going on together honoledge was no longer confined to a small minorit; Nearly everybody could read and write Books and newspapers were accessible to all, so there was in the intellectual sphere also an equalization of opportunities and an emancipation of the masses from that sense of inferiority which had formerly made them accept as natural the predominance of the better born, the richer, and the more instructed These changes were directly or indirectly due to

advances in the sciences of nature and in their appli cation to practical ends, changes which, though they had been in process for more than a century, were immensely accelerated and extended in their operation within the lifetime of men still living. But the advances had moral as well as material effects They changed what

are called "the values." Men became more and more occupied with the ascertainment and interpretation of facts, and especially of the phenomena of nature. Their thoughts turned to concrete facts. They questioned old ideas and long-established doctrines, demanding evidence of whatever they were asked to believe. Principles which had gone undisputed for centuries were discredited. His-torical criticism of the Christian scriptures became more active and its results were disseminated widely. The habit of respect for tradition, together with such obedience to ecclesiastical authority as had remained, began to disappear, except in a small circle which the growing scepticism had affrighted, while the habit of looking to another world as one which would provide compensation for the injustices of this world declined. There was a general unsettlement of convictions, a disposition to get the most that was possible out of this present life, along with a feeling that every one ought to have a full chance for developing his own individuality and seeking happiness in his own way. This sense of human equality and of the right to untrammelled "self realization" found its most striking expression in what is called the deminst movement, an amazing departure from ancient and deeply rooted custom, with hardly a parallel in the history of society in respect of its extent, of the passion which inspired its advocates, and of the amount of

sympathy it evoked in unexpected quarters.

Either of these two streams of tendency, the economic and the intellectual, was strong enough to effect great changes. Coinciding in their operation, they have produced what is a new world in the realm of what is called sociological thought as well as in the material conditions of life and the economic structure of society. Not a few views and proposals that were derided seventy years ago are now accepted and welcomed. Economic

¹ Even so for both at 1884, I remember to have heard two dat ngushed Americans, Junes Russell Lowell and Charles Ebot Norton, express to another the suprise they left at finding that, or returning to London alter many years, one could say whitere one liked about religious as well as political matters without the tisk of centrals borror.

doctrines which all sensible men then held are now treated as obsolete What were fads or dreams have become axioms What were axioms are now despised as fads or superstitions Such chapters could not but affect the political move-

ments already in progress, expanding their aims and quickening their march revolutionary fervour in 1848 spent its force before permanent results had been achieved, the forces that were making for democracy soon recovered their momentum, since they had behind them the assertion of human equality, the desire to break old shackles and secure for everybody his chance in life The loss of respect for authority and for the persons who claimed it in the State and in the Church cleared away much that had barred the path of earlier reformers The masses, now that education had spread among them, could now that education had spread among them, could no longer be treated as unfitted by ignorance for civic rights, and while the organizations they had built up gave them the means of showing their strength, the growing demand for legislation designed to benefit them by providing better conditions of health and labour made it seem absurd to prevent those for whom these benefits were intended from directly expressing their benefits were intended from directly expressing their own needs and wishes So all over Europe, outside the despotisms of Russia and Turkey, power more and more passed to the people The United States had been for many years a democracy In England, which may be taken as fairly typical, because the changes it underwent were not revolutionary, but accomplished with a pretty general consensus of opinion, statutes of 1868 and 1885 made the wage-earners a majority in nearly every constituency, and an Act of 1918 extended the suffrage not only to all men but to all women over thirty years of age To-day the masses are, or could be if they asserted themselves, masters of the political situation everywhere in Europe, though in some countries, such as Spain and Rumania, they have scarcely yet seemed to realize their power their power

PT. III

Mention ought here to be made of two sentiments which, playing on conservatively minded men as well as on Liberals, brought about these changes quietly in England, and to some extent in other countries also. One was the fear that if the constitutional demand for extensions of the suffrage were not granted, violent efforts to obtain it might follow. The other was a belief that only by giving more power to the workers would their real grievances receive due attention and, above all, prompt attention.

The results of this change were not at once visible. In Great Britain, for instance, little happened to show the difference. The English Tories, after their victory in the Election of 1874, applauded the prescience of their leader (Mr. Disraeli) who had divined that his party need not suffer from the extension of the electoral franchise he had carried, an extension wider than that which his opponents had proposed. I remember that when, in 1878, I remarked to a singularly acute observer, London correspondent of a German newspaper, that it was strange to see the English working men make so ittle use for their own benefit of the power they had come to possess, he replied that they did not yet know how great their power was. They must have time. Scarcely did they begin to know it till 1890, and not fully till 1905, by which year other changes had begun and a new spirit was at work.

a new spirit was at work.

The earlier victories of democracy in Europe, like its still earlier victory in America, had been won in the name of Liberty. Liberty meant the expulsion of tyrants, the admission of the bulk of a nation to a share in power, the full control of the people through their representative assembly, the abolition of privilege and hereditary rank, and the opening on equal terms of every public career to every citizen. These would have been the main articles of a radical democrat's creed any time between 1830 and 1870, and many, at least in

¹ Mr. Max Schlesinger, whom those who lived in London then will remember as one of the foreigners who best understood English thoughts and ways.

England and France, would have added to it the suppression of the State Establishment of religion, the suppression of the State Establishment of religion, the curtailment of public expenditure, the public provision of education, free trade, the reduction of armies and the cultivation of peaceful relations with all foreign countries. Once these things had been attained every-body could sit down and be happy in his own way, the free play of economic forces ensuring peaceful progress and a steady amelioration of the conditions of life. There were of course already those, especially among the revolutionaries of the European continent, who looked further ahead But, speaking generally, political liberty and political equality, both taken in the widest sense, satisfied the aspirations of the democrats of those days These were the ideals of orators and thinkers from Charles James Fox and Jefferson down to Mazzini These hopes inspired Wordsworth in his youthful prime and Schiller and Shelley and Victor Hugo These were the doctrines which offended Goethe, and which repelled Carlyle in his later days

When, however, political liberty and equality had been actually attained, or at least became certain of attainment, the leaders of the working classes began to ask what did it profit them to have gained political power if they did not turn it to practical account for their own benefit Legislation for the improvement of labour conditions had, no doubt, been stimulated by the extension of the suffrage, both in England and in other countries, notably in demands, where government sought to hold at bay demands for political change by propitating the wage earners. But more was wanted. The chief things which the working classes desired were higher wages and shorter hours These had been heretofore sought by strikes But political action in the legislature provided an easier and surer way, while the State might be required to better the condition of the wage earners

Alle Freihe ts Apostel sie waren mir mmer zuwider Wilkur suchte doch ein jeder am Ende für sich Willst du v ele bef e en so wag' es v ele zu d enen Wie gefährlich das se willst du es wissen versuch s

by providing at the public cost other benefits such as gratuitous education, pensions, or houses, or employment on public works when other employment was slack. Some went further, insisting on the so-called Right to Work, i.e. the duty of the State to provide

employment for every one who sought it. The growing power of the Labour Unions, and the area over which strikes had begun to extend, led the employers also to combine for resistance, and their combinations further solidified the Unions, so that employers and employed were more and more gathered into hostile camps. Meanwhile, in many countries the consolidation of many industrial enterprises that had formerly been in many hands into a few great undertakings-such as those called in America Trusts-some of which created a virtual monopoly in certain branches of production, struck at that faith in the power of free and open competition on which the older economists had relied, and evoked demands that in order to protect the consumer such combinations should be broken up and their undertakings taken over and managed by the State. The simultaneous tendency to throw on public authorities an increasing number of services needed in the interest of the community made the supersession of individual action more familiar, while State action became less distrusted the more the State itself was seen to be passing under popular control.

Thus there came a new orientation in politics as the struggle for political equality died down, its goal having been reached. The movement towards Economic Equality, already visible in many countries, forged to the front and gained strength with those who thought that progress towards it might be made by extending the action of the State, perhaps in some new form. Though its chief support naturally came from the working class, which it would admit to a larger share in the world's goods, it had some backing among members of the richer class whose sympathy went out to the poor, or who held that theoretical justice prescribed equal

enjoyment, or equal opportunities for enjoyment, for all alike, and that those whose labour was the chief factor in the production of wealth were entitled to a far larger share, perhaps to the whole, of that which was produced. If Economic Equality was to be taken as the aim in view, how was it to be attained? A mere redistribution of property as it existed, to be effected by taking from the richer to give to the poorer, was obviously no remedy, for differences of wealth would soon reappear 1 It therefore became necessary to reconstruct society on a new basis so as to prevent inequalities from arising afresh Thus various schemes were propounded by a host of thinkers in different countries, Frenchmen and Germans leading 2 Some of these schemes proposed to transfer all the means of production, distribution, and exchange to the State (or to administrative authorities-local or departmental—within the State), gradually transferring one industry after another from individuals to public management, and bringing the products of the transferred industries into the public treasury, but not altogether superseding private effort or forbidding those who had produced some kinds of things to retain the product

More extreme theorists advocated the entire ex tinction of private property, with an allotment of every form of labour to some specific form of production and the application of the commodities produced to satisfy the needs of all alike This full blown Communism considers Capital as the enemy to be destroyed, root and branch, and seeks to extinguish classes altogether, making all the members of a nation consist only of one body, the so-called "proletariate"

To describe even in outline the various types which

the new doctrines have been taking, and the groups 1 As a Western American remarked if a wooden city was burnt down to secure equality between poor and rich, some smart man would make his pile by buying up the ashes for potash.

* Modern Soc al sm was just heard of and no more in the first French Revolut on but in the second (1830) it came to the front, and in 1848 its votar as took up arms aga not the Republic, though it made no figure in the a multaneous revolutions in Wingary Germany and Italy

which in each country have embraced each type, would be beyond the scope of this book. Three observations may, however, be in place.

626

The movement, which had been originally democratic, took in its new phase a different course in different countries. Anarchism, seeking to extend individual liberty so widely as to get rid of laws altogether, might have seemed to be a more natural extension of democratic principles than is Socialism, and there are those who so regard it. But Socialists and Anarchists, despite their divergent theories, have in common their desire to overthrow existing institutions, the former in order to rebuild, the latter in order to leave the site bare for men to disport themselves thereon. Agreeing as they do in the first step, there has been a certain amount of co-operation, if not of real sympathy, between them. Communism throve best in France, Germany, Italy, and Spain, in some cities of which latter country Anarchism also was conspicuous. Each set of theorists hoped to find a field for the full practical development of their respective doctrines in Russia. In Australia and New Zealand, countries far less affected by abstract views, there were sustained efforts of the wage-earning class to secure higher wages, shorter hours, and various other benefits to be bestowed by the State, and these took shape in a well-compacted Labour party. This happened also in Britain, which followed in the wake of Australia. Many britain, which nowed in the wake of Mustania. Ivania leaders of the Labour party held and hold socialistic principles, but these have not been generally inscribed on the Labour banner in any English-speaking land. In the United States, where democracy had been longest established, a Labour party arose much later, now counting millions of adherents, but not yet strong in the legislatures, while Socialism and Communism have found about all these conventions of the second second in the second seco almost all their support among recent immigrants from Europe, who give them a considerable and apparently increasing vote in Presidential elections.

¹ The causes which have retarded the spread of Socialism in America have beer indicated in the chapters on that country,

CH LXXVII In France, Spain, Portugal, Italy, and Russia the

Socialist movement has always had a strong antireligious colour The Church has been an object of attack, being represented as an enemy of the people and of progress This is much less true of Germany, and there is no definitely anti-Christian colour among English speaking Socialists The democratic move-

ments of last century were everywhere concerned more with Destruction than with Construction They sought to sweep away privileges and restrictions, estab-lishing political equality by knocking down the old barriers This work of abolition having been completed,

there comes a call for institutions which shall give to the masses the positive benefits they desire by organizing Society on new lines This is a Constructive work. Destruction is easy. Any tool can with one blow of his hammer destroy a statue it took Michael Angelo years to perfect But to construct needs knowledge,

thought, skill, and at least so much experience as enables a man to judge whether his plans can be put in practice The leaders of the Socialist and Communist parties have not had the opportunities for acquiring such experience There has been plenty of intellectual force among German and French Socialists, but they have been divided into many sects with divergent doctrines, and chiefly occupied in denouncing the existing state of society which no one defends, except indeed by pointing out that every form of social structure known to history has been indefensible

Four methods of action have presented themselves to the leaders of the new movements One is constituto the reaction through those representative legislatures in which 2 Labour or Socialist party is able to secure a majority, or at least an organized minority, strong enough to extort from an Administration in power the kind of legislation it desires. This has been successfully done in Australia and New Zealand, and to a less extent in Britain, in France, and in Germany A second method

is the old one of organizing strikes to compel employers

to raise wages, or shorten hours of labour, or confine employment to the members of labour unions. This expedient is everywhere resorted to, but as it is costly it sometimes fails. A third plan is to organize a general or "sympathetic" strike, so as to put pressure on the whole community to yield to the demands of any particular body of workers demanding something either from private employers or from the State, if they are in State employment.1

A fourth method, itself a development of the third, is to apply either the general strike, or a strike of several associated bodies of workers, for the purpose of compelling the legislature and executive to adopt, or to desist from, some particular policy, possibly a foreign as well as a domestic policy, which they have adopted or are deemed likely to adopt. This is called the method of Direct Action. It is expected to prove specially effective if the strikers are employed in a form of industry essential to the welfare of the community at large, such as work on railroads or in coal mines, or in electric lighting and power, seeing that the suspension of railroad traffic, for instance, paralyses all industries and inflicts the gravest inconveniences on the whole population.

These facts, familiar to us all, are here noted for the sake of observing that whereas the two first-named methods are entirely constitutional and legal, not transgressing the principles of democracy, the two latter are revolutionary and anti-democratic. Democracy was meant to secure that the will of the whole people, as constitutionally expressed on the last occasion of voting, shall prevail, i.e. it was designed to avert revolution by enabling the people to obtain by their votes all the justice that revolution had been previously used to gain, whereas a general strike, whether directed against the whole community, or meant to compel a Government to take a particular course, is an attempt to override the legal methods of the people's rule, just as is an armed insurrection. Such action is therefore a declaration

¹ Some remarks on this method will be further found in the following chapter.

that democracy has failed, and must be replaced by that very violence it was designed to avert. It may seem strange that this method should be at this time of day so lightly resorted to, for violence is a game at which every party can play, and history warns us that a victory won by such means has no promise of finality, since, besides creating a sense of insecurity, it inevitably tends to provoke further violence.

since, besides creating a sense of insecurity, it inevitably tends to provoke further violence
Lastly, in the new phase described the idea of Liberty has been, though not renounced, yet forgotten or ignored This is not merely because political Liberty, in the sense of the exercise of power by the people, has been won and needs no further thought, but also because the rights of the individual man to lead his life in his own way, work at what he will, take his pleasure as he will, save and spend for himself, are no longer, and that by many persons in all classes, deemed to be a part of Liberty Every increase of State control, every supersession of individual action by State action more or less reduces them This may be—doubtless often is—for the general good but it represents a profound change of attitude. Communism of course carries control furthest, for it prescribes to every citizen the work he shall do and the recompense he shall receive, and leaves him nothing he can call exclusively his own. Fraternity also, the old watchword of the revolutionist

1 It may of course be argued—indeed it is argued—that a soc ety n which men are dependent upon others for the means of subsistence a Serv sude rather than Liberty, for what does it small aworkman to be uncontrolled if he wil starre we thought work and is thus diven to lake work on the terms which the employer preser his. Thus any firedenin, and whatever restrictions may be unproaded on the individual there will be after striking the balance, a reed it of the Liberty side of the account. Though it is measured to the traction to this argument it is improvided to attempt a diversit it in the contraction of the argument it is improvided to attempt on diversit it in the contraction.

these pages

3 One of the leaders of the Soviet Government in Russ a L. Trotsky has recently office ally defended the system of computory labour enforced there declaring that the Workers State has the night to send the worker to say place where his bloom real special and to lay hands on any one who refuses to carry out his bloom orders as also to quanh any worker who "destroys the soll carry of abour and justifies this also the quanh any worker who "destroys the soll carry of abour and justifies this carries are the solling of the present required to increase production but looks forward to a time when the motive of a voluntary with to serve the community will be a sufficient stimules.

ever since 1789, has fallen out of sight. However little its spirit has ever ruled in France or elsewhere, it was respected as heralding a time when Liberty and Equality would bring friendliness and peace in their train. This kind of Idealism has disappeared; it is material benefits that hold that place in the minds of the most recent advocates of change which spiritual progress held in

the earlier generation. There is more hatted than love in the apostles of the Class War and proletarian rule.

630 DISAPPEARANCE OF OLD IDEALS PT. 111

CHAPTER LXXVIII

PRESENT TENDENCIES IN DEMOCRACIES

EVERY one who tries to follow the march of events in these chaotic days of ours asks himself the question. In what direction are things moving? Is Democracy spreading more widely? Is it improving or degenerating? Is it gaining or losing the confidence of the

peoples?

To describe the conditions of the moment would be

as if one should try to paint a landscape over which lights and shadows were coming and going every moment under clouds driven before a gale. What can be done, however, is to indicate the tendencies visible when the storm of war burst in 1914, since which time the minds of men have been everywhere so far from normal—shall we say shell shocked—that it is impossible to predict what they will be five or ten years hence. Some of these tendencies have, however, continued operative, assuming a more formidable significance.

I Democracy is spreading Seven new States have sprung up in Europe since 1918 Czecho Slovakia, Austria, Poland, Lithuania, Latvia (Lettland), Esthonia,

Finland

Three new States have arisen in Western Asia the republics of Georgia, Armenia, and Azerbaijan, the latter specially interesting as the first attempt at republican government in a Mussulman country. The fate of Russia hangs, and may continue for some time to hang,

in the balance. Hungary has not yet settled her form of government; nor has Poland, nor has China.1

632

The ten new States aforesaid have given or are giving themselves democratic constitutions, as did Portugal in 1909, when she dethroned the Braganza dynasty. Thus the number of democracies in the world has been doubled within fifteen years.

II. In the form which it has almost everywhere taken, that of government by a representative assembly, democracy shows signs of decay; for the reputation and moral authority of elected legislatures, although these, being indispensable, must remain, have been declining in almost every country. In some they are deemed to have shown themselves unequal to their tasks, in others to have yielded to temptations, in others to be too subservient to party, while in all they have lost some part of the respect and social deference formerly accorded to them. Whither, then, has gone so much of the power as may have departed from them? In some countries it would seem to be passing to the Cabinet-England is often cited as an example-in others to the directly elected Head of the State, as for instance to the Governors in the several States of the American Union. In France, though there has been no definite change, calls are heard for a strong President, and in Argentina the President already overtops the Chambers. What is common to all these cases is the disposition to trust one man or a few led by one, rather than an elected assembly,

III. Over against such cases stand those wherein power is taken for the citizen body to overrule the legislature by the Referendum or supersede it by the Intitative. This Swiss method, which grew up naturally in the States of the American Union also, can hardly be made a regular organ of government in large countries where the process of voting is costly, and in some at least of such countries—indeed wherever party or class organizations are powerful—it is likely to work less well than

CH LXXVIII GOVERNMENTAL FUNCTIONS 633

it has in the lands of its birth, but its conformability to the doctrine of Popular Sovereignty recommends it The development of Local Government and transference

to it of as many administrative functions as possible, though constantly preached by reformers, does not in fact advance. Very little has been done in this direction by France or Australia, or in Spanish America, while in England the small parish units have failed to enlist popular interest.

IV That extension in many directions of the sphere of

government which began in the United States some forty years ago, and has been carried furthest in New Zealand and Australia, has by increasing the tasks laid upon administration affected the character of democratic government itself, for it compels the creation of a great staff of officials, and so a sort of bureaucracy grows up, handling many kinds of business This swells the volume of patronage lying in the gift of Ministers, and adds to the temptations which the exercise of popular control more difficult, because so many branches of work he beyond the knowledge and judgment of the citizens, or their representatives, that the discretionary powers of government inevitably grow, and responsibility is less easily secured Moreover, the larger the number of State undertakings and State employees, the larger is the influence which the latter can exert through their votes They become a powerful class, with personal pecuniary interests opposed to those of the community as a whole, and Ministers have in many countries found it hard to resist their demands

it hard to resist their demands

The tendencies here described may probably advance,
for they are not revolutionary but the natural result of
slowly developing economic and social conditions, and
if the development of these continues, political institu

if the development of these continues, political institutions will change, if not in form, jet in substance The larger the mass of citizens becomes, the more do they tend to look to the Executive, and especially to its head They follow a man or a small group rather than legis-

lators whom it is hard to make responsible, and this of itself tends to make legislative offices desired chiefly by those who seek in them an avenue to executive power. That the plan of entrusting law-making, or the ultimate decision of a contested issue, to the direct action of the community is attractive, is shown not only by its spread in America, but also by its adoption in some recent constitutions, as for instance in that of Germany. Bureaucracy is denounced, but it grows.

V. Ånother influence insensibly modifying popular government must not pass unnoticed, viz. the shifting of population from the country to the city, and especially to the great city, which grows the faster the larger it grows. Australia and Argentina are dominated by their capitals. New York, Chicago, and Philadelphia contained in 1920 10,145,000 persons, nearly one-tent of the whole population of the United States, and there are now six other cities with populations exceeding one million. It is not merely the problem of maintaining order in such populations, and that of feeding them in case a railway strike cuts off supplies from the country, that raise disquiet, it is also the influence upon character and the habits of life in centres of excirement and amusement; and when we consider all that this means, democracy may be given some credit for having averted disorders which the aggregation of spich vast masses of human beings might have been expected to involve, creating perils never experienced in featlier ages. Can such manuty be expected to continue?

So far of changes in or affecting the working of the constitutional machinery of democratic government. Two other new facts are the appearance of forces which, coming from without, threaten, whe of them the disintegration of democracy, the other its destruction. I have already observed that the immensely increased

I have already observed that the immensely increased scale of industrial undertal tings, coupled with the desire of those employed therein to secure higher wages and better conditions of life as well as of labour, have led the workers in the more important of these industries

to organize themselves in Unions, sometimes including an immense number of persons. Such Unions are in certain countries further associated for joint action in a general League, such as are the Confederation Generale du Travail in France, the Labour Leagues in Australia, the American Federation of Labour in the United States, and the group formed in Great Britain by three enormous Unions (miners, railwaymen, and transport workers) called the Triple Alliance These bodies, democracies within the national democracy, as in the Middle Ages the hierarchy was within the Civil State an Ecclesiastical State armed with tremendous spiritual authority, possess a double power, that of their votes as authorny, possess a double power, and of the votes as citizens and that of bringing industry and commerce to a standstill by ceasing to work. Such an exercise of the right of each individual to give or withhold his labour creates a difficult situation, for if the Government happens to be the employer there is no independent authority to arbitrate between it and the strikers, and if the employers are private persons the cessation from work may affect so seriously the welfare of the nation that the matter becomes a political one with which the Administration must deal But how? It is a passive insurrection, harder to meet than is a rising in the army, and an insurrection directed against all the rest of the community which cannot meet it by physical force. This is a disintegration of democracy, for matters of the first importance to the whole community are discussed and decided by each of whole community are these sociation, these bodies, or by their League, among themselves, while the rest of the population, which has no share in the decision, is faced by a threat operating in effect as a command

Command

The other new factor is the emergence of a doctrine primarily economic but in its consequences political, and embodying itself in the project of eliminating those sections of the community which either possess wealth or are earning it otherwise than by manual labour, so as to create and thenceforth maintain a uniformity of material conditions, perhaps along with the prohibition of private

property.1 This idea is the child, a child whose birth was to be expected, of the passion for Equality and of the feeling of injustice which resents the absorption by others than the hand-worker of a disproportionate part of what his labour produces. In order to secure both Equality and the whole of this product, it becomes necessary to get rid of those who are deemed to have unjustly captured it; and this can be done only by giving to the community all the means of production and distribution, and securing to all an equal share in the products. Since the possessors of wealth cannot be expected to dispossess themselves, force is necessary, i.e. a Revolution to be carried through by the handworkers or so-called "proletariate." The absolute power they must seize for this purpose is the "Dictatorship of the Proletariate," which, inasmuch as revolution cannot be carried to success except by a few commanding spirits, means a supreme control, exerted not by a multitude of handworkers but by an educated oligarchy of their leaders, necessarily small and invested with a wide discretion: for the larger the enterprise the more essential is a concentra-tion of executive power. What form this dictatorship will take when, ceasing to be militant, it has been permanently established is a further question, on which some light is thrown by the creation in Russia of what is called the Republic of the Soviets, elective councils of workers and peasants from which all but proletarians are excluded.3 Democracy and the peaceful settlement of all issues by constitutional methods disappear, super-seded by Revolution and Oligarchy. Writers of this school denounce the existing democracies, and especially their legislatures, as "bourgeois," and propose to destroy them.

These two developments of the class spirit, one of

Some of the advocates of this doctrine have been driven by necessity to recognize and use " brain-workers," but these seem to be regarded as exceptional cases, and it does not yet appear how they are to be dealt with.

² This vague term, drawn from the proletarii in the early Roman Constitution ssented to Sermus Tulius) is most conveniently rendered by the term "band-workers," as they are the class usually in the minds of the writers who employ it.

3 See note at end of this/Chapter.

which expresses itself in the proclamation of a Class War, have startled the wealthier and middle sections War, have statuted the waither an intense of the most advanced, and especially of the English-speaking nations. They did not understand why class sentiment should become so suddenly bitter, nor why, where constitutional means for redressing grievances. exist, that sentiment should take a form which threatens the welfare of the whole people Yet a little reflection suffices to show that the phenomena are not unprecedented The resentment of the wage are not unprecedenced. The resembles of the wage earners at the appropriation by employers of what seems an inordinately large part of the product of labour, and the vehemence of this resentment against the present generation of the wealther class, which has shown far more sympathy with the aspirations of the worker than the two preceding generations had done, is an instance to verify the old saying. The fathers have eaten sour grapes, and the children's teeth are set on edge Injustice always brings punishment in its train, but the spirit of revenge often grows with time, and is stronger in the descendants of those who have suffered than it was in the sufferers themselves, while the penalties fall not on those who did the wrong, but on their more innocent successors who are trying to atone for the past The wretchedness of the toiling masses in some industrial countries from 1780 till far down in the nunetenth century left a legacy of bitterness which became actively conscious in their grandchildren, even as the oppressions borne by the peasantry and workers of France before 1780 gave birth to the passions that found vent in the ferocities of 1792

found vent in the ferocities of 1792

Men are shocked to day at the selfishness that threatens to paralyse all the industries of a country, and bring famine into the homes of the poor by a strike on railroads or in coal mines. But is not this only an extreme instance of the selfishness which springs up in every class accustomed to think first and think always of its own special interests? The feudal nobles of the Middle Ages oppressed the peasantry all over Europe

The manufacturing employers in some industrial countries recked little of the sufferings of their work-people down to our own time. The European conquerors and settlers among uncivilized races have from the time of the Spanish Conquistadores in America ruthlessly ex-ploited the labour of those races and robbed them of their lands, so that even to-day it is hard to secure protection for African natives from the intruding whites. In all these cases there were among the oppressors many men kindly and reasonable in the other relations of life, but constant association with their own class and the sense of personal interest benumbed their natural human sympathy, and made them forget that property and power have their duties as well as their rights. Public opinion restrains the selfishness of an individual, but the public opinion of a class possessed by the sense of a common interest confirms the individual in his selfishness and blinds him to his own injustice. Those who preach the Class War are in this respect, except indeed as regards the ferocity of the means they employ in some countries, no worse than the leaders of other selfish classes have been before, as they are also certainly no better. Nevertheless, the doctrine of the Class War, which is to extinguish classes once for all, and the weapon of the General Strike, sound a new note of menace to the progress of mankind. They are not the result of Democracy. It has, indeed, failed to prevent them, but it has not induced them, for they have arisen not in any sense from its principles, but out of historical and economic causes which would have been invoked more powerfully to produce discontent and insurrection under an autocratic or oligarchic Government, unless such a Government had possessed a military force strong enough to hold down a vast population. They are in reality an attack on Democracy, the heaviest blow ever directed against it, for they destroy the sense that a people is one moral and spiritual whole, bound together by spiritual ties, and their instrument is Revolution. The sort of

revolution contemplated will not be a matter of this

year or the next; it opens up a long vista of struggle by armed force, which would subject democratic governments to a strain heavier than they have ever yet had to bear. Strange and unexpected evolution! Democracy overthrows the despotism of the one man or the few who ruled by force, in order to transfer power to the People who are to rule by reason and the sense of their common interest in one another's welfare and after two or three generations there arises from the bosom of the democracy an effort to overthrow it in turn by violence because it has failed to confer the expected benefits. The wheel has gone its full round, and the physical Force which was needed to establish democracy is now employed to destroy it

NOTE

The accounts that have reached England of the structure of the Sowet Government do not alogopher agree, and that structure testif does not seem to be uniform over all Russia. The main lines, however, upon which it is constituted would appear to be as follows. The basis of the organization is a primary assembly or Soviet of all the workers in a particular factory and of the culturation in a particular lidge, representatives going from these primary meetings to higher Soviets. The scheme is described in a statement purporting to come from Mr Zinoviev, and prepared on behalf of the Third International, and embodied in a document issued in January 1920. I quote from it as printed in the book of Mir R. W. Postgate, entitled The Rollherst Theory (Appendix IV) 'The city workers Soviet consists of one delegate from each factory and more in proportion to the number of workers therein, obserble with delegates from each factory and more in proportion to the number of workers therein, obserble with delegates from each factory and more in proportion to the number of workers therein, obserble with delegates from each focil union.

"For the peasants each village has its local Soviet which sends delegates to the Township Soviet, which in turn elects to the County Soviet.

and this to the Provincial Soviet

and this to the Frovincial Soviet Every ax months the city and provincial Soviets send delegates to the All Russia Congress of Soviets, which is the supreme governing body of the country, and decades upon the policies which are to govern the country for the next six months 'This Congress elects a Central Executive Committee of two hundred which is to early out these policies, and also elects a Chotte (the Council of Feeple! Commissirs) who are the braid of Government Departments 'These Commissirs can be recalled at any time by the Central Executive Committee, as the member of all Soviets can also be very easily recalled by their constitutions at any of all Soviets can also be very easily recalled by their constitutions of any

640 FEATURES OF SOVIET SCHEME PT. III

"These Soviets are not only Legislative bodies but also Executive organs. In the intervals between the meetings of the All Russia Congresses of Soviets the Central Executive Committee is the supreme power. It meets at least every two months, and in the meantime the Council of Peoples' Commissars directs the country. . . . The workers are organized in industrial Unions: each factory is a local Union, and the Shop Committee elected by the workers is its Executive Committee. The All Russia Central Executive Committee of the federated Unions is elected by the Annual Trade Union Convention, a Scale Committee elected by it fixes the wages of all categories of workers. . . . The Unions are a branch of the Government, and this Government is the most highly centralized government that exists It is also the most democratic government in history, for all the organs of Government are in constant touch with the worker masses, and constantly sensitive to their will. Moreover, the Local Soviets all over Russia have complete autonomy to manage their own local affairs, provided they carry out the national policies laid down by the Soviet Congress. Also the Soviet Government represents only the workers and cannot help but act in the workers' interests."

It will be noted that whereas the City Soviets send their delegates directly to the All Russia Congress-there are few populous cities in Russia -the Peasants' or Village Soviets send their delegates to the Township Soviet, and it to the County Soviet, and it to the Provincial Soviet, which alone sends its delegates direct to the Congress (The soldiers are also allowed to have delegates from their Soviets, which seem to be military units resembling the old-time regiments) Moreover, the representation of the peasants is so arranged as to be much smaller in proportion to numbers than that of the city workers, the system having been framed upon the lines of manufacturing and not of agricultural industry, and the Provincial Congress containing delegates from the Town as well as the Village Soviets, so that the workers have a double representation. So far as appears, representation is not even in Workers' Soviets proportioned to the size of each Soviet, or in other words, it is not provided that constituencies should be approximately equal in numbers. It ought to be added that a well-informed authority, who obtained first-hand information from Communist leaders in Russia, states that the whole of this "constitutional machinery" is practically controlled by the separate organization of that comparatively small body, the Communist party (Dr Haden Guest in the London Tirres, Sept 1920).

This scheme of Government by a sense of local bodies, primary seemblies both administrative and electure, sending delegates to bodies for larger areas, and these again to bodies for still larger areas up to the Supreme Congress for the whole commty which appoints and supervises the small Supreme Administrative Council, is ingenious and interesting as a novel form of constitution. It is not necessarily connected with "Bolshevism" or any form of Communism, and deserves to be studied, part from any doctrines, on is own ments. Nor need it necessarily be based on work in factories, for, so far as regards the agricultural population, it is apparently as much local as vocational. Professing to be,

and being indeed on paper eminently democratic, it seems eminently likely to be worked as an oligarchy, for it gives every opportunity for intriguers to secure majorities in each of the bodies and control the whole power of what is really—though the name is repudiated—the old "State" in a slightly altered dress If, however, we imagine such a constitution honestly worked in an intelligent and educated neople. by men desiring only the common weal, it would have two mer is, the one that of helping the best talent of the nation to rise to the top. the other that of enabling the opinion of the whole nation to be promptly ascertained without the cost and delay of a General Referendum, for the same issue could be simultaneously propounded to all the local Soviets, and their answers forthwith transmitted to headquarters. It is a pity that the experiment of working this conantunion did not have a fair trial, but it is admitted on all hands that the elections of delegates were practically farcical, being so managed by those in actual control as to secure the delegates they approved, and thereby make the composition of the Congress and Central Executive Committee just what they desired This was of course to be expected. for revolutionaries rarely permit themselves to be stopped by scruples If they do, they perish like the Girondins in 1793 Those to whom their aim is supremely sacred have in ecclesiastical as well as civil strife usually justified the means, whatever they may be, that promise to attain it

CHAPTER LXXIX

DEMOCRACY AND THE COMMUNIST STATE The age in which we live has seen a phenomenon without

precedent in world history. The old relation of the richer and the poorer classes has been reversed. Heretofore, with a few transient exceptions in some small republics, the richer class have ruled, usually legally, always practically. Now, however, with the establishment of universal suffrage over nearly the whole civilized world, legal power has completely passed to the poorer strata of society, for, being everywhere the majority, they have the whole machinery of government at their disposal. Of the old problems, "Who shall share in power?" and "Under what constitutional forms shall power be exercised?" the former has been settled by giving equal voting power to all, and the latter has fallen into the background because it is now everywhere assumed that the best forms are those which secure to the multitude the most complete and direct control of legislation and administration. The new and live question which fills men's thoughts is, In what ways will the masses use the power they have obtained to improve their material condition? Already the range of governmental action intended to benefit them and to bring economic equality nearer by laying constantly increasing burdens on the rich has been extended. Many schemes are in the air for extending that action still more widely. Such schemes agree in proposing to assign many kinds of functions to public administrators which have hitherto

which the existing machinery of free governments seems unfitted. Even the present structure of government, the present organization of departments, the present methods of legislation may prove inadequate.

The most extreme form of these efforts to secure what

is called Social Justice by a more equal distribution of worldly goods takes the form of what is sometimes called Communism or Collectivism, a vague term, as the term Socialism is also vague, for both are taken in different senses by different writers and party groups advocating all sorts of theories or schemes Communism I
understand as that type of scheme (whatever its details) which proposes to extinguish (or greatly restrict) private property, and to supersede the free action of individuals in commerce and productive industry by the action of the State Some plans go much further than others, and contemplate more drastic methods, some would change existing conditions gradually and by peaceful methods, others suddenly and completely by revolution These distinctions need not here and now concern us To state and discuss them would be an endless task, it is sufficient for my present purpose to enquire what effect the application to a democratic government of Communistic principles will have upon the working of such a government It seems to have been generally assumed that existing constitutions and methods might continue, for few pro-

posals for any great changes in them were propounded or discussed until the Soviets were set up in Russia in 1917 Still it is worth while to enquire how far such democracies as we see in France, Australia, Britain, and the United States, created for functions much more restricted than those which Communism would assign to them, will prove qualified to discharge these new functions To put it shortly, What will Democracy be in a Communist State? Need such a Communist State, once established, be a Republic? Might it not have at its head a monarch, or an oligarchy of highly

644 ABSENCE OF PRIVATE PROPERTY Pr. III trained officials, who could be trusted to administer

trained officials, who could be trusted to administer its affairs, the permanence of the Communist arrangements being guaranteed by the will of the mass of the people, since they would of course approve and maintain a system which was conferring on them the long-expected benefits? Theoretically such an oligarchy, steadier than a democracy, but controlled by a watchful public opinion, is possible, and might do its work efficiently. Practically, however, we may safely assume that a government created by the masses and maintained to secure their interests and protect them against a return of Capitalism or any other kind of exploitation would, whatever it became when it got to work, be proposed and created under forms purporting to place it under popular control, i.e. to base it on universal suffrage, whether acting in a large area through a representative assembly or in a small area or areas by direct popular voting. Universal satisfaction will, it is presumed, make force needless for its support.

What sort of a Government, then, will a Communist State have, and in what respects will it differ in scope and nature from such democracies as the world has hitherto known? For the sake of clearness and simplicity let us regard it in its full development as a State in which private property has disappeared, every man working for the community only, while the community, allotting to him the particular work which he is to do, gives him in return a due provision of food, lodging, and clothing for the daily needs of himself and his family (if the Family is allowed to remain?). To assume the extinction of private property is to go far. We need not argue whether the holding of property is a Natural Right, as the Frenchmen of 1789 saud, or Theft, as Proudhon said. Enough to note that not a few thinkers have advocated its extinction, and that in the only country in which these thinkers have gained control they proposed to extinguish it, though at present they

² Some few Conceptuality theorists from the days of Plato have suggested its disappearance.

CH LYXIX STATE AS BUSINESS CONCERN 645

are only preparing for that step, and have not got so far as to persuade the peasants to relinquish their land Some carry it less far, allowing the worker to retain for himself a certain amount of property wherewith to gratify his own tastes Yet another school, that which is called Guild Socialism, proposes to assign all the greater industries not to the State, but to organized bodies of workers, each of which is to control the management and dispose of the products of its industry For the purpose of our present enquiry, however, I must not attempt to examine either these differences or other schemes for turning the State to Communistic ends, or reconstructing it on a Communistic basis Let us assume that in one full blown form of reconstruction or another private property has disappeared All are to work for the State, and the State is to provide for all It thus becomes a sort of business corporation for the purposes of production and distribution, every citizen being a shareholder in this vast industrial company and receiving his dividend in the form either of money (if money remains) or of food and other necessaries or comforts of life 1

With the economic arguments advanced for and against the creation of such a State I have here nothing to do Its advocates have the prima facue advantage of being able to denounce existing economic conditions to the top of their bent, for few defend those conditions, and the wish to better them receives much sympathy from all who feel that the good things of the world are and always have been unequally distributed, some getting more than they deserve (so far as any one can attempt to estimate deserts), others less, so that many readjust ments are desirable, though, considering how much more the enjoyment of life is affected by such things as health, strength, and temper than it is by abundance of

I take the as the extreme form and though the pre-ent rulers of Russ a bit of shrunk from ca ping, that I seems to be the form they approve. But whether the se or on our the pingles and methods surved in any form which a complete governmental control of adustry may take, need to be considered as respects the recompatibility with ear sing demonstrate size titude.

worldly goods, it will always be impossible to distribute happiness in any sort of equal measure. Assuming, however, that efforts must be made to reduce the inequalities due to Nature and Chance by creating the largest possible equality in material conditions, difficulties arise regarding the methods to be employed, and these lie as much in the spheres of ethics and psychology as in those of economic science. The critics of a Communistic system argue that it rests upon assumptions regarding the action of human motives and the possibility of raising the average moral level of human character which are discountenanced, or at least unverified, by experience. The Communist replies that under new conditions which will call out the best and reduce the worst impulses that level is sure to rise, and men will work as zealously for the Community as they have ever done for themselves.\(^1\) The critic rejoins that history records no such moral progress, steadily advancing during the last three thousand years, as to entitle us to expect that man will become more unselfish and altogether more virtuos.\(^2\)

When the critic further remarks that under Communism men will soon begin to regret the loss of free self-determination, and will rebel against the control of their acts and opinions by the power of the community exercised through officials presumably no better than themselves, the Communist answers that a new generation will quickly grow up which will not regret what it has never enjoyed, and will be so much more comfortable under the ordered paternalism into which it has been born than its ancestors were in their unchartered freedom, as to look back with pity and wonder on the Dark Ages of capitalist rule and selfish competition.\(^{1}

competition. These questions belong to the study of Man as a social being, and the one test which can be profitably applied to all the schemes referred to is, their conformity

¹ If men do not so work in Australia for the Government, the explanation given is that this happens because a capitalistic Government does not command their loyalty

ch LXXIX VIEWS OF HUMAN NATURE 647
to the tendencies of Human Nature, for to political
science, so far as it can be deemed a science, may be
applied the maxim which Bacon applied to the physical
sciences Natura non miss parendo voncitur. There is

promise of good in any system which recognizes and turns to account the better tendencies in man and tries to repress the worse, and a prospect of failure for any system which ignores the latter. Some, we have reason to believe, are susceptible of improvement, but how far susceptible, no one can determine, and the maxim warns us that where any of them has the permanence and strength of that which we call a Law of Nature, it cannot be ignored. A Communist or an Anarchist who expects to reconstruct Society, each in his own way, must be an optimist, string up to so high a tension as to believe that in the new world he seeks to create men will be renewed in the spirit of their minds and be themselves purer and nobler creatures. The fact that the conduct of such an one may lower instead.

of raising our hopes for human progress does not necessarily discredit his doctrines, nor do the violence and cruelty and perfidy which he or any other preacher of revolution may employ to reach his ends condemn those ends any more than the cruelties of the Inquisition

condenn the religion in whose supposed interest they were perpetrated "Revolutionists intoxicated with their own aims recoil from no means needed to secure their ascendancy, because they have not learnt, in Cromwell's famous phrase, to believe it possible that they may be mistaken."

To see what kind of organization that huge Co operative Company, the Communist State, will require, let us consider what functions its Government, once set a going, will have to discharge It will develop and manage all the natural sources of wealth, the land, the ninerals, the water power. It will establish and direct all industries, works, electric power stations, factories, iron and chemical works, and so forth. It will administer all the means of communication by land, water, and air,

including those with foreign countries. It will provide State physicians and hospitals, and will found and manage all educational institutions, from the elementary school up to the university, appointing and remunerating the teachers and directing the curricula. It will plan, execute, and maintain all public works, including dwellings for the citizens; will provide public amusements and means of enjoyment, including theatres, concerts, picture galleries and librares; will undertake the dissemina-tion of news, conducting and supervising newspapers and magazines, and printing books. Presumably—and the practice of the Russian Communists suggests this course, which indeed had the approval of Rousseau and of Bebel-it will exercise a censorship, at least to the extent of forbidding the publication of any literature impugning the system of government or tending to create discontent, and may therefore have to forbid religions likely to distract men from their allegiance to the State; while as the obligation to work is universal, priests will not be excused from discharging it. The State authorities will of course feed and clothe the citizen. possibly allowing him, or at least her, so much choice in the kind of garments to be worn as is compatible with the principle of equal treatment for all. They must also maintain public order by providing a police, for though theft will have disappeared with property (except as an offence against the State),1 offences against the person may continue, requiring the retention of penal laws and courts and prisons.

Whether an army and navy will be needed depends upon whether neighbouring or competing nations also have been converted to Communism. Such a conversion seems to be expected by Communistic thinkers generally, and is indeed almost essential to the success of the idea, If, however, there remain States which stand out, maintaining their old selfish and probably aggressive policies,

A man might of course steal articles belonging to the State, but as he could not, under the conditions which will then prevail, make much use of what he stole, there would be little temptation.

this happy future cannot be expected, and defence will still have to be provided, with the incidental danger of creating military chiefs and an armed force dangerous to the system of government. Should Communism spread over the whole earth, not only will foreign policy be simplified, but internal economic arrangements also will gain. No country can within itself have the means of providing for all its needs. Few European countries can do so even as regards food duties on imports, peace being assured and private property gone, would make life easier for every country by cheapening many articles.

Against the many new functions devolving on this gigantic bureaucracy which will have taken over all that was formerly done by individual action, there must be set some functions hitherto exercised by governments which it may drop. Little legislation will be called for Property having gone, there will be no contracts, and consequently no courts to try civil suits and no lawyers to argue them, a loss to which many persons will reconcile themselves. I No more family quarrels over wills, no calls by rate collectors to vex the householder! The house he inhabits will not be his own, but he will live rent and rate free, owing to the State nothing but his labour, which will, in the view of most Communists, be a light burden, cheerfully borne in an altruistic spirit.

In order to prepare the Communist State for these many tasks, its chiefs and guides must determine the principles on which work is to be allotted to each cutzen, and what form his remuneration is to take Equality and Justice are to rule. But how are Equality and Justice are to rule. But how are Equality and Justice to be secured as between different classes of producers, hand workers and brain-workers, the skilled and the unskilled, the strong and the weak, the in dustrious and the indolent? If the work assigned is

^{3.} Whether act one for tort "sounding in damages" will remain when c v I damages have been aboushed with the extinct on of private property is a question which howeve interesting can stand over.

value to be estimated? A code of laws will be needed to settle these matters. Great will be the power of

the officials, who not only allot work but direct and superintend it, and select for the higher posts persons who have proved their superior fitness. Regulations must be enacted prescribing the modes of choosing officials, their terms of office, the method of superintending them, and the discipline to be applied to the workers of all grades, since not even the sanguine optimist can rely on the absence of "slackers." But the most important matter of all will be to find means for securing the choice of the persons best fitted to manage the chief departments of the Company's work

for securing the choice of the persons best fitted to manage the chief departments of the Company's work for the nation, and especially of the Board of Directors who provide for the proper correlation of these departments and select the departmental heads. Everything will depend upon the skill and judgment of this supreme Board of Control. Technical scientific knowledge would seem to be essential for its members as well as for heads of departments. Those who manage such vast branches of work as agriculture and mining, transportation and education, will be the real masters and mainstays of the nation, and it is for their capacity that they must be selected. Who is to judge capacity? If the Directing Board are to do so, they, as well as the managing heads, must possess not only the requisite mastery of applied science and administrative skill, but an unusual measure of discernment and honesty.

Similar questions arise with regard to the assignment of particular persons to particular kinds of work for

measure of discernment and honesty.

Similar questions arise with regard to the assignment of particular persons to particular kinds of work for which they seem to be, or represent themselves to be specially qualified, intellectually or physically, for not every one who seeks the vocation of a cricketer or a metaphysician can expect his aspirations to be gratified; and such questions must arise also over promotions in the various branches of technical and administrative work.

CH LXXIX DISCIPLINE AND OBEDIENCE 651 Efficiency can be secured only by promotion based upon merit Excellence in the middle and lower grades

upon merit Excellence in the middle and lower grades of work can be judged only by those who in the higher grades know and can estimate the performances of their subordinates. As every one will be a worker, every citizen's career in life will depend upon the opinion formed of him by his superiors. In the civil services of Britain and Germany promotions have been generally made honestly, but in those countries the departments have been for many years kept apart from partisan, if not always from social influences. In a community where there is no property and all fare alike, no one will be able to obtain favour by syung good dinners, but can any harrier he executed. giving good dinners, but can any barrier be erected capable of excluding feminine arts or the claims of relationship? How are officials to be prevented from putting their sons-in law into soft jobs, probably by way of secret log-rolling with friends in other offices? The most solemnly respectable of Directors, the most capable head of a department, will want watching in the exercise of powers which determine the fortunes and may arouse the suspicions of those beneath them One cannot help using the word beneath It seems a relic of the old time when there were distinctions of rank But although social rank will have vanished, there will still be, for there cannot but be distinctions of official authority There must always be some one to direct the work, others to perform it and there must be, always and

work, others to perform it and there must be, always and everywhere, some disciplinary enforcement of compliance, for if, in order to maintain equality, those elected to command are constantly changed, will not experience be lost and authority disappear? Nations which allow the soldiers to elect their officers find before long that the officers must be allowed to enforce stern discipline if the army is not to break down in face of the enemy. In a peaceful bureaucracy instant obedience is less consecutive useded at a current mount, but obdience supremely needed at a given moment, but obedience there must be, and unless human nature is quite transformed, it is unattainable without compulsion

Will not the Communist State have to choose between Efficiency and Equality? What, then, will be the relation of this kind of State to Democracy? In these new conditions, what will remain to those representative assemblies through which the people have declared their will? Although some extremist writers have denounced legislatures and democracy and the State itself as all "capitalistic," the People can hardly mean, in becoming a body of workers officered by bureaucrats, to relinquish the sovereignty they exercise by universal suffrage.

Much of the work hitherto performed by repre-sentative assemblies will doubtless have disappeared, and the basis of representation may be no longer territorial, but perhaps vocational. On many subjects there will be little legislation, no taxation, since every one's contribution will be rendered in his labour, hardly any debates on foreign affairs (if Communism spreads over the world), nor any on military or naval affairs. Some administrative subjects will remain, on which the citizens may express their wishes to the reigning bureaucracy, such as the kind of drinks, alcoholic or not, to be supplied to the citizens, and the subjects to be taught in State schools. The questions now in controversy between the friends of the ancient classics and those of modern languages or physical science will probably have been ended by the extinction of the former subjects before Communism arrives, but conflicts between the advocates of cinemas, of the drama, and of concerts respectively, as pleasures to be provided for the people, may be more protracted; and if there is a surplus after providing for tood, clothing, and housing, shall literature claim a share, the State, as being the only publisher, determining which poets shall be preferred? The main business will, however, be the supervision of the administrative departments, the examination of their accounts, reports

¹ Adjusteresting examination of the difficulties that may arise in the management of industries by the State may be found in a book published anonymously in England some year's ago critical Pox Clamoriti.

653

CH LXXIX

on their work in production, a judgment of the wisdom of their policy in the appropriation of labour to competing demands for its application, and the way in which the powers of allotting work and bestowing promotions have been exercised. This last-mentioned topic will, if responsibility is to be enforced on the bureaucracy, be the most fertile field for criticism, for every member of the assembly as well as every one of his constituents will have a personal interest, all alike being servants of the country. Even if members of the legislature are exempted from any other work during their term of legislative service, it will be hard for them to criticize freely those who have been and may again be their official superiors. Will the same spirit of deference to authority come to pervade the soldiers of the Industrial Army as that which sapped the spirit of liberty in military Prussia?

What place will there be for political parties in the new Commonwealth, which will be not a State in the old sense, but a Co-operative Company for agricultural and manufacturing production and distribution, and on what issues will elections turn? If they be those of most consequence to every citizen, viz the conditions of his own work and remuneration, are they to be settled by the people at elections or by their representatives in the legislature, or if the latter are to have the power of displacing officials whose exercise of their authority has displacing of the voters, who are, as workers, subject to that authority, how will discipline and the continuity of administrative policy be preserved?

Of the prizes offered in former days to ambition, only Power will remain, for wealth will be unattainable, while eminence in art and letters will depend upon the favour of official patrons who have been chosen for their ravour of official partons who have been chosen for their scientific knowledge and executive capacity. Without their favour neither poet nor painter will be able to reach the people, for the press will of course be in the hands of the ruling officials, who will provide for the people the proper views as well as the proper news

PT. III

654

Of Power there will apparently be one kind only, that attached to a high place in the official hierarchy, to be won, if the system is to work, not by rhetorical gifts or lavish promises to the masses, but by the talent of the administrator. Eloquence and the demagogic arts that have flourished under popular governments will be as much out of place in the bureaucracy as in a meeting of railway directors. The qualities by which a man will rise will be those which bring men to the top of the Treasury

will be those which bring men to the top of the Treasury or Admiralty or Post Office in the permanent civil service of countries like France, Germany, or England; but the men may probably be still more remarkable, for the civil service, being the only career, will draw to itself, like a magnet, all the talent of the country. The legislative assembly will doubtless still offer an arena to debaters, but if it interferse with administration and pitchforks men into high posts because they are fluent in speech, the principles on which the system is based will be violated and efficiency must suffer.

These observations suggest that schemes of a Communistic nature contemplate a condition of political and economic life, the latter overshadowing the former, to which the familiar institutions of Democracy seem ill adapted. Democracy as it exists to-day and Communism as it is preached, agreeing with Democracy (as against some other forms of Socialistic doctrine) in regarding the nation as one homogeneous whole, differ in this, that Communism regards it as primarily an Economic whole existing for the purposes of production and dis-

as it is preached, agreeing with Democracy (as against some other forms of Socialistic doctrine) in regarding the nation as one homogeneous whole, differ in this, that Communism regards it as primarily an Economic whole existing for the purposes of production and distribution, while the apostles of Democracy regarded it as primarily a Moral and Intellectual whole, created for the sake of what the ancient philosophers called the Good Life. It was to be expected that the political institutions established with a view to the latter theory and aim should be ill suited to the former purposes.

How the present forms and mechanism of Democracy

How the present forms and mechanism of Democracy should be remodelled to do the work which Communist principles prescribe is a question to be answered by those who have formed for themselves a clear CH LXXIX A REMODELLING REQUIRED 655 notion of what the Socialist or Communist State will be, a subject on which the different schools called Socialistic differ widely I have here, for the sake of simplicity, taken for examination that particular form in which private property is not permitted, because it is well to see to what results a logical development of Equalitarian and Communistic principles will lead Although some of the questions I have suggested as fit to be considered will not arise in States which allow property to survive, still in all communities where Government, or any authority created by the State, assumes the exclusive control of industry, problems of this kind cannot but emerge, and the nearer any form approaches to the extreme form here dealt with, the more need will there be for such a re shaping of existing institutions as will adapt them to the requirements of a State built on economic relations and making their adjustment on the principle of equality its primary aim

CHAPTER LXXX

. THE FUTURE OF DEMOCRACY

A study of the various forms government has taken cannot but raise the question what ground there is for the assumption that democracy is its final form—an unwarranted assumption, for whatever else history teaches, it gives no ground for expecting finality in any human institution. All material things are in a perpetual flux. The most ancient heavens themselves, in which mankind has seen the changeless radiance of eternity, are subject to this law, moving towards some unknown destination, with new stars blazing forth from time to time only to vanish again into darkness; nor can we tell whether the substances which make up the Universe may not themselves be changing, so short has been the period during which we have observed them.

So, too, in human affairs the thing that hath been is not, and the thing that is can never return, because its having existed is a new fact added to the chain of causation; and therefore those Eastern cosmologies which tried to help men to conceive of infinity by imagining a succession of cycles endlessly repeating themselves, were obliged to make each cycle end with a destruction of all things in order that creation might start afresh, unaffected by what had gone before. That which the ancient poet said of the mind of man, that it changes with every returning sun, is true of

¹ Τοίδι γάρ νόος εστιν επιχθονίων άνθρώπων οίον ξε' ήμαρ άγχσι πατήρ ανδρών τε θεών τε (Odyst. Xviii. 136).

657

VOL II

nations also, whose thoughts and temper vary from year to year, and true also of the institutions men create, which are no sooner called into being than they disclose unexpected defects, and begin to decay in one part while still growing in another

Within the century and a half of its existence in the modern world free government has passed through many phases, and seems now to stand like the traveller who on the verge of a great forest sees many paths diverging into its recesses and knows not whither one or other will lead him

Whoever attempts to forecast the course systems of government will take must therefore begin from the two propositions that the only thing we know about the Future is that it will differ from the Past, and that the only data we have for conjecturing what the Future may possibly bring with it are drawn from observations of the Past, or, in other words, from that study of the tend encies of human nature which gives ground for expecting from men certain kinds of action in certain states of fact We cannot refrain from conjecture realize how vain conjectures are, let us imagine ourselves to be in the place of those who only three or four generations ago failed to forecast what the next following generation would see Let us suppose Burke, Johnson, and Gibbon sitting together at a dinner of The Club in 1769, the year when Napoleon and Wellington were born, and the talk falling on the politics of the European Continent Did they have any presage of the future? The causes whence the American Revolution and the French Revolution were to spring, and which would break the sleep of the peoples in Germany and Italy, might, one would think, have already been discerned by three such penetrating observers, but the only remarks most of us recall as made then and for some years afterwards to note symptoms of coming dangers were made by a French traveller, who said that the extinction of French power in Canada had weakened the the between the American colonies and Great Britain, and

658 FREE GOVERNMENT FORGOTTEN PT. III

by an English traveller who saw signs of rottenness in the French Monarchy. Men stood on the edge of stupendous changes, and had not a glimpse of even the outlines of those changes, not discerning the causes that were already in embryo beneath their feet, like seeds hidden under the snow of winter, which will shoot up under the snow of winter, which will shoot up under the April sunlight. How much more difficult has it now become to diagnose the symptoms of an age in which the interplay of economic forces, intellectual forces, moral and religious forces is more complex than ever heretofore, incomparably more complex than it had seemed to be before discovery had gone far in the spheres of chemistry, physics, and biology, before education had been diffused through all classes, before every part of the world had been drawn into relations with every other part so close that what affects one must affect the rest.

Nevertheless, since conjecture cannot be repressed, and the tendencies of human nature remain as a permanent factor, let us see whether men's behaviour in the past may not throw some glimmer of light upon the future. Frenchmen, Englishmen, and Americans find it so natural a thing that men should be interested in politics, that they assume men will always be so interested. But is it really true-so students of history will ask-that this interest can be counted on to last? For a thousand years, after the days of the last republicans of Rome, the most civilized peoples of Europe cared nothing for politics and left government in the hands of their kings or chiefs. Greek democracy had been destroyed by force more than a century earlier, and little regret was expressed at its extinction. The last blows struck for republican freedom in Rome were struck not by the people, but by a knot of oligarchs, most of whom had their personal reasons for slaying the great Dictator. No one thought of trying to revive free self-government in Italy or Greece or around the coasts of the Aegean, where hundreds of republics had bloomed and died When the Italian cities shook off the voke of local lord

or bishops in the eleventh and twelfth centuries nearly or bisnops in the eleventh and twenth centuries hearly all of these new republics passed before long under the sway of new despots, and few were the attempts made to recover freedom. The ancient doctrine that power issues from the people came to light when the study of the Roman Law was resumed, but nobody tried to apply it, not even under such teaching as came from Marsilius of Padua,1 when he used the tenet as a weapon in the great conflict between the Emperor and the Pope Cities and nations seemed to reck little of their rights a tyrant might now and then be overthrown, but there was no reviviscence of the love of liberty Since the days of Augustus the lives of the mass of mankind had been filled by labour in field or mine or workshop, the pleasures and the interests they had in common were given to War, and still more to Religion, which like a stream, sometimes full and strong, sometimes half lost in the swamps of superstition, bore down the relics of the old civilization Without the stimulus which the ecclesiastical struggles of the sixteenth century gave the ecclesiastical satisfies of the state of the sense of individual independence in the northern half of Europe, this stagnation of political life might have lasted longer than in fact it did If it be said that the conditions of the Roman Empire and the Dark Ages can never recur, now that the sense of civic right has spread widely, now when political equality is guaranteed by the passion for social and economic equality, the answer is that although those particular conditions will indeed not recur, we can well imagine other conditions which might have a like effect. The thing did happen and whatever has happened may happen again. Peoples that had known and prized political freedom resigned it, did not much regret it, and forgot it

Can we, looking back over the nineteenth century, think of any causes or conditions that might once again bring about this indifference? We must not forget that the sense of civic right and passion for equality just referred to are nowhere felt by all the people, in many

PT. 111

countries not even by a majority, in some only by a small minority. Is it possible that a nation, tired of politics and politicians, may be glad to be saved the trouble of voting? In France the peasantry and the educated bourgeoisie acquiesced in the despotism of Louis Napoleon, pleased to have the promise of a quiet life, as at Rome not only the multitude but the bulk of those who cultivated literature and philosophy had welcomed the rule of Augustus. In Prussia, after the military triumphs of 1866 and 1870 had made monarchy popular, the middle and professional classes allowed themselves to be militarized and monarchized in spirit, preferring commercial prosperity, efficient administration, and the growth of the nation's power to a continuance of the efforts their fathers had made against Bismarck's rule when taxes were levied without the consent of the Landtag and constitutional reforms were refused. So the forward movement passed into the hands of others who, desiring economic levelling rather than political liberty, sought the latter chiefly for the sake of the former, while the Fressnnige Parter, which represented the tradi-tions of 1848-9, withered away, losing support in every class.

In Spain a republic, hastily set up in 1873, gained so little support that it was quickly followed by a restoration of the old monarchy; and when in 1890 universal suffrage was established the gift excited little interest and has made little practical difference to policies or to administration, though in a few of the Eastern seaports Socialist and Anarchist groups are occasionally enabled by it to return a few members of extreme opinions. Elsewhere constituencies are controlled and elections manipulated by local Bosses (commonly called Caciques 1), whose rule, a source of profit to themselves, is acquiesced in by the bulk of the citizens. There could hardly be a more instructive refutation of the notion that a taste for the exercise of political rights is a natural characteristic of civilized man than this indifference to politics

CH LXXX

of an ancient nation which his produced wonderful explorers, conquerors, and statesmen, and made splendid contributions to literature, learning, and art

In some countries we see to-day the richer class refusing to enter the legislatures, and voting only because they fear for their wealth, while in many the centre of gravity in political thought as well as in action has shifted from methods of government and legal reforms to economic issues. These last named issues have, as already observed, come so to dominate the minds of large sections of certain peoples as to make them willing to sacrifice liberty itself, or at least the institutions which have hitherto safeguarded liberty, for the sake of that fundamental reconstruction of society which they desire. The revolution that is to effect this purpose is represented as a transient flood, needed to sweep away the barriers Capitulism has set up. But 15 the stream likely to return to its ancient channel? Will the forcible methods revolution uses be renounced?

Among the questions which men now ask about the Future none is more momentous than this Will it be, as heretofore, a future of War, or—even if only in the middle distance—a future of Peace? If wars continue, the smaller free States may conceivably be vaniquished and annexed or incorporated by their stronger neigh bours. This was how the republics of ancient Greece and Italy perished, thus was freedom extinguished athena and Achaia, thus did the republic of Florence fall in the sixteenth century. In conquering Rome herself it was the vast extension of her dominions that made monarchy inevitable.

One road only has in the Past led into democracy, viz the wish to be rid of tangible evils, but the roads that have led or may lead out of democracy are many Some few of them may be mentioned

If wars continue, the direction of Foreign Policy, a function which was of supreme importance in the city republics of the ancient and mediaeval world, may again become so, especially among those small new States of

Europe and Western Asia which have territorial or commercial disputes with their neighbours. Till the commercial disputes with the inegrations of European peoples with one another become more stable than they are to-day, the spirit of nationality, accentuated as we see it by rivalry and hatred, a spirit which breeds discontent in some countries and in others prompts aggression against rival States, may keep alert and acute the desire of these peoples to share in the conduct of their governments. But it is also possible that the lust of conquest or the need for defence may lead to a concentration of power in the Executive dangerous to the people, inducing them to sacrifice some of their liberty to preserve national independence or to secure military ascendancy. Such things have happened.

He who has acquired ascendancy by brilliant success against the enemy and has thereby fascinated the people might, in some countries, establish his power. Even our own time saw a mediocre adventurer, pushed forward by a faction possessing wealth and social influence, who proved able, since believed to have the army behind him, to threaten the liberties of France; and this although he had no victories standing to his credit.

Dangers may also arise from civil strife, when it reaches a point at which one party becomes willing to resign most of the people's rights for the sake of holding down the other faction. This often happened in the ancient and mediaeval republics, and might happen in any country distracted by racial or religious hatreds, or perhaps even by the sort of class war which was carried on in 1919 in Hungary. There are European countries to-day in which it is, if not probable, yet certainly not impossible.

Thirdly, the less educated part of a nation might become indifferent to politics, the most educated class throwing their minds into other things, such as poetry or art, to them more interesting than politics, and gradually leaving the conduct of State affairs to an intelligent bureaucracy capable of giving business men the sort of administration and legislation they desire, and keeping ch exxx fhe embodiment of liberti 663
the multitude in good humour by providing comforts
and amusements in the heads of such a bureaucracy
would, if wise, do little to alter the constitution, content
to exercise the reality of power under the old familiar
forms. Such a change would scarcely happen so long
as there were questions rousing class bitterness or involving the material wellbeing of the masses, we must
suppose a time in which controversies have ceased to
be acute, and such a time seems distant. Free government is not likely to be suppressed in any country by a
national army wielded by an ambitious chief. If any
people loses its free self government this will more prob
ably happen with its own acquiescence. But oligarchy
springs up everywhere as by a law of nature and so
many strange things have happened in our time that
nothing can be pronounced impossible. Few are the

Democracy has become, all over Europe and to some extent even in North America also, desired merely as a Means, not as an End, precious in itself because it was the embodiment of Liberty. It is now valued not for what it is, but for what it may be used to win for the masses. When the exercise of their civic rights has brought them that which they desire, and when they feel sure that what they have won will remain with them, may they not cease to care for the further use of those rights? The politicians, who in some countries have been more and more becoming a professional class, might continue to work the party machinery, but that will avail little if the nation turns its mind to other things. If the spiritual oxygen which has kept alive the attachment to Liberty and self government in the minds of the people becomes exhausted, will not the

free countries in which freedom seems safe for a century

or two ahead

¹ The pass on for pleasure and amusement as contrasted with the taste for netelectual enjoyments so often and to be growing with this growth of c'ultar ou. There certs n't have been ages in which the than so if the mind were more and others in which they were less cared for by the more le sured class but mean suggested the time they live in as apt to be affected by personal bas especially in those who must what they valued in the r youth.

flame burn low and perhaps flicker out? The older school of Liberals dwelt on the educative worth of self-government which Mazzini represented in its idealistic

and Mill in its utilitarian aspects; but who would keep up the paraphernalia of public meetings and of elections and legislative debates merely for the sake of this by-product? Much will depend on what the issues of the near future are likely to be. If that which the masses really desire should turn out to be the extinction of private property or some sort of communistic system, and if in some countries such a system should ever be established, the whole character of government would be changed, and that which is now called Democracy would (as indicated in a previous chapter) become a different thing altogether, perhaps an industrial bureau-

would (as indicated in a previous chapter) become a different thing altogether, perhaps an industrial bureau-cratic oligarchy. Even if some less far-reaching scheme of Economic Equality than that now presented to the wage-carners as the goal of their efforts be attained, or enter a period of experiment which would end in proving it to be unattainable, popular government cannot fail to be profoundly modified.

A wider, indeed an illimitable field of speculation is

A wider, indeed an illimitable field of specialition is opened when we think of the possibilities of changes in the interests, tastes, and beliefs of the different families of mankind. In Human Nature there are, to borrow a term from mathematics, certain "Constants"—impulses always operative—ambition and indolence, jealousy and loyalty, selfishness and sympathy, love and hatred, gratitude and revenge. But the ideas, fancies, and habits of men change like their tastes in poetry and art. New forms of pleasure are invented: the old lose their relish: the moral as well as the intellectual values shift and vary. The balance between the idealistic and the realistic or material view of life is always oscillation. Humility, once revered in

tastes in poetry and art. New forms or peasure as invented: the old lose their relish: the moral as well as the intellectual values shift and vary. The balance between the idealistic and the realists or material view of life is always oscillating. Humility, once revered in Christian and Buddhist countries, has been described in our time as a Dead Virtue. Even nations in which public life has been unost active may relegate a political career to a place as low as soldiering has held in China and

CH LXXX

trading in Japan. The masses may let the reins slip from their hands into those of an oligarchy, so long as they do not fear for themselves either oppression, or social disparagement, or the loss of any material benefits they have been wont to enjoy. They may trust to the power of public opinion to deter a ruling group from any course which would displease the bulk of the nation, for course which would displease the bank of the haden, set the power of public opinion will survive political mutations so long as an intolerant majority does not impose its orthodoxy to fetter the play of thought. Such phases in the never-ceasing process of evolutionary change might well be transient, for oligitchies are naturally drawn to selfish ways, and selfishness usually passes into injustice, and injustice breeds discontent, and discontent ends in the overthrow of those who have abused their power, and so the World-spirit that plies at the roaring loom of Time discards one pattern and weaves

another to be in turn discarded

That physiological factor which we call Heredity must not be overlooked. In the most civilized peoples must not be overlooked. In the most crimized peoples there is an evident tendency for those family stocks which are wealthy or of exceptional intellectual quality to die out, so that the perpetuation of the human species is left to be maintained by sections of the population in which self restraint and the mental faculties are less fully developed This phenomenon becomes more significant when we remember that sooner or later, though of course in a still distant future, the races of mankind will in some regions be inevitably so commingled as to efface many of the distinctions which now separate them About the effect of such a fusion upon human character and the institutions which are the outgrowth of character plus experience, we cannot guess. Since some among the Backward races are both more numerous and more prolific than the Whites, the prospect thus opened may seem discouraging. Yet not all of these races are intellectually inferior to the European races, and some may have a freshness and vitality capable of renewing the energies of more advanced races whom luxury has enervated. In regions where fusion has come to pass, fur-"composite man" of the remote future may prove to be as well fitted for self-government as the more advanced arces are to-day. But fusion, with the assimilation of language, ideas, and habits, though it may extinguish race-enmities, need not make for peace either in nations or between nations.

Whatever happens, such an institution as Popular Government will evidently take its colour from and will flourish or decline according to the moral and intellectual progress of mankind as a whole. Democracy is based on the expectation of certain virtues in the people, and on its tendency to foster and further develop those virtues. It assumes not merely intelligence, but an intelligence elevated by honour, purified by sympathy, stimulated by a sense of duty to the community. It relies on the people to discern these qualities and choose its leaders by them. Given the kind of communal spirit which Rousseau expected, given the kind of fraternally religious spirit which Mazzini and the enthusiasts of his time expected, self-government, having the moral forces behind it, would be a comparatively simple matter, living on by its unquestioned merits. With intelligence, sympathy, and the sense of duty everything would go smoothly; and a system which trained the citizen in these virtues would endure, because each successive generation would grow up in the practice of them. Thus the question of the permanence of democracy resolves itself into the question of whether mankind is growing in wisdom and virtue, and with that comes the question of what Religion will be in the future, since it has been for the finer and more sensitive spirits the motive power behind Morality. Governments that have ruled by Force and Fear have been able to live without moral sanctions, or to make their subjects believe that those sanctions consecrated them, but no free govern-ment has ever yet so lived and thriven, for it is by a

reverence for the Powers Unseen and Eternal which impose those sanctions, that the powers of evil have been, however imperfectly, kept at bay and the fabric of society held together. The future of democracy is therefore a part of two larger branches of enquiry, the future of religion and the prospects of human progress. The question, whether men will rise towards the

higher standard which the prophets of democracy deemed possible, has been exercising every thoughtful mind since August 1914, and it will be answered less hopefully now than it would have been at any time in the hundred years preceding That many millions of men should perish in a strife which brought disasters to the victors only less than those it brought to the vanquished is an event without parallel in the annals of the race There has probably been since the fifth century no moment in history which has struck mankind with such terror and dismay as have the world wide disasters which began in 1914, and have not yet passed away The explanations of the facts are no more cheering than the facts themselves Human passions have been little softened and refined by the veneer of civilization that covers them human intelligence has not increased, and shows no sign of increasing, in proportion to the growing magnitude and complexity of human affairs. Knowledge has been accumulated, the methods and instruments of research have been improved, a wonderful mastery over the forces of Nature has been obtained, the world has become a more comfortable place to live in and offers a far greater variety of pleasures, but the mental powers of the individual man have remained stationary, no stronger, no wider in their range, than they were thou sands of years ago, and the supremely great who are fit to grapple with the vast problems which the growth of population and the advances of science have created come no more frequently, and may fail to appear just when they are most needed

This much, however, may be said regarding the question which directly concerns us here it is not on democracy that the blame for these disasters ought to fall, nor have they darkened its prospects for the future,

668

except in so far as they have disclosed faults in human nature, obstacles to human brotherhood, whose magnitude had not been realized. The seismic centre whence the successive earthquake shocks proceeded did not lie in any democratic country. The catastrophe was so tremendous, because due to the concurrent action of three explosive forces never before conjoined at the same moment-overweening military ambition, the passion of nationality, and an outbreak of vengeful fanaticism from small but fiery sections of the industrial population. Such a conjunction of volcanic activities may not recur Shaken out of that confident faith in progress which

the achievements of scientific discovery had been fostering, mankind must resume its efforts towards improvement in a chastened mood, consoled by the reflection that it has taken only a few thousands of years to emerge from savagery, and less than half that time to rise above the shameless sensualities of the ancient world and the ruthless ferocity of the Dark Ages.

As respects progress in the science and art of free government, experience has established certain principles that were unknown to those who lived under despotisms, and has warned us of certain dangers unforeseen by those who first set up free governments; but when it comes to the application of these principles, and the means of escaping these dangers, the faults that belonged to human nature under previous forms of government have reappeared. Some gains there have been, but they have lain more in the way of destroying what was evil than in the creating of what is good : and the belief that the larger the number of those who share in governing the more will there be of wisdom, of self-control, of a fraternal and peace-loving spirit has been rudely shattered. Yet the rule of Many is safer than the rule of One, -as Cavour said that however faulty a legislative chamber may be an antechamber is worse—and the rule of the multitude is gentler than the rule of a class. However grave the indictment that may be brought against democracy,

669

its friends can answer, "What better alternative do you offer?"

Encouragement may be found in the reflection that such moral progress as history records has been made chiefly in the way of raising the sentiments and standards of the average man Whereas in the realms of abstract thought and in those of science and of art it is to the great intellects that the world looks, popular government lives and prospers more by the self restraint and good sense and good will of the bulk of the nation than by the creative power of great intellects, and whoever looks back three or six or nine centuries cannot doubt that in the civilized communities as a whole men s habits and moral standards have risen. Outbursts of crime and sin recur from time to time, but they come less frequently and are visited with a sterner condemnation That the knightly virtues of courage and honour have suffered no decline is evident The spirit of the citizen soldiers who in 1914 came willingly to give their lives for a cause in which the fortunes of mankind as well as of their own countries seemed to be at stake shone forth with a light brighter than in any former war In this

some consolation for many sorrows may be found No government demands so much from the citizen as Democracy, and none gives so much back Any free people that has responded to the call of duty and come out of a terrible ordeal unshaken in courage, undimmed in vision, with its vital force still fresh and strong, need

not fear to face the future

The statesmen and philosophers of antiquity did not dream of a government in which all men of every grade should bear a part democracy was for them a super structure erected upon a substructure of slavery Modern reformers, bolder and more sanguine, called the multi tude to power with the hope and in the faith that the gift of freedom and responsibility would kindle the spirit self government requires For them, as for Christian theologians, Hope was one of the Cardinal Virtues Less has been achieved than they expected, but

HOPE SPRINGS ETERNAL 670 PT. III nothing has happened to destroy the belief that among

the citizens of free countries the sense of duty and the love of peace will grow steadily stronger. The experi-

ment has not failed, for the world is after all a better place than it was under other kinds of government, and

the faith that it may be made better still survives. out Faith nothing is accomplished, and Hope is the mainspring of Faith. Throughout the course of history every winter of despondency has been followed by a

joyous springtime of hope. Hope, often disappointed but always renewed, is the anchor by which the ship that carries democracy and its fortunes will have to ride out this latest storm as it has ridden out many storms before. There is an Eastern

story of a king with an uncertain temper who desired his astrologer to discover from the stars when his death . would come. The astrologer, having cast the horoscope, replied that he could not find the date, but had ascertained only this that the king's death would follow

immediately on his own. So may it be said that Democracy will never perish till after Hope has expired.

INDEX

Absolute Government features of 1 62 Abstract Ideas Att tude to 10 the Dominions 1 c66 1 208 268 284 355 as influencing Evolut on of Democracy 1 27 31 35 36 40 41 41 Relative influence of in England and France 1 350 Abstract Pr no ples in Polities Jessons n of H story 1 566 Achaean League Free Government in Acton, Lord and The H story of L berty 1 57 Adams I O 1 102 # Adela de South Australia 1 182 186 Adm n strat ve Abuses Danger of a Backward States # 557 Administrat ve Extravagance see Extrava gance and Waste under Democracies Administrative Law in France operation of : 311 12 Administrative Skill value of Palure to appreciate i 21 72 504 575 579 592 see al o Self confidence Ad anced and Backward Races increased Contact between Advances to Sett ers Act New Zealand working of # 318 326 7 Advert sement in Politics is 610 Afghan Idea of Happiness 1 581 Africa French Colonies in 1 358 African Natives Exclusion of from Australia 1 -35 6 Agathorles Sic han tyrant 1 594 6 T

Abdul Hamid II and the Khalifate

Absent voting in Australia, it 200

Able men brought to the front by the

Parhamentary System (11

Agriculturists French 1 *45 247 284 Pol tical Apathy of 1 355 Public Opinion amone a USA Character sties of 11 129 177 Agriculturists Part et 1 141 284 Akbar 1 28 Alaska coal 10 1 500 Alban a Blood revenge in 1 145 # Idea in of Happ ness is 581 Alcab ades 06 1 617 Alcidamas on Natural Equality 1 69 Alcoholic Liquors, Prob bitary Legislat on on, see Prohib tion, under Cun es Alexander the Great, 1 122 204 Alexander II Tear and the war with Turkey 11 414 Alger a Representat on of Chamber of Deputies 1 260 Senate, 1 259 260 Aliens cit zenship of 1 162 de # All Russia Congress of Soviets functions of 639 120 Alsace and Lorraine, 1 248 no Prefects in 1 310 # , Representation of in French Legislature 1 269 Althorp Lord personauty of 11 611 # 616

Amb tion Personal, and Party Sprit

Amer ca see Latin, North South, Trop cal

American Commonwealth The, by the

Author 1 1 13 # 1 35 #

76 m 1 80 m 108 m 131 m

and USA

Aggress on a Danger to Backward States 1 556

1 185 186 107

Agora of Homer c Greece, 1 146 377 Agricultural holdings Australia 8 ze of

Protection against, 1 c67

American Constitutional Convention of 1787, n 467 American Declaration of Independence, s.

48, 49, 69, 156, 189, 11 4 American and European Lands, having too few Small Landowners, 1 504 American Federation of Labour, 11 635

American Judicature Society, the, n 69 r
American People, the, Conditions among
at Independence, u 4 139 r,
Pride of, in their newness, u 8

"American People," fallacy in the phrase,

American Police Systems by Fosdick etted, it 498 m American Protective Association, i. 139

American Republic, beginning of national life of, 1 3 American Revolution, Influence of, on

France, 1 237, unforeseen by Politicians, 11 657 Anabaptists, Westphahan, 1 95

Anabuac, 1 225 Anabuac, 1 225 Anarchism

Causes of, 1 64 m in Latin America, 1 96, 220, in Spain, 1 155, and in U.S.A., 11 138

and Socialism, Common Aim of, i: 626
Anarchist use of the Press, i 106

Anarchist use of the Press, 1 106
Anchorites and Monastic Orders, causes
producing, 1 65
Andorra, Valley of, Republic of, 11 555 #

Angles and Saxons in England, the Folk Mot of, 1 146, 377, 11 8, 554 Anonymity in the Press, 1 116-17

Anselm, 1 94
Anti-Catholic Parties, U S A 1 139
Anti-Clericalism, in Politics, 1 96, 155,
214, 222, 243 d \$, 263, 297,

3-6, 351, 354-5, 11 7
Parties to resist in France, Holland, and
Belgium, 1 100, 139, 11 381
Anti-Corn Law League, the, 1 135
Anti-Liquor Laws, U.S.A., 11 154

Antipater at Athens, 1 204 Anti-Religious note in European Socialism, in 627 Anti-Semitism in

France, 1 213, 244, 327 Switzerland, 1 450, 487 Anti-Slavery Party, U.S.A., Tolerance by,

of Abuses, it 42
Appearedl, ' 369, 378
Public Meeting in, of Freemen, i. 39

Torture Chamber at, is 583 m Arabia, conditions in, is 563 Ataucanian race, in Chile, i 210, never

anian race, in Chile, t 2 conquered, t 216 Arbitrary Power, security from, ii 553 Arbitration, Compulsory, Courts of, and Working of, in Australia, is. 214, 216, 243, 246 197.

251, 254 n , 333, 335 d n , 336 197 , 354, 426 New Zealand, 11 240, 253, 329 199 Arbitrat on Acts, New Zealand, 11. 329

New Zearand, in 240, 253, 329 199
Arbitrat on Acts, New Zealand, in 329
199, 338 x
Archont, 1 193 Milton, 1 104-5
Archont, Benubles of 1 200-8

Argentina, Republic of, 1 200 n American Influence on, 1 219, 11 12 n 1 Beameral Legislature in, 11 439 2 Democracy, 1, 26 Domination of the Capital in, 1, 221,

Domination of the Capital in, 1, 221
ii 634
Economic advantages in, 1, 217, 218
Intervention in, 5, 219

Landholders in, 1, 221
Small, 1 504
Manhood Suffrage and Proportional
Representation in, 1 222 #

Municipal Administration in, 1 220
National Council of Administration in, 1 222 s

New Constitution of 1919, provisions of, 1 222 n. Party IC, 1 219 Political Civilization of, how effected.

Population, ethnic purity of, 1 217
President, Legislature and Justiciary in,

1. 219-20 Powers of the President, 1 215, 220, 11. 515, 632 Press influence 12, 1 221, 488, # 128

Public Life, Tone of, 1. 220-21
Railways in, 1. 218
Religious decay in, 11 357
Republican history of, 1 217-21
s true Republic, 1 216
under Rosss, 1 215

Progress in, n 561

Voting compulsors in, 1 219
Wars of, 1 214 s
Anstides, the Just, 1 201
Aristocracy, Connotations of, 1 17
Aristocracy, Nutrish of the Dominant
Few. ii. 603

Aristophanes, on Democracy, 1 205

Aristofle, on Constitution and forms of
Government, 1 3, 10, 15,
185-5, 187 n. 1, 190, 191,
193 n 1, 194, 205, 204-54

8m, 202, on relativity of

sn, 207, on relativity of Justice, 1 71 Model Democracy of, found in Switzer-

Armenia, New Republic of, is 631

INDEX

Armies Danger from 1 556 tee also | Atkinson Sir Harry ii 149 under Countries Arnold Matthew on Politics is 370 de # Arrêtes in France, 1 311 Arrondissements see under France Art, Democracy in relat on to 560 100 As a French Colonies in 1 258 Roman Province of delegates from a 188 # I Western New Republics in 1 631 662 Asiat c Imm grants Problems connected with 1 235 6 342 3 Assatics Pol t cal Developments possible among 11 546 Assemblies of Freemen examples of a 39 145 6 sqq see also Folk Mot, Landesgemeinde, Th ng etc and Legislatu es under Countries Assyr an monarchy 1 28 Athens Assembly of 1 176 v ews of Democracy 1 15

Cvc Life of ba a of Platos and other Defence at 1 189 191 197 & # 1 202 Democracy in 1 15 191 197 Compared with that of USA #8 to suspic on of Power End of 1 191 203 204 1 661 Treatment by of All es 61

Domination of City of Australian parallels to 274 Execut ve in 1 192 193 203 Great Leaders of 1 201 Indetments and Prosecutions at a 1956 1994# Judiciary at 1 19 197 d # 2 198 199 Junes at 1 526 m Leg slature in, 1 192 193 199 see

al o Assembly above and Melos 404 Orators of 1 199 de # 201 2 205 Per od of Poet c glory in ii 572 Popular Wil in bow gu ded Public Lie at salent features of summar zed 1 205 8 Republican Government in 192 199 Safeguards of Leg slat on in 1 440 Schoos of closing of 1 204 Short Terms of Office at 193 194 196 202 and Syracuse 1 40

Tendencies influencing 1 200 Use at of the Lot 1 72 # 2 Voters at average number polling : Polit cal Education of 1 81 Women's freedom in 575 VOL II

Auckland, New Zealand 11 200 Augsburg 1 588 n 1 589

Augustus Emperor : 30 11 588 660 Famous men in regn of it 572 Aurore L. Australe by Bard d Annet 1 286 n 311 n

Australia Commonwealth of 1 181 197 Admin stration Working of 1 280 Agricultural hold ags n s ze of 11 185

186 197 Amer can Influence in 1 12 # 1

Arb trat on Compulsory in Courts of 11 214 216 243 246 199, 251 254 # 1 333 335 4 # 336 199 354, 426

Legislat on on in progress (1920) 1 254 m I

Unsat sfactory Results of 251, 265 336 7 As atics and Africans excluded from

1 235 6 342 3 see also Immugrat on and White Aus tralia Pol cy Att tude to Polit est in sdoings in

276 7 Burth rate in 1 275 Bounties in Acts on 1 245 Bribery and Corrupt on in is 201 280

Bush rangers 187 218 Bus ness Fortunes made n 1 186 7 Cabinet, see under Cabinet and Canada comparisons and reserve

blances 1 520 534 535 543 545 550 555 558 Cap tal and Labour p relations between (ee also Labour below) II

253 Changes in Nature of and Mode of effecting is 281 199

City dominance in 221, 11 185 6 274 634 Civ c Apathy n and to causes 1 478

1 197 199 207 8 267 495 Civil Servants and Trade Unions in

Civil Service in 1 191 211 199 279 285 578 Class Antagonism and Soc al Jealousy

10 1 348 &n 1 187 198 08 233 273 276 de n 277 495 502 505 617

Class government in lee also Labour below) 1 225 39 281 2 Climste of 11 183

Droughts 1 182 2 184 40 d # 287

674 Australia-continued

Climate of-continued Influence of, on Physical Type, at. 183, 184

Coal of, 11 182, 186 Coalition Government in (1909), ii. 225, 231-2

Collectivism in. ii 28 t

Commonwealth, creation of, 11 188 Legal outlines of, u 180 100 , 194-5 Compulsory Military Training in.

Public Opinion on, il 191, 216-7, 276 n. Constituencies, usually single-mem-

bered, 11 195, 200 Constitution, Federal, of, 1 249, u. 507 Amendments to, 11 191-2, 195, 196

Proposed, by Labour Party, is 252, 261 199 Comparisons of, with those of other

Lands and those of the Australian States, 1 512-17, 11 195

Interpretations of, 11 190 Machinery for altering, 1 513 d # Separation of Powers in, ii 23 Constitutional System of four distinctive points in, ii 189

Convicts formerly transported to, is 183 d. n. Crime in, decrease of, ii 270

Death-rates in, it. 183, 279 Decentralization desirable in, ii, 478 Democracy in, achievements of, in

189, 278 177. Characteristics of, ii. 266 sun. Failures of, 11 281

Historical Evolution of, 1 37-8 Lessons from, 11. 281 129. Special Characteristics of, and Future development under, 11, 281

Study of, 1 7 Direct Popular Legislation in, ii 191,

460, 475 # 2 Economic Conditions in effects of, ii. 187, 184, 196, 197-8, 269,

273, 281 Economic Outlook of, 11, 285 199

Education in, 11 218 de # 177, 269, 279, 480 General Level of, in Politicians, it.

208, 233 Eight-Hours Day in, 11 223, 246

Elections in Conduct and Cost of, 16, 200-201

& nn , 280 to Legislatures, 11. 193, 194, 204 199 of Local and other Officials, it. 199, 217 с. п.

Australia-continued Elections in-continued

Triennial, ii. 197, 195, 209, 225 Universal Suffrage for, is. 194, 195 Electoral Districts in u. 200 English Political Traditions in, i. 229

English Sub-types in, II, 184-5 Ethnography of, u. 181 sqq. Executive of, ii. 190

Attitude of the People to, 1 543 Complete dependence of, on House of Representatives, u. 195

Composition, II, 190-01, 211 Control of, by Parliament, is

101, 199 No Veto possessed by, u 195 General Character of, 11 104 100

Democratic Features in, il 194-6 Paternalism of, u. 287, 289 Powers of, 11 189-90

Extension of, Labour desire for, 11. 239, 252, 261 597.

Seat of, present and future, u. 191, 225. 4So n and State Governments, Swiss

parallels to, 1 383, 386, 399, 400, 405, 414 Exports of, n. 185, 238 Federal Capital, 11 191, 208, 480 n.

Financial Policy in, # 242 sqq. Control of, by Assemblies, 11, 201. 206, 297

Foreign affairs as affecting, is, 188, 267 de m , 407 Forestry neglected 12, 11 280 Frame of Government, 11 181

Free Trade and Protection in, Newspapers concerned with, 11. 272 Gold of, 11 183, 186 Government Employees in

Salaries of, and Pressure by, u 213, 214, 383 "Slacking" by, u. 257, 280, 646 #

High Court of, 11. 190, 194, 215, 216 History of, it 181 199. House of Representatives, h. 190 d m Conduct of Business in, is, 206 d # 2

Financial Powers of, 11, 206 Members of Payment of u 190 de a 195

Quality of, 11 204, 205, 207 & # .

Vital Centre of Political Life, ii.

199, 206 How impaired, 11. 206

Immigration, tato (early), t., 181 Australian attitude to, present-day,

1. 510, H 186, 235-6, 237, 245, 275, 342-3 Income-Tax, progressive, in, ii. 241 & n. An tralia-con nued Industrial Legislat on in. 247 244 4 # 245 597 TWW In. 252 Influences at wo k in Economic and 196 42 Soc al. In test we the in 10 230 Inst tut one of Working of Cond t one affecting 197 8 Intempe ance in, decrea e of 270 280 de # Interstate Comm as one of 104 215 Irg on Wo ks n 257 280 Isola on of p t and pesent Results

Isola on of p t and p esent Results of 182 268 277 8

Judges in Appointments Salaries
Terms of Office, Removal of Status of etc., ii 193 4
215 16 79

Jud ciary in Commonwealth and State, 190 193 4 215 99 279

190 193 4 215 99 279
424 426 7 578
Labour Cla s n, M gra ory and U ban
Character of 97 198 275
Opin one and Interests of 269 70
277

277
Point cal Power of as used by
495
Labour suesin dysons due to 502

Labout O gan ast ons un (ee alo I W W and Trade Un ons) Pres un exerce el by 390 Labour Party n evo ut on advan e, a ma and methods of 139 545 303 381 625 Caucus of 283 348 390 496 513 Members of n F st Common wealth Pal Jament 224

Min stries f om 18g 225 226 496 513 Maintenance of Law and O der under 107 187 218 277 397 4 # P cdges eracted by 2 8 d. # 289 Policy of 237 238 9 240 99 Land que t on a Labour policy on

237 239 240 42
Law and Orde in Maintenance of top 187 218 277 397 d n
Lawyes few in Legislatures in 207 8
Legislatures et al o House of Representatives above and Senate

b i w and unde States
B came al, 190 192 199 201
D ffe en es between how settled
450
Materials tespreof 345 28.

Members of Payment of 190 & s., 93 195 400 nc es ed 192 # 499 Australia—con nued
Legislatures—con nued
Members of—contin ed
Quality of 1 204 205 207 de n
208 09 10 233 271 371
Partes n 227

New character of end of last century 223 199
Alleged Dec ne in 209 10
Respect not usp ed by 81
Le sured Class ab cnt f om 207

Le sured Class ab ent f om 207 267 L be al Party in 230 347 Local Government in 217 18 280 673

Mandate of for New Guines, ii 192 267 n Manhood Suff age in 188 Mater alism in 345 275 284

285 Mineral wealth of 183 186 Min mum Wage quest on in 248 249 & 8 1

249 & # 1
Min ster al Instablity in 209 399
400 497 514 #
Min sters 190 191 393
Cho ce of in 104

Money Powe in (1ght) 278 & n 285 499 532 Monopolist Combinations in 8t Mun c pal Government in 27 484 Narrowness of outlook of 27 276 Nat onal Cha act r 182 184 185

187 97 208 209 10 233 240 267 97 273 5 277 287 290 397 Nat onal Debt, 279 Natural Beaut es of 274 d. n Natural Wea th 183 186 New Protect on pol cy in

Non Polit cal Author tes in 215 Offic as Appointment and a cotton of 191

Governo Gene al 190 91
Old age pens ons in 218
Parliament of 190 d # 283

Parliament of 190 d # 283
Parliamentary Party Leaders 2
authority exe c ed by 1 285
Pa l'amentary system applied by to

Federation 555
Parties n, 22 99
Multiplication of 513 se a o
Labou ab we

Pa ty m n 221 qq 267
Party d cipl ne n 209
Party feeling m, 269
Party Government n 130
Party Influence n, 205

Australia—continued
Party Organization in (see also Labour
Party, Caucus of), 11 498,

Party, Cancus of J, 11 498, 513, 610 Party organizations created in, to cope

with Socialism, 1 502
Party questions in, ii. 221-2
Party Spirit in, and ii. U.S.A., ii. 516
Patriousin iii. ii. 194, 238, 268, 284

Patriousni in, u 194, 238, 268, 284 Police of, u. 218, 279 Policeal Committees in, 1 302 m., how

Political Connection of, with Great Britain, ii 234 5 d #

Political Loyalty in, ii 276 Political Patronage in, ii 214

Political Views of, past and present, u 187 Politicans in (see also Legislatures,

Members of), 1, 285, 11 208, 209, 211, 212, 233 Politics in, Character of, 1, 345, 11

Politics in, Character of, t. 345, ii 189, 204 199, 266, 284 Institution to, see Civic Apathy,

Popular Government in, Salient Features of, ii 495-6 Popular Sovereignty in, ii. 189, 195 Population, original Stock, and Ethnic

Homogenety of, n 183, 184, 267, 278, 356 Distribution of Conditions infin-

encing, n. 181, 182, 185, 186, 197, 275, 346 Occupations of, n. 182, 185, 186 Physical characteristics of, u. 183,

184, 267-8, 269, 273
Slow increase and Smallness of, ii
183, 186, 237, 275, 277
Premiers of, Status of, ii. 211 4 2.

Press Influence in, 1 117, 332, 488, ii. 128, 223, 269, 271-2, 353 Prices and Wages, relation between in, ii. 244, 249, 250, 287 Professional Classes, Opinions and

Interests of, u 270-71
Prohibition question in, u. 222
Proportional Representation in, u. 200,

Proportional Representation in, ii. 200, 206 d n i Protection and Free Trade, Public Common on, ii. 217, 242 199

Protectionist Party, ii 224, 225 Public Health in, ii 279 Public Life in, Tone of, i. 345, ii.

207 197-285, 370. 498
Public Manners in, 1 479, 11 208, 209 d s, 218

Public Opinion in, i. 545, ii. 197, 234 197, 266 199, 426, 502, 505

Australia—continued
Public Opinion in—continued
Possible modification of, ii. 289
Public Service Acts, ii. 213
Public Service Acts, ii. 213

Public Services in, Promotion in, in 213, 214 Questions now before the People, ii. 243 Railway Commissioners in, ii. 255

Railway Commissioners in, it 255
Railways and their Administration in,
if 254, 255 199, 275, 279
Re-elections of Officials in, it, 193, 200,

Referendum in, ii 191, 199 # , 202 # Religious in, ii 183 Reservation of Subject in, ii 432-3

Reservation of Subject in, in 432-3 Rich men holding off from Politics in 1. 478, in 495 Roman Church's influence in, i. 100

Roman Church's infigence in, i. 100 School Teachers in, ii. 219, 220 Second Chamber in, iee Senate, below Self-Government in, ii. 187 199 Self-sufficing idea in, ii. 238

Senate, Federal, of, 11. 190, 203 197. Elections to, 11. 195, 204 197., 440 Powers, 11. 441, 449

Quality of, ii. 443 Removal by withdrawals, ii. 454 * Scitlers in, Advantages enjoyed by, ii. 4 Sheep-faising in, ii. 182, 185, 198, 237.

Short Views in, n. 184, 275, 100 also Public Opinion Single Tax views in, st 242

Small farmers in, political status of, ii. 197 Social Equality in, ii. 187, 198 Spoils system in, ii. 112

Sport in, ii 268, 269, 357 Squatters in Conflict of, with Free Selectors, ii.

222, 284
Wealth of, 11 186-7
State Activities (Charities, Triding, etc.), 17-8, 12, 11. 137, 189,

210, 218, 254 197, 280, 283-4, 287, 496, 579, 633 States of, see also under Names Assemblies of, 11 191, 186, 200 d. #-,

Assemblies of, ii 193, 195, 209 d #., 210

Predommance of, ii. 201-2

Constitutions of, ii. 188, 192

Alteration of, ii. 193, 195

Compared with those of American States, ii, 196 Democratic Features in, ii 195

Democratic Features in, is 195
Education in, is, 218 177
Equal Representation of, is, 190
Federation of, is, 188

Finance in, Control of, by Assemblies,

INI	DE:
ustralia-c n nued	A
States of-con nued	ì.
Governments of 190 192 3	Į.
Powers of 11 190	
Quest one dealt with before 1883	ļ
Sw ss parallel to 1 370	1
Governors of powers o 193	1
Jud cary n 193 4 215	

Leg slat ve Councis 192 3 195 202 3 Abolition des red by Labour 202 261

202 261 Leg satures of 192 3 195 199 201

Caucus in, 390

Elect ons to 193 195 440

Pe sonal Feelings as influencing
Polities in 209

Public Service Commissions in

Rep esentst ves of Annual Con

ference of 230
Roads Railways and Tramways of

n 218
Self Government n 187 8
S ates R ghts sent ment of 263
Suff ages in 188 195

Suff ages in 188 195
Unification project for it 264
Status of as established by Peace
Teat es and League of

Test es and League of Nations 235 & #

Stone walling in 206 378

Strikes and Stike Rots in 224
225 39 246 7 280-81

284 495 496 497 578
Non cessa on through Compulsory
Abraon 259 97

Ab ra on 259 97
Suffrages in 188 190 193 194
199 de # 200 267
Synd cali ts 12 252

Tanifis in, 188 94, 222 237 238 239 243 244, 284 Taxat on n 239 241 & n 279 284 of the R ch, 200 n

Terr to es adm n stered by 192 257 m. Towns Port and C ties of relative sizes and importance of

s zes and importance of 185 6 T ade D sputes

T ade D sputes

Compul ory Arb t at on (q v abor)

n 246 199

Trade Un ons the san 223 99 227

Providences fo 259 qq Providences fo 259 qq Trad tons absent from, 355 Universites 220 279 Urbanization in 186 197 273 274

275 346 Resum of 495 Aust al 2—con nued

Vot ng Methods in, 1 200

Wa es n

Fring of and Boards for 1, 244 246 d. n 247 199 283 284.

Rasing of limit to 287
War Efforts and Sacrifices of 11 276
284
Wesleh a D tribution of 286 7

Wealth n D tr button of 186 7 White Aust al a Policy in, 235 245 266 7

Women Voes a, influence of etc 188 199 d # 200 231 m Au tral a P obl mt and P op by S

C Wade 252 n 2

Aust alian L beral Un on the 230

Au tral an Wo ke s Union 259 n

Au tral e La Nucelle by Vo sson

286 n 311 n

Aust a Emp e of
Fewness of actual Rulers n 596

Fore gn pol cy of and ts outcome : 408 417 Monarchical Objarchy in 589

Co ruption n 59
Monarchy of 586
Const tut on of 24

Rac al Pa t es n 140
Republic of 631
War with as affecting German Demo
cracy 44

Author ty
Deth conement of 51
F ench att tude to 344
When Sac ed 609 n
Au ho sh p Leadership by means of

Autocra es Co rup on n 497 and Educat on of Peoples 567 Ev 1 of remed ed by Democracy 6

Rule in

D ect 594

Ind e t 595

Respons bity under how secured
539
Autocracy fo mer n China 547 548

Average Ct zen in Ideal Democracy i

53 4 Average Man Athen an preference for 20

Gene al con ept on of 168 69 Intell gene of 1 499 as Ruler 1, 73 207

Sense of C vic Duty of see als C vic Duty 499 500 in USA 9 21 et pai m 129 99

in USA 9 21 et pai m 129 99 Azerbaijan, fi et Mussulman Republic u 631 Baborof, F. N., Communistic doctrines of, I i. 24 t s Backward Races and States Advances possible in, ii 565-6 Are they part of the People ? 1 161 and Democratic Government, and Equality, 1 77 d. a , u. 545 199 Dangers to be provided against, ii. 556-7 and the Logic of Events, 11 568 Expedients possible in, u 567 Racon, Francis, 4 573 Barehot, W., cited. 1 255, 11 367, 493 #,

\$19 # I Ballance, Rt. Hon -, Prume Munister, New Zealand, u 302, 311 # Bold measures of, u 298-9, 329, 339 Financial policy of, ii 316-17 Woman's Suffrage Bill of, ii. 339 Balmaceda, and the Chilian Civil War, 1

216 Bankers and Heads of Businesses, Influence of in Obgarchies, n tSq 4 = 2 Bantu Races, 1. 89 , the Pitso of, 1 146

4 1. Bar, the Influence of, u 94, 427 Judges selected from, 11. 426-7 Standard of, IL 95, 169

Bar Association of New York, Reforms sought by, n. 97, 179 Barbarian Kingdoms, 5th and 6th centuries, 1, 30 Barren region, Canada, 1 508-0

Barrios, rule of, in Guatemala, 1 215 Basques Characteristics of, L 322 in Chile, 1 216

Language of, area of, 1 235 m. Basuto Race, the Pitso of, 1 146 d m, 415-16 4 # , # 551 Beaconsfield, Earl of (B. Disraeli), 1. 286,

n. 614, and the Eastern Question, # 414-15 Extension of the Franchise by, u. 622 on Parties, 1 118 Beales, E., 1 34 # 2

Bebel, A., cued, n 648 Bechuana Race, the Pitto of, 1. 146 d n. Beck, J. A., on Leadership, it 612 #. I Belfort, Territory of, Representation of, 10 Senate, 1. 259

Belgium Class Bitterness 10, 11 502 Clencal Party 10, 1 120, 139, 11, 381 Constitution of, 1 249 a Democraty, 1 26 Executive in, Popular Control of, ii 198 Party Government in, i. 130

Monarchy III, 16- 507

Belgium-continued Party Spirit in, ii. 516 Senate in, Powers of, il. 441, 446, 447 Suffrage In. i. 24

Supplementary Vote, i. 71 n . 172 Belgrano, in Argentina, 1 217

Benedek, Ingratitude to, of the Hapsburgs, u. 611 d n. 2 Bentham, Jeremy, Political theories of, 1, 51

Berlin, Cities counterbalancing, it 481 Bern, 1 363

Junkers of, i 477, 478 Oligarchy of 1 19, 369, 477 Seat of Swiss Legislature, i. 389, 400 Berry, Sir G , 11 200

Bezerk, the, in Switzerland, t. 374 m. Bible, the, nature of, 1 49 B cameral Governments, IL 436 #, 437

197 Dominion, ii 441, see also each Dominion European, u 438, see also Countries

named Latin American States, L217, 219, 2241 and see under each Country

USA . see that head " Big Businers" (see also Money-Power),

Influence of un Monarchical Oligarchies, u. 589 di n. U S.A , 11 26, 55, 69, 128, 531-2 Public Opinion against, Canada, a. 538,

Bilingual Difficulties of Canada, u 495 Bill of Rights, 1 250

Inadequately Debated, U.S A , n 63 Private, see Private Bills Revision and Rejection of, by Second Chambers, 1, 265, 276, 11

473, 448-9 Bismarck, Prince, compared with the great Democrats, in \$93;
Personality of, is 517; and Popular Government, L 44 ; and the "Reptile Press," i. to6, E17, Rule of, acqui-

" Black-legs," it 250 Blanco, Guzman, President of Venezuela,

1 213 Block, the, in French politics, i. 285

Blood Feuds, t. 145 d #. Boards, Municipal, USA., 11 16, small reason for, u- 543 Bodley, J E C., book by, ested, s. 273

n, I Bolivar, 1. 215 Bolivia, Republic of, 1. 209 #. Arca and Population, 1- 230 Political Status, 1, 215, 232

1 639	Fore gn Policy of
Bolshev am and Sov et am 11 640	Brit sh Isles
Bonapart sts the an France, 240 241	Celts of Kags of 28
251 327	Rac al differences in 235
Bordeaux 481	B t sh Parliament see unde G eat
Borgia Caesar 404	Br ta n
Bosses Polit cal in	B tsh Privy Counc L and a Counc ! of
Canada 531	State : 313
USA 42 70 84 88 106 110 199	R ght of Appeal to from Austral a
160 171 529	
	D D
Boston USA 58	Br tish Provinc al Newspapers compared
L terary cote es of 57	w th F ench 331
Police S ke at 1919 _ 397	Br t sh Race sub types developed by under
Boulanger General, the affar of 242 3	new conditions 1845
267 327 361 375 662	Browning R 364
Bount es in Austral a Acts on 245	Buck E A on Cvl Adminst at on
Bou bons the, and the r followers	Code USA 150 # 1
126	Buda Pest
Bourgeo s Democrac es denunc at ons	
	New papes of 329
	Parliamentary up oars at 290
Bourgeo s e see under France	Buddham, 92 t
Bou my E 7	n Japan, attempted rev val of 99 #
Braganza dynasty fall of 632	Budget
Brain wo ke s el m nat on of Labour	French 276
vewson 6356&+rr	Swiss exempted from Refe endum
Braz L Republic of 8 200 d #	421
A ea of 227	Buenos A res
	Anarch to of 220
Falure of Mona chy n 223	Dynamite outrages a 1 220
Government of	G owth and Dominance of 218 221
Cent al, 224	107 # 274
State, 1 224	Bulgaria
Language of 209	King of despot sm of 25 392
Ne da of 225	fall of 252 n
Oligarchy in, 224 5 589	Lemslatu c of Un ame al 438
Pol tical capac ty of Southern States of	Nom nal Democracy of 25
225 23	Sec al Equaty in 477 8
Polit cal H story of 22 gg	Buonarot M chael Angelo 627
Populat on Ethn c Elements in 211	Budedton by of The Pne 612 n 2
# 223	Bureauc acy
Press nfluence in 488	Causes and Eve ut on of 452 633
	644
Relig on in 357	of a Commun st State occupations and
a true Republic 2 6	_ s ze of 647 sqq
Suff age list in, 224	in Fance 307 9
Wars of 214 n	n Ge many 309
Beton Language a ca of 235 d n	Sw st ave on f om 406 409 430
B ght John, and the Crimean War	434, 482 n 1 496
413 E onomy expected by	Bu eaux of
f om a Democracy 353	Fren h Chamber of Deput es 275
Influence of 615 and	Swis Legislatue, 386 7
Reform 34	Burgundy Transjurane, 387 #
Brisbane Queensland 186	Bu ke Edmund 18 614 657
Bn sh Cab net & Cabinet	
	Democracy 1 5 and the
Butth Constitution, see under Great	Evenda Resources 52 on
Britain	Members as not me e Dele

gates 384
Burnett book by on the In that we etc., in
Oregon 155 n 2

B tah Count es and C es study of Political poclivities of desir

Burr, Aaron, 11 613 Bush-ranging, Australia, ii. 187, 218 Business Corporations, U.S.A., forbidden to subscribe to Party Funds, 11 55 Butler, Bishop, on the Nature of Things, น 6วร

Byron, Lord, 1 499 Byzantium, commerce of, i. 188

Cabinet of State Officers, USA, 11

Cabinets

149 4 # , 150 Composition of, it 507-8 Instability of (see also Ministerial Instability), causes of, n 381 Parliamentary, and Presidential

Governments, st 508 Resignations of, on censure of Minister, 11 539 den 2 Cabinets and Cabinet system in various

Lands Australian Communwealth, 11 191,

211, 212 Australian States, 11, 191 Canada, 1 513, 535, 536, 11 177

France Formation of, 1 253-4

Responsibility of, 11 177 Size of, 1, 204 Talent and reputation in, i 294.

Great Britain, u 506, 507-8, 510 199 French parallel to, 1 255 Influence of, on House of Commons.

Instability of, effects of, n 400 n 1 Nature of 1 197 Poucy declared by, 11 44 Powers of, 11 493 #

Growth of, 11. 375, 632 Source of, 11 519 m. 1 Responsibility of, is 177 New Zealand, Maon Member of,

u 293 USA,1 552, n 149 de n. Ability in, 11. 102 #. Appointment to, n. 19-20, 101 & s.

Composition of, ii. 101 Responsibility of, it 19-20 Working of, ii. 101 Cacques, or local Bosses in Spain, is. 660

Caesarism, still Attractive to the Masses, 1. 361 Calan. Count de, cited on Politics in W.

and N.W France, i 325 # Caledonia, Kings in, i 28 Calhonn, J C., s. 118; outstanding personality of 1 298

California, Boss rule in, 1: 88 Calvin, John, 1 372, 484, 485 Theorracy of, 1. 95 m Camarina, and the oracle, 1 494 Canada, 1 508 9, 519

Abonguals in Treatment of a 542-

Abstract Ideas of little weight in. 1. 528, 553-4, 566 Administrative Extravagance in, 1 557,

559, 11- 497 Administrative Offices in, Filling of, 1 513 tgg, 532, 551, and

Multiplication of, 1 557 Agricultural Discontent, recent, indications of, 1 529 d #, 530, see also Farmers' Party.

helozo Asiatics excluded from, it 242-2 and Australia, comparisons between, see

under Australia "Big Business" disliked in, s. 538,

557 Bilingual Difficulties of, 11 495 Birth-rate in, among French Canadians, i 511, 521, 522

" Bosses" 10, 1 531 Bribery and Corruption in, 1 271, 410, 520, 532-3, 540-41, 547, 555-7, 561, u. 201, 124 n, 481, 484, 495, 497, 524

579,593 British Parliamentary System as worked in, 1 229, 508 199, 534 199, 550, 555

Cabinet of, see under Cabinete Candidates in, Choice of, for Legislatures, 1 530

Local Government, i 543-4 Cities in, capable of forming Public Opinion, 1 548 Large Populations, 1 509, 520

Civic Sense in, 1. 520, 522, 526 199 , 534, 547 497, 558 Civil Administration in, improving, is

578 Civil Service in, t. 515, 547, 557 599 , 11 279 Civil Service Laws, Reform, 1 547 Class-antagonism in, 1 521

Climate of, 1. 509 Clubs, non-party, in, t. 529 Coal of 1 509 Compromises in, 1 524-5, 527 Compulsory Military Service in, ii

Constitution of, 1 249, 511 199, 566 Amendment of, u. 102 # Decorations deprecated in, 1. 503 Demagogism rare in, i, 550

Canada-c n nued Democracy in

Histor cal evolut on of 28

Study of 1 7 508 199

D rect Voting by the People not pro vided for ςςI

Economic Conditions in, 1 500 10 5 9 Education in \$19 0 \$25 \$43 559 Local cont ol of 480

Elect ons and Electors n. 271 517 529 97 543 552 553

Execut ve of \$13 4 Appointments by \$14, \$15 532 Power of ove the Province 512 539 551

Public Att tude to \$43 Relations with the Legislatures

5 2 77 5 8 552 External affairs of and relations with USA 5234, 407 Farmers Party and Movement in,

141 373 381 529 3° 546 F nances of 557 559 Control of by Lower House

Foreign affa s of ee Ext rual Relations abore

Frame of Government of 1 508 199 Government

Federal, 512 97 552 11 479 Dangers evaded by 559 60, 559 60, 565 Democ atte character of compared

with Australia and USA 106 D sat staction with, causes of

560 97

Elections to 530 99 Influence on, of Pub c Opin on Merits of 524, 555 558 60 Powe s of 5 2 189 100

Short life of 567 We king of 532 qq Provinc 1 5 6 d nn 5 7 Grants and Subs d es in, 411 536 197

557 559 563 House of Commons 5 513 514 Financ al Control exe ci ed by 514 Life of 514

Members under Legislatu es below Party keenness n

arty keenness r 528 Reat on of with the Executive 5 2 77 518 Industrials in, 509 10 520 527 Insurrections in 518

Insurrections in 518
Judges Appointments Salaries and Status of 514 15 541 2 551 424, 426 7

Canada-c n nued Iud c ary 512 514 15 541 2 559 578

Labour Party n. 170 520 11 181 Labour troubles in 519 520 21 Law and Order in, 542 3 558 197 218 707

Law Cou ts 514 16 Reht of to dec de on Legal tv of Constitutional Provis ons

Legislatures see al o House of Commone above and Parliament and Sena e. below

Ch ef interests of 1 537 499 Comput on of 278 sta

Desolution of by Executive, 513 5 6 552 Damatic Quality of 11 68 9

Members of Cha acterist cs Stand ards and Status of 527.502 558 370 376 495

Women 514 n Powers of 513 Procedure 10, 536 7

Provinc al ee Provinces Leg slatures of bla W sdom of 559

Local Government in Local Improvements and Co rupt on in 484 Local Subsides in, 4 i

Manufacturing in, 509 Ma time Provinces 509 520 French Canad ans in (11 Materialism in 531 537 8 546 561

M nisterial Instability in 11 399 400 Min sters Cho ce of bas s of 11 394

Evout on of 535 6 Pe ton of 5 3 14 Quality of 393 Respon blity of 552 495 Scanda's concerning 547 526

593 Seats of 514 552 Money Powe in, 538 541 556 557 499 524 199 531 532

Mounted Police of \$42 Mun pai Government in 560 484

National Chara t run 534 564, 565 567 u 218 397 se also Materiali m, above

Nat onbood a hieved by (1919 20)

524 546 Natural Wealth of 1 509 520 549 56 Newspapers in Provinc alism of \$47 Canada—continued
Opportunism, alleged, of Statesmen m,
1 562 sqq
Parliament, u. 278, see also House of

Commons and Legislatures, above, and Senate, below Parliamentary Party Leaders in,

Parliamentary Party Leaders in, authority exercised by, 1 285-6

Parties in, 1 526 577, 529 579. Multiplication of, ii 513

Partisanship in, u 67
Party in, t 513, 514, 515, 518

Party in, a. 513, 514, 515, 518

Effect on Provincial Politics, 1 557

Mixed Elements in each Party, 1

525, 527, 545 Working of, in the Legislatures, i

527, 536-7, 11 441 Party and Non-Party Elections, 1. 530-

531, 543, 552
Party Feeling, and Social relations in, 1

528-9 Party Funds m, 1 533 & #., 556

Party Government in, 1 130 Party Issues in, 1, 526-7

Party Organization in, a 530-31, 560, ii 498 Party Spirit in, ii 516

Party Strength in, i 520
Party Strife in, centre of, i 514
Party Ties in, Loosening of, i, 530
Patrotism in, i 561-2
Police Magistrates in, i 541

Political faults in, those of a New Country, 1 562 sqq.
Political Institutions in, bases of, i.

508 Political Patronage in, i. 406-7, 515,

Political Stability in, is 495

Political System of, compared with that of the USA, 1. 550 sqq Politics in General Review of

General Review of
Criticism, t. 555 177.
Praise, 1 543, 555
insufficiently attractive to best
Talent, t. 534, 558

Principle needed in, 1 562, 563
Popular Government in, Sahent Festures
of, 11 495
Population of, 1 COQ, SII

Population of, 1 509, 511 Cities of over 120,000, 1. 509, 520 French-speaking, Migration of, 1. 522

Crowth of, 1, 509, 511, 567 Occupations of, 1 509-10 Race Differences in, effects of, 1 178, 510-11, 518, 524-5, 527, 531, 545, 561, 565, 567 Canada—continued
Prairie Provinces, 1 509
Grain - Growers' Associations in, i.
529 n

Immigrants to, 1. 520
Press of, Influence of, i 545, 547
Prime Minister of, compared with
American President, 1 553

Prohibition Question in, i. 525-6 & n, 532, 549, 559 Prospects before, i. 567

Protection question in, views on, 1 520 Provinces, see also Maritime, and Prairie Provinces, above

Government of, 1 516 & nn , 517 Legislatures of Members of 1 522

Members of, 1 533 Elections of, 1 530, 539 Party in, 1 539

Party in, 1 539
Powers of, 11. 190
over Finance, 1 539-40
Powers over, of Federal Govern-

Powers over, of Federal Govern ment, 1. 512 Procedure in, 1 539

179, 558
Public Life in, Tone of, 1. 555 199, is 370

Public Opinion in, i. 322, 538, 545 199, 549, 564, n. 273, 426, 502

Difficulty of forming, i. 564 and Individual Liberty, Canada, 1 559 Railways, Political Influence of, i. 519, 531, 527-8 & n. 563, ii 255

531, 537-8 & #, 563, 11 255 Reforms 10, 1 547-8 Social, 1, 549 Relations of, to Great Britain and the

other Dominions, t. 523, 525
Religion in, influence of, t. 510, 511
& n, 520, 521, 524-5, 531,
545, 561, 565, n 357 n

Religious Teaching in schools, Controversies on, 1 525 Reserved Subjects, ii 432-3

Reserved Subjects, ii 432-3 Roman Catholicism in, i. 511 d m, 521, 522, 531

Rural and Agricultural Conditions dominant in, ii 495 Senate of

Senate of Composition, Powers, and Status, etc. of, s. 24, 514, 551, n. a30 #, 481, 440

Nominations to, t. 517, 536, it. 441 Relations with, of the Executive, i.

514 Separation of Powers in, ii. 23 135

Canada-con nued Small Landholders in 1 504 510, 519 1 185 186 245 275 Soc al and Economic Conditions in a

508 Spals system to 1 132 515 553 Standard of Living in \$10 Statesmen of alleged Opportunism of 1 562 177

Strikes and Strike Riots in. 1 521 542 558 1 497 Tar ff 10 1 510 522 526 549

Taxation in L 559 and USA Relations between 1 523 4

de π 2 411 Resemblances and Comparisons 510 512 13 516 517 520 530 531 534 535 528 530 540 542 545 546

550 197 556 558 199 564 565

Universities of 1 545 Énglish sub types in 184 0 Veto pract cally absent in, 1 551 Water power in, 1 509 Wealth in, D stribution of 1 510

Western, u 356 French Canad ans in 1 5t1 and the British Connection i 545 see al o Race bel vo

Prosperity of 1 519 1 356 Woman Suffrage u, 514 de # Canad on Bankers Magazure Art cle in by Clark, reterred to 1 529 # Canadian Boundary Quest on peaceful Solut on of wth USA 1

Canberra seat of Austral au Common wealth Government 1 tq1,

208 480 m Candidates Cho ce of Party Influences on 1 128 129

Personality as Influencing 1 128 171 179 by Elect on or Nominat on in

Austral a 1 200 230 Canada 1 530 531 543 4 France, 1 280 300 Great Britain, 1 371 609 10 Switzerland 468 9 4 # 477

41 197 45 53 70 388 USA 1 530 1 30 199 28

Canning G emergence of 610 Cantons see under France, and Sw tzerland Cap tal in Commun stic v ews Cap tal Cit es Dom nat on of 1 221 185 6 274 480 de # 634

Can tal Pun shment in Switzerland, i 184, 487 Cap talism and

Commun sm # 625 644 sqq Revolution, 1 661 Can talists and Corporations

Few in Canada French and American Influence of on Politics | CIO

Carbonari the 1 170 m Caribbean Republics 1 200 n , 214 215 Not Democrac es 1 26

Carlyle, T 11 120 623 Carthage

Dominance of 11 274 Government of 1 186 204

Case The for Labor (Hughes) cited it 228 n Caste Systems 1 91 94

Castile and Aragon Causes arresting Democracy in 1 43 Catherine II n 587

Catholic Legit mists of Legitim its Cathol c Party Switzerland

Catholic sm, see Roman Catholicism Catilna 1 478 Caucus System

Connotat ons of 1 17 Gong nto USA 11 61 2 in French Chamber of Deput es ii 390 Invent on of 390 Labour Party s in Austral a 1 348

390 495 6 513 Party USA Influence of Caudel, M on Civ I L berty : 353 # Cavour Count 1 593 668, Personality

of 11 517 Celestine V Pope, 1 153 n 2 Celibacy of the Roman Cathol e Priest

hood 1 94 Celtiberians of Spain, Kings of 1 28

Celt c Peoples 146 Contrad ctory Character st cs of 1 248 d. n 350 n

Lack of Realism in, 1 350 # 499 Censors the in Roman Senate, 1 447 Central Amer ca see Latin Amer ca Central Execut ve Committee of the All

Russ an Congress of Sov ets functions of si 639 199

Central Government relat on of to Local 478 177

Central Office of Political Part es Great Britain's 1 600 10 Centralizat on

in France, 1 237 197 274 277 290 ф п, 296 397 314 318 319 365 491 493 Swiss dist ke for 1 375 409 461 487

496

Centralization—continued

U.S.A. Reform tending to check, it.

149-50

Geniury, A, of Peace, by Prof. Dunning,

1155 & n. 2

Charcones, battle of 1. 101

684

Chaeroneia, battle of, 1. 191 Chairmen of Committees, U.S.A., powers and status of, 11 60-61, 65 Chaka, the Zulu King, 11 594

Chaka, the Zulu King, 11 594 Chambers of Commerce, U.S.A., and Reform, 11 179 Chambord, Count de, and his adherents, 1

Character in Leaders, 1 156-7
in Politicians, 11 611 de #

Charity, Private and Public, in New Zealand, ii 379 Charles the Bold of Burgundy, Swiss conflict with, 1 40

Charles I, struggles of, with Parliament, 1 31, 33, 58 Charles V, ii 574, 588

Charles X., i. 240, 359 n , fall of, i. 33, 239 Chartist agitation, i 34 & n 2, 36 Chatham, Earl of, Tone of Public Life

Chatham, Earl of, Tone of Public Life raised by, ii 534 Checks and Balances on Democratic Majority Rule, ii, 428 122,

437 197-Chicago Growth of, u 107 Riota at, 1894, u 397 Voting at, for Non-Party Ballot in

Municipal Elections, is 151 m Chief Secretary for Ireland, and Irish Government, in 595, 596 d. nm. Chieftain-rule, possibility of, in Latin

Chieftain-rule, possibility of, in Latin America, 1 230 Chieftainship, in Europe, 1 28 Child Labour, Legislation on, by U.S.A.

Congress, 11 136
Chile, Republic of, 1 209 a
Administration in, 1 216-17
Area and Population of, 1 210, 216, 230

Army and Nay of, 1 216
a Constitutional Republic, 1 216
a Constitutional Republic, 1 216
a Democracy (possibly), 1 26, 229
Electoral Corruption in, 1 217
Miniaterial Responsibility in, 1 508 n 1

Ohgarchy in, 1 216, 224, 230, ii 589 Public Credit in, 1 217 Universal Suffrage in, 216-17 Wars of, 1. 214

Wars of, 1. 214 China Civilization in, 11. 557-8

Composite population of, ii. 556 Corruption in, past and present, 1 157, ii. 497, 523, 557, 565, 591 China—continued
Custom-abidingness of, it. 559 & n. 2
Danger to, of Aggression, it 556
Democracy in, Size of Country in
regard to, it 5555, 559, 562-

563
Education in, t. 89, ii 550
Family relations in, ii 575
Government of, Provisional, ii 632 n.
Money Power in lies also Corruption,

Money Power in (see also Corruption above), ii 557, 563 National Characteristics in, ii 557-8 National Sentiment in, ii 565 Parliament of, Central, ii 559

Intellectual Quality of, 11. 562 n.
Provincial Assemblies and Governors
11., 11 558-9 dn 1
Provisional Constitution of, 11. 632 n
as a Republic, 11 547-8, 549
Responsibility in, how to secure? 11 555

Responsibility in, how to secure? ii 555
and Self-Government, i 5, ii. 557-8,
566
Superstition in, ii 558
Change Empire fell of . .

Superstation in, it 55% Chinese Empire, fall of, i, 5 Chinese in Australia, status of, 1 163

Immigration of, into, why stopped, ii. 223 New Zealand, ii. 342-3 Chinese War, 1857, British Public

Opinion on, n. 413 Christian Socialists, 1 97 Christian Theologians on Happiness, n

Christianity
and Capitalism, 1 96
and Civica, 1 103
Ends and Means of, 1 97-8
Essential qualities of, influence of, in
Moral and Political Spheres,

I. 101 199
Failures of, cause of, s 583
of Latin American Indians, 1 211 d s 3
and Natural Equatity, s 32, 69, 70
Never put into Practice, s 98
Roman attitude to, s 91
and the State, s 92, 93 199

and the State, 1 92, 93 197 Church, the, and the Training of Citizens, 1. 85 Church and State

Controversies between, and their outcome, 1 93-7 Relations between, 1, 91 122 in France, 1 243 129, 357 Two deep-rooted tendencies in, 1 65 Church Disestablishment, in France, 1, 243

Church Interests in Cambbean States, s. 214 Latin American Republics, i 214 Mexico, 1 226

Churches part enfor or again t 110 d. m ter al o Anti Cler cal sm Cceto 478 on Bribing of Roman Juries il 626 # t on Demo eracy a se famous Orat ons 199 # Cent ficos n Mex co 554 # C & ant que La by Fustel de Cou aures 188 m 2 Ctes see al o Captal Ctes above and Munic palit es under each Coun v Austral an sizes and impo tance of 185 6 274 Electors a keenness a duty of 148 q French Representation of 1 260 Great Eu opean Intellectual influence of 274 5 Medaeval Obsarch c rue n 588 den 1 IJ S A Boss rule n 110 \$20 171 Enormous populat ons of 614 C t zens Frst and nea est Duty of All

Fo mat on of by Educat on and Tra n ing 80 99 84 99 Essent als to 88 Local Government as a ding 1 148 o Polit cal vews of modes of Pervers on of 1 466 True in Lat n America 210 11

C e zenshin of Aliens 161 den as Envis ged in an Ideal Democracy Lessons on, of Ch stanty 103 Sw as Admission to 169 172 408 & # 486 dr # C ty meaning of 118

Ctv Assembly D t ct New Yo k, 35 # USA Character of C ty Charters 100 C ty Convent ons USA Cty L fe G eek etc t bal ea ly organ za on of 29

C ty Management [Mun c pal] Govern ment. USA 17 fti 2 A 11 C ty Mobs conduct of no ev dence against Democracy 613 #

Ctv Republic paralles to 274 5 Cvc Amen tie Neglect of in New Zealand 306 n I C v c Apathy and Ab tent on from Public

Ancent, Autalan L fe, causes and con equences of 27 8 34 35 42 44 45

46 177 207 219 269

I Cvc Apathy-con nucd 323 4 331 2 355 6 363 478 495 533 534 28 37 38 39 41 52 56 57 69 70 108 113 14, 116 117 118 120 121 122 129 141 147 151 178 9 197 199 207 269 99, 350 2 370 451199 453 6 # 478 486 495 499 199 536 7 599 600 614 668 Cve Duty

Interest in of the Ave are Man scale of fina Sense of in France, too under Popular Sove eignty Expecta

t on of unfulfiled 122 ee al o C vic Apathy abore in Switze land strength of 154 375 181 448 n 495 498 507 Trad t onal. 160

n U S A 5 16 39 499 c Educat on 80 79 Poss blit es of at var ous stages 86 7 Cvc Fede at ons USA and Reform, 179 Cvc Relgon Rousseau on Se C v c Respons b I ty to the Whole People 536 97

Cvcam and the Gospels C vil Admin st at on Effic ency of Democ ac es 577 578 9 C vil Adm n trat ve Code USA 150 m 1 C v I Code in

Sw tzerland 377 # 181 412 C v 1 Equal ty 68 Defin t on in Fance, 355 n Muslim countries 75 7 93 ın Sw tzerland 495

France 287

in USA 172 CvIF gures rarely becoming Trad t onal C v 1 L bertv 58 59 60 61 n va ous

353 de # 362 Cvil Offic al USA Impeachments of tred by Senate, 11 ro C vil Rights in Backwa d States guaran

tees for 567 C vil Serv ce, see unde C un e se al o

Gove nment Emp overs Abuses and poss ble remedy 1, 376 Civil Service-continued Bureaucratic tendencies of. ii. 480 in Democracies, summary on, ii 400-401 Higher and Lower grades of, relative Punty of, u 526 Quality of, in the Six Countries de-

scribed, u. 393, 395 To whom Responsible, 11. 539 Civil Strife, as Destructive of Democracies, ч. 662

Civil War

English, theories affecting, 1 46

USA, see War of Secession Civilization

Passion for Pleasure, alleged growth of, parı paim with, ii 663 n. Populations at different stages of, in

one Country, 11 550 Clan Organization, 1 28, 20

Clark, M , K C , article by, referred to, 1 539 # Class, Solidification into, of the Few, ii

Class Antagonism and Alienation Absent from Switzerland, L 473

Causes of, 16. 501 Effects of, s. 178, n 520 in Relation to

Referenda, 11. 476 Rule of the People, 1, 167, 168 in Various Countries

Ancient Greece, 1 202, 203 Australia, t. 348 d m, 11. 187, 198, 208, 233, 273, 276 4 8, 277, 495, 502, 505, 617

Belgium, ii 502 Canada, 1 52 p England, 1 348, 11. 520

France, 1 237, 238, 247, 297, 322, 346, 355, 11 198 Holland, u 502 Italy, 11 502

Class Benefits, sought by Labour Leaders, п 623-4 Class Cleavage emphasized by Labour and Socialist Parties, L 140,

Class Distinctions, 1 68-9, 11 124, 345-6,

Class Opinion

in Canada, i 545 6

Class Rule in Australia (see also Labour), 11 225, 239, 281-2, 289 Defects of, IL 591

Class Selfishness, Undummerhed under Democracy, Illustrations of, 11. 617, 637-8 Class Solidarity of Labour, limitations of,

11. 342

12 Australia, 11. 268 297.

Scotland, 1 302 Switzerland, 1, 497 Closed Primaries, U.S.A. ii. 141, 142 Closure, the (see also Obstruction, etc.), it 62, 61-5 & # , 206, 379 Chake

Class Spirit, Developments of, 11, 624

Class War, Doctrine of, 11 179, 584.

in Hungary, 11 662

Clay, H., 1 298, 474

Cleisthenes, 1, 191

Classes, the, 1. 23

630, 635, 637, 638

Enfranchised in Great Britain, apathy

Extinction of, Communistic desires for, 11 625

French, Disintegration within, i. 347

Clemenceau, M., on the Brazilian Con-

statution, 1. 224

Clericalism (see also Anti-Clericalism) in

Clerics, non-Roman, position of, in Canada,

1 520 Cleveland, Grover, President, U.S.A. i

u 518 Cliques, Political, in

France, 1 346

various Lands, 1 90-91 197, 100, 139, 214, 222, 243

599, 248, 322, 324-5, 327, 453, 468, 472, 473, 521, 11.

118, u. 71; and the Chicago

riots, ii. 397 , personality of,

Led astray by the Money Power, n

of, 1. 34, 35

524 599. Relations between, reversed, ii 642-7

Canadian, Non-party, i 529 French, Influence of, on Public Opinion,

1 332 Cost in Australia, 11 183, 186 Canada, 1 COO

New Zealand, 11 292, 325, 361 Coalition Governments in Australia (1909), 11. 225, 231-2

Great Britain (1914-19), effect of lack of an opposition, t. 137

New Zealand, during the War, 11. 304 Cobden, R, # 593; influence of, # 615; on the Crimean War, it. 413

Code Napoléon, the, 1 228, 246, 157

Coleridge, S T, 1 499 Collective Life, and Christianity, i. 101

Collectivism Aims and Working of, s. 326, 11 283,

354, 355, 643 100 Inadequate data for Study of, s. 13 d #

and State Trading, 11 254

College of Electors USA 1 74 C Colorne 1 All Colomb a Republic of 1 200 n Poltcal Level of 215 Colonial Assemblies North American. Colon al Group in French Chamber of Deputies 284 285 Colomes French see French Colon es Colour Bar not abol shed by Democracy Colour Majorit es 1 63 Coloured C t zens USA Status of 167 4 Vot ne Rights of Practical abolit on of 18 37 51 2 54 82 de n 23 135 547 Comit a the of Rome 1 146 377 Balances aga ust Decline of 201 421 Commerce U.S.A. Fede al Powers over 135 Comm ssa a Russ an funct ons of Commuss on of Selection for Senators suggested 455 6 Commuss one of Lemslatu es French 265 6 275 579 282 280 287 Sw ss 1 389 Commissions Mun coal U 5 A Flect on of Comm as oners Committee system of Mun pal Govern ment 484 Comm trees of Legislatures French 1 353 Swiss Nat ve crit c sm on, 498 USA 353 not reported Working of 60 Committees Party USA 36 141 taz i e a o Primaries Common enclosures 592 Common Interest and Common Duty in ulcated by Local Set Government 1 148 149 160 Commonwealth of Australia creation of 188 see al o Australia and each State under Name Common Will, Source of u 600 d n 2 see al o People Will of Communal Counc s so France : \$16 17 Switze and functions of 1 374 Communal Governments Swstzerland Alleged Abu es 413 4 Communal R hts in Land 145 Command, the, of Continental Europe, a 147 316 Commune the French of 1871 45 249

Land
Commus sin
Defined, 1 64
and Demos eay
East 642 179
East 840 Metans 61 1,97
East 840 Metans 61 East 840
Whete most followed 626
Communs 1 67 East 9 Down
center 30d by 1,040
Communs 67 East 1,140

Communes see under France and Sw tres

Communitation State Communitation State Communitation Communitation Control Choice of Choice of

d n 649
Offences agenist 1 648 d n
Private P operty are and in 1 625
644 d n 645 d n
Communist V ews on Cap teal and Private
Property 625 649 d n
Community E ve Duty to low place of
Interests of Average Man
Interests of Average Man

600 see al o C v c Apathy
Commun ty Sp r t past and p esent, 65 6
Companies Incorporated U S A, hatred
of powers of u 138 154
Comparate we Free Government by Prof
Gannaway u 64 n r

Gannaway u 64 n r
Comparison of the Sx Democrat c
Governments u 490 179
Comprom se
in Canada 524 5 527

Greek avers on to 207
Comptroller the, in France, 1 237
Compuls on, no part of the Powers of
Newspapers 1 119
Compuls velegisht on scanty in Switzer
land 487
Compulsory Arb 1 st on see under Arb tra

bon and under Australia
New Zealand and Norway
Compulsory Labour in Russ a Trotsky's
defence of 629 n z
Compulsory M litary Serv ce, see also under
Countr 1

Democ ac es mposing
Occas onally 11 396
Permanently 1 396
Compulsory Purch₄ e of Land
Australia 241
New Zealand 314 318

Conciliation Methods in Australia and New Zealand, ii. 194, 226, 227 (29, 320)
Concord, U S A., and its Hog Reeve, ii. Sar Condemnation without Penalty at Athens, 192, 9 (Concord.)

Condonation or Toleration of Offences, in Democracies, 1, 127, 311-312, 353-4, 547, ii. 42, 96, & n. 97, 93 9, 128, 111, 118, 152, 167 8, 171, 276, 526, 575-6, 579, 593, 597

118, 154, 167 8, 171, 276-7, 526, 575-6, 579, 593, 597
Conduct of Life, the Gospels on 1 100, 101
Confederation Generale du Travail, in

Confederation Générale du Trevail, in France, ii 635 Congregational Churches, lay share in managing, U.S.A., ii 5 Congregational Theories of Califfal 45

Congregational Theocracy of Calvin, 1 95 Congress, see under U.S.A. "Congressional Caucus," French version of, 1 256

Congressional Committee USA, 11 34 n I
Leaders among, 11 44
Congressional District Convention,
USA, 11 35
Congressional Record, USA, not read by

the People, u. 69
Conquistadores, the, r. 214, 225, u. 638
Conscience and Fear to enforce Responsibility in Democracies, u. 539

bility in Democracie and Party Voting, 1 136 d n Conseil de Cabinet, France, 1 255 Conseil d'État, France, 1 238 Conseil Général

France, 1 259, 262, 263, 315, 317, 11, 440, 443 Switzelland, 1 416 Conseil des Ministres, France, 1. 255

Conseil des Ministres, France, i. 255 Conseil d. Ros, France changed form of, i. 238 Conseils d'Arrondissement, France, 1. 259,

Conseils d'Arrendusement, France, 1. 259, 263, 316, 11. 440 Conservatism in France, 1 47, 235, 245, 247, 263, 265, 267, 287, 335, 354-

n 399 in New Zealand, u. 346-7, 354 in Switzerland, u. 435-6, 444, 471 Conservative Party Great Britane, in 380

New Zealand, 11 295 Considerant, —, doctrines of, 1 449 n. Constantine of Greece, 1 25 Constantinon's

Constanting of Greece, 1 25 Constanting e. Mediaceal, Mobs of, ii. 617 st T Russian advance to, circa 1876, ii. 414 Turkish capture of, 1 30, ii. 448 Constitution, connoctations of, 1 19

n 1,79 m.

Constitutional History and Law of New
Zealand, by Hight and Bamford, ii 304 m. r

Constitutional Laws, France, Scope of, i

Constitutional Government in the U.S.A.

by Woodrow Wilson, il. 75

Constitutional Laws, France, Scope of, t
249-51, 259

Constitutions (see also under each Country),
11 10

Unwritten or Flexible, 11. 10, 507

Unwritten or Flexible, ii. 10, 507 Written or Rigid, ii. 10-11, 28, 421, 430, 435, 547 Construction, Socialist inexpertness in, ii.

Consuls, Roman, Checks on, 11 417, 447 n. Consumers and Wage-carners, Australia, relations between, 11 244, 249

Contentment, not induced by Democracy, in 584-5, 616
"Contingent" Voting in Australian States, in 200
Continuity in Policy, how secured in

Switzerland and other lands, 1, 309
Contrat So.tal of Rousseau, influence of, 1, 40, 485, cited, 1, 291 #, 1600 #, 27
"Control of the Caucus," 11, 375
Conventions, U.S.A., 11, 34, 35, 36, 142,

14.7 6 n Delegates to, u 35, 140, 141
Conversation, Educational Value of, i 81
Converying Electors to Polls, u, 528 d n
Convicts, in early Australa, u 183 d n.
Convocation, Oxford University, Voting
us, i, 170 n.
Cook Islands and New Zealand, u, 744

Consider, Mr., article by, on Surtical and referred to, 1 369 n
Corcyra, ferce Seditions at, 1, 187 n 2
Corporate Bodies, Force in, of Teadition, 1
153
Correct Practices Act of 1882 (England)

Corrupt Practices Act of 1882 (England), 1 27;

Corruption in various Forms in various Countries (see also Jobbery and Lobbying), 1: 57-8, 171, 195 n. 201, 215, 217, 142, 262, 27 et al., 320, 328-9, 337, 341, 353, 365, 374, 391, 410, 452, 466, 478,

565, 579, 591, 593

Corrupt on-con nued Admun strat ve, Danger of n Back ward States 557 Br bery D rect etc Coun e Ind rect Various Modes of (ee al o

Money Power) i. 499 527 197 in the New Eu opean States 557 #

Not Extingu shed by Democracy 617 Cors cs Bood Revenge n 145 n

Cortes Hernando in Mexico 214 225 Cortes the Poltcal goups in Costa Rica Republic of 1 209 n Coulanges Fustel de book by on Rel g on and Potce 188 # 2

Council the at Athens 1934 # 1 197 Council of Austral an L be at Un on 220

Council of State in France 312 13 Council of States

Sw tze land 385 E ect ons to and Powers of 386

\$00 Counc le

Borough New Zea and 11 200 Cantona Sw tzerland 378 of Ch efs and of Elders in Ancent Nat ons 437 d n Communal France

440 of Conc hat on, New Zealand, 339 199 Counc is Elected County Ru al Mun

capal 483 4 County Counc s G eat Br ta n 15 New Zealand

Cup d E at the of Napoleon III 258 326 Cou de Ca a on France 305

Cou t of Appeal France, 205 422 3

Sw tze land 402 Cou t of Just ce functions as of US Senate Cou to of Arb trat on and Concil at on ea

Australia and New Zealand Courts of F 1st Instance Switze land 402

C me a Australia Decrease ch 279

Repress on of and Tole ance 576 Crim an War B tal. Pub c Opin on on Criminal Jutee USA Defects of

95 97 169 170 498 de n 306

Crim nal Statust cs New Zealand Cromwell. Ol ver c ed ti 617 VOL II

Crowd mentality and the Crowd w1 1 165 6 598 Crown the Eng ish restriction on 1 348

349 150 Cruelty Admin strative D sappearance of under Democracy

Cuba Republic of 209 # and the USA 215 134 412 Curt Th book by on the Referendum L417# 433# 1 449#

Cutus self devot on of 1 154 Czech Pa tv n Austra 1 140 Czecho Slovskia Repub c of 631

Const tut on of Natu e of 475 & # Provisions in, as to Judicature

422 R Refe endum p ov ded by 475 # I Execut ve Head of State in

Senate of D ect Popu ar Elect on to 440

Dakota North Reforms D 117 n 148 Dakota South Refe endum in ii 466

Dalley W B 209 Dana R H on Efficiency gain with competent C vil Service, USA 104 #

Dan e cted on Money Power in Demo crac es 533 de n Peace the supreme good to Dauerreden (Obsteuct on) in Germany i.

278 d Aunet Bard on the Futue of Aus tralia 286 # 211 # Dead V tues 664

De k. F 474 Deakin A 209 232 Death dut es New Zea and

Debates in Cong ess

not read by the People 69 159 60 Conduct o in Greek Assembles 106 7

n Sw ss Federal Legislature : 388 o Debt & Nat onal Deb

Decentralizat on Advantages of 376 478 9 Movement towards 11 France

4 # 478 633 Dec us and the Samn tes

Declaration of Independence USA 48 49 69 156 189 Decaration of the Rights of Man by

Nat onal Assembly of F ance 48 49

Private Property included in, 1 54 245 n Dectarat ons of War Source of

France and U S.A

690 Decorations and Honours, absent from Democracies—continued Sentimentality of, it. 97 Switzerland, deprecated in Canada, L 503 de Coulanges, F., book by, L 188 st. 2 625, 615 Democracy, see also under Countries Decrees m France, 1 311 Greek, 1 195, 430 Defence, see also Armies and Navies under 545 293 Advances and Expedients possible in Countries Early Organization for, 1 145, 146 place of, 11. 565 170 Defensor Paces, by Marsilius of Padua. ested, 11. 669 d. n. de Girardin, E., 1 110 f. Bases of, 1, 161, it, 526, 665 Delane, J T., 1 110 m Benefits of Delegate theory of Representation, it. Negative, 11, 582 184, 185 177 , Countries Positive a 581 127. holding, and the reverse, it 287 de #. 2 553 Delegates French, 1 259, 260, 262 USA., 11 35, 37, 38, 140, 141 Demagorue, the Companison of, with Defined u 607 Monarchy, u. 586-8 Where most frequent to-day, 11. 607 Oligarchy, 11. 586, 588 199 Demagogues Athenian, 1 201 592-3 in Canada, a. 550 Conclusions on, ii. 616-17 in France and England, L 361 in Switzerland, 1 413, 496, 559 Moral and Social, 1 26 in USA. and elsewhere, it 516 &

2 Demes, the, 1. 198 Democracies Best-administered, u. 503, 509 s. 2, 531 the Chief Fault in, 1 148 Dominated by, and Exempt from,

Money Power, n. 499 Extravagance and Waste characteristic of, 1 539, 557 d. # , 14 219, 719, 160, 363, 481-2, 497, 503, 504, 509, 519

Greek, origins of, 1, 29 their attitude to the Law, is 90-100, 396 199, see also Condona-tion and Law and Order, Maintenance of

Leader hip in, 11, 605 san see also Few. Majority Rule in, Checks and Balances

on, 1L 428 177-, 437 177 Not Valuing principles of Liberty for their own sakes, 1. 63 Obgarchies within, it 594 199

Present Tendencies in (see also Anarchism, Socialism, etc.), ii 631 127 Changes, u. 612 100

New Forces, u. 634 277 Rise of, within the British Empire, a 4.7

Tested by quality of Leaders, is 604.

in the Ancient World, i. 185 sqq. Applicability of, to Backward Races, it.

and the Logic of Events, il. 168 Pessimistic views of, il 567 177.

in Canada more active than in U.S.A.

Change leading to origin and aftermath of, r. 27, 31, 15, 46, 47 and the Communist State, is, 642 sog-

Balance in favour of the former, it.

Connetation of, change in, i 4-c Contentment not suduced by, u. 584-5, 616

Definitions of, 1. 23 199., 25-6, 11, 609 M. Destructive rather than Constructive, gains from, 11. 667 Disappointments in, L 54-6 Diseases, Defects, Failures and Suc-

cesses of, i 5, 6, 42-3, 52, 70-71, H 28, 85 d m., 178 199., 501, 583 199., 616-17, 629-10, 667 102 Public Opinion the only Cure, il.

390-91 Dulness of, st. 570, 571 and Education, 1 79 199 , 88, 89 and Efficiency, u 110, 111, 504, 519, 575, 579, 584, 590-91, 592,

652 Evolution in, u. 639 Executive in, 11 393 177 and Foreign Policy, 1. 359, 11 402 179, 579

Fountain-heads of, i. 147 Future of Changing Tastes as affecting, ii. 664-5

Conjectures on, 11. 657 199 Physiological Factors in, il. 665 Predictions Vain, is, 657-8

Reversion from, not Impossible, i 47 Gifts of, to New Zealand, ii 359-60

INDEX

Democracy-continued Growth of

Causes creating, 1 27 199

Causes retarding or arresting, 1 43 5 Historical Evolution of, i 13, 20, 27 Hopes for Demands from etc. u

521, 574, 628, 668 177 Intelerance attributed to, u 569, 571, 572

Investigation into- 1 o Methods of Enquiry, 1 10, 15 177

Comparative Method, L. 20 177 Later Phases of, 11 618 122

Latin American Republics as an argument against, 1 200 10, 215

216 Manners as influenced by, it 17t, 497, 574 5

Material Changes affecting, ii 618 127 Materialism of, 1 340 41, 345, 537 8, 546, 563, u 189, 267, 275, 131, 358, 531, 571, 630,

see also Australia

Meaning of, u 603 4 as Means and End. 11 662 2

Menace to, of doctrines of Class War and General Strike, 11 618 o

Modern Peace-loving, 11 196

Tendencies in, il 621 Money Power (9 v), chief Enemy of, 11 533, 535 Morals as influenced by, 11 575 6

New Aspirations, it 622 402 Old Ideals of, D sappearing, u 630 Oldest, Supplest and Purest form of. .

378 Party and Parties in, s. 125 199 Permanence of, Arguments sgainst, summarized, u 661 s22

Press, the (7 0), us, 1 81 2, 83, 104 109 Relation of, to Equality and Liberty, 1 21

Letters, Art land Sciencel, u c60 100 Relation to, of the Gospel teachings 1 97 105

and Religion, 1 90 199 Republic not the same as, 1. 25

Responsibility in, Difficulty of Fixing 11. 543, 544 Results of 11 577 199

Safeguards of, u 534 Sole Advantage of over other forms of Government, 11 533 4

Spread of, n 631 Theoretical Foundations of, 1 48 199 Things which discredit, it 112 True, Existence and Possibility of, d scussed, 11. 599 177

Value to, of Individual Liberty, 1 67 Virtuer assumed by, 11, 666 Vital impulse of, 1 56 Weakness of, 11 536 7 "Democratic,' Connotations of term, 1 26

Democratic Advance, 11, 621 Democratic Government

Democracy-continued

Actualities of, reasons for Investigat-102.1 (Method of Investigation, 1. 6 197.

Best training for, 1 80 Dangers threatening, 1 121 122 "General Strike "and "Direct Action "

counter to principles of, i. 262 m

Types of, 11 co6 422 Comparison as to Fulfilment of Will

of the People, 11 521 Points to consider regarding, ii 509

Democratic Group, Switzerland, 1 457 Democratic Mittake, The, by Sedgwick, ested, 11 539 de # 1

Democratic Party, U.S.A. Racial allegiance to, ii 46

and Tammany, u 112 197
Democratic States, Newly formed, see
Europe, New Republics in Democratic Theories in Modern Coun-

tries First Proclamation of, 1 oc

Spread of, to the New World, 1 95 6 the Two Pillars of, 1 70 Democratic, La, en Nouvelle Zelande, by

Siegfried, ii 311 m Démocratie, La, et les partis politiques, by Ostrogorski, 1. 139 n., 11 35 n.

Dames, use of term, 1 23, as Tyrant, Aristotle on 1 200

Demosthenes, 1 191, 201, 204, 359 Denmara

Constitution of, 1 249 a Democracy, 1 26 Free Trade in, ii. 327 Party Government in, 1 170 Progressive Land Tax in, 11 327

Second Chamber of, Power of, basis of, 11. 446

the War with, as affecting German Democracy 1 44

Departmental Council, France, see Conseil Géréral

Departments, see under France Deploige, -, 1 433 # 1 Deputies, see under France Dervish Fraternities, L 91 #

Despotism and Dread of Education, 1 70 80 in the Eastern Empire, L 31

Despotism—continued

French attitude to, 1 327, 361, 362, 11

177, 392-3

of French Legislature, 1 257-8 Presidential, in Latin America, 1. 212,

213, 215 Principle of, ii 539 Destruction, Socialistic skill in, ii 627

Destruction, Socialistic skill in, ii 627
Development, The, of the U.S.A., by Prof.
M. Farrand, ii 135 n 2

Devotion to the Dynasty, the Japanese Ideal, 1 155 & st

to the State, the Roman Ideal, 1 154
Diaz, Porfirio, President of Mexico, ruleof,
1 226-7, 232, 11 411, 560-61
Dicey, Professor, on the Conventions of a
Constitution, 1 402 & n t

and Rait, Professors, book by, on the English and Scottish Union, u 434 n 2

Dickens, Charles, and Western American manners, 11 575

Dictators, Rise of, u. 392 Dictatorship, Roman, USA parallel to, u. 178

Diet, Swiss, 1 369 Dikasts and Dikasteries, Athens, 1 198-9,

Diplomacy Need of Expert Knowledge in, st. 402,

403, 420
Popular charges against, 11. 402 & n.,
403 499, passim

Secrecy in, is 402 & s., 403, 406-7 & s., 420
Abolition of, demand for, ii. 418-19

"Direct Action"
Anti-Democratic, 1, 362 #
Labour views on, in Australia, ii 277

Nature of, ii 628
Direct Elections, of Officials, Representatives, and Senators, ii

sentatives, and Senators, ii 151-2, 176-7, 440, 442-3, 444 Direct Popular Control over Executives.

u 398
Direct Popular Legislation, see Initiative,

and Referendum, see also under Couvers Attractions of, u 634 Chief Value of, Educative, ii 476-7

Demand for, Sources of, n. 264-5, 288, 458-9 General Reflections on, Pros and Cona,

General Reflections on, Pros and Cona, in 467 sqq Modern Methods summarized and discussed, in 458 sqq

Objections to, u. 158-9 Replies to, u. 160 in Oregon, u. 157 199 Direct Popular Legislation—continued People's fitness for, as Tested by Referenda, ii. 464-5 Results of iii. 161 tags.

Results of, 11. 161 sqq.

Where in Action, Methods of, and uses
made of, 11. 458, 460 sqq

Working of Review of, and of its Methods, in. 458 177

Direct Presidential Primaries, U.S.A. ii

Direct Primaries, U S.A., 11 83 # 2, 142,

Directors, French Deputies as, 1 340 Discontent not allayed by Democracy, 1. 5

Provoked by Inequality, 1 42, 43, 50 with Existing Government, Manifestations of, in France, i.

festations of, in France, a. 326-8 Discord, Internal, as Solvent of Traditions,

1 158
Disestablishment of the Church in France,
1 243

Distaelt, B, see Beaconsfield
Dissolution of Parliament on Censure of

Dissolution of Parliament on Censure

Minister, 11 539 # 2

Divine Right of Kines, 1 04-5, 162, 24

Divine Right of Kings, 1 94-5, 162, 241
Divisions in House of Commons and
House of Representatives,
U.S.A., compared, it 516 n i

Divorce (see also under Countries), Greater Frequency of, in relation to Morals, ii. 576 Bockers' Strike, in England (1889),

effect of, on Australian Trade Unions, ii 223 Domestic Cares v. Civic Duty, Relative

Domestic Cares v. Civic Duty, Relative
Interest taken in, 11, 600
Domestic Interest in Public Affairs, Value

of, u. 580 Document Order, 1. 94 Documents, the, see also under Names

Bribery and Corruption rare in, v 524, 525, 526

Executive vested in Cabinets in, 1 500 Judicharies of, High standard of, 0 527 Ministers Sitting in Parhament in, 1 293

Parhamentary and Cahnet System in, 1, 506
Party 14, as compared with Party in

Party 14, as compared with Party in USA, 11, 48

Political Connection of, with Great Britain, ii 234-5 & s Rise of, 22 Democracies, 1 4, 7, 26 & s. 1

Rise of, as Democracies, 1 4, 7, 26 & #. 1 Historical Evolution, 1 47 Social Equality in, 1 37, 69 Status of, as recognized by the Peace

Treatice and League of Nations, 1. 245, 546, 11. 235 Draco 1 191
Dresden 481
Dreyfus Case the 1 243 244, 327
Droz Numa book by on C v sms 86 n

Drud call beliefs 1 22 n

Drummond — L fe of Sedd n by referred to 330 n

Dulness and Democracy 570 571

Dump ng Austral an efforts to prevent
243 de nn

Dunning Prof book by on relations
between Canada Great
Br tain and USA

Dutch Rule in Java 229

Dutch Stocks in U.S.A. 5 Duty and Power former co location of 162

Dynasty
Devotion to the Japanese Ideal 15
de n
Loyalty to Part es r sing from, 1 126

Eastern Emp re Acquescence of n Imper al rule 30 3 Eastern Europe National tv in Complica

Eastern Europe National ty in Completons of 653 4
Eastern Question, Beaconsfield on

Eastern Quest on Assoc at on the 135
Eccles ast cal and Anti Eccles ast cal
Partes (nes al o Ant Cle
calsm Clerncal sm and
Roman Cathol c sm) 138 9

Economic Antagonism 1 75
Economic Conditions action of on Politics, 218 229 231 2
Economic Distress not the cause of Labour

Economic and Industrial Legis at on Improved Machinery for desirable 1 520

Economic Issue Interest in doin nance of 660 661

a Weak Pont of Democracy 497
see al o Extravagances below
secured by Sw ss 50 m of Government
(g v) 59
Ecuador Repub c of 209 s

Low Polt cal Level of 1 215 229 id tors of Newspipers Notable, 11 Educated Classes
Duty of 1 505

Objection of to Public Lie (see al o C vic Apathy) 500 501 Education see under Countries

and Democracy 1 79 199 88 89 Elementary Assignment of to Local Authorities 479 80

Free 1 623 overestimated as fitt ng for Democracy 88

and Shrewdness relative value of in working a Democracy ii 550 Standards set by i 152

Standards set by 1 152
Educat onal Incu cat on of C vic Duty n
Sw tzerland 1 503 4

Educat onal Qualifications for Suff age ;
71 & n 172 217 n

Educational Value of Local Government
1 e under Local Government
Edward III and the Money Power

589
Effic ency Administrative
Concentration of Power Essential to
599

599 and Democracy 110 111 504 519 575 579 584 590 91 592 652

592 652 and Equality Cho ce between in Commun st States is 652

Form of Government most I kely to Secure, ii 510 521 under Mona chy 587

under Oligarch es ; 110 111 590 591 592 and Party Sprt USA 30 Secured by Sw a to no of Government

Egypt Anc ent

Caste 11 91 94 Mona chy m, 128 Modern

National Sent ment 0 56 Self Gove ament 11, 568 Poblems of 564 Prospects of 1 561 2

Prospects of 1 561 2
Eght Hours Day in Austra 2 22
246

246
El ton by Lot at Athens by J W
Headlam 1 202 n
Elect on Comm trees 11 32

E ect on Phenomena different according to locale, 1 16 Elect ons see al a under each Coun y

Ar stotle a v ew on 1 205 m Carrying of a Pa ty function

as Expessions of Public Oprion i

Elections—continued
Frequent, and their Effects, i 443-4,
465, in 23, 32, 39, 52-3, 121,
147, 176, 195, 209, 225,
399, 451, 487-8, 494 et albi
Indirect Corruppon concerning, is.

528 de m., 199. Individual Responsibility for, u. 544 Journalistic Influence on, 2, 112

Money Power (9 v) in, ii. 424-5, 499,

Nature of, u 468 Party Funds for, 1 129

Elections of Judges (see also Judges), u 422, 424-5 Electoral Anomalies and Entish Reform

Acts, t. 33 sqq Electoral Colleges, France, t 259 d *, 260, 267, u 443

260, 262, 11 443 Electoral Corruption, 1 157, 271, 11. 524,

Direct, 11. 524 Indirect, 11. 527 199

Electoral Districts, Australia, it 200 Electoral Franchise, U.S.A., wide Extention of, it 20-21

Electoral Pressure on Candidates, u 382-3 Electoral Suffrage, Dependent on Local Conditions, u 554-5 as Natural Right, u 55, ii 554-5

Qualifications, Educational, etc., 1. 71 & π, 172, 217 & π, μ. 305 U S A., μ. 50 & π, 51-2

Electorate, Small Sections of, Results of, 11, 382 Electors, Guidance of, in Choice of Candidates, 1, 128-9

Electric Telegraph, as affecting Democracy, 1 104. Elizabeth, Queen, Ingratitude of, 11. 613

Elizabethan-Stuart age, glories of, ii 573 Eloquence in Leaders, ii 606-7 Power of, in Greek Republics, i. 201 Emerson, Ralph Waldo, Local office filled

Emperor, the, and the Swiss, i. 368
Employees, Representation of, Australian
view on, ii. 256-7 dr st I

Employers
Australian Combinations, etc., of, ii.

and Compulsory Arbitration, in Austraba, u 251 New Zealand, u 337 and Employed in Australia, relations

between, 11. 197-8 England, see also Great Britain Abstract Ideas in, Slight Influence of, 1 England—cont.nucd

Bases of Free Institution in, i. 160

Church Strafes, early, fought out in, i.
350-51

Class-antagonism in, past and present, i.

Common Law of, principles of, as affecting U.S.A., u. 5

Conceptions in, of Laberty, i. 58 199. Constitutionalism of, 1 155, 160 18th and 19th Centures, Corruption

in, i. 157, 271, ii. 497-8 Elizabethan Age in, ii. 573 Estates in, ii 434, 437 Folk Mot in, ii 146, 377, ii. 8, 554

Freemasonry in, 1. 139
Kings of
Conflicts of, with the Popes, L.

Conflicts of, with the Popes, L 93-4-95 Struggles of, with Parliament, L 31

197., 158

Law-abidingness in, and Devotion to
Liberty and Law, I. 154-5,

Liberty and Law, i. 154-5, 156, 348, 560, n. 218 Leniency in, to Lawbreakers, u. 397 = Local Self-Government in, i. 349 Loss of its Popular Character, t. 147

Loss of its Popular Character, L. 147 Small Units of, it. 554 Origin and History of, 1 146-7 Municipal Government in, Uniformity of, 1 192

Oisarchic m., 1 192

National Characteristics in, 1 11, 154

155, 156, 348, 560, in 218

Oligarchic Ru, 1 3, 4, 31 199.

Out-refic Ru, past problem of, it. 482

Parish and Parish Council in, i. 147,

316
Party in, rise of, i. 128
Social mixture in, i. 140, 350
Patronage in, i. 349

Patronage in, 1, 349
Place-hunting in, 1, 414
Political Institutions of, 29 adapted by
the Dominions, 1, 7, see

Political Level in, variations in, 1. 564-5 Popular Government in, progress of, history of, 1. 31-6 Primrose League in, 1. 139 m.

Reliance in, on Precedents, 1 350 the Town in, 1. 147 & # 2 Tradition adhered to in, 1 159 English People," fallacy in the phrase,

English Political Institutions, Montesquee on, a 237 English and Scotth Potts with and

English and Scotch Poets, 18th and early 19th Centuries, Stimuli of, is. 573

English-speaking Countries and Christianity, t. 96-7

350

English speaking Countries-com mu d Democrat c Princ ples assumed in by the Roman Church Elected Local Councis n n 483 Laws not large y Cod fied in Party in, 128 Popular Control over Executives limited in, ii 398 English tendences influencing USA English Working men Ignorant of the r own power 622 d m Eoura n of the Jud c al Bench in France. 1 105 307 354 Equality eal o C v L Economic Pol t cal and Soc al Equality in C v lized Communi e Connotat one of as to Ind vidual ty etc 569 70 and Demo acy 1 26 50 51 72 4 s 2

Doctrine of Abuse of and Effic ency see Effic ency above 18th Century deas on, see al o France

Forms of 43 68 97 74 199 a Fundamental of American Democracy in Gospel Teachings 100 of Laws Greek aim n Revolutions and Manne s 479 37 in North America after to Independ

ence, Not the Ch d of Nature 601 Pass on fo Spread of 77 & # nce 43 77 56 285 287 288 601 n France P in pe of up et by Natural Facts 199 600 60I

in Savage and Backward Races a 77 de # 11 545 6 Sent ment of ceated by Chrst an ty 102 Stong in Au ralia 187

Sw ss love for 493 497 E ay on Refo m 14 m 1 Estates the, in Med aeval Ass inblies 437 Esthon a, Republic of 11 631

Con titution of p ovis one of as to the Tud catu e. 422 # 2 Delegate dea of Rep esentation of pud ated by 387

Executive Head of State in. Referendum = 438 m 2 Unicameral Legislature in 438 & # 2 Eta me in Switze and 1 414,461 &n 1 Euler -, 486 Europ des 1 St 189 #

I Eu ope B cameral Leg slatures n 249 Class-d stanct one an D srupt ve fo ce of 124 Democracy in H storical Evolut on of 29 199 78 199

Early Ch eftainship in, 1 28 Labour Part es m 1 130 New Republics in since 1018 1 4, 5 631 661 2 252 #

Corrupt on 12 Efforts of to establesh Constitut onal Governments 11 368 Jud c a cs of 422 # 423 # 2 427 Lessons f om USA | 176 177 Parliamentary Government adopted

521 Predominance of Menace to of the Backwa d Races South Eastern New Kingdoms of ee Bulga a G eece Rouman a Stormy decade befo e (from 1920) 1

506 Eu opean and Amer can Ideas pe colation of into Latin America 231 Eu opean C ties as Intellectual for

274 5 Eu opean Revo ut onaries Aim of Eu opean Was 1792 18 4, controvers es ra sed by

En opeans and Equa ty fo Coloured Races 545 546
Exc se Tariff Act of 1906 Austral 2 243 d n Execut ve, or Pa ty n Power in Pa ha

mentary Government, 1 120 171 in Democrac es Democrac es 392 29 Compared w th that a an O garchy

593 Quanty of the Departments

Summary on 400 Defects of in the Sx Govern ments 11 497 Sole power of over Lee latue in

Parliamentary Gove nment Tendency to look to of Large Masses

633 4 Executive Counc System of Government in Switze land (q e)

508 519 100 Executive Councils ee under Countr es Executive Equality | 72 sqq

Execut ve Government, In tability of 491 492 497 tet al o Min sterial Instability

Execut ve Head of State in 506 Parliamentary Governments

507

Executive Head of State in-continued Presidential Governments, 11, 508 Swiss form of Government, il. 506 Executive Strength, 11 160

French love for, 1 327

696

in relation to the People, and to other Powers of the State, 11 198-9 Executives, see also under each Country

Popular Control over, 11 398 Powers of, 1 62, 11 510

and Strikers, IL 497

Executives and Legislatures, Balance be-

tween, Disturbance of, ii. 513 Experiments in Government and the Essentials of the Constitution.

by Root, n 12 # 2 Extravagance and Waste, Characteristic of Democracies, 1 539, 557 d n, 11 219, 319, 360, 363,

481-2, 497, 503, 504, 509,

Extremets 10 French Politics, 1 301 Union of, in a Parliament, danger from,

1. 285 Faction, 1 125, 127 # , 123

Connotations of, 1 17 in Oligarchies, is 591, 592 Repression of, by the Law, 1. 142 Factory Acts, New Zealand, 11 327 # 1 Facts and Forces creative of Popular Government

Practical, 1 27 Theoretical, 1 27, 36, 40, 41 Newspaper manipulation of, 1- 117-14,

Family Law, French, 1 357
Family Relations and Forms of Govern-

ment, u 575 Fanaticism, Danger from, ii 555 Farmers' Party, and Movement, Canada, 1 141, (20-10, 11 772, 281 Farmers' Union Party, Australia, ii 226

Farrand, Prof. M , book by, on Development of USA, II tat m. 2 Fatalism of the Multitude, il 131 s. Faure, Félix, on State Functionaries, s 273 # E Fear and Responsibility, is 538-9

Federal Army, Swins, see under Switzerland Federal Government, advantages of, 11 479 Federal Handbook, of Australia, ested, 11

218 m, 247 & m I, 245 & я 1, 249 я 2, 262 я

Federal States, in Spanish America, see Brazil, and Merico Federation of Small States, Napoleon L. °011, 1. 454

Ferdinand, Ex-Tear, of Bulgaria, i. 24, u. 202; fall of, 1, 252 # Ferra E, adulation of, a 599 Ferry, Jules, position held by, 1. 298 #,

261 Fendal Rule, 11 (88

Judicial Functions under, 1. 146 Femilet, O, story by, cated on Centralization, : 319 #

Few, the Dominance of, or Rule of (see alto Oligarchy), 1. 23, 223, 11 547, 560, 593, 594 199,

see also China and Russia. Soviets, etc. Filibustering, U.S.A., 11, 62, 65 n. 178

Finance, see also under Countries, and see

Money Power as Differentiating Function of Senates and First Houses, 11. 441

Figancial Associations of Origin of Representation, it. 383-4 Financial Control exercised

by Lower Houses, 1 391, 395, 514, 11 201, 206, 297, 441, 507 by U.S.A. Senate, 11 65

Fines, at Athens, 1 200 Finland, Republic of, 11, 621 Executive Head of State in, ii 507 Unicameral Legislature in, ii 438

First Crusade, the, 1 165 First Decade of the Australian Commonwealth, by Turner, 11 245

Fisheries, State, New Zealand, 11. 324 Fitness for Rule as compatent with

Political Equality, Theory of, Flag, National, of France, and the

Orleanists, i. 241 de n Flexible Constitutions, Countries having,

11. 507 "Floor Leader," House of Representatives, U S.A , n. 61

Florence, Mediaeval Genrus developed in, is 572-3 History of, 11 588 m

Money Power in, it \$80 Republic of, Fate of, u 66r Use in, of the Lot, 1 72 n, 202 n.

Flowers, Australian, wealth of, ii. 274 n Folk Mot, the, English, t. 146, 377, U.

Force, Physical, Menace of, to Democracy,

и 639 Ford, Prof. H. J, on the Canadian Pro-

vincial and American State Legislatures, 1 540 m, book by, on American Politics, cited, ii 40 de n.

Foreign Affa 18, see also under Countries Conduct of, in Democracies, ii 80 4 # , 188, 193, 492197 , 419, 420, 570 Interest in, resulting in Australian Federation, u 188, see also

Papua Mass Ignorance of, u 402, 404 179, 419, 420

Foreign Aggression, changed attitude to.

m USA, 11 134 5, 174 Foreign Policy, see also Foreign Affairs, above

Committees and Commissions on, 11 418, 420

Conclusions on, it 419 20 Control of, by Free Peoples, 1 45 in Democracies, is 402 177 a Weak Point, : 359, ii 416 17, 579

Ends and Means of, it 407 599, 417 500 Moral ty 10, 11 404

Popular Criticism of, and demands on, 11 402, A18, A19

Possible Improvements in u 419 Press Methods regardeds, and In fluence on, 1 113 14, 117 # , 123, 178 m. 214, H 406

Foreign Relations of Greek Republics, 1 206, 11 80 # of Modern Democracies (see also under Countries), 11 661 2

under Monarchies, ii 587 under Oligarchies, 1 206 il 80 m. 407 of Roman Republic, n 80 m Foreign War, Destructive of Democracies,

t. 661 Forest Cantons, the, 1 370, 371 2 Public Meeting in, of Freemen, 1 39 Forestry

in Canada, i 509 State, New Zealand, 11 327 d n 2 Forms of Government, Influence of, on Nations as Wholes, over-

estimated, 1 369 6 "Forty seven Romms, the, 1 155 n Fosdick, R B, American Police Systems

by, ceted, 11 498 n Four Chambers of the Four Orders, old

Swedish ii 434 Fox, Charles James 11 614, 615, 623 Frames of Representative Government,

11 506 529 Construction of, reasons for, is 537 Development of, 11 553

France, Republic of Abstract Ideas in, Force and Results

of, 1 41 379, 350 Acquiencence of, in Despotism of Napoleon III. u 660

France-continued Administration, Civil, in, 1 307 179,

356, 11 578 Anti Clencalism in, 1 155, 244 & #, 261, 297, 326, 351, 354 5,

11 7, 502 Apri Semitism of, 1 243, 244, 327 Army of, 1 358

Service in Compulsory, it 396 Arrondissements of, and their Admin

stration, 1 310, 316, 320 Average Citizen in, in relation to

Politics, 1 299 300 Bourgeoiste of Class Antegonism of, 1 237, 238, 247 Conservatiam of 1 247, 354, 11 200

Influence of, on Politics, 1 335 Leaders of the Socialist Party from. 1 245

Candidates in Chaice of 1 128

Independence of, : 280, 200 Cantons of, 1 315, 316 Capitalists of, Influence of, see Money

Power, below Centralization 10, 1 237 499, 274, 277, 200 de n., 206, 307, 314,

318 319, # 491, 493 Chamber of Deputies of, 1 249, 259, 260 120

Caucus System in 11 390 Characteristics of, 1 258, 273 squ Check on, needed (see also Senate), 1 360 61 Cleverness in, 1 363

Convoking of, 1 275 Debates and bornes, in, 1 290 Decline of, 1 344, 11 369 Dissolutions brought about by, 11

539 Duration of, 1 269, 275

Election to, by Manhood Suffrage. 1 269 Financial Functions of, 1 261, 264,

265, 276, 11 441 Groups (7 0) in, 1 254, 275 6, 11

180, 181 Intrigue in. 1 286, is 375 Members of

Classes and Views represented among, 1 262, 278 d n , 199 Intellectual Standard of 1 279 Number of, 1 259, 269

Officers of 1 275 Powers of, 1 253, 11 491, tee also Financial Functions, above Procedure of, 1 275 6

Public Opinion in, 1 328 Relations with, of President, 1 269

698 France-continued Chamber of Deputies of-continued Relations with, of-continued Senate and Executive, 1 261, 264 199 , 267, 269, 352, 353, n 539-40 Rules of, and their Working, i 274 577 Sections of, functions of, 1 275 Tone and Atmosphere of, 1 290 Work of, 1 287 177 Church and State relations in, 1 243 177 , 357

Cities of Centres of Opinion, ii 481 Representation of, 1 260 Civic Education, in, 1 86 s.

Civic Sense in, 1 356, 11. 500 Civil Liberty in, 1 353 & n , 362 Civil Service of, 1 307 197, 318, 341, 399, 410 Permanent heads, Loyal Service

given by, 1 308 Salaries of, 1 308 and Trade Umons, 1. 309 Class Antagonism in, s. 237, 238, 247, 297, 322, 346, 355, 11 198 Clencal Party in, t. 100, 139, n 381 Clericalism in, 1 96, 100, 139, 243 sqq ,

248, 322, 324-5, 327, 521 Collectivist views in, i. 326 Colonies, Increase of, under Third Republic, 1 358 Representation of, 1 259, 260, 269

Commercial Classes of, Influence of, on Politics, 1 335 Commissions of Chamber and Senate, L. 265-6, 286, 287, Powers of the Reporter in, 1. 276, 11 60 Communes of, 1 259 & #-, 314, 316

199 , II. 487, 484 Communism in, ii. 626 Conseil Général of. 1 262 Conservatism in, 1. 235, 245, 247, 263, 265, 267, 287, 335, 354, 11

Constitution of, adopted in 1875, L 48 199 , 241, 242, 249 197 , 261-2

Amendment of, mode of, 1. 249-250 d nn Discontent with, u 375 Earlier, 1 250, 311 #

Constitutional Laws of, a. 249, 259, passed without reference to the Electorite, 4, 250 Corruption in, 1. 242, 262, 271 & ## ,

290, 309, 338 277, 341, 153, 356, u 481, 525 Council of State, 1, 312-13

France-continued Councils, Local, in, see also Conseil Général, above, i 216, ii 440, 443, 483, 484 Customs Department of, Discipline in,

Decentralization in, Movement towards, 1. 320 d: #., 11 478, 633 Declaration by, on the Natural Rights

of Man, 1 48, 49, 245 m. Delegates in, 1 259 Disproportion of, to Population, i

Electing, chiefly Bourgeois, t. 262 Voting by, obligatory and remunerated, 1 260

Democracy in, 1 7, 12, 26, 96 Achievements of, i 347 199, 356 *177-*, u 583 Antecedents and Development of, i 236 199.

Conservatism supporting, s. 47 Criticism and Companisons, 1 347 Defects alleged against, i 352 177

Historical Evolution of, i 41-3 Swiftness of, 1 273 Influence of, on Political Thought,

Lessons of, 1 360 Neither Monotonous nor Dull, si, 571 Departments of, 1 247, 314 & n.

Normal Electoral Areas, 1. 269 Regionalism of, 1 320 m. Representation of, in Senate, s. Self-Government 10, 1. 315 199

Deputies in, 1 259 Age, Qualifications, and Disqualifications of, 1 274-5

Aims of, 1 279 297 Character of, as distinguished from Senators, 1. 263

Level of Intellectual and Moral i. 339, 340-41, 11 369 Names of those Voting in Divisions,

records of, 1 277 d. n. Number of, 1 259, 269 Occupations of, in Chamber, etc., and Patronage exercised by, 1.

281, 286 199, 302, 308, 310, 349-50, 352, 361, in. 369, 451, 491 Payment of, 1. 281 Personality, an asset to, 1 274 Sc Press Relations of, i 330

Sources of and Preliminanes to Election of, 1. 279 477 Term of Office of, i. 267, 260

INDEX

France-c n nued Foreign Policy of 358 358 9 Public Op n on on 334 under Second Emp e and after 1

France-con nued

Despots and Dictators in (e al o Boulanger and Napoleon III) 1 317 318 327 361 362

1 317 318 327 361 362 177 392 3

D vo ce in 246 365	359 407 8 Fraternity in Present day 355
Dreyfus Cae in 243 244 327	Fraternity n Present day 355
Economic Equality in 43 355	Feemasonry in 139 279 303 354 5
Education in 244, 309 340 41 357	414
365	Government of under
Sarondary 270	Anc en rég me, 236 8
Secondary 279 Secularism in 86 244 272 309	Consu ate and Fir t Emp re, 238
Securation in 60 144 272 309	
357,365	Restors ons 239
Education Author ties in 480	Second Emp re, 239
Election in of 1919 323	Third Republic, Frame of 249 99
Election Frauds in 270 271	Respons b ty Chain of in
Elect on Methods in 242 n ee al o	539 40
Sru n	Goups in see Political Goups,
Elect on Pet trons in 273 & # 2 274	b low
Elections in	Home of Learning in Med aeval days
Cand dates part in 280	236
to Con el Géné al 315 d n	Huguenots expelled f om 236
to con el Gane al 315 a. n	
not Costly 300	244 #
Laws regulating 269	Income Tax in Strong object on to
Party influence on 485	265 357
Prefects Influence on 262 3	Indust alsm in 235
272 273 ф я 1	Indus als of
of Pres dent 210	as Deput es 340 41
to Senate 259 sgg 262 3	Influence of on Po es 335
440 443	Public Opin on among how fo med
Two Types of 301	332
	Soc alism among 238 240 244
Electoral Colleges in, 260 440 Electors Regist at on of 269	245 247 359
	Intellectu l Classes of aloof form
Eloquence n Pa hament, 606	
Leaders b ought forward by 11 607	Polit cs 323 4 363
Epu a on 10 305 307 354 423	Public Opin on among how fo med
Equality in, and the Pason fo t,	332
43 77 156 285 6 287 288	Intendants n 237 238
601	Interpellations in 288 g 512
Resul s of 571	Journalism in 328 99 127
Execut ve of	Judges in 304 qq
Autocracy of 312	Judges in 304 qq Appointmen s of Sala es Tenure
Cent stration of 27 on 747	of Office and Cont of over
290 4 7 296 307 3 2 314	305 497 422 3
318 319 360 491 493	Epu a on applied to 305 307 354
and Legislatu e in Sep ra on of	423 526 7
a principle 257 8 see al o	
Chamber and Senate elat ons	
between	578
Respect felt for 56 357	Promot on of 305 6 342 426
Stab hty des ed fo 375_	Jud c ary Status etc of 304 199
Strength of 358 9 398	341 2 357 426
Laked by the Nation 312 327	Senate a run ons as 251 257 m
359	Justice in, Defects in Admin st at on
Vested in Cabinet in 500	of 408
Executive Head of State (e al o	Labour Troubles in, 1 362 #
Pres deut) n 507	Land and H story 233 199
Finance of 353 504	Laws and Decrees differentiated in
Fore ga Affai s in	195#
Conduct of 1 252 358 9 11 418	Laws of Inhe tance in, 308 # 357
	, or muse tance us, 300 m 357

France-continued Napoleonic Rule in, is. 587

National Character

Types of, 1 216

National Assembly of, 1 250, 259

Contradictions in, i. 248 de #.

Compared with Swiss, 1 478, 479

Standard of (see also Legislatures), i. 478

700 France-continued

Legislation

and Party In, II 48 since 1871, Value of, 1 357

Legislative Chambers of, 11 44

Machinery in, see Chamber, above, and Senate, below

Congestion in, 11 380

to whom Responsible, u. 540 Legislature of, 1 249, 252 National Defence in (see also Army and Corruption in, 1 137 199 , 153, 11 525 Navy), 1 358, 11 395 Decline of, 1 345, 11 369, 371, 614 National Life of, as shown in the Great Dramatic quality of, 11 68-9 War, 1 366 Members of National Monopolies in, 1 310 Payment of, 1 281, n 190 n , 499 National Unity in, 1 235 Natural Wealth of, 1 234-5 Restrictions on, 1 339-40 Liberty as conceived of in, u 622-3 Distribution of, 1. 235 Lobbying in, 11 529 Navy of, 1 358 Local Councils, Elected, 11 483 Newspapers of Characteristics of .. Local Divisions in see Arrondissements, 328 199. Cantons, Communes, De-Control of, by Statesmen, is. 127 partinents, etc. Offices in, Multiplication of, i. 292, Local Improvements in, ii 481 557 n 2 Local Party Committees, function of, i Officials of 128, 129, 262, 298, 301 199 Rourgeous, origin of, 18th Century, Local Party Organizations in, 1. 293, 208 100 Delinquent, Special Tribunals for, 1-Local Self Government in, 1 147-8, 311-12, 353-4 Spying on, 1. 344-5 237, 314 199, 349, 504 Late 18th Century, 1, 237, 238 Ordres du Four In. 1 288 Restricted action of, 1 107, 504, Organic Laws of, 1 259 u. 633, and the reasons, t. Parliamentarianism disliked in, i. 267, 247, 318, 354 Materialism in, 1 341, 345 Parliamentary and Cubinet System of, Mediaeval, Estates in, 11 437 # 506 Middle Classes, see also Bourgeoiste, Parties in. 1 261, 282, 283 & nm, above 299, 350 Partmanship in, Vehemence of, i 343, Commercial, Professional, and Plutocratic. Influence of, on Party in, 1. 503, 11 48, 485 Party Discipline in, 11. 387 Palitics, 1 335-6 Ministerial Honour in, 1 338 499. Ministerial Instability in, 1 264, ii Party Funds 10, i 271 de n , 280 Party Government in, i 130, ii. 375, 399, 491, 497, 513-14, 519-40 48 Ministers in Party Influence on Local Elections, st Cabinet of, 1 293 177. 485 Composition of, 1 253-4, 256, Party Organization in, ii 498 no Use made of, by Ministers, 11 513 266, 11 194 Patriotism in, s. 248, ii 502 of Defence in, Choice of, it 394 Wide Powers of 1 310-11 Peasantry of, see also Peasants' Party Ministries in, Struggle against, 1 286 Influence of, on Politics, 1 335 Oppression of 18th Century and its 199 Monarchy in results, 1 217, 228 Oligarchical rule of, 11 589 Place-hunting in, 1. 281, 414 Defects of, u 591 Police Methods in, 1 356-7 Money Power in, 1 278, 330-31 & m., Political Chques in, s. 346 335-6, 339, 510, u 491-2, Political Criticism in, 1. 361, ii. 612 Political Development, 1789 to 1900, 499, 524 199, 532 Municipalities in, 1. 314, 316 179 General Course of, 1. 245-6 Debts of, 1 317 Political Equality in, i. 47, 355

France-continued Political Groups in, 1 263, 275 6, 282, 287 d n , 284, 286, 752, 11 45, 48, 67, 380, 381, 382, 388, 517 14

Political Ideals in, 1 156 Political Indifference in, 1 297, 323, 324, 335, 342 #

Political Life of, at outbreak of War, TGT4. 1 247 8

Political Patronage in 1 281, 289, 302,

308, 310, 318, 319, 340 50, 352, 160, 406 7, u 369, 431, 491 Politicians and Public Men of Atmo

sphere surrounding, and Public Attitude to, 1 343 5

Politics in Forces and Influences at work on,

enumerated, 1 335 336 Var ety in, 1 235 6

Vivacity of 1 262 Popular Government in, Salient Features of, 11 401 2 de # , 407

Population of, Ethnic Elements in, a 235 Prefects 10, Functions of and Influence

of, 1 218, 262, 263, 272, 277 & # 1, 310, 311, 315, 216, 317, 354 President of, Election, Functions and

Powers of, 1 249, 251 199, 311, 312, 361, 11 392 3, 518 de n 3,632 Press Influence in (see also Newspapers, above), 1 324, 328 sq7, 11

Money Power and, 1 331 d #,

125 6 Prime Ministers of, 1 254, 255, 256 Professional Politicians in, compared with American, 1 272

Proportional Representation as modified m, 1 26q Protectionist views III, 1 357

Public Life in Brilliancy evoked in, ii 500, 573

Causes deterring the Intellectuals from, 1 345 6 Tone of, 1 337, 342 199, 11 497

Traditions still influencing, 1 346, n 196 Public Opinion in, 1 170 597, 264,

266, 312, 321 109, 333 4, 340, 361, 11 122, 177, 502 Chief Currents of, 1 324 Divisions in, 1 178, 322, 326, on

Foreign Affairs, 1 334 Formative Influences on, 1 328 192 - 132 3

France-con inved Public Opinion in-continued

Organs of Express on of, 1 128

Types of, 1 324 197. Public Order in, Maintenance of, 1 356-7, 360, H 197 Racial and Linguistic Differences in.

1 215 6 # , 236, 321 2 Railways 10, 11 253

Control exercised by, ii 324

State Purchase of one, 1 265, 310 Regional Differences and Regionalism In, 1 297, 299 100, 120, 125 de # . 116

Religious Antagonisms in (see also Anti - Clericalism, above), 1

243 499, 248, 344 5, 348, 11 121 4 Republican Party in, ii 28

Responsibility, Administrative in, ii 539 40

Roman Church's influence in, 1 100 Rousseau s influence in, 1 40 Second Chamber in, see Senate, below

Second Empire in (see also Napoleon III), 1 272, 274, 352, 356 Secularistn in, see under Education,

abonie Senate of, 1 240, Structure, Powers, Composition, Election, Status, Renewal of, 1 259 sqq,

315 #, 11 203, 438, 441, 443, 446, 449 Abolition of, by whom and why demanded, 1 266

Atmosphere of, 1 266 Hopes raised by, unfulfilled, 1 267 Justiciary Function of, 1 261, 267 #

Part es in, 1 263 Public Opinion in, 1 264, 328 Radicalism in. 1 267

Value of as Check or Safeguard, a 261, 267 8 361, 11 432, 491 Working of, 1 261 199

Senators Age, Average of, 1 266 & # For Election, 1 260 61 Character of, as Distinguished from

Deputies, 1 267 Classes predominant among, 1 263, 254

Numbers of, 1 259, 260 Status of 1 266

Terms of Office, 1 260, 263 Separation of Powers in, 1 207 %.

277, 311 de m , 352 Social Equality in, : 43, 355 Social Importance in, of Officials, i

405

702 MODERN D
France-continued
Socialism and Socialisms in, 1 240,
244,245,247,265,278,280,
283 et n. 284, 285, 201,
217, 257, 237, 337, 335 et 37
Anti-religious colour of, 1 245
Conclint Congresses in, u 373

Socialist Congresses in, ii 373 Socialist Ministry of, in Strike, ii. 397 Spoils System in, i. 132 Strikes in, Riots, and Sabotage, ii. 248, 356, ii. 397, 497

350, ii 397, 497 Sub-Prefects in, i 310 Suffrage in, i 24, 239, 259, 261, 266, 302 n., 115, 361, 365

302 m, 315, 361, 365
Teachers in

Appointment of, and Influence of, a 244, 272, 307 Higher Grade, a 324, 345

Tendencies in Governing Politics, a 284, 323, 326, 333 Tobacco Monopoly in, a 310

Tobacco Monopoly m, 1 310
Trade Unions in, and other Working
Class Organizations, Legisla-

ton an, i 357
Trial by Jury it, iers used than in
England and U S A, i 306 n
Voters, Voting, and Voting Methods
in (see also Elections, above),
i 260, 262, 270, 297 277-8,

280 de nn, 326-7, 333, 335, 11.440
France, by Bodley, ested, 1 273 n I
France, la, contemporatne, by Hanotaux, 1.

239 n.
Franchise, British, Extension of, 1 47, 79, u 622, see also Suffrage Francis, rule of, in Paraguay, 1. 215
Franciscan Order, 1 94

Effect of, on German Democracy, 1 44 Frankfurt, u. 481 Parhament of, 1848-9, 1. 350 m.

Franklin, Benjamin, Literary Influence of, u 608 Fraternity, anticipated under Democracy, but not accound 1 42-7, 52-

but not secured, 1 42-3, 52, 70-71, 355, 11 583, 629-30, 668 "Freak Legislation," U.S.A., 11 156, 467

"Freak Legislation," U.S.A., ii 156, 467 Frederick I., Emperor, and the Pope, i. 93 Frederick the Great, of Prussia, ii. 82,

ederick the Great, of Prussia, ii. 82, 404, 587 Last Personal tuler of Prussia, ii. 589,

Last Personal tuler of Prussis, in 589, and Prussian Militaristic Spirit, i. 155 Prussian Oligarchy as followers of, in 500-91 Free Assemblies, the first, higher standard in, than in later ones, ii. 374 Free Constitutional Government Forgetfulness of, Instances of, and Possible Recurrences of, ii. 668 202.

France the protagonast of, i. 7 Oligarchy within Democracy, ii. 603 Past and Present, i 20 Present-day problems of, i. 5 Reversion from, to Monarchy, i. 13

Reversion from, to Monarchy, i. 13
Types of, in South Africa, before 1899,
ii 509 & π 2
Free Institutions
Finallyly and Survey hard of 4, 160

English and Swiss, bases of, s. 160
Fusing power of, in Switzerland, i 483
Free Passes, granting of, as Indirect Corroution, U.S.A. ii 530

Freedom Love of, faith in, exponents of, 1 66 & s. of the Press, 1 65, 104-5

of Thought and Speech, under Democracy, n 383 Freemasonry, Continental, 1 139, 279,

Freemasonry, Continental, 1 139, 279, 303, 354-5, 414 English and American contrasted with,

1 139, 303
Freemen, Public Assemblies of (see also
under Names), 1. 39-40, 145-6,
190 & n
Free Silver problem, U S A , 11. 47 n , 124

Free Trade Party, Australia, u 224, 225, 272
Predominance in Denmark, ii. 327
Freium, p. Partei, the decay of, ii 660
French Assemblies of 1848 and 1871.

Philosophic Thought exhibited in, 1. 291

French Canadams

Buthard and a Cl. 131 531

Birth-rate among, 1 511, 521, 522 and the British Connection, 1. 545 Distribution of, and Districtness of, 1. 510-11 & n.

French Convention, Governmental Method of, 11. 509 n 1

French Crown, arbitrary and despotic powers of, i 227, how

replaced by Napoleon I., s. 238-9 French Directory, Governmental Method of, ss. 509 # 1 French National Assembly of 1789 on

French National Assembly of 1789 on Rights of Property, 1 245 n. French Nobles, 18th Century, 1 237, 238 French Power in America, end of, ii. 6 French Republics

Dutation of, 1 233, 359 d n.

Third

Effect of, on Intellectual and Moral
hfe, i 363 499.

Third-con much Good and bad under, 1 147 199 How Consolidated, 1 241, 251 Leneth of duration of, 1 359 Menaced, 1 242, 243 Parliamentary brilliance in, 1 279

French Revolutions First, 1 3, 32, 42, 52, 238, 11 277

French Republics -- continued

American Influence on N 3 Antropations from, 1 52, 55, 56 Delegates, Mandates of, Provision for Terminating, it 162 n 2 Effects of, on Government, 1 218 Influence of, in Germany, 1 44

Rights of Property unquestioned in. 1 Z45 n Spirit of 1 51 2

Late manfestations of, 1 240 Tradition of, Sarvival of, how modified, 1 247 8

and Switzerland, 1 40, 369, 416-417, 439, 485 Unforeseen by Politicians, it 657 8 First, Second, and Third, Modern Socialism in relation to, is

625 n 2 Second, 1 42, 239 Third, 1 42, 126, 239

Fourth, 1 42 Freshfield, D W , book by, cited, 1 416 n 3 Frisia, Self government in, 1 39 Fugger family of Augsburg, it 489

Gann, Hilicit, and Politics, see Corruption Galveston, U.S.A., Municipal Government m. 11 17, 151 2 d # Gambetta, L , 1 298, 474, Antı clencal ism of, 1 244, on the Bi cameral System, 1 267,

Death of, 1 242, Fall of, 1 286, on Effect of Large Electoral Areas, 1 270, and the French Senste, 1 261, as Leader, 1 280, qualities of, 361, on the nouvelles couches to tales, 1 279 Gannaway, Prof , book by, on Comparative

Free Government, 11 64 n 1 Garfield, President, u 71 Garibaldi, Giuseppe, 1 159, 11 413 Gas Ring, the, Philadelphia, 11 115

Gaucho Indians of Argentina, 1 218 Gani Celts of, Human Sacrefices interdicted among 1 Q2 n

Kings of 1 28 Unity of, as Country, 1 234 Generade, where found, 1 316, American parallel to, u 14

General Councils of the Church, 1 93 General Elections, Great Britain, affecting Party, n 48 q General Labour Federation in France, a

General Maxims, dangerousness of, 1 22

General Strike, the, 11 179, 628, 638 General Ticket system, choice for Election of Presidents. USA. u 18. 10 n

Generals, Greek, re election of, 1 192, 193 Geneva, and Calvin, 1 95, Democratic Struggles in, 1 416 n 3, 485, General Assembly of, 1, 416

Popular Vote in operation of L 447 # . Referendum and Initiative in 1 428 # . Roman Catholics in, 1 172 and Rousseau, 1 40

Genus and forms of Government, a 573 4 George, Henry, u 608

George III , 1 32, 11 7, 532, 590 Georg a, New Republic of, is, 631 German Americans, n 122 German Bureaucracy, 1 45, 209

Training and Ability in, is 395, 401 German Empire Civic Centres of Independent Opinion 12, 1 333, 11 481 Constitution of, 1 24

Fall of, 1 5 Foreign Policy in relation to, ii 408,

Ministerial Appointments in, 1 399 National unity under, 1 44, 45, 235

German Freemen, 1 20 German Covernment. Ministers of. Standard of, n 593

German Immeration into Switzerland. 1 372, tato U S A., ti 24. German Language in Alsace, 1 235 n German Morals of Sixty years ago, 1 26c German People and the desire for Political

Liberty, 1 44, 45 German Plutocrats, Intellectual Capacity

of, 11 589 de n 2 German Poets and Scholars, the age of. u 573

German Socialists

Aums of. 1 45 Fidelity of, to Leaders, Michiels on,

u 612 n 2 Leaders of Bourgeois Origin, it 598 # Not specially Anti Religious, is 627 Views imported from Switzerland, a 485

German speaking Tyrolese in Italy, Status of, 1 163 German Stocks in U.S.A., 11 5, 27 #

German View of the State and its I Wisdom, 1. 165 German Wage-Earners, efforts to Propitiate, jr. 623

Germans, Early, Councils and Meetings of, Tacitus on, s. 377 # , 415,

11 437 6 # 2 Germany Centralization in, 1 307

704

Communism in, ii 626 Dauerreden in, ii 378 Divorce 10, 11. 576

Executive Head of State in, it 507 Fewness of actual Rulers un, u 596, 597 French Foreign Policy in relation to, ii

407 Gemeinde 12, 1 316 Home of Imperium in the Middle Ages,

1 236 de m. Kingship in, 1 28-9

Local Self-Government in, loss of Popular character of, 1 147 Modern, History of Evolution and Arrest of Popular Govern-

ment in, L 43-5 Municipal Councils in, 11. 484 New Constitution of

Direct Voting by the People in, 11. 460, 634 Judicature in relation to, ii 422 s

Newspapers of, 1. 329 Parties in. Multiplication of, u. 780, 781 Peasants' War in, 1 80 Presidential System of Government

as suited for, 11. 522 Pre-War, Monarchy in, 11 586

Public Service of, not exempt from peculation, 1 341 Relics of barbarism in till Napoleon's day, 11 583

Revolutions of 1848-9 in, i. 44, ii 413 Roman Church's influence in, i. 100, 182 11

Small Landholders 111, 1 504 Social Importance in, of Officials, 1 405 Suffrage in, 1 24 Training in, of Citizens, i. 85

Upper, Old League of, 1 371, co6 Gersau, Independent Swiss hamlet, L. 174 Cibbon, E , n 657 Girondins, the, fate of, # 641

Gladstone, W E, 1 118, 474; personality, etc. of, 1 286, 298, 11. 614, Emergence of, 11 610;

Faith of, in Freedom, 1 66 m., on Character in a Politician, ii 611 n.; on Parties, 1. 138, on Reform, 1, 14 Glarus, Public Meeting in, of Freemen, i. "Go Slow" policy on State Collieries, New Zealand, is 325 n. 2, 362 Godkin, E. L. 1. 110 n. Goethe, W A, the age of, u. 573; Doctrines offending, ii. 623 d. # ;

on Paris as Meeting-place of Philosophers, 1 333, on Political Liberty and Equality,

11. 622 d. n. "Going into Caucus," U.S.A , ii. 61-2 Gold in Australia, 11, 182, 186

Golden Age, the, 1. 73, 167 Good Citizens' Clubs. USA, and Reform, 11 179

Good Government, Desire for, as cause for Risings, i 31, not Guaranteed by Popular Government, 1 47, rather than Self-Government, the

real desire of Peoples, i 319, 11. 549, 659-60 Good Sense in Politics, 1, 472; Nature of,

1. 425 Gospels, the, allered Socialism in, t. 97 199 Government

Chief Ends of, u. 577 Declaration of, resting with the Many, 11. 603 How far attained by Democratic

Government, u. 577 199. Frame of, see under Countries Functions of, Extension of, 11 622

New, 1 5 Government Employees, see under Civil

Service, Railways, etc., under Countries Government of England, by L. Lowell, cited, u 516 n 1, 517 m

Government of India Act of 1920, II. "Government Stroke," the, u 257, 258, 725 m z, 362, 646 m

Government Subsidies (see also Founties, and Grants) to Local Authorities, New Zealand, 11, 205

Governor-General of Australian Commonwealth, powers and status of, u 190-91, 211 of Canada, Functions of, t. 513

Chinese Provincial 11 558-9 d # 1 of New Zealand, u 297

of States Australia, u 193

USA., u 13, 35, 73, 87-8, 177, 196, 175, 612 "Grain Growers of the West Association,"

in Canada, 1 529 #. Grant, General, President, U.S.A., Military Fame of 11 608

39

170 199

Grants Government 1 in Canada 536 109 557 559 563 D scont nuance of why adv sable 538 9 Great Brisin et al o Eng and Ireland

and Scotland
and Australia Rec procal Igno ance of
one another 208

one another 208

B bery and Co up on n 157
497 8 523 524 532 534
579 597

Cab net of se Cab net
Canad an Conne n w th 525
C v c Educat on n 86 n
C v l L berty n 762

C v 1 L berty n 362 C v 1 Serv ce of 349 405 Status 395

and T ade Un ons n 309 Compulsory Art at on d ked n

Compul ory M tary Serv e in 396 Const u on of 249 10 193 375 Convent ns n, 492

Demo 2 zat on of 8 96
Flex b ty of 507
Conveying Electo s to Poli 528 n

Proposa s for 380 s r

Declarat ons us of Policy by whom

m de 44 Democracy and Self Government 12

12 46 7 Causes of Act we and Theo et cal,

31 32 35 36 Evolu on of 233 347 504

Gans unde 583 Under Monarchy 8 6
Education n, 480
Election n 54 415 4 6 524
Erng at on form to USA 4
Epoch of Change n 351

E out on and Advan c of 323 621 2 n elat on o Fo e gn Policy 412

n elaton o Fo e gn Policy 41

Figure 200

Executive e ed in the Cabinet n

Foegn affa n hand of 80 s Post on and Powe s of during the G cat War (914 18) 169

G cat War (914 18) 16
Fo e gn Pol cy of 80 n
Influence on of
Democracy 412 99

Dom mons 407
Government in Popular and Representative, 3834 493 n 506 507 8 510 qq
House of Commons

Cabinet influence on 194 VOI II Great Br a n-cont nued
House of Commons-c n nued

Cen re of Polt cal L fe and Dr v ng
Fo ce, 514 44 375 533
Members of see unde Legs ature

Obstruct on n 378 379
Or g n of 434
Part es n Mu p at on of 1

Parties n Mu p at on of 380 Powers of O gin and G owth 383 4 436

P edom nan e of 31 99 106 P vate B II n 85 370 376

Scenes n 290 371 House of Lo ds 24 O gm of 434

Powers of u 446
Lm ts of 436 s
and Suff ge exten on 35
Into ca on n 280 s

Judges a Appointments Sa a es Status and Trad ons of

304 342 92 # 216 17 424 482 Jud cary of Rest ton on 304

Labour Pa ty n 35 350 381 626 Law Cour s Confidence fe t m 156 Legis atu es (hecks on 435 6 de #

Checks on 435 6 dr 7 Compa ed w th Sw ss 478 479 De line of 345 367 369 370 371 378 379 6 4 17 amat c Qua ty of 68 9

Independence of 348
Miembers of
Influence exe c sed by

Names of those vot ng n D v s on Reco d of 277 n Payment of 291 499

Rel t one of w th Const tuents

385 99 45
Status of Company one of 38

L berty as conce ved of in 622 3 Local Polit cal Asso at ons n 223 Local Self Go ernment n 247 504 Min ste al Instab lity 399 400 Min ste al Respons b ty n 1 258 M n ste s

Impea hment of 11 430 Usu lly Membe s of Parl ament,

Mastnes

Coalt on durg the War (1914 1918) 137 Fall of Causes of 296 Format on of 254, 11 393 394

Monarchy a 25 253 506 507 Money Power in Instances of 53 Mun cipal Government in 192 4 Great Britain-convinued Old-Age Pensions 12, 11. 319 Oligarchy in, 1 3, 12 589, 590, 591 Parish Councils in, 1 316, it 633 Parliament of, see also House of Commons, House of Lords,

and Legislatures, above Committees of Selection in, ii 456 # Convestion in 1 353 Eloquence in, u 606

Features of 1 479 Party 10, 1 128 179

Party Leaders in, authority exercised by 1 285

Political Groups in, i. 284 de r , ii Popular figures 124, 1 286

Powers of in regard to the Dominious, 1 513, IL 190, tq2 #

Voting in, 1 170 # Parties in, see also under Names Forces consolidating is 222, 227 Multiplication of, 1. 299, 11. 380, 513 Partisanship in, it 67

Party in, as compared with Party in USA., 11. 48 Party Conflicts m, 11 48-9, 512

Party Discipline in, ii 375, 387, 451 Party Feeling, not embittening Social relations in, L 428-q Party Organization in, ii. 31, 32, 223, 513, 516, 530

Party Spirit, Discipline and Organization in, compared with the same in USAnu 516 Political Apathy in, to Democratic

Changes, 1 34-5 Political Committees in, 1 302 m.

how Elected, L 301 Political Connection with, of the Dominions, 11 234-5 d n
Postal Service, Electoral Pressure by,

11 383 Power to assue Orders or Rules un, delegation of, 1 311

Press, the, in, Influence, etc. of, 1 117, 120-21, 332, 334, 11. 127, 128 Prosecution in, of delinquent Officials,

1 311 Protectionist Intolerance in, ii 47 # Public Life in, Tone of Past and Present (see also Embery, above), it

196, 534 Public Opinion in, 1. 322, 333, 334,

Bulk of, generally justified by results, on Foreign Policy, Wars, etc., in 413

122 Homogenesty of, i. 545 Great Britain -continued Public Opinion in-centinued as affecting the Judiciary, i. 104 Unifying Effects of, t. 481

Wide bases of, it. 274 Religious Strife in, L. 350-51 Representative Institutions of, as Model

for other Lands, st. 368 Self-Government in, see Democracy, above Spoils system us, 1 132

Suffrage in, Story of, 1 33 Extension of, 1 34-5, 11. 621

Universal, n. o6 Taxation in. 1. 1 Trade Unions, Triple Alliance of, is. 635

Trades Union Congress in, effect of, on Decline of Legislatures, u. 173 Trial by Jury in, 1 306 a

and U.S A , n 6 m., 409 Upper Classes in, leadership of, il 146 Voters in, percentage voting, L 280 Woman Suffrage in, 1 33, il. 621

Working-Class Voters, majorities of, ii. " Great Council of the Nation under the

King in Parliament Assembled," 11. 433 n., 434 Great Lakes of Canada and U.S An agreements concerning, L 523, ii

411, 515 #. 1 Great Refusal, the, 1 153 & m. 2 Greatest Good of Greatest Number theory.

L 50 Greece

Ancient, see also Greek Republics, below Agora of, 1 146 Genius in, ii 572

Governments before Republics, i-190-91 Patriotism in, 1 206

Prosecutions in. ii. 420 Rule in, of the Average Man, 1 207

Modern Constitution of (Rigid), ii. 430

Democracy in, i. 26 Executive Hereditary Head of State in (King), 1 252 # , 11 507 Dictatorship aimed at by, ii. 392

Unicameral Legislature of, it. 438 and the War, 1. 25 Greek Cities

Kings of, a 29 Obgarchic and Democratic, opposition between, z. 40

Greek Colonies, Self-Government in, i-186 Greek Commonwealth, The, by Zimmern

L 106 s. Greek Conception of Liberty, i. 58 Greek Constitut and H story Hundbook of,
by Green dge 1 202 n
Greek Democracy Faults of 1 204 6
Greek Oligarch es Faults and Fault of,
1 29 190 202 06 7
Greek Pel Groephers on Happ ness u 582
Greek Polter & Relig ous pass on 17 1
92
Greek Peler & Relig ous pass on 17 1
Greek Peler & Relig ous pass on 17 1

Greek Republics (s e also Athens) s 185 sqq Class (or we lth) parties in 1 140

Constitutions of 1 191 Characterist cs 1 192 192

Revision of 1 250 # 2 Corrupt on in, 1 157 1 524 Councils of, 1 193 194 Decrees of 1 195 ii 430

Decrees of 1 105 u 430
Defence of 1 206
Depos too of Officials in 11 162 m 2
Effect in of Soph t teaching 1. 159
Eloquence in it 606
Festures lacking in 1 203
Fore gin affairs in it 30 m

Internal Str fe in 1 187 # 2 188

Jud cial Machinery in, 1 198 177

Lot as used by 1 202 d #

Macedon an conquest 1 191

768
News d stemmation in 1 s
Organizations of the Citized 1 188 9
Ostracism in, 1 192 202

Political L fe of contrasts with those of modern times 1 187 127

Public Assemblies of 1 190 193 4

1 429 30 437
Powers of 1 192 192
Regular Courts set up in 1 146
Short terms of office n 194 207
Slavery in 1 187

Small size of 1 186 187 & n 1 191 2 and Switzerland aimilanties between 1 493 n 1 Tyranny and Tyrants n 1 202 212

Views of Democracy based on 1 2 8 15
Vot ng Methods in 1 194, 197
Greek Views on d vers forms of Government 1 207 n f

Greeks and Romans compared 1 499 Greeley Horace 1 110 n G cemidge A H J book by on Greek

G eemidge A H J book by on Gre
Const tut onal History
20 #
Grewory VII Conflict of with t

Gregory VII Conflict of with the Emperor 1 93 Personality of 11 82 Grévy Jules Pres denty of 1 241 242

Grey, Sir G, and New Zealand is 300 340 and the Franch se Ex tension is 295 Grievances as causes for adoption of Democracy 1 27, 9 31, 33, 41, 42 3 44 Opportunit es for Vo cing in Backward

States u 567
Grisons Cauton of t 39 374
Groups Polit cal (see also under Countries)
Methods of, as to Candi

dates 1 388

Gruth the Confederates of 1 154

Guatemala Republic of, 1 209 # under

Batrios i 215
Guelfs and Ghibellines the i 125 528
Guest Dr H, cated on Communist
control in Russ a ii 640
G ld Socialism Tenets of, i 645

Guthrie W D, etted 1 166 n

Habit, how affecting the Individual, 1

as Bas s of Moral Act on 1 152
as Bas s of Moral Act on 1 152
hib ts National, instances of 1 157 8
Hadran 585
Hat Republe of 1 8
Options Polit cil Level of 1 -31
Hatman Piery in 1 215 & n

Human and 1 215 st 11 134 5

Hallans, H 11 367

Hamburg 11 481

Hamilton, Alexander 1 3

Hamilton Ont Non Party Club founded

at 1 529 Hampden John 1 159 Handworkers (*tee also* Industrials) Changes

affecting 1 619
Hanotaux G France contempora ne by
1 239 n

Happiness
Democracy as making for, it 581 sqq
Ideals of 1 581 3 649
Hapsburg Counts of and the Swiss 1 19

154 368

Hapsburg Monar by The by Steed cuted,
613 & # 2

Harsburg Rule in Hungary effects of 1 43 Hart son Pres dent USA ii 73, Multary fame of 1 668

Multary fame of 1 608

Harvard Law Rev ew referred to u
249 # 1 251 # 2

Hasbach Dr. c.ed | 271 # 2 680 # 2

Hasbach Dr cted 1 271 m 3 589 m 1
Hayes Pres dent USA n 73
Headlam, J W book by on Athen an
use of the Lot 1 202 m
Hedging Parl amentary 1 400 dc n 2

Hedging Parl amentary 1 400 & s 2 He ne H, 11 573 Helses the at Athens 1 198 Helleno Romans round Ægean Sea 1 30

Helvet c Republic (see also Switzerland), the, 1 40, 169 70

Henry III., Emperor, personality of, n. 82 Henry IV. and V., Emperors, and the Pope, 1. 93 Henry IV. of France, 11. 587 Henry VIII , 1 95, 11 613 # 2 Herachtus, cited, 1 16, 84 Herodotus, 1 27, 207 # 2 Hichbern, F, book by, on the California Legislature of 1911, 11 148 n Higgins, Mr Justice, on the Australian Commonwealth Court of Arbitration, etc., u 249 % I

708

High Court, the, of the Australian Commonwealth, 11 190, 194, 215, 216 Hilty, Prof K, 1 423 n z, 485 d n Hindrances to Good Citizenship, by the

Author, 1 136 # Hines, W D, address by, ii 106 # Histoire politique de l'Europe contemporaine, by Seignobos, cited,

1 246 de n Historical Evolution of Democracy, L 13 20, 27 177. History

National Teaching of, 1 503-4 as Reinforcement for Tradition, 1 150 and Study of Democracy, 11, 20 Teachings of, on Self-Government, ii. 551-2, 553 597

Hobbes, Nathaniel, 1 18 Hoffahigkeit, 1, 60 Holland, 1 43 Class Bitterness in, ii 502 Clerical Party in, 11, 381

Constitution of, 1. 249 a Democracy, 1 26 Menarchy in, 1 253, 11 507 Party Government in, 1 130 Place-hunting in, 1. 414 the Press in, 1 488 Roman Church's influence in, 1 100

Senate of, slender powers of, 11 441 Holy Alliance, effect of, on Popular Government, 1 44 Holy Roman Emperors, conflicts of, with the Popes, 1 93-4 "Home Rule for Cities," U.S.A., 11 151

Homer, Ideals of Happiness in poems of, in 582; on Monarchy in early Greece, 1 190 Homogeneity, Racial, in relation to Self-

Government, 11 549-50 Honduras, Republic of, 1 200 # , and USA., 11 135 n 1

Vermen, Crea, 188 Honour, rare, in Mediaeval Europe, i Hours of Labour (see Eight Hours Day),

Reduction of in 622

Great Britain House of Lords, see under Great Britain House of Magnates, see under Hungary House of Representatives, see under Australia and New Zealand Hudson Bay Company, Territories pur-

House of Commons, see under Canada and

chased from Constitutions of, 1. 516 n. 1 Hughes, Governor, of New York, 11 88,

Hughes, Rt Hon W M, book by, on Labour in Australia, ii. 228 # Hugo, Victor, 1 164, 11, 627 Huguenots, expulsion of, from France, 1 216, 244 #

Human Nature, Conditions modifying, I the "Constant" of the Social Sciences, 1 16-17

" Constants" in, and the opposite, is 664-5 How best Studied, 1 19 Laws of, in relation to Communism, is 647

" Un site Forces in, 1 65 nes of, persistence of, 1. 203 ess, views of, as affected by *Lakeshe Great War (1914-18), 11 667 177. Human Rights, doctrine of, less prominent

now, than earlier, 1 43 Hundreds, English, 11 554 Hungary Causes arresting Democracy in. i 43 Class War in (1919), 11 662 Estates in, il 437

House of Magnates Nominations to, ii 440 Status of, u 446 New Government not Settled in, it.

632 Religious Partisanship in, 1 487 Revolution in, British Sympathy with, 11 417

Sovereigns of, 1. 43, u 588 Hyde Park Railings, overturning of, 1 34 m 2

Iceland Bicameral Legislature of, it. 438

Early Republic of, 1 41 Free Government in, ii 550, 551 the Thing of, 1, 145 d. #, 146, 11 550 Inclander Sagas, these in, on Prappiness, it 581-2

Ideal Democracy, Conception of, continual influence of, 1 53-4, 56

Ideal Polity of Plato, i 204

Ideal sm and Selfishness the Struggle between exemplified in the History of the USA ii 170 80 Ideals Influence of Historical instances of 1 154 5 Lack of in Australia a 1 281 355 250 262 264 . ace of the Masses elation to Foreign Affairs 1 402 494 405 6 419 429 Obsarch cuse of 1 79 # n 59 and the Suffrage 1 71 de # 70 seg Il ad The references to 1 145 # 1 582 Illano : Cab net of State offic als E u 140 # 1 Ill teracy as a dequalification for Cv1 nghts i 80 sqq When no Bar to Self Government, is 550 51 Illumination, the Age of 1 51 Immigrants and Imm g at on see under Countr e . . Impeachments at and in Åthens 197 Great Britain 430 USA 1 19 21 92 Impress ons of South Af c3 Income Tax see under Countr e Independence Polit cal and Ind vidual of the Swiss 372 381 436 469 475 483 of Thought Speech etc Intelerance of 1 66 Independence and Deference the att tude of People to Leaders in 615 16 Independents the, Democrat c views of 1 32 spread of to the New World 1 32 95 6 Independents Party of Australia 226 Ind a Br t sb Fewness of Chief Administrators n

> principles 546

Self Government for 5

Dangers to 11 557 Prospects of 55

106 7

Self Government in

Caste in 1 gr 94

of Poltcs m 1 99 n

564 568

Introduct on into of Democrat c L teracy and Politics in 1 82 82 Religious sentiment invoked a a d Vernacular Press of restrictions on

Ind a-con nued Compos to Populat on of 1 556 Hill to bea of and the Suffrage 1 555 Land allocation methods in parts of 1 TA6 Ind an Natives of Latin Amer ca | 210 211 de # 3 214 216 217 223 225 226 227 212 Ind etment for Illeral ty Athens 1 195 6 Ind fference as Destructive of Democracy 1 661 2 Ind v dual Liberty and Ind vidua on (see also Independence above) 48 50 60-61 Christian i 101 and Democracy French 1 285 6 In as ons of 1 63 170 624 629 d nn Not necessarily Secure under Demo cracy | 67 161 2 Relations of with other Forms of L berty : 62 199 in Sw tzerland (see also Independence above) 1 372 495 and see S vatzerland nUSA 1178 Ind v dual Respons blitv 1 536 7 543 Ind vidual Rights of Copsc ence. 246 599 329 599 339 1 577 579

Industrial Conflicts (see also Arbitration and Strikes) Prevent on of Methods of an Australas a a Industr al Enterprises and State Aid (ree al o State Activ tes under Austral a and New Zealand)

Industr al Un ons n Russia Industrial Workers of the World Australian counterpart of 1 259 # and Compulsory Arb trat on 1 252 Industrials and Industrialism (see also Labour and Trade Un ons) in Canada 1 (00 10 520 527

in France, 1 235 248 240 244, 245 247 332 335 340 41 359 Inc King of the West Saxons I aws of a 145 #

Incauality Natural atural 69 70 Results of 600 651 Social, in 18th Century France 1 237 238

Informers see Sycophants Ingrat tude, Popular and Monarchical, 11 612 den 2 613 denn Inhentance French Law of 1 208 n

In tat we in Leaders is 606 Instat ve, the (see al a Referend in) is 54, 191 180# 501

Instructive-consumed Party Influence on, 1. 488-9 Polling for, percentages of voters, it. 157 & 2 , 158, 462-3 & 2 as Test of Fitness for Government, a

464-5 Use made of, 11. 461-2

Value of, Pros and Cons, 11 469 sqq in Various Countries (see chiefly Switzerland, and USA), 1 418, 419, 421-2, 448 522, 540, 551, n 153 sqq , 369,

414, 458, 460 199, 494, 508 # 2, 509, 519, 522 Injunctions, U.S.A., Mischief done by, it

Innocent III , Pope, personality, of, 11. 82 Inquisition, The, 1 85 Insight, in Leaders, in 606

Institutions Best Type of, Search for, 1 11 Working of, etc., need for Investigation

of, 1 13-14 Insular Tropical Republics of the Western Hemisphere, 1 209 =

" Insult to the Flag " argument, and the Chanese War of 1857, 11 413 Insurance, State

NZ, 11 325-6 Queensland, 11 256 m.

Intellect, Oligarchy of, 11. 602-7 Intellectual Changes as affecting Demouracy, 11 619-20 d # Intellectual Classes, see under France

Intellectual Development, Acceleration of, 11 567 "Intelligenteia," in Russia, it 554 # Intendants, in France, 1 237, 238

International Relations (see also Foreign Affairs, Foreign Policy, etc. and these under Countries), under Democracy, is. 617,

Interpellations in France, s. 288-q, ii 512 Interstate Commission, Australia, il 194, 215

Interviewing, USA, # 127 Ireland

Blood Revenge in, 1 145 n Education authorities in, il 480 Government of, by the Few, 11 595-596 de no

Local Self-Government in, 1 147-8 Political Recalcitrance in Tradition of ruse of, 1 158 # 1 Racial Parties in, s. 140

Roman Church's influence in, 1 100 Royal Irish Constabulary 10, 11 482. 482 Insh-Americans, it. 123

Irish Home Rule Party, ú. 381 and the British Opposition, 11, 400 st. 2 Caucus invented by, it 190 Ireh "People," fallacy in the phrase, i. 164 m.

Irish Union, the, ii. 590 Iron Bounties, Australia, 11. 245 Irresponsibility of Newspapers, 1 119, 123 Irresponsible Power, Danger of, in the

Six Countries, 11. 505 Irrigation Works, in Australia, ii. 257, Islam (see also Muslim, and Mussulman),

L 92-3 Ismail, Khedive, u. 562 Israel, Monothersmof, 1 91 Italian Anarchists, hostility of, to the Roman Church, 1 96

Italian Cities, tribal organizations and Kings of, 1 20 Mediaeval (see also Florence, and

Venice), Tyrants of, 1, 202, u 658-9 talum Heroes of the Resorgemento,

compared with the next generation, 11 571 Italiani "morapalities, Corruption in, is

Ove w of, by Tyranny, 1 202 Regular Courts in, Functions of, 1 46

Self-Government of, 1 94 Use in, of the Lot, 1 202 m. Italian Subjects of the Swiss, stern role over, L 39

Italy Mediaeval

> Famous names of, 11 572-2 Home of the Priesthood, 1 216 a. n. Party Spirit and the Tyrant in, i. 133

> Modern Antı-Clericalism in, 1. 139, 11. 7, 627 Carbonari of, a. 130 m.

Chamber in, increased Subservience of, to Monarchy, 11 376 Class Bitterness in, it coa Communism 15, 1: 626

Constitution of, 1 249 a Democracy, 1 26 Eloquence in Parliament, ii 606 Executive in, Popular Control of, it

Masonic Lodges in, Political Influence of, 1 139 Monarchy in, 1, 253, 11 507

Money Power in, p. 376 National Unity in, s. 235 Party Discipline in, ii. 387 Political Patronage in, n. 769, 376

Italy-continued Modern-con nued Senate Nominat ons to 11 442 Powers of 1 447 449 Relative to Lower House

Small Landowners to 1 COA Soc alism un Anti rel 2 ous tone of 1 627

Wars of L beration of British Sym pathies in 1 413

Inckson A Pres dent USA 102.11 139, General M litary fame of 1 608, and the Spoils System 21 7

Jacob na French Corrupt on among 522 Jacob tes the 1 126

Ismes II 126 Tapan Awaken ne of as precedent, u 466

Ruddhist rev val attempted in Civic Education in 1 85 Devotion to the Dynasty the Ideal in

1 155 de # Family relations n : 575 Min sterial appointments in 1 199 Monarchy in him ted 1 28 Japanese in New Zealand 1 242

lava | 220 Jefferson, G Pres dent USA : 474 1 26 102 # #qq Demo er ev a Re gion to it 583 Pol t cal Ideals of Popular w thout oratory

608 on Small Landowners дUSA 1 504 de я the Spo is System, Iesu t challenge to theory of Divine R ght of Kings 1 of

Jess to the, Swiss att tude to 1 184 414 487 Jobbery and Log roll ug in Various Lands

360 369 503 for 376 535 Sugge ted Remedy for John King and Magna Charta 1 48

ohason Dr S 1 657 Johnson Senator on the Choice of M nor Officers USA 148 # Jordan Sr John on Chinese Provinc al

Counc Is 559 # I Joseph II Ministers since 1 580 Yournal of Na onal [American] Institute

of Social Scences cited 612# I Journalism, see al o Newspapers Press

and the under ach Coun v as Factor in Leadership 1 608 Truth in, 1 117 14

Tournalists Abil ty of how shown 1 331 Political, in France and England 1 224 Juarez Ben to Pres Jent of Mexico I

211 214 226 Judges se al o under each Count v Classes of somewhat distrusted 205

107 11 421 526 7 Local. 482 408 Qual t es essent al m 1 427 422

How secured 1 422 502 Select on Appointment Sala es and Tenure of office summar zed

421 179 Ind c al Functions among Early Nat ons

145 146 Tud cial Offic als Corrupt on of 1 526 7 Jud c arv(es) see under each Coun v

in Democrac es 1 421 122 Power over of Executive 1 62

Juges d'Instruc on 306 de n Tuges de Pare 1 304

Jugo Slav a Bicameral Leg slature in t 418 Juguith a on the power of Money 1 171

Indus Caesar 1 Cos Jurid cal Rights of old French Noble se 1

237 238 314 Jut es see under Coun res Tust ce

Admin strat on of by Democracies is 577 578

and Doctr ne of Natural R ghts 1 Cr and Equal ty 1 70 71 Faulty Admin strat on of

Inter class under Monarchy 1 687 Relativ ty of 1 71 de # 72 Just n an Athen an Schools closed by a

204 Kafira South African Popular Assembly of 1 415 16 Status of 1 162

Kajar dynasty n Pers a 1 560 K nt E 1 122 n 11 573 Katkoff Journal at a Services of 117 и 608

Kenvon SrF 193# 1 Keresene Bounties Australia 1 245 Kertch 1 186

Lhal fate the 1 93 de n King (or Kings)

Able Value of and the Converse is £87 8 of Const tutional Countries Powers

of 1 253 Counsels of Value of 255 & n

D vine Right of 94 5 162 241 English

Jud c al funct one gradually restricted to 1 146

Labour Party-continued King (or Kungs)—continued Australia-continued English-continued Conferences of Delegates for Election. Position of, in the State, 1 255 Powers of, devolved on Cabinet, it. n 227 Discipline exerted by, it. 227, 228 C10 #. 1 Interest of, in Education, ii 210 Veto of, 1 515, 11 190, 193, 433 4 7 Irish element in, u 226 z King's Council, Executive Functions of, Organization of, Successes due to, is France, 1 237 230 599 Kingship Organizations of, is 227 199 in Asia, 1 28 Policies and Proposals, it 239, 240199 in Europe, 1 28, 29 in Greek Cities, 1 29 Power of, how built up, 11 282 Proportional Representation repealed Kingston, C C, ii 209 Kleiner Rath, Swiss, 1 379
"Know Nothings," the, in America, 1 by, 11 200 Rule of, # 281-2 Schisms in, Signs of, 11. 287 & n. 129, 11 45 Senatorial Elections carried by, 11, 205 Knowledge and Citizenship, 1 88 Socialisms of, 11 258-9 & # , 277, Not Teacher of Wisdom, 1 84 283, 626 in relation to Political Capacity, i. 83-4 Success of, Causes of, II 231 199 Coercion of Governments by, 11 211, Koran, the, Nature of, 1 99 Kossuth, L, 1 159 213, 390, 627-8 Kumara, Seddon's Store at, 11 300 de # Labour Parties, 1. 36 Ongue and aims of, 1. 139-40, 141-Labour, see under Countries 350, 4 381, 626 Labour Conditions, attention to, il 579 Labour Union Congresses, U.S.A., ii. 373 Labour Confederations (see also Trade Labour Unions, Swiss, Socialist, 1, 461, Unions, etc.), Evolution, 464 Growth and Power of, n Labour Unrest in Argentina, i 220 Ladin Language, 1 370 d # 2 634-5 Labour Congresses, tending to discount Lagthing, Norway, alender powers of, is-Legislatures, ii 373 441 Labour Department, New Zealand, Awards Laure-faire Doctrine of Compulsory Arbitration Canadian attitude to. : 626 Court enforced by, u: 332 Discredited, not refuted, t 64 Labout Disputes, see also Strikes, under Countries Allocation, etc. of, by Assemblies of Canadian Act on, 1 549 Freemen, 1 146 Causes of, 1 496, 519, 521 Local Control of, 1 146 Compulsory Arbstration on, see Arbs-Land Boom, in New Zealand, if 295, 312 tration and Australia and Land Commission of 1905, in New Zer-New Zealand fand, evidence before, 11, 313

Direct Action in relation to, 1 362 m Land Legislation in New Zealand, ii Labour Duputes Investigation Act, New TII \$20. Zealand, provisions of, in 136 Land Constions in Labout Issues in Local Government. Australia, ii 237, 239, 240-42, 284 11 487 New Zealand, u 315 d # , 360, 363 Land Sales, New Zealand, provisor con-Labour Leaders and their Standard, u. 272 dt # , 277, 260 cerning, II. 217 Labour Leagues, in Australia, it 635 Land Tax Labout Legislation, 11 245 277, 621, Australia, u 239, 241

Labour Patty, see also under Countries
Australia
Curcus of, u. 206, 211-22, 226, 228-0, de u. 28-0, 48-2, 48

228-9 de n. 1, 282-3, 287-8, Landamanan, Swess, 1 377

Chiefs of, Standard of, 11 232 de n, 377 de n. 416, 417, 418, 457

238-3 de n. 416, 417, 418, 457

248-9 de n. 416, 417, 418, 457

248-9 de n. 416, 417, 418, 457

233 and the Referendum, 1 420, 439-40
Cohesion and Discipline of 1. 463
Voting at, considered a Duty, 1. 448 m

Landowners and Employers to Great B taus and the r employees 197 8 and the Peasants in 18th Century France, 237 Land owning in Span sh America 216 218 220 1 224 227 Large Countres Democracy in

cult es of 549 553 555 556 559 In tat we and Referendum Unsu table

Lassalle, Ferdmand 484, 508 Q Latin America (Span sh and Portuguese) Republics of 8 200 de #

179 tee al o under Nam s Latin Amer can Repub ca B came al Legis atures in 217 219

224 Cler cal sm m 214 22 Constitutions of Provisions of how rendered neffective, 212

Corrupt on n 157 8 213 Defens ve Forces of 214 216 Democrat e Governments in Early Settlers a prospects of

Education in, 1 211 213 215 223 225 227 231 Elections n 217 219 224 226

721 F nance Loans Taxat on and Debt n 211 Future of conside ed 221 2

Heroes of the Independence of 215 H story of 209 99 Lessons of 1 227 qq Judges and Just cames of 212 13

526 7 Leg slatures a 212 tr and see

under sepa a e R publ s Model of 368 Local Gove nment in 220 633 Not Democra es 25 26 210

215 16 Notable Men of

Notable Men of 215 Ove seas Trade of a fo e gn hands 212 14

low Polit cal evel of 220 Poli cally interested Clas of in Popular Gove ament arrested in

Populat on of Ethnic E ements in 210 2 t & nn 216 217 221 225 225 227 210 211 Pres dent a ctators of 212 13 299

192 515 P es dent al Elect ons Railways scace n 211 de # 2 Real Republics among 216 277 Latin American Republi s-con nued Revolutions in causes and effects of a 212 217 215 Soc al sm # 220 221 222 True Ct zeps in 210 II

Wars of 1 214 Lat n European İmmigrants into Argentus 217

Uruguay 222 Laty a Republic of 63 t Land Archbshop on Dvine Right of

Kngs 99 Laurer Sr W If d 286 524 528 Lausanne, Seat of the Swiss Federal Tr bunat 400

Lavoser A L 73 Law Scope of n England 1 348 Law and Order Ms atenance of a the Count es cons de ed

155 156 157 348 356 7 360 512 542 3 556 558 9 560 7 8 9 89 94 95 dn 96dn 97 98 100 169 170 172 187 218 277 306 360 389 396 397 4 78 398 421 482 3 497 498 556 577 578 598 616

Law the B t sh and French att tudes to contrasted 348 Law The of the Con u on by D cey c d 492 de n

Law Courts see unde Countr e Laws n Anc ent Greece

Amendment of and Prosecut ons fo Inf ngements of of the C ty 430

Equality of Watchword of Revolu ton 29

and Decrees distinction between in Greece France, and Switzer land 195 & #

Lawye Pres dents n Span sh Amer can States 218 19 212 Lawyers in Pa aments 278 9 207 208

Les H C of Philadelph i on Reform and to Opponents 115 17

Lesder of Oppost on a Canada status of 534 Re o n zed Absence of n Fren h

Chamber of Deput es 284

280 Leade 8

Aust al an Par amentary 212 7 Choice of 604 611 2

Educated value of 87 Funct one and Dunes of 614 15

Great, not spec ally produ ed by Demo 614 cracy

714 Leaders-continued Influence of, on Tone of Public Life, H 614-15 Ingratitude to, rare in History, is 612 4 # 2. 613 4 # 1 Lacking in Australia, o. 222, 284 France, 1 298 and Led. Relations between, ii 614-16 Loyalty to, 1 125, 127 #, 612 & # 2, 612 de n 1 National, 1 142 Natural, n 554 Official, Ministers as, u. 61 Personal Knowledge of, Few possessing. n 611 de n, 612 Popular, and Public Self-Confidence, 172 Power of, in regard to Importance of Choosing, 11 604 Qualities Essential in, 1 156-7, 182,

160, 161, 161, 11 401, 605, 606 199 Different values given to, in Different Lands, # 611-12 Sources of, 11 552-6, 605, 608 197 Leadership, see also under Switzerland and

USA. in Democracies, u 605 192, see alia Few, the Causes affecting, il. 611 & n. 612 &

n 1, 613 League of Nations Admission to, of the Dominions, is 192, 235, 267 # Mandates given by, to and for

Australia, 11 192, 267 # New Zealand, 11 344 Persia considered, 11 560 # 1 Popular support essential to, ii 420 and Prevention of Aggression, 11 556

Swiss Entry into, submitted to Referendum. 1 420, 480 € # League of Peasants, Switzerland, see Persants' Party Lesseholder and Freeholder in New

Zealand, n 312-13, 315 m. Lectures on the Constitution of Canada, by Riddell, referred to, s. 539 # Lee, Robert E, Confederate General, USA, Southern Loyalty to, 11 611 Left or Radical Party, in Switzerland, 1 456 577

Left Centre Party, in France, 1 241, 244, 256, 263, 458 Legal-mundedness of the Americans, is 22, 94-5

Legislation Controversial, "Group" system as affecting, 11. 381-2

Legislation-continued Direct, by the People, Reforms de-

signed to secure, USA, ii 140, 153 177, see also Initia-Not duly Representative of Popular

Sentiment, 1 429 & rn Popular, in Switzerland, see Initiative Popular Control over, see Referendum Legislative Aims of Democracy, n 616 Legislative Caucus (see also Caucus, and

under Labour), 11 388 179. Legislative Chambers, Relations between, and adjustment of differences,

11. 449 199 Legislatures, see also under Countries Anticipations from, 11, 268

Checks and Balances for, 11. 428 197. Composition of, Changes in, in men, mannets, and status (see also

Decline, below), ii 370 192 Congestion of, 11 380 Decline of, in the Countries considered, 1 201, 344, 345,

369, 534, 558, u 56-7, 58, 69, 209 s, 210, 349, 360, 367 199, 379, 391, 393, 450-51, 452, 459, 609, 611, 614, 672

Defects and Diseases of, iv. 368 199, 371 579, 378 197; the principal u 451-2; Methods of discounting, u. 452,

Dominance in, of the Few (q v), 11 597-8
Indispensability of, u. 397
Influence of, on Public Opinion, n

Issues of Policy occupying, 11 374 Members of (see also under that head under Countries), Corruption

of, 11. 524-5 Powers Lost by, whither gone, ii. 374-

Powers of, in Parliamentary Governments, it 508 4 # 1,510

Presidential Governments, ii 508 d: # 2

Restrictions on nature and need of, 11 428 199, 432 179, 435-6 Safeguards for, see Second Chamber

Talent in, Scarcity of (see also Decline, above), 1, 343, 11 609 Legitimists, in France, 1. 240, 241, 251

177 , 324-5 d # Leipzig, it. 481 Leo XIII , on the Civil Power in France,

Le Rossignol and D Stewart, ested on State Socialism in New Zea land, ii 311 n, 314, 319 nn, 321 n, 324 n
Lessing, G E, ii 573

Lessing, G. E., ii 573
Levelling Tendencies, alleged, of Demo
cracy, i 364 5, common
under all forms of Govern

ment, 1 364 Liberal Party

Australia, 11 225, 229, 230, 232, 233, 238, 241, 347 Canada, Schism 10, 1 529

Great Britain, 1 34, 458, 11 380 New Zealand, 11 295, 298, 347, 348 Switzerland, 1 456 199

Liberal Labour Party, New Zealand, n 298, 311 1997, 318 "Liberal Un on 'in Australia, n 260 fr Liberalism, German, withering of, 1 44.

Liberty, see also Civil, Industrial, Political,

Press etc Anticipations for, in Early USA, ii 4 Attainment of, ii 622 7

Conceptions of, of Charles 1 and of Parliament, 1 58 Connotations of, 1 17, 245 #

Connotations of, 1 17, 245 n Cooling of Enthusiasm for 1 66 and Democracy 1 26, 57, 207 Distinctions between uses of the word,

and their bearing on one another, 1 57 199 18th Century Theories on 1 48, 49

English conception of, 1 58 sq? 155 French concept ons of, 1 42 3, 355, 11 622 3 Greek conception of, 1 57 8

Greek conception of, 1 57 8 Individual, secured by Democracy, 11 616

Kinds of, relations between, 1 60 199 and Minorities, 11 436 110 New Phase of Democracy (cf Russia), 11 620 & n 1, 630

Russia), it 620 de n 1, 63 Spiritual, in the Gospels, i 100 Swiss devotion to, 1 482 3, 507 Lieutenant Governors of

Canada, 1 516 d m 517, 551
States U S A, 11 13 n 2
Life of Yoke Hay, by Thayer, 11 409 n
Life of Sausture by Freshfield, 1 416 n 3
Life of R Y Seldon, by Drummond, 11

Lincoln, Abraham, President, USA, 1 298 u 73, 131, 593, 615, and Chance, u 613, Declaration of, on Slavery.

615, and Channe, 1 613, Declaration of, on Slavery, 11 414, on Fooling the People, 1 169, as Orator, 11 72 Liquor Legislation in New Zealand, ii 303, 346, 348, ise also Prohibition Literacy and Politics in

Asiatic Democracies, 1 82-3 European Democrac es, 1 80 199 as a Qualification for Civil Rights, 1

80 199, 224 Literary Censorship of Communist State, 11 648 Literature, Democracy in relation to, 11

569 199 Lithuania, Republic of, ii 631

Living, Standard of, in the Dominions, i

519, 11 183, 184, 186, 269, 273, 281, 356 7 & * 1, 363 Loans, Foreign, 1 213, 231

Lobbying, and Corruption, 11 525, 529 530 Local Administration or Local Self

Local Administration or Local Self Government, see also Municipalities and see both under Countries

Local Assemblies, Selection from, to higher Assemblies, see Soviets

Local Authorities, Patronage by, 1 360 Local Committees, 1 302, is 223 et alibr Local Elections, Party Politics as in-

fluencing, 1 132, ii 485 6
199
Local Improvements, Corruption con
nected with ii 481

Local or Rac al Parties 1 140

Local Self Government, see also under

Gountreet

Aim of the Pans Communards, 1 245 Beginnings of, 1 145 & n 199 Central in relation to, 11 478 199

Comparisons of, in different Lands, 1 237, 238, 349 504 and Decentralization (q v), ii 376

633 Educative value of, 1 89, 148 9 150, 360, 375 11 15, 125, 175,

479 486, 492 3 520, 609
Functions assigned to, 1 145 527, 11
478, 479 507
General Service rendered by, 1 148

Labour Issues affecting ii 487

Risks of, 1 319 20 Local Taxation, and Thrift, 11 482 Localism in

Australian Politics 11 208, 268 USA 11 8, 57, 68, 50

USA 11 8, 57, 58, 59 Locke, John, 1 18, Whig tendencies of, Lock outs, New Zealand, when Illegal,

1331 Log rolling, U S A , 11 67, 153, 156

London Borough Elections, difficulty of dending on Candidate, t. 129 m London, Police of, Central Control over, t. 483 m 1 Long Parliament Government, u. 509 m 1 Loper, rule of, in Paraguay, 1. 214 m

Long Parliament Government, n 509 n 1
Lopez, rule of, in Paraguay, 1 214 n
Lords of the Articles in pre-Union Scotland, n 434 n 2
Long XI. 1 215

land, 11 434 # 2

Louis XI, 1 215

Louis XIV., 1 41, 11 587, Centralizing System of, followed by

716

ing System of, followed by Napoleon I, 1 314, and the Civil Service, 1 307, and the Expulsion of the Huguenats, 1 236, 244 m., reign of, as antecedent to

French Democracy, 1 236, less costly than French Democracy, 1 353, glories of the Age of, u 573 Louis XV, Oppression under, u 581,

Powers or the State under, 11 595, Reign of, as leading up to Democracy, 1 237 Louis XVI., overthrow of, 1 41-2, and

the Parlement of Paris, 1 349 Louis Napoleon, see Napoleon III.

Louis Napoleon, see Napoleon III.

Louis Philippe, an Elected Sovereign, 1

240; Foreign Policy of, 1

359, Length of Reign, 1 359 π, overthrow of, 1 239, 245, Parliamentary brilliance in the days of, 1 279, Scandals in days of, 1

338, Suffrage during reign of, himsted, 1 42 Low, 5, Chapter by, in American Self-Government, 11 108 n Lowden, Governor, on Civil Administra-

tive Code, Illinois, ii 150

1

Lowe, Robert, ii 209; on the Dall Level
of Democracy, ii 571, on

Education for Voters, t. 79
Lowell, President A L, book by, on
Public Opinion and Popular
Government, ii \$4 \pi , on
Voting percentage in Ameri-

Voting percentage in American Referenda, ii 463 #
Lowell, J R., on the Ideal Man in a
Democrary, ii 574, on
Telerater, in London

Lowell, J. R., on the Ideal Man in a Democrary, ii 574, on Tolerance in London, ii 620 n I, on Voting, i 170 Lowell, L., Government of England, by,

Lowell, L., Government of England, by, cited, v. 516 m., 517 m. Lower-Class Rule, present-day, v. 642 Lower or First Houses, powers of, relative to Sepates, v. 441

Loyalty of Peoples to Leaders, u 612 d n. 2, 613 d n. 2

Swiss and Japanese, I. 154, 155 d. w. Luitaria, sinking of, ii 411 Lush, Major, book by, on New Zealand,

Luxembourg, Palais du, home of the Senate, i. 264

Luzern, 1 368-9 Lynching, U S A., 11. 97, 398, 497, 578 Lyons, 11 481

Macaulay, Lord, 11. 367, on U.S.A.

Government, u 195
M'Carthy, C, book by, on the Functions
of a State University in

of a State University in Wisconsin, 11, 105 n Macdonald, Sir John, 1 256, 528, 534, 556 Macedonian overthrow of Athens, 1, 191,

203, 204
Machiavelli, u 404, on Democracy, i.
15, on ingratitude of Princes

and of Peoples, 11. 612 s. 2; Standards of, 1. 157 Machine, the. U.S A., see Party Organisa-

tion and Party Machine
Mackenzie, -, 1 534
M'Kenzie, Rt. Hon J., Land Legislation

set on foot by, ii. 311 177
McKinley, President, ii 73, murder of,
i 106

1 106
MacMahon, Marshal, Presidency of, 1
240, 241, 256

Macy, Prof J, on Direct Primsty Elections, U.S.A., ii 146 **. Madagascar, French annexation of, 1 358 Madison, J., President U.S.A., ii 102 **.

and the Spoils System, ii.
31 #
Magna Charta, 1 155, 350, ii 8, Liberty
25 secured by, 1 58

Magnum Convilium, the, u. 434
Maine, Sir H, book by, on Popular
Government, 1. 200, 436 4
8,444 4 8. 2; on the Land

American Republics, 1. 209-210
Maine, State of, dispute over, 11. 411
Maires French Office and Functions of,

Maine, State of, dispute over, it. 411
Maires, French, Office and Functions of,
1. 259 n., 262, 279, 309, 311,
316 d n., 317, 374

Majorities
Have they moral authority? 1 164
and Rigid Constitutions, 11 11
Tyranny of, 1. 487, ii 131-2, 173, 389,

Tyranny of, 1, 487, is 131-2, 452, 571 Majority

Rule of Checks and Balances for, is. 428 177 Major tv-con n ed Rule of-c n nued as Constitution Democracy 1 27 Critic smales 270 21 Drawbacks to 1 to 60 the Lorical Outcome of and the

Remedy 388 9 and Party D sc pline 1 129 30 133 & n.. 136 de n 137 Will of not the same as the Vall of

All 601 # 2 274 Majority Votes when not representing a Complete Majority 280

Manchu dynasty overthrow o 547 8 4 # 549 559 560 562 3 Mandates Elect on, 17

to Members of Pa hament 185 186 Manhood Suff age the Bas s of Poht al Equ lity 63

in Various Lands 221 222 # 179 493 H 13 18 50 95 296 Manlius Torquatu example of 153 Manners Influence on, of Democ acv

371 497 574 5 Manuel de Dr. t. C. v. que by Numa D or 86 #

Many the Rights and Func ons Inexpuenable

of 1.634 Concept on of a revolt ag 193t opp ess on by the Few 11 601 602

Power Surrende ed by to the Few 599 Rue of 23 Maon Race, New Zealand 1. 291 293

de n 306 m 1 3 743 344 Mapoche Tribes of Chile, 210

Marcus Aurelius 588 and the Spoils System USA Marcy

31 7 86 188 Marse lies Marsilius of Padus, 659 & # Marvell Andrew on Public Opin on

Marx Karl, bour, so s origin of 11 598 # French followe s of

wide L e ary Influence of 608 Ma xian Commun sts and the Russ an

Revolution ii 562 Mass Meetings Communal, n German speaking Swiss Communes

Massachusetts First Colonization of and Instrume and Recall, a 160 61 161 Apathy of to Polit cal power 34 35 42 44, 45 46 see also C vic Apathy Ignorance of on Foreign Affa t

Masses the, 1 22

402 404 522, 410 420 Matches Monopoly in France 310 Material Changes acting on Democracy

618 577 Mater al am of Democracy 1 240 41 245 537 8 546 567 180

267 275 331 358 571 630 see al o Labour under Aust alta, and Indust als

Maximilian Empe or overthrow of 1 214, 226

Mayo s see also Maires ab ve American Powers Elections etc. of ii

16 17 35 151 152 217 # 2 485 543 Australian Status of # 17 4 # 2 E ect on or appointment of 434

New Zealand Election of Mazz ni Guseppe 159 on Authority when sacred 60g # de fig ton by of Democracy Democracy a 609 #

Religion to 1 583 and Educational we thiof Self Government, 664 Faith of in Freedom, 1 66 m Fate nally Releous Sp t expected by 666 Political Ideals of 623 Rad calism

of 1 18 Med acval C ty Ol rchies (se Italian Cties se al o Florence and Ven ce 588 de #

Med our yas esult of Democracy 1 363 265

Med terranean Democracies 18 c 100 Meetings of the Early Germans Tac tus on, 1 377 # 415 437 de

2 Meet ngs Polit cal in France, Brita n and America 728 Melbourne dominance of 274, 293

growth of 18¢ 6 Present Seat of Australian Com monwealth Government .

101

Memb r of Congress and of Parliament relations of with Const tuene es

Memoer of Legs ature USA. as Delegate rather than Representative

Quality of 56 Members of Legislatu es Payment of see Payment of Members

Members of Parliament in New Zealand, drawback of the position, H. 308 199-, 350-51

Swiss, not Delegates, 1 390 Mental Activity as developed by Democracy, 11. 569, 570-71

Menterth, the Fause, 1 153 d # 1 Merriam, Prof , on the Bosses and the Direct Primary Law, in

USA., u 146d = Mesopotamia, Conditions in, u. 563 Mestizo population in Latin America,

1 211, 214, 216, 223, 225, u 547 Metternich, on Fraternity in France, 1 355

Mexico, Republic of, 1, 209 # Administration, Election, etc., in. 1 226 Anti-clencalism in, t. 214, ii 7

" Cientificos " in, ii 554 # , 561 Civil Wars in, s. 225-6 Clencal and Anti-Clencal Party

atruggica un, 1 214 Constitution of, 1 225

Corruption in, 1 213, 11 565 Ethnography and Ethnology of, 1 210, 225 197.

French Expedition to, 1 214, 216, ii. 208

National Sentiment in in 565 Party 10. 1 125 Railway and Economic Development in, under Diaz, 1 226

Presidency in, of Diaz, and after, t 226-7, 212, 11 411, 560-61 Self-Government in, il. 550 Danger to, n 557

Small Landowners in, i 504 USA attitude to, ii 134, 174, 409, 411-12

War against (1846), il. 410 Micheli, H, book by, on Vallanfragen, t. 416 m 2 Michiels, R., book by, on Political Parties,

referred to, 11. 598 #, 600 m 1, 612 m 2 Middle Ages, injustices of, why endured,

Migration in Canada, of French-speaking Population, L 522

Miletus, craft of, 1. 188 Militarism in Germany, 1. 45; the Prussian Ideal, how founded,

1 155 Military Defence, France, 1 358 Mulitary Organization

Athenum, 1. 202-3 in Greek Republics, parallel to, 1 189 Military Presidents, of Latin-American States, 1 212, 216, 218, 219, 232

Mulitary Service

Compulsory, in Australasia, ii. 191, 236-7, 276 #., 396 Universal, in Switzerland, 407 Mill, J. S, is 367; on Educative Value

of Self-Government, u. 664; and Majority Tyranny, i. 487 Milton, John, on unheensed Printing, 1-

104-5 Minimum Wage, in Australian States, ti-

246 d n., 248-9 d n. 1 Responsibility in Various Ministerial

Lands, 1 253, 11. 508 s. t. 539, 540 # 1, 541 Ministers, see under each Country

under Autocracy, 11. 595 Continuity of, in Office, Switzerland,

advantages of, s. 390, 393. 398-9, 491, 501 Dignity and power attaching to, in

France, 1 295 Dismissal of, in France, Britain, and the Dominions, 11. 441

Forming a Cabinet, in Parliamentary Governments, in 507-

508 Presidential Governments, it. 508 Popular Control of (see also Impestiments, Recall, etc.), L 45

Presence of, in Legislatures, advantages of. 11. 510-11 Press relations of, 1, 117, 329-30

Quality of, and Selection of, in Countries unstanced, u. 393 197 Summed up, 11 400

Minutrables, qualifications of, 1. 294 & x. Minorities Decisions by, in Socialist and Labour

affairs, н 600 ж. т and Individual Liberty, i 64-5 and Proportional Representation in

Switzerland, i 462 Tyranny of, n. 182, 181 Mobs, why dangerous, H 543 Model Citizen, the, 1 53 Moderate men in Political Parties, i. 1421

Afcderne Demokratie, by Hasbach, cited,

L 271 m 3, H 589 m I Moderne Demokratie, by Zutcher, ested, is

404 di n Modernism, Influence of, u 576 Mommsen, T., s. 364, # 573 Monaco, i 186

Monarchical Ingratitude, 11. 613 d # 2 Monarchical Oligarchies, 1. 31 177, 11. 589 599, 595

Monarchical Rule as Possibility in Spanish America, i 230

Moral Standards Effect on of Party Monar bical Rule-ont nued Why probably adapted to Ch na 1 cta 60 Monarchist French M n stry of 1877 Moral ty Monarchist Party France, 1 220 # 240 241 24 251 261 281 4 # I 200 324 258 Monarchy Morocca n the Anc ent We ld Brit sh Democrat & Character of Compenson with, of Democracy Mun ch 481 <86 € Definit ons of 1 27 586 n Early Greece 100 Falure of n Brazil 223 Mex co 214 226 270 Hered tary and Nom nal Advantages of 1 223 511 587 8 Instances of 253 Mer to of \$87 8 Vews on in the Middle Ages 41

Money Power a Politics see al o unde Cune 142 503 504 523 qq Ch ef Enemy of Democ acy 633 Democrac es Exempt f om Not extingu shed by Democracy

612 the Press as Instrument for 111 12 Relative Force of in the Count or examined 499

T ties as Weapons of 431 V tal tv of Bases of 534 5 Мопоро св и 624 Monopoly a op a on Tyranny of dance s of 1 121

Monothe sm Juda c 91 Monroe, Pre dent USA Mon or Doctrine a Political Tradition 1 156 M de Camos by O Feu let, c ed

1 319 # Monte V dep Soc alists of Monteneg o \$48 n 2 Montesqueu 1 7 15 on Eng sh

Polt al Institutions 237 on the Princ ple of Despot sm Indical Posts 1 305 on Republics and Monarches

what each lives on 353 Montreal Munic pal scandal n i 543 Population of 509 520 Mo al Life of France, Effect on of the Th d Republic 365 197

System 132 3 Mo al V riues assumed by Commun sm 1 646 de m 640

and D vo ce 576 and Rel g on in regard to the future of Democracy 666 7

Most, Johann Conviction of 1 106 Mult tode the Fatal am of 121 m

Muncual Brbery USA (qu) <26 Mun c ral Comm se oners Galveston Plan of employ ng 151 2 Mun c ral Counc ls 217 484

Munic pal Government see al o Mun c pal ties etc under Coun Comm ttee System 484

Cons derat ons on in England USA and ancent Greece 192 Experts needed in

Watchfulness essent al m Mun coal Covernment by Dr F I Goodnow 110 # 146 # Mun t one of War Manufacturers of

accusat one aga net Munychia Macedon an Occupation of

Murder Trials USA Defects in 95 \$99 Mus c, unaffected by other ntellectual

movements 573 de n Muslim Sacred Law 93 Mussulman Countries Social Equality

ın. 75 π

Nabobs the, 532 Naples Lings of 588 Napoleon I | 42 357 359 # 500 H 1 587 657 Central zat on of Power under 1 228 Code of s c Code Nappleon Council of State founded Execut ve 312

strengthened by 1 314 Menace of to England Oppo tun ty and Reconstruct on under 218 307 on Sw sa Gove nment 416 4 # 4 454 5 #9

Superman 594 Napoleon III (Lou s Napoleon) Athance of with the Roman

Church, 96 and The American War of Secess on 414 Bourgeos content

Napoleon III -continued under, 1. 359, Corruption under, 1, 241, 11, 591, and the coup d'Etat. 1, 239, 251, 258, 326-7; Fall of, t. 42; Foreign Policy of, 1 359, errors of u 400 : Journalistic hostility to, 1 330, Ministers of, u 589, Morals dur-ing Reign of, 1 365, Ohgarchic rule of, it car, 6ca, Plebiscites taken by, 1 239, 326-7, 11 518 # 3, Pressure on Voters under, 1 272; Scandals during Reign of, i 118, Support of, by Universal

Suffrage, 1 361 National Assemblies of African Races, 1 146, 0 551

National Campaign Funds, U S A , Sources of, Legislation on, is 528 National Character as affecting National Institutions, t. II , formation of, by Tradition, 1 155-4.

National Debt Australia, 11 279 New Zealand, ii 318, 320 d n, 321,

260 National Defence, in Democracies, Summary on, 11 396, 400, 401 Departments Concerned with, the Six Countries described, quality of, 11 297, 195-6 National Democratic Convention, power

in, of Tammany Hall, ii 112-112 National Ideals and Free Institutions, 160 National Leaders, 1- 142

National Liberties, Temporary resignations of, dangers of, 1 148 National Municipal Review, USA, cited, 11 68 n , 150 n , 152 d n.

National Party, Australia, it 226, 229-210 National (Party) Committee, U.S.A., 11 44

National Patriotism in relation to Public Openson 1 178 National Sentiment in Backward Countries, utilization of, 11. 56ç

National Unity Sentiment of, co-operating with Active Public Opinion, it

501-2 Switzerland, 1. 368, 370-71 Nationalist " Autagonism in France

Parliamentary System, Nationalist Party, French, 1, 283 n 2, 127 Tosh, 1, 140

Nationalization, i. 122 American views on, ii. 137 d. n. 139 Australian Labour views on, it. 210, 251, 256, 258

of French Radroad, argument against,

Lessons on, from Public Management, 0 255 of Raniways, Swiss Referendum on, i

Nations

Effect on, of War, 1 158-9 Formation of 1 146

Natural Equality, American Declaration of Independence on, 1 69 Natural and Human Sciences, differences

between, s. 16, 17 177 Natural Inequality, 1 70, 74, 11 600-601 Natural Justice and Political Equality,

1 70-71 Natural Leaders, u 554 Natural Rights

American and French Declarations on, 1 48, 49, 245 # Doctrine of, 1 46, 54-5

Private Property among, in 1789, present views on, 1 43 Negro element in Latin America, 1, 211

I. 223 Negro Republic of Haiti, 1 215

Neprosa Exclusion of, from Franchise, in Union of South Africa, 1, 26 7, 18 in U.S.A., see Coloured Citizens

Nelson, Admiral, 1 159 Neo-Conservative Group in House of Commons, 1880-85,1 284 . Nepotism, alleged, of French Ministers, 1

295-6 Neutrality, Swiss, 11 408

New Countries, Self-confidence (q v) and Equalitarianism in, 1 73 New England Democracy 10, 1 32, 95-6

Dilution in, of English Stock, 1 158 Education in, 11 5 Parish in. 1 147 d. n. 2 Passion in, for Liberty, it. 7 Political training in, 1 88

Religious sense in, it 7 Towns of, 1 147 d # 2, 11 59 Choice of Officials for, is 537 Evolution from, of the States, it 59

Self-Government in, 11- 537: 554 Town Meetings of, i 377, 504 # . 11 483, 554 Newfoundland, Religion in, in 357 New Guinea, and Australian Federation,

11. 188, 267 Australian Mandate for, u 192 "New Protection," the, in Australia, 11 243 173 New Republics and Instability of Ministries, 11 199 New South Wales

Coal numers' Strike of 1910, effect on Polit cs of, 11 225 Constitution of it 188

Courts of Conciliation and Arbitration IE, 11 247

Export trade of, 11 185 Free Trade tiews in, ii 222 Leoislature of, 11 192, 193

Labour Member in 11 224 Minimum Wag- in, ii 246 s Population of (1901), ii 204 Religion as Political factor in is 226 # Roman Catholic Schools in, ii 210 Site for Federal Capital provided by,

n. IqI State Mining in, it 256 Voting Methods in, ii 200

Women Voters in, Numbers Voting, ii 231 do n

News-discemination, past and present, i 189 Newspaper Fditors, notable, 1 110 & n Newspapers see also Journalism and Press, and the latter under Countries

Commercialization of, 1 107 8, 111 in Democracies, Use and Misuse of, 1

104 179 Desiderata in, i. 109 10 Dual functions of, L 108 9 299 External Influences on, 1 111 12, 121 and Fore go Policy, 1 112 15, 117 Influence of, 1 115 197, 119 20, 123 Partisansh p in, 1 81 2, 100, 112 197,

1)1 as Political Educators, 1 81 2, 87 Prestige of, 1 116 17

Public Confidence in, t \$1 2, 109 Traditions of, how maintained, t 123 New York Assembly, Status of, 1 535 New York City

Boss domination in (we also Tammany), u 88, 111, 112 199, 121 Expansion of 11 107

Reforms in, how carried out, is 141 New Zealand, is 29t see Advances to Settlers Act, operation of,

и 3267 to Workers 11 326 7

Agricultural dominance in, ii 496 Arbitration, Compulsory, in Courts of, 11 246, 253, 329 171, 316 7,

Dictum on, of the Chief Justice, it. 338 m 1 Asiatica Excluded from, u 142 3

VOL. II

New Zealand-continued Burth-rate and Death rate us, 11 357 Borough Councils in, u 705

and the British connection, it 754. 356, 358

Chinese in, 11 342-3 City Amenities neglected in, ii 306 n 1 Carac Arathy 10, 1 177, 11 350-52, 500 Civil List Act of 1905, provisions of, as to Maon representation, is 291 #

Civil Service of, ii 305, 307 197, 378 Participation of, in Politics, ii 309 310

Class Antagonism and Class Distinctions in, ii 345 6, 505, Climate of 11 291

Coal 111, 11 392

State Munng of, n 325, 361 Coalition Ministry in, during the War, 14 104, 347

Collectivism not an aim in, ii 354, 355 Compulsory Military Service in, 11 396 Compulsory Purchase of Land 12, 11

314, 318 Concellation Commussioners, st 370 Conservation in, is 304, 346 7, 354 Constitution of, 1 249, 11 507, before

1800, u 296-7 Corruption practically absent from u 350, 352, 498 Crime 12, 11 306

Death Duties, 11 317 Democracy in it 291 192 Evils of, escaped in, il 264 Gifts of, 11 359 60 Historical Evolution of 1 37 8 Steps leading to, ii 294 sq2

Study of, L 2 Results of, summarized, 11 353 197 Divorce in, is 358 & # Early History of, 11 202

Education in, u 304 177-, 357, 360 363 under Local Authorities, n 480 Religious Teaching in Schools. contest over, # 306, 740

Electrons, 11 296, 147 Cost of, n 250

Electoral qualifications for Mayoral

Elect ons, 11 305 Ethnography of, is 201 3 Esecutive Council, Maon members of,

B 293 m Esperimental (semi Socialistic) Legisla

tion in, it 3to t1 197 Facts absent from, which colour Political Questions in Europe, 11 744-6

New Zealand-continued New Zealand-continued Local Government in, 11 304, 305, 359 Financial Administration in, it. 294-5, Local Improvements an, and Corrup-303, 314, 315 199., 360, 363 tion, u. 324, 481 Foreign affairs of the Empire influenced Luck-outs, when Illegal in, it. 331 by, 4. 407 Mandate of, for Western Samos, ii 344 Frazen Mutton, Export of, 11 297 Manhood Suffrage in, u 295 Gold, etc., in, ii 292, 294 Maon abongmes of, n. 291, 293 4 a., Government of u 347 206, 211, 142, 144 Ments and Defects of, u 360, 363 Maori Land Reserves in, il. 293, 311 Salient Features of, it 495, 496 Maori Wars, 11. 293 Working of, 11. 344 197. Ministerial Instability in, 11. 399-400 House of Representatives Ministries m, 11. 297, 393 Composition of, 11 203, 296, 349 Municipal Government in, it. 306 * 1, Elections for, u 347 377, 484 National Characteristics, 4- 344- 353-Maori Representation in, ii. 293, 356 s77. Membership, etc., of, 11. 293 & 11, National Debt of u 318, 320 de #4360 296, 297 Nationalization in, of Industries, it 117 Powers of, 11 293, 296, practically Natural Beauties of, ii 327, 359 unlimited, n. 297, 346 Supremacy of, 14 363 Natural Wealth of, u. 292, 362-3 Women Candidates of, 11 739 #. 2 Old-Age Pensions in, it 319 & s. I Parliament of, see House of Representa-Immigration 12to, u. 294 tives, Legislative Council, and Checks to, st 740, 355, 360 Legislatures, above Seddon's policy on, is 339-41 Composition of, 11 296 Income-Tax, u 317 Jobbery in, u 360 Judges and Judicature of, u. 359, 424, Functions of, and Subjects dealt with, u. 294 197. Maori Representation in, u 293 de. 573 Parties in. 11. 295-6, 304, 347 477 Labour Legislation, ii 227 n 1 in Municipal Elections, it. 300 Labour Organization in, ii 353 State of, on Elections of 1919, " Labour Party in, is 303 704 € #. 2 Activities of, 304, 306, 347-8 Party Spirit in, 11 347, 348 Aims of, 11 742, 626 Pastoral industries of, ii, 292 Land in, State Ownership of, it 312 Patriotism in, ii 164 Paupensm and the Poor Law in, n 319 Land Boom in, it 294-5, 297, 312 Police 12, 11 306 Land Commission of 1905 in, Evidence Political Patronage in, ii. 303, 308 before, 11 122 Land Legislation in. 11. 311 199 Politics of Law-abidingness of the Native-born 363, 364 un, 11. 306 Writers on, 11, 311 #. Leaders in chief qualifications of it 607 Population of Legislative Council of, it 202 Functions and Membership of, u 346, 356 № 11-Lack of attraction of, 11 351-2 106 Recent modification of, 1: 351-2 dr # Status of, since 1890, ii. 299 Legislatures Checks on, u 432 Criticism of, u 349 199.

Decline in, ii. 349, 360, 370 Members of, Payment of, ii 349,

Liquor Legislation in, 11. 303, 146, 348

Living, Standard of, in, il. 356, 357 & \#. 2, 363

496 Standards of, L 535, 11 370

Idealism lacking in, is. 355, 359, Distribution, Rural and Urban, ii. Homogenety of, 11 344, 356, 161 Police and Crime in relation to, a Sections of, u 353 Slow merease in, ii 357 m. 1, 360 "Pork Barrel" methods in, ii 324 m. Post and Telegraph Services, appointments to, p. 108 Preferential treatment of British Imports 12, 11. 316 Press influence in, it. 353 Private Wealth in, 11. 356-7 Propertied Classes in, raffuence of, i 146, 154

New Zealand-continued Proportional Representation in in Municipal Elections, it 106 Protection in. ii. 716 de m. 727 8. 334 5 Provincial Councils in, ii 204, 205 Public Funds in Seddon's Manipula

tion of, u 303 Public Life Lack of Attraction up, 1 359 51

Tone of, 11. 352, 370 Public Opinion in, ii 307, 502, 503, 505 on Arbitration, ii 336 7

Articles of Faith of, ii 354 522. on Immigration, il 340 199 on Land, u 315

Summarized, u 358 o Public Service in Number of Em ployers is 307

Public Works Department, scope of, 11 718, 719, 721 ' Push," the, in, it 345

Railway Board in, ii 322 Railways in, 11 294, 320 197 Appointments to, ii 708

Cost of, for Construct on, Pensions, etc, ii 310, 321, 323 Employees of, Problems connected

with, 11 322, 323 Minister for, 11 322 Religion in, ii 357

Revenue, Sources of u 295, 315, 179 "Right to Work," claim in, ii 127 # 1, 348 Second Ballot Act of, repealed, 11 349 #

Self Government bestowed on, it 187 Senate of, Relative Powers of, it 4.13 Settlers in Advances to, 11 318, 326 7, 361

Early, 11 4, 293 4, 355 6 Sheep farming, and Mutton-exporting Trades of te 292, 297

Short views taken in, ii 296, 320, 354 5, 363 Small Farmer 10, 11 312, 315

Conservatism of, il 304, 347 Small holding problem in, ii 207

Social Conditions in Improvement in. Democratic Party in, 11 327 # 1, 349

Social Equality in, 1 37, 11 354 Socialists of, ii 348 ' Spoils' System absent from, n 308 Sport, love of, 12, 11 357

State Activities, Industries, etc , in, 1 7 8, 12, 299, 302, 304, 310 199 , 319, 320 199 , 335 6, 633 Extension of, wide, u 189, Desire

for further, u. 624 sqq Results of, Summarized, 11 360 199 New Zealand-continued State Aid. Reliance on, Schemes emcoufaging, 11. 327 State Employees, Number of, 11 307 State Land, tenants of, 11 313

State Ownership of Land 10, 11 312 179 State Socialism in, it 755, 496 ' Stone-walling " in. 11, 349 Strike riots in, 12. 497

Strikes in, il 296, 298, 210, 229, 221, 114, 335, 716, 338, 179, 354, 361, 496 of State employees, 11. 325 de n 1

When Illegal, u 331, 336 Subsidies to Local Authorities in it 105 Succession Duties, il. 317 Syndicalists in, ii 348, 354

Tariffs in, 1: 295, 315, 327 8, 334 5 Taxation in, ii 295, 317 18, 343 Trade Unions in, ii 296, 298 and Compulsory Arbitration, ii 329

Large numbers outside of, 11 334, 343

Power, etc , ot, 11 353 Preference to, 11 332

Traditions lacking in, it 368 Universal Suffrage in, ii 360 University of, 12 307 # Wages in, Fixing of, il 332 177 Tariffs as affecting, il 327 8

War Loan, magnitude of, it 318, 364 m the Great War (1914-18), 11 364 Wealth of National, ii 202, 362 3

Private, it 356 7 Woman Suffrage in, it 339 de nn Workers, Advances to 11 326 7 Working Class Legislation, 11 202 New Zealand, by Sir R Stout, it 305 nm.

311 # New Zealand in Exclusion, by Schole-

field, n 311# New Zealand Federation of Labour, and the Washi Gold Mine Strike,

n 335 n New Zealand Hansard, n 121 n

New Zealand Official Year Books, 11 1111 Nicaragua, Republic of, 1 209 # and the USA, # 135 n 1 under Zelava, 1 210

Nicholas I. Czar, ii 413 Nicias, 1 201 Night Riders, US A., outrages by, 11 98 Nomotheral, the, 1 195

Nonconformity, British, 1 351 Non legal bodies interfering with Legisla-

tures also (see Caucuses, Party Organizations, Tammany, etc.), 11 300 de m. 310, \$13, 632, 635 Non-Party Ballot for Municipal Elections, Chicago, ii 151 m. Non-Party Legislation, U.S.A., ii 45 Non-Political Authorities in Australia

and U S A., u. 215
Normans, the, characteristics of, 1 321-2
Norsemen, the Thing of, 1 145, 146, 377,
u 554

North America, see also Canada and U.S.A.

Beginnings in, of Democracy, in 3 sqq Gifts to, of Nature and of a Splendid Past, ii 4 sqq

Rousseau's influence in, 1 40 North American Colonies, English Oligarchy and, 11 590

North Atlantic Fishing Rights, Arbitration on, it 411 Northern Territory, Australia, it 192 Norton, C. E., etted on Tolerance in

London, 11 620 # Norway Compulsory Arbitration in, 11 246, 378

m 2
Constitution of, 1 249
a Democracy, 1 26
Emigration from, to U S A, 11 24
and Iceland, 1 41

Lagthing of, elender powers of, 11 441 Monarchy, democratic, of, 1 25, 253, 11 507, 586

n 507, 586 Money Power slight in, 1 495, n 278 n 499

Party Government in, 1 130 Place-hunting in, 1 414 the Press in, 1 488 Professional Politicians, few in, 1 475 Self-governing Groups in, 11 550, 551 Self-Government in, 1 39

Social Equality in 1 69, 75, 477 Stor Thing in, 11 441 Suffrage in, 1 24 Thing of, 1 145, 146, 377, 11 554

Nos Laberth politiques, by Caudel, cited,

Novvelle Australie, La, by Voisson, is 286 n Nova Scotia, Bicameral Government in,

L 516, 11 439 # Novo Nikolaievsk, rapid growth of, 11 107 # t

Nursing the Constituency, 11 528-93 not practised in Switserland, 1 467 Obstruction, Parhamentary, 11 206, 178-

380, 390, 512 O'Connell, Daniel, 1. 474 Offences, Familiar, publicathtude to, 1 157 Official Candidatures in France, 1. 271-2,

Official or Clerical Influence on French
Elections, i 270, 271, 272
Official Dereliction, how dealt with, in
France and elsewhere, i

Official and Legislative Corruption, where found, ii 557 do 1, see also Corruption and Jobbery

Officials

Choice of, by Appointment, Election, and Nomination, see also under

in Small and Large Communities, Responsibility for, n 537-8

Old Age Pensions in Australia, ii. 218 Great Britain, ii. 319

New Zealand, 11 319 & n 1
"Old League, the, of Upper Germany,"

Old Liberals Party of Switzerland, 1. 458 Oligarchic Working of Party, U.S.A., 11 49

Oligarchy and Oligarchies, 1 25 Aristotle on, 1 207

Connotations of, i 17 Corruption under, ii 591 Definition of, i. 23

Democracy compared with, it. 586, 588 199. Evils of, remedied by Democracy, it.

616, 617
Fall of, how caused, 1 29, 11 5921
602

Forms of, a 602-3 as Possible for Spanish America, i.

230-31
of the Future, speculation on, n 589
Ignorance of people an advantage to,
1 79, n 592

of Intellect, 11. 602-3
Merits and Dements of, 11. 590-91
in Monarchy, 1 3, 31 192, 11. 595
Party under, 1 125
Possible rise of, by a Law of Nature,

n 663, 665
Probable, after attempt at Democracy
n a Backward State, 11 565
Punty in, u 602-3

Punty in, u 592-3 Strength of, ii 536 "Understandings" in, 1 492 d. n 2 in Various Countries

in Brazil, 1 224-5 Carthage, 1 186 Cfule, 1 216, 224, 230, 11 589 Greece, 1 29, 190, 202, 206-?

Greece, 1 29, 190, 202, 206-7 Southern States, U S A , 1 36-7 Switzerland, 1 39, 369, 477 Vence (and see Italian Cities), 1 79

274

Omar, dictum of, as adapted to Second I Chambers, 11 418 de m One Big Union, Australia, Aims of. Opposition to, ii 250 # Ontario

Churchgoing in, ii 357 French Canadians in, 1 grr Open Primaries, U S A , 11 141, 143

Operation of the Initiative, Referendum, and Recall in Oregon, by Burnett, # 155 # 2 Opinion, see also Public Opinion

Monopoly in, Tyranny of, 1 121 Value of, in relation to Value of Votes.

1 171 Opportunity, Art of Seizing, Instances

of.1 122 de π Opposition, the Australian, Consolidation of, ii 226

British, duties of, 1 131 Canadian, 1 514 in Democracies, Methods of it 278 102 .

in Parliamentary Government, t. 130

Party Discipline in, 1 136-7 Swiss, 1 192, 474 Value of, 1 137

Opposition Minority Parties, coalescence of, effect of, 1 462 Optimate Rule in Rome, by whom

destroyed, 11 coz Optimism of the Americans and Swiss. 1 497

Orange Free State Military Organization in, 1 189 Money Power slight in, ii 278 de n One of the two best administered Democracies, ii 503, 500

π 2, 531 Orange Party in Octano, 1 427 Orators, Athenian, t 199 & n, 201 2,

Oratory as means of Polit cal Education, a 8t, 82

Order, see Law and Order Ordres du Your, in France, 1 288

Oregon

British American D spute over, il 411 Direct Popular Legislation in, and the

outcome, 1 157 199 Organic Laws France, Amendment of,

Method of, 1 259 Organization essential to Rule, ii 599 Orleanists, the, in France, 1 240, 241, 242, 251

Orthodoxy, Political and Theological, 1 85 Ostracism Greek, 1 192, 202 Ostrogorsks, M , book by on Democracy and Party Politics, 1 139 # ,

11 35 #

Oxenstierna, eited, u. 504 Pame, T 1 43, Literary Influence of, ii

6.8 Palais Bourbon, Home of the Chamber of Deputies, 1 264, 344 # Palestrina, period of, it \$73 # Pampas, the, of Argentina, 1 217

Panama, Republic of, 1 209 # Panama Canal Repeal of Act concerning, ii 412

Scandals in France, Political effects of. 1 247, 338 Papal Infallibility and the Swiss Ultra montance, 1 457

Papua or New Guinea, Australian administration of it 102, 267 # Paraguay, Republic of 1 200 #

Church interests in. 1 214 under Francia 1 210 Political Level of 1 216 Population of, Ind aps in, 1 214 #

War of losses of population in, 1 214 # Parents and Old People, Respect for, as Lessened by Democracy, 11 575

Paris Administrative weight of, 1 247 Centre of Political Thought, 1 221, 111 Class war outbreaks in, 1 297

Communards of Insurrection of, 1871, 1 240, 245 Commune of Delegates from, 1 260

Insurrection of, 1871, 1 240, 245 Regulation of, 1 317 as "Mother of Revolutions," | 42.

239, 248, 317, 326 Unable to make one to day (1920),

1 359 Municipal ty of, Scandals of, 1 356 Parlement of, and Louis XVI, 1 340 Schools of, mediaeval fame of, 1 216 Paris, Count of, and his Supporters, a

240, 241, 242 Parish, The, in England and New England, 1 147, 316, 11 14, 15 Parish Church under Local Control, 1 146

Parish Councils, English, and Dominion, 1 316 1: 483 Parkes, Sir H and New South Wales, 1

286, 11 209

Parlement of Paris, and Louis XVI, 1

Parlements, Provincial, French, 1 314

Parliament and King, Struggle between, in England, 1 31-2, 58 Parliamentar sm, in France, 1 352

Faults of, 1 267 Tendency adverse to, in, 1 327, 11 375

MODERN DEMOCRACIES

Parliamentary and Cabinet System of Government in Great Britain. 11, 406, 407-8, 410 127-Parliamentary Committee, Great Britain, Powers of Chairmen of, ii

Parliamentary Countries Eloquence in, ii 606-7

726

Leaders in, Emergence of, ii 609 177. Parliamentary Government in Australia, 11 192, 496

Legislatures under, Powers of, ii 507,

Ments and Dements of 11 510 199 Parliamentary and Presidential Systems, Common root of, u 518 Parliamentary Proceedings, Reporting of,

in France, 1 332 Parliamentary System, Adoption of, by New European States, 11 521

Parnell, C S , 1 474, and Party Discipline, u 390 Parties, see Political Parties, below, see

also under Names Partisanship, see also under Countries in Newspapers, 1 100-10 son

in Public Speaking, i 100, 112 Party, 1 125 100 Countries in which Overworked, t. 503,

u 49 Countries with Representative Governments, functions of,

1 128, 129-30 Dissolution into Groups (q v) of, effect

of, 1 137-8 Evils due to, 1 130-34, Mitigated by, 1 114-5 Executive Head Outside in Parliament-

ary Governments, # 511-12 How best Serving a Country, 1 144 Inevitability of, 1 134, 138 de # Irresponsibility of, n. 120, 425

National, Extension of, to Local Elections, i 112 in Parliament, i. 128 177.

and the People, Leaders' Duties in regard to, 11 614-15 10 Power, Scope of, in Different

Countries, 1 129-10 Preparatory work of, 1 175 Publicity and Expenses of 1, 142 Strength of, nature of, it 388; ad-

Vantages of, 1 520 Party Chief or Leader Attitude to, in Switzerland and else-

where, i 458 and Foreign Policy, 11 406

Opinions reacting on, 1 137 Power of, in Democracies, in 597 Talent rare in, Talleyrand on st. 600 Party Control of Party Spirit, s. 144 Party Conventions, U.S A., 11. 140, 141 Party Discipline, 1 129-30, 133 d #4 136 4 # , 137, 174

British u 175 Effect of, on Legislatures, ii. 370, 372 Non-existent in French "Groups," L

Objection to, of the Better Educated, 11 501

Relative Strictness of, in Great Britain, France, and U S.A., is. 516

Party Funds, 1 120, 142, 11. 531 Absence of, in Switzerland, 1. 464 Party Loyalty

Canada, 1 528, 571

USA, 11 42, 147 Party Machine, the USA., i. 552, ii. 102-3, 145, 369, 425

Building up of, n. 222 Methods of, n 742 and Party Organization, IL 41 197

Powers of, how limited, it. 78 Public Disgust at. ii 178-9 Party Managers, functions of

England, 1 128-0 U S.A. (see also Boss), 11. 42, 43, 53 Party Obligation, work on, by the author,

1. 136 m. Party Organization, see also under Countries Domenance in, of the Few, it. 598 &

m, 599 and Independence of Members, B. 58,

384, 385 sqq. Influence of, in Local Politics, it. 485

Ments and Defects of it. 408 Power of, and Checks on, i. 143-44-11. 504

and Professional Politicians, il 503 Responsibility as enforced by, is \$43 Use made of, in the Countries dealt with, 11, 513

Party Pledges, 11 43 d n., 286-7, 501 Party Spint

False estimates of, how arrived at, i-

Influence on, of Referendum, u 413-4 Parliamentary and Presidential Governments, IL 512, 516

and Passive Resistance, t. 133 #. Powers of, Checks on, i 143, 144

Public Opinion confused by, 1 179-80. u 419 Strength of (see also Party Strength of,

above), in Democracies, ii 498 as Substitute for Thinking, 1 547

Party Strife, Educational aspect of,

174

Party System see al o Part es Party Or |

614 15 Penn yivan a

As embly of Status of Boss powe in 84 88

Ge mans in, 5 27 n

ennization etc. etc. n relation to Politics USA Critic sms on 130 sqq Organizat on of 128 sqq Pens ons see Od Age and under Coun res Party Tea Referendum as loosening People, The, 1 161 199 D rect Election by to Leg slatu es 475 # 2 Party Voting 1 131 2 133 d # 135 6 D rect Legislat on by er D rect Popular Influence on of the Referendum Leg slat on 461 4 Fa th in 162 Party Wo ke a U S A., Three Classes of Causes for 166 199 Crtcsms on 164 5 11 40 Ongan of 166 7 Pass ve Res stance and Party Spirit Majesty of 162 122 # Pastoralists Aust alia wealth of Party system as we king among a Pastors Swas Elections of for Short 128 177 Terms 1. 375 Power of Paternal sm, u 176 287 289 162 Governments in which act ng Pathan race, Blood Revence among a D rectly 509 145 # by Delegation 1 519 Patriotism of Democrac es 104 218 Increase in, n 621 622 268 284 616 (ee al o under Restrict one on modern arr rude to Count es) Polit cal (ee also und r as Source of Power Doctrine of Patronage. Countre) 1. 130 132 303 659 de # 308 11 479 Sovere gnty of Defects due to 11 503 Bas s of Democracy 161 171 Development of 633 43 507 Poss ble Remedy fo m Austral a (2 v) 189 191 199 Payment of Members of Leg slatu es 197 281 291 386 389 534 13 19 23 71 190 Embod ed in R g d Cons tut ons rr Fatal st c fa th in r sults of 436 d n 191 195 208 296 111 G cek Republics (9 11) 195 207 349 372 496 499 Methods of exercing 1 170 d n Peace C tic sms on and replies to Cond tons favouring in ea ly days of them 170 72 Not extending to Local affairs n 56 USA as Ideal of Happiness France, and why 318 19 Pa ty Organ zat one respect for 36 Not secu ed by Democ acy Peace Teates 1919 and 920 as in Sw tze land 382 sqq pa m affecting the Status of the alo In at ve and Refe Domin ons 524 endum We king of in USA (q v) Peace of Westphalia and Swss inde pendence, 41 199 55 100 121 120 W II of Peasantry French 601 Conservati m of 1 235 245 247 Exp css on of Opp ess on of 18th Century in Backwa d States in Communist States 652 199 results in Clas Antagon by Voting (q v) 164 179 sm, 238 180 8 55 as bought out by Swiss System Peasants Party in Switze and 141 (9 m) 1 522 373 381 457 Peasants Wa in Germany 80 Fa lac es concerning 60 n 2 Ped o II Emperor of B az 1, 223 Which form of Gove ament best 118 138 286 298 gives effect to Peel, Sr R 500

W sdom a cribed to 162 64 5 169

172 173 179

452, Ame can belief in

9 21 3 33 39 65 104 109 130 136 154 People's Non-Partisan League, Dakota, | Place-hunting 11. T48-0 Pericles, t. 192, 201, 203, 204, 207 r. 2. 206. 11 502 # Perier, Casimir, President, on the powers

of the French President. L.

Persecution, 1, 49 #, 91-2, 96 Persia Correction in II she

Democratic outlook in, 11 560 Education in, u 550 Monarchy in, 1 28

National Sentiment in, ii 565 Parliamentary Government in, ii \$47, failure of, 11 560

persecution in of the Religious Sassanid Kings, 1 91-2

Personal Importance, Sense of, Evolution of, 1 77 de 11, 78 Personality, 1 164, 156, 159, 177

of Presidents, Importance of, is \$17-18 Peru, Republic of, 1 200 n Area and Population of, s. 211 & n 1,

216, 210 Church interests in, 1 214 Political Level of 1 215

Wars of, 1 214 Peruzzi, the, loans by, to Edward III . 11

58g Peter the Great, Choice by, of Ministers, u 587

Philadelphia, Misgovernment in, and Reform, u 115 & n. Philanthropic Impulse, felt by, in USA. Outcomes of, n 136 199

Philip of Macedon, 1 101, 204, on the Power of Gold, u. \$27, \$27 Philip II., n 117 Philippine Isles

Cession of, to U S A., ii 174, 410 Education 10, 1 89 Literacy and Politics in, 1 82-1

Self-Government in, and the Loric of Events, ii. c68 Self-Government for, 1 55, 11. 547

Prospects of, 11. 564, 560 Photion, i 193, 201, 204, 206 Photioian Cities, Tribal Organization and Kings of, 1 29

Phoenician Rule in Carthage, 1 186 Picketing, Peaceful, and other, 11 530 m Picts, Kings of, 1, 28 Pilgrimages, in France, 1 243 Piracus, port of, 1 197

Pitso, the, of the Bantus and Basutos, 1 146 d #., 415-16 d # , H 551 Pitt. W., i. 298, 474, 11. 517, 614, 615 Paus IX., on the Orleans Flag, 1 241 m.

Not an active motive in Canada, a \$27-8, \$31 alleged, in Switzerland, i. 413-14, 497 Platform-speaking, Increase of, Effects of,

on Legislatures, 11. 172 Plato, L. 18, 201, on Defects of Greek Republics, 1 204, it. 571; on Democracy, 1, 15, 207 & n, 1, on Formation of Political Habits, 1 84; on Forms of

Government, i 181-6 Pleasure. Passion for, alleged growth of, with growth of Civilization, п 662 л

Plebucites, French, 1 229, 326, 11 418 # \$ Phiral Voting, absent from Australian Commonwealth, 11, 195 Pluto and Plutus, Dante's reference to, ii

533 m. Pocket boroughs, 11. 513 Abolition of, 11 532

Sole ment of, u. 610 Poincare, Raymond, on the burdens of French deputies, i. 290 Poland

Causes arresting Democracy in. s. 47 Executive Head of State in, 11 507 New State of, is 611, 612 Rulers of, u 588

Poles in German Empire, Status of, i 163 Police forces, see also under Countries Central or Local Control of, 11 482 Policy, Traditions of, 1 155-7 Polish Party in

Austria, i 140 Germany, u 48z Polish Revolution (1848-70), British sympathy with, it 417

Political Action Advantages of, over Strikes, 11. 623-4 by Labour and Socialist Parties, it. 623-4, 627

Political Agents, rare, in Switzerland, t-475 Political Assassination, Press Advocacy

of, 1 105 Political Associations, see Parties and

Party Organizations Political Changes, causes of, p. 618 177. Political Chief, Mexico, Functions of, L.

Political Community, meaning discussed,

1. 24

Political Education

Factors of, see Local Self-Government, Newspapers, Orators, Press, Reading, Schools, Uns-

versities, etc. Greek, i. 81

Polit cal Education—com maed
Modern, i 81 2
Polit cal Equal ty defined i 68
Fath in in USA Defects and
Successes due to suitn
matized ii 172 127
Gain from i 623
Greek pass on for i 190 193

Greek pass on for 1 190 193 and Natural Inequal ty 74 No Means of test ng F tness for 1 72 Polit cal Force, Attempt to est mate 1 596 7

596 7

Folitical Institutions Influence of on Industrial Section 1 Influence of on Industrial Section 1 Institutions Influence of on Industrial Section 1 Institution Influence of on Industrial Institution Insti

Patronage
Polit cal Labour Leagues in Australia
1 227 230

1 227 230
Pol t cal Leaders 200 Leaders
Pol tical Leadersh p Evolved from Social,

u 552 3
Polit cal Liberty 1 58 9 60
Relations of with other forms of

L berty 1 61 122 167
Value to of Ind vidual L berty 1 67
Polit cal Morality U S A 1 108 117
Polit cal Organizat ons 100 Polit cal Organizat ons 200 Party Machine
and Party Organ 2at on about

Polit cal Orthodoxy i 85
Polit cal Part es (see also under Names and
see Parties under Countre)
125 199
Book on by M chiels c ted \$98

Book on by Michels cted 598 non no foo n I fol 2 n 2 Continuity of how retained 127 Future of 1 138 d n Labour (2 v) or Class 139 40 141 Legalization of in the Russ an Duma 1 143

Loyalty to 1 125 1 7 & m Mult pl catton of (ee also Groups) 141 1 380 597 513 14 New Local or Rac al 140

Religious or Eccles ast cal and the reverse 1 138 9 Strength of the former 1 142 Social st or Communist (90)

Old Stupt on of 1 137 & 141

D stupt on of 1 137 & 141

Scope and Type of 1 125 149

Organs of 1 126

Resons for ex stence of 126

Resons for ex stence of 126

Resons for extence of 126

by 1 507 Types of Men d stingu shable in Value of 138 & я Vigour of Four Forces conducing to 1 126-7 d. m Pol t cal Patronage see Patronage above and under Countries Pol t cal Fropagnals cost of 142 Pol t cal Scence, 141 Charl Cornelations to by the U.S.d.

Pol t cal Part es -cont nucl

Ch ef Contribut one to by the USA 28 Pol t cal Sect onalism effection of Popular

Vot ng 469
Pol t cal Terms D fference in from

Sc ent fic 1 17
Polit cal V rtue, Trad t ops of soon for gotten 1 159
Polit cans

Character in 1 156 7 1 611 4 n Cons derations controlling 119 Education of General Level of in

Australia 1 208 233
Fa lure of Ch of Cause of 401
H ghest and rarest quality among 1

Opportun ties of for Influence, as com

Politics
Action on of Feature Ct. 1. 218 22

Act on on of Economics 1 218 229 231 2 Few who take interest in whence

Rule by the Few 602
Ind fference to Eramples of and
possible recrudescence of t
658 202 256 alo Civo

658 sqq see alo Cvo Apathy Interest a wil t last? 658 sqq Money the sinews of 1 143

Money the sinews of 1 143
Practical, Training of in knowledge
of Human Nature, 1 19

of Human Nature, 1 19
Profess on 2 sm in 1 291 292 8:

7 2 498 9 503

Religion as Influencing 1 90 199 1: 158 9 11 555 and the Format on of Groups 3

and the Format on of Groups 381 Rooted in Psychology 3 17 Secret Societ es and 1 361 Terms in associations of 1 4, 17

Terms in associations of 1 4, 17
Why not a Science, 1 16
Polity of the Athenans by Arstotle 1
193 n 1

Polytechn kum at Zur ch 1 412 Polythe sm 1 91 Poorer Classes Explo tat on of a Danger in Backward States 556 7

in Backward States 556 7
Popes the conflict of with Secular
Rulers 93 5
Popular Assembly Government by (see

al a each form of As embly)
506
Popular Cho ce of Leaders 11 604

by.

730 Popular Constitutional Imitiative, see Instance Popular Control of Foreign Affairs,

demand for, it 402, 419, 420 Popular Demonstrations in France, L. 128 Popular Election by the Whole Nation, or by an Assembly, discussed, ii CI8 & # 2

Popular Government, see People, the, Legislation Direct Sovereignty of, Will of, etc under People above, also

Institutive and Referendum Defects observable us, u 490, 497-9 Executive as the weak point in, dis-

cussed, n 393 577 Facts and Forces

Creative of 1 27 572 Retardative, or Arresting, 1 43-5 in General, observations on, 1 9 197 Mental and Moral Coefficients affect-

ing, 11 490-91, 499 177 Onguns of, L 145-7 Progress of, in the Modern World,

L 31 197 Real-pen of, how best studied, 1. 10 Salient Features of those considered, 11 490, 491 197
Popular Government, by Maine, cites, 1.

204-10, 415 & # Popular Government, set Essence, set Permanence, and six Perils, by Ex-President W H. Taft, cated, 11 95 m, 96 m., 166

Popular House, Countries in which Munisters are controlled by, IL 441

Popular Operan and Popular Government, by A. L. Lowell, # 84 # Popular Powers, Negative character of, ii

601-4 Popular Representation, Equal and Disproportsoned, instance of, 1 260 Popular Self-Government

Early, L 145-0 Functions retained by, 1 146-7 Popular Sovereignty, see People, Sovereighty of, above

Population-quality, change in, as affecting Traditions, 1. 158 Populations Best fitted to exercise Self-Government, Characteristics of, it 550

Large and at Different Levels, Public Opinion weak in, is, 155-6.

563-4 Populists, USA # 45 "Pork Barrel, the," : 557 m, 1, ii 63 d m. 11 324 m

Portugal a Democracy, L 26, it. 632 Executive Head of State in, ii con Second Chamber in, Indirect Election

to, 11, 440 Socialism us, Anti-religious colour of, 1. 627

Suffrage in, 1 24 Ties with, of Brazil, advantages of, t. 221

Portuguese America, see Latin America Postgate, R. W , book by, on Bolshevitm, etted, ii 639 \$97 Poverty, a Safeguard of Democracy, is.

493, 503, 531 Dower

Concentration of Essential to Efficiency, ii 599 Derived from the People, doctrine of,

so abeyance, is, 650 d. s. Long Possession of, and Staleness, it.

Passing to the Few, 11- 289, 599, 602-4 Width of, in Communist States, it. , 653-4 Worthp of, 1 162

Practical Forces, Crestive of Popular Government, L 27 122 Precedent, English reliance on, i 350 Prefects, French, 1. 238, 262-3, 272,

273 & s. I, 310, 311, 315, Prefectural Council, France, s. 210 Preferential Majority Voting for Senators, Australia, results of, u. 206

Preferential Primaries, U.S.A., ii. 76 * 2 "Preferential" Voting, in Australian

States, ii 200 Presbytemanism, origin and nature of, i. President, or Presidents, see also under

Countries President of the Council, France, choice by, of Cabinet Ministers, i

293-4 President of French Chamber of Deputies, Functions of, L 275 Presidential Election, in various Countries,

u 518 æ # 3 Presidential Primaries, U.S.A., it. 597

Presidential System Cabinets in 11 508 Dements of, u 514-15, 541-2 Distinctive quality of, u 521 Legislatures in, in 508 Ments of, 11. 516

Responsibility in U.S.A., Chain of, ii. 540 577

States favouring, # 521-2

Pres dent al System—con nued in USA and other States is 506 509 & n z Pres dents

D ctatorship of 1 228 see all a under Lat a American Republics of French Groups small authority of 1 285

Party influen e and STI 12 Status and functions of ST4 ST5

517 518 Checks on, 514 517 Press the, see also Journalism, News

papers and Press under Countres Agent of Polit cal Purity 527 534 Attitude of to

Attitude of to Span sh American affairs n 1898 and to

Transvaal affa r 1899 114 & m in a Democracy 81 2 83 104 199 117 18 119 123 Freedom of 1 65 104 5

Influence of on Democ acy Summary : 123-4 Fore gn Policy : 113 14 123 334

1 406 Polit cana favourable or otherwise 610 11

Polit cs in regard to Decline a Legislature, 1 371 372 Voters 135 Invaluable Services of 124

Irrespons b bty of 1 t 9 123 124
Monopoly danger of 121 2
Public confidence n 117 123
Public opinion as ascerta ned f om

175
Relat ve Author ty of 120
Subornat on and Control of by Money
Powe etc 106 499

Fresthood Roman Catholic not a Caste or Oligarchy 1 94 Primaries U.S.A.

Closed 141 143 D rect 142 3 de m Open, 143

Unoffic al, 142 Primary Assemblies Safegua da on

Prime Ministe's Pe sonality and Status of is compared with those of Presidents in 517 18

Prince The by Machiavelli Buds edton c ed 6 2 m 2

Prince Imperial, the, and h s Supporters 240 effect on them of h s death 242 327 Private B lls un
France, 1 338 9
Great Br tain 1 85 370 376 540 n t
U S A 338 9 1 62 d n 85 d n

Pr vate Opin on, d vergent from Public
Opinion, 1 174
Pr vate Property

Domn on act on as to, see Land etc under each D m n on and Economic Equal ty 1 43 75 6 1

635-6 Gospel teaching on 1 97 Insecurity of U.S.A. 1 169

Vews on, of Communists 625 635 6 644

& # 645 & # 649
Democrats 43 54 75 6
F at French Revolut on ats 245

French Pensantry 1 245
Problems of To-day by Storev 323 n
Proceed ngs of Mas acre est Con tut onal

Conten on on In tat ve etc 160 n Procureur Général, French 1 306

Profess onal Classes
Aust al an Op n ons and Interests of

French Influence of on Pol tics 1 324 335 Profess onal Politic ant 1 291 92 1

ofess on al Politic ans 1 291 92 1 83 # 2 498 9 503 504 Non Eastent in British Dominion 496 Switzerland 1 496 490

Not necessarily made by Payment, 1
291

Rive of Causes leading to 499 503

Proves 1 and Posts to by Henry Grosses

Progress and Pose ty by Henry George long lasting Influence of 608 Progress ves USA 45

Prohib t on see under Countr es Proletar an D ctatorsh p 630 636 Proletanate the the Commun st c Ideal 625

Early equ valent of People, 1 167 Revolut on theory 636 & n 2 Propaganda Dangers of 175 1 50

Propaganda Dangers of 175 : 505 530 Property see Pr vate Property above

Property Qualificat ons for Voters 1 32 33 36 37 71 6 # 72 Aristotle on 205 # 1 in Australia 193 in Bel

grum, 1 71 n 172
Proport and Representation in Various
Countries 1 172 n 222 n
381 457 n 462 496

54, 200 206 de n 1 306

Prosecutions, in Greek Republics, i. 195-6, 199 & n, ii. 430 USA, ii. 483

Prespenty, Stabilizing effect of, 1 218 Protention, Views on, in Various Lands, 1 526, ii 222, 224, 225, 237, 242 437, 316 d m, 327-

928 Protestant Ideas on

Divine Right of Kings, 1 95 Equality, 1, 102

Proudhon, P J., French followers of, 1

525; on the Human desire
to be governed, n 601 n 1;
on Private Property, n 644

Provincial Newspapers, French and

British, Characteristics of, 1 331 Prussia

Acquiescence of, in Bismarck's rule, ii 660 Class Distinctions in, i 68-9

Liberals of, Conflict of, with Bismarck,

44
Militarism the Ideal of, 1 155
Monarchical Olimpohym, 1 180 A 7 2

Monarchical Oligarchy in, 11 589 de # 2
Efficiency secured by, 11 590-91,
593
Monarchy of Theoretical and Practical.

11 589 & st Refusil in, of Electors! reforms, 1 45 Prytany, the, 1 193, 196

Prytany, the, 1 193, 196
Paslins, the, Ideals in, of Happiness, ii

\$32
Psychology

of Habit, 1 152 in relation to Politics, 1 17 in relation to Politics, 1 17 in Public Bills, U S A., 11 63 Public Conduct, Ideals of, how inculested

and reinforced, 1 152 127
Public Duty, the first, 11, 537, see also Civic
Sense, under Countries
Public Elementary Education in England,

Establishment and Extention of, 1 79, 80 Public Elementary Schools, Civic Educa-

tion us, 1 86
Public Franchises, U.S.A., 11 86

Public Health, 11 579
Public Honesty, Want of, 11 497
Public Institutions, Central or Local
Administration of, 11 482

Public Lands, Problem of, throughout the ages, n 242, 314 Public Life

Abstention from, etc., see Civic Apathy Best Men not recruited for, to Democracies, it. 197, 207, 223, 267, 363, 377, 500-501, 614, 617 Public Life—continued Improvement of, in Democracies, in 401, see also under Countries Tone of, Formative Agencies, is 343.

it. 534
Traditions of, still active in England
and France, it 196
Vulgarization of, Causes of, 11, 501

Vulgarization of, Causes of, ii. 501
Public Manners, ii 471, 479
Public Meetings as Guides to Public
Opinion, i 175

Public Offices, and the Spoils System

(9 v), 1 132

Public Openion (see also under Countries), is

Public Opinion (see also under Countries), s-170 297 Action of, on

Class Antagonisms, 1, 178
Class Selfishness, 11 637
the Few, 11 596-7
International Politics, 1, 178 mg

International Politics, t. 175 % etc. also Press Influence on Foreign Policy
Party (9 v.), 1 133 d. s., 134

Chief Defect of, 1 182
Civic Centres of, 1 480-81
Comparative force of, u 502
Continuous Operation of, i 173-4-

179. 180. 181-2
Definition of, 1 173
Examples of, 1 174
Growth of, 1 176

Homogeneity as creating, ii. 549-50 How best Ascertained, i 175-6 Makers of, 1 322-3, 324

Exceptions to, in France, i 323, 324
Ministerial perception of, i 174, 181
Numbers essential to formation of,
ii 554 n

Oscillations of, u 399-400 and Publicity, Chief Arms of a Democracy, u 534

Sole effective restraint over Money
Power, 11 530
Strength of, 11 457

How to Gauge, 1. 176 in Small Communities, 1 563 Talk as Formative of, 1 81, 332 Value of, 11 501-2

Vigilance of, in Small Communities, ii. 493 Wise, Formation of Conditions requisite

for, 1 177-8, 182
Public Opinion and Popular Government, by

Lowell, is. 463 *
Public and Privace Ownership of Transportation, pros and cons of,

Public Service Commissioners and Assistants, functions of, New Zealand, is 309

	· -
Public Service Commissions in Aus-	Pace D ffe ence
	in Canada effects of 1 178 510 11
t a an Sates 213 14	
Public Services see and r Cun re see	518 524 5 5 7 531 545
alo State Activites und	561 565 567
Countr es	as affect ng Public Opin on 178
Public Speaking influence of relatie to	Ra e Facto the, 574
that of Newspapers 109	Rs e Fus on Problem of 235 6 d n
113 118 19	665 6
D. 11. C 113 110 19	Rac al Admixtu e n U S.A., Early days
Public Sprit 88	Kacai Admitta c n o barn Lamp days
as Guarantee of Political Purity	5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 5 6 5 6 5 6 5
533	Rac al D v s ons and Pa ty 126 140
Public Ut lit es ownersh p of Cun	Ruc ally distinct subjects are they The
st tuting a Demo racy	People 1 163
6 n 2	Rad cal Democrat typ cal Polit cal Creed
Public We ks Con truct on of Local or	of (1830-70) 62
Cent al, 481 2	Rad at Group n House of Commons
D 11	1870-80 284 #
Public ty see also Press	
Essent al to Respons blity 555	Rad cal Party Sw tzerland 463
and Party 143 Pass on fo n U.S.A. 129	Rad calism Shades in 141
Pass on fo n USA 129	Radicals Frenh 263 325 6
and Public Opin on, Chief Arms of a	Ralways see und rea h Country
Democracy 534	Ra son d Etat in France, 349
Puerto Rico ces on of to USA	Ranke L von, 364 11 573
410	Reading 88
Puritans	as Subs tute for Th nking 81 176
runtans	
Democratic dess of 32 English, nfluence of on the New	Pealson, hat one show ng 499
English, nituence of on the New	Resson, the Age of 1 51
Eng and mind 37	Recall, the Ame can 153 4 162
Gre ances of 33	163 99 494 540 544
ind v dualism of as operating in	551
USA 8	Sw spaulel to 379
Push, The in New Zealand 345	Red Feds New Zea and 348
Pu se the, Obgarch es of 602	Red str but on of Seats
, •	Aus a a 200
	Great B tag 33 35
Quebec Province	Reed T B and the USA Speakersh p
French Inhab tants of Cha acte t a	61
French Inhab tants of Cha acte t s of 511 5 2 521 2 Leg slatu e of 516 Second Chambe of 419 #	Re Ele ons Countres favou ng e
Leg slatu e of 516	Australia Sw tze land and
Second Chambe of 439 n	USA
and Prohib tion 526 & n	Recves Rt Hon Pember book by on
Queensland	Sta e Experiments in Aust al
Legisatu cof, 192 193	
Ralways in 254	Referendum (e al o In tat ve) 54
Referendum n applications of ii	Kererendum (e ai o In tat ve) 1 54
203 dr 288 n	438 de n 540 (se spe a y Chap XXIX Vol I and
Religion only Political fac or in	Chap XXIX Vol 1 and
226 n	Chap LXV Vol II)
Self Government set up in 88	121 Australia 191 199# 202# 39
	Canada and 540
	Demand fo Support e ental to
Suga Subvent on in 245 Vot ng Methods n 200 201	472 d. n
	n Esthona 438 # 3
Quest ons in Pa hament 510 534	in Large Popula one D ff ultes of
Quen foa Rfrmd Palamn	474 5 632
Quen foa Rfrand Palam n	Nature of 71 179 4 7 488 9
34 m I	in New Zealand 346
	Non existent in France, 266
R ce. Influence of on	Poss b ht es of in
Fom ton of Goups 281	
	Legis at on 380
Manne s 575	Mun c pal Matters 468

Cost, 1 424 Creation of, 1 418 Deductions from, 1 429 Demand for, u 472 4 s. Working of, 1 419 137, 470, 494 Application to entry into League

of Nations, 1 489 & n

Effect on Party, 11 463-4

Matters submissible to, 11 460,
462

Matters withheld from, 1 419,

Tendencies retealed by, i 432 279
Two deductions from, 1 430
as Tests of Popular fitness for Government, u, 464-7
in U.S.A., 1 432 m, 434, 440, u
153 279, 369, 375, 458, 460,
461, 463, 494, 508 m 2

Exempted subjects, 11. 155
Results, 11. 155, 156 & s., 157
157
Use made of (see also under Countries above), 11. 460, 461

Restrictions on, Suggested, u 473 & s 2 Value of, u. 467 197 Referendum, Le, by Curth, 1. 417 n.

Referencem. Le, by Curb, 1, 417 n.
Reform in Canada, why apparently
flagging, 1 547-8
Ref.rm, The, of Legal Pracedure, by M.
Stotev, 11 448 s 1

Reform Acts, British, 1 33 129, 11. 532, 583, 592, 611 # No Keen Popular Demand for, 1 34,

Reform Party, New Zealand, 11. 304,

Reformers
Philosophers as, L 18
Revolt of, dual Nature of, L 94-5

English method of making, s. 350, 363 U.S.A., see under U.S.A. Regenzy, the, Morals during, i. 365 Regionalism, French, s. 297, 299-300, Regionalism, French, s. 297, 299-300,

Regionalist Party, Catalonia, i. 140
Regionalist Party, Catalonia, i. 140
Regulus, self-devotion of, i. 154
Reschistag, the, Parties in, Multiplication

of, u. 380 d n. 2, 381; and Popular Control, i. 44 Reid, Sir G. H., u. 209, 232, on Rudeness in N.S. Wales Legislature,

in N.S. Wales Legislature is 209 n. Religion, see also under Countries and the formation of Groups, is 381

and the formation of Groups, it. 381 underlying Impulse of, i. 762 Influence of, in Politics, i. 90 1979, 126, 158-9, ii 555

t 58-9, 11 555 Religious Beliefs, Roman attitude to, i.

Religious Intolerance in Spain, i. 155 Religious Liberty, i. 58, 60 and the English Porities, i. 65

Relations with other forms of Liberty, s. 61 177. Religious Orders, 1 91 Swiss Laws on, 1 384, 487

Religious Struggles in England and France, contrasted, 1 350-51 Vitalizing Influence of, 11, 659 Religious Teaching in Schools Australia, 11, 219, 222

Canada, i. 525 New Zealand, ii. 306, 349 Renan, E., 1. 364 Reporter, the, in French Chamber of

deputies, t. 276
Representation, Parliamentary
Origin of, ii 383-4 & n.
Various rices of, iii 384 sqq.
Representative Assemblies

Representative Assemblies

Domination of, by Party, Australia,

11 189

and Responsibility, 11 543

Safequards on, u. 429
Representative Character of Second
Chamber, authority derived
from, ii. 446

Representative Governments
Anticipations from, ground for, ii.
373-4
Leading Examples of, ii. 367, 168

271-4 Leading Examples of, si. 367, 368 Points to consider in regard to, n 509

Types of, ii. 506 177.
Features of
Common, ii. 506-7
Distinctive, ii. 507-9

Representative Governments-cont n ed Unknown in Greek Republics 1 188 d. Representative Inst tutions why Essent al u 377 Representatives Parliamentary (see also Members under Legislatures under Countre) Status and Dut es of 185 97 Pa hamentary Cho ce of 1 554 Payment of see und r Countres Unpa d in Ch le 217 Rept le Press German i 106 117 Republic not identical with Democracy 1 20 Republican Party France 96 283 299 301 325 6 221 281

USA 140 45 π 1 46 and
Republics
An ent see al o Athens and Greek
Republics 185 199
Bellicos ty of 396
Elowence in 606

Ferior ty of D spute in, 277
Modern Governments Modelled on
1 3
New Eu opean iee under Europe
Spanish American, 1 209 & n iqq
and et Latin America
Reservat on of Subjects from Legislatures
432 3 435
Respons b ty

espons b ty

Chain of 1 537 297
in Democrac ce (see al a under Count e)

Enforcement of 536 297

Enforcement of 542 297 555

Enforcement of 542 297 555

Individual 530 7 543

under Parlamentary Government i

Prince of 68 ar 1 611

Prince of 80 Auror of 538

and Public of 538

and Public of 538

and State Bussiess Act vit cs 613

under who ch System best secured

who ch System best secured

who ch System best secured

Revenge Sprt of in Modern Demo
caces, 637
Revenue Ra sing of controlled by Lower
House 507
Reverence, decay of and possible re
Revolutionary Movements perent day
Revolutionary Movements perent day
Revolution (m al o French, and Span sh
American Revolution

American Republ cs)
A ms of 55z
Continental, Ant religious Cha acter
of how evoked 96

Revolutions—con mucd

Democracy the best guarantee against
in USA 1 179 but not
rendering mpossible 1 617

Economics as extunguishing 1 218

European 621

British Sympath es w th 1 413
Hab ts of Thought and Act on engen
dered by 1 362 & n 363
of North America and the Revolution
ary War 1 36 37 96 11 4

8 11 636 de n 2
Revue pol que de l'École Labre des
Sc ences pol que at cles un
1 325 n

Rewards in relation to Effic ency 486

Rewards in relation to Efficiency 486

531 538

Rich the
in Australia Opin ons and Interests of
197 198 207 208 232

and Poor Partes of Ancent and
evolving 140 141
and Taxation 1 200 #

Richelieu Card nal and the French C v l Servee, 1 307 R ddell Mr Justee, book by on the Canad an Const tut on 1 5 6 n 539 n

Right to Work, Claim of 1 624, in New Zea and 348 R ghts of Idd v duals problem of 60 61 R ghts of Man (ee de 0 Natural R ghts) Doctrine of Amer can and French Declarat ons on 1

37 245 % unheard of in the Anc ent World 30 R gid Const tutions 10 11 22 430 507 Post on of Jud caries under 421 2

Post on of Jud c ares under 4212 Rest ctions involved in 1435 Rings in Politics Canada 531 Sw tze laud (rarc) 496 USA 84, 106 110 11 199 141

50
Re e and C ow h of Am r an Pol s by
Prof H J Ford 40 n
R sorgmento the Italian heroes of 571
Rittinghausen — doctrines of 449 n
Robers erre s ga ficance of h s Sobr quet

523 Roman Cathol c Church in Australia, 218 219 226 n 267 Belgium 100 Canada (9 2) 1 100 511 d n 521

522 531 Latin America 214 22 U.S.A. 1 123 Pornan Catholic Emancination, ii 100 Roman Catholicism and Buddhism, resemblances of, 1 92

Roman Law followed by French Canadians, 1 512 Influence of, in France, : 349 Never adopted in Britain, 1 351 Roman Parallel to Boss rule in U.S.A.

Roman Writers, period of the most illus-

trious, 11 572 d r Pomene Characteristics of, L. ET. 400

Rome Ancient, Imperial, and Republican, 1 204

Caesarism 12, 1 161, 11 268 Class Distinctions in, 1 68 Cornitia of, 1 146, 377 Conduct of Foreign affairs in. ii 80 m Constitution of, 1 186

Corruption in, 1 157, 198 m, ii 524, 526 de m , 531 Decay of Tradition in, Causes of, 1 Tex

Democracy never thoroughgoing in, a 29-30

Devotion to the State in, instances of, a 754 Eloquence in, u 606

Empire of a 660 Provincial disturbances in by Military Adventurers, 1 206

Faithfulness in, to Tradition, 1 159 Legislature of, Balances to, ii 429, 431 Obgatchy of, 11 500 Why broken down, 1 29-30

Republic of Demoralised by Money Power, n

571 Fall of results to Self Government. 1 70

Land Problems of, 11 242 Law of, resemblances to, of English Common Law, 1 560 Voting Methods of, 1 164

Women's Freedom under, 11 575 Working of, 1 492 Senate of, Counterbalances to, is 431

and Foreign relations, n. 407, 590 Power of, basis of, ii 447 d nn Slavery in, i. 187

and the Theory of Popular Sovereignty, 12 459 Romano-Germanic Emperor, republica under away of, 1 39, 41

Romansch Language, 1 370 de # 2 Roosevelt, President President T., on "Male-factors of Great Wealth," n. 120; Personality of, L. 218, m c18

Root, Senator E, book by, on Government and Constitution of America, 11, 12 n. 2, 166 n Rossa. Proudent, rule of, in Argentina, i

214, 217, 219 Roscher, W. L 15

Ros., enol and Stewart, book by, ested, on New Zealand Affairs, it. 208 de n , et patiem, in notes

Rotorus Geyser Region, New Zealand, Nationalization of u. 222

Round Table, The, ested, u. 250 n.

Rousseau, Jean Jacques, 1 7, 43; and Christianity, 1 101, 102, on Direct Popular Government, 1 416, and the Doctrine of Popular Sovereignty, 11 459; on Censorship of Literature, in 648, on "Common Will n. 600 d # 2, Communal Sount expected by, 11 666; Influence of, 1. 40, 51, 96, 485, n. 608, on Nonenstence of a true Demoстасу, и 600 и 2; од Popular Government, 1, 416,

410 a . on Public Services and private advantage therefrom, 1 201 n. Royal Irish Constabulary, 11 482 Royalists, French, see also Monarchist

loss of Ground by, 1 242 Ruchonnet, -, 1 393 Rulers, real (see also Few), Fewness of, it

501 Rumania, Democratic backwardness in,

11 621 Fural Local Authorities, 1 140, see also

Parish, Town, etc. Rural Police, absence of in USA, it 98,

Rurales, the, in Mexico, 1, 226

Russia Present Day (1921) Administrative Critelty persisting inunder New Rule, 11 582

Anarchism in it. 626 Bolshevism in. ii 640 Communists in, H. 645 n., View of,

on the Average Man, 1 73 Compulsory Labour in Trotsky's defence of 11 629 # 2

Corruption in (and Jonder Teanst rule), 1 147, 364, # 497, -523. \$27. \$57-591 "Democracy" in, 1 12. \$3. \$3 Size of country in fagerd to, ii-

Unfitmess for, example 4 u. 562 \$55, 561

Russ a-con inued
Present Day (1921)-continued

Education in, 1 82, 83, 89, 11 550 Government Censorship in, 11 648 Industrial Unions in 11 640 "Intelligentus" in, 11 554 81

"Intelligentsia" in, ii 554 st the Mir in, i 146 National Sentiment in, ii 565

Orthodox Church in (1920), 1 99-

and Pers 2, 11 560 Plutocratic unfluen

Plutocratic influence slight us, ii

Press and Ministerial relations in, 1 117 Productive Capacity of 1 214 n

Proletarian Dictatorship in,

Revolution in, and after, is 548, 549 Self Government for, 5 5

Pessimistic view on it 564, 565 Socialism in, Anti religious tone of, it 627

Soviets and Sov et Rule 12, 11 520, 548, 549, 636 de # 3,

639-41 Teariet

Bureaucracy in u 408, 589 and see Corruption, above

Civil Service of, ii 195 Corruption ii, see above Finance under 1. 153

Foreign policy of, and the outcome, ii 408, 417 Monarchy (Autocratic) in, ii 413,

586, 587
Fall of 1 5 11 417, 548, 549
Russo Turkish War of 1876, British

Public Openion on, # 414 15
Safety against External Attack, as secured
by Democracy, # 577, 578

St. Augustine, 1. 98, 122 # St. Gothard Railway, Political Importance of, to Switzerland 1 461 # 2

of, to Switzerland 1 461 m St Paul, 1 69, 122 m St. Thomas Aquinas 1 122 m, 439 m

St Thomas of Canterbury, 1, 94 Saladin, 1 28 Salams, Battle of, 11 546

Salaries of Officials (see also Payment of Meinhers of Legalatures), various ranks in various Landes(and see under Official Clames), 1 308, 405; n 44,

Languagna see under Official
Clames), 1 308, 405, n 44, e
16, 77, 170, 219
Salvador, Republic of, 1 209 n
Pohteal Lectof 1 275
Samos Wer West New Zealand & Mandate

1 344 VOI San Domingo, Republic of, 1 209 # US influence in, 1. 215 San Francisco

Boss and Ring rule in, is 114 Civic Amenities neglected in, is 306

San Marino, ii 551 # San Martin, i 215, 217 Sand, George, on Diffusion of Talent

San Martin, 1 215, 217
Sand, George, on Diffusion of Talent in
France, 1 363
'Scabe," ii 269

Scherer, E, on Democracy as producing Mediocrity, 4, 363 Schiller, D F von, 1 499, ii 573, 623 Schlesager, M, cated ii 622 & s

Schlesinger, M. cited 11 622 & n Scholefield, G., book by, on New Zealand, 11 311 n School Committees, U.S.A., 11 15

School - Teachers, see Teachers, under Countries

Schwytz, Democracy of, 1 39 Science Democracy in relation to 21 550 122

Demotracy in relation to, ii 569 177 Physical, Swift Advance in, ii. 618 Scotland

Scotland
Government institutions in, pre Union,
ii 434 π 2
Kings of, 1 28

Political fainfants in, 1 177
Scoto Irish Stock in USA, 11 5
Scottish Provincial Newspapers, Compared with French, 1 221

Scottish Traits in New Zealanders, ii 356, 357 Scratching at U.S.A. Elections, ii. 53 Scrutateurs, Swiss, 1 387

Scrut and arrondissement, 1 387
Scrut and arrondissement, 1 205 n, 270
Scrutin de liste, 1 205 n, 242 n, 270
Secession, War of, in USA, see War of

Second Ballot Act, New Zealand, repeal of, 11 349 n

Second Chambers, see also Senates, under Countries, and below British Example followed in, ii 438 as Checks on Popular Government, i

Creation of Conditions preventing, 11 457

Conditions preventing, 11 457 Methods of, 11 439 199 , 454 Desiderata in, 11 453 199

Desiderata in, ii 453 sqq Direct Election to, Drawbacks of, ii

D rectly formed, 11 446 in Federal States, 11 439

in Federal States, ii 439 Functions and Powers of, i 265, 376, ii 433, 445 497, 448 9 Classification of, ii 440 41

Three Theories of, 11 448 9 Indirectly formed, 11 447 Second Chambers-communed Nomination to, it, 419-40 Drawbacks of, 11. 442-3 Present-Day reasons for maintaining,

ii. 450 197 Utility of, 11. 453 177 Secondary Schools, Civic Education in, L.

86-7 Secret Commissions, 11, 526

Secret Diplomacy, u. 402 & #, 403, 406-Abolition of, Popular demand for, in.

418-19 Need for, u. 420 Secret Societies (see also Freemasonry,

under Democracy), t. 361 Sects, L QI # Secularism in Education, see under Aus-

tralia, France, New Zealand Sedan, 1, 239

Seddon, Rt. Hon. J., Prime Minister, New Zealand, and his Politics, 11 286, 299, 300 #77, 310-311 d m., 316, 318, 319, 321, 324 d #, 326, 129,

335, 339 & # 1, 356 Sedgwick, A., The Democratic Mistake by, creed, 11 539 de m. I Seignobos, M., book by, on Political Contemporary History in

Europe, cited, a 246 d n. Selectmen, U.S.A., u. 14 Self-Confidence

in Democracies, 1 72, 73, 11, 571, 574-5, 578-9 as Qualifying for Leadership, 11. 607-8 Self-Governing Institutions

and Backward populations, 1. 5 Plants of Slow growth and Struggle, 11 548, 549, 564, 565, 566

Self-Covernment Basis of, # 552-3 Early Instances of, u. 554. Educative Value of, s. 498, 11. 580, see also Local Self-Government

Effective only when desired by the Mastes, IL 548, 549, 562 Evolution and Growth of, ii, 550, 551 177.

Meaning of, in practice, t. 59 Possible weariness of, in 661 Value in, of Tradition and Training, u. 178

Self-Interest, Danger of, 11. 504, 505 Self-Peliance, evolution of, ii 567 Scinshness of Modern Democracy, is, 141-2 Selim I., and the Khalifate, s. 97 a.

Semi-Nomadie Races, Government among, 11. 563

Sempach, Battle of, i. 154 Senates, see also Second Chambers, and under Countries

as Checks on Lower Houses, II, 474-9 Powers of, relative to First Houses, u. 441-2

Ideal, Selection of, Methods suggested, 11. 454 477. Semprity

in Civil Service Promotion Australia, ii 212 New Zealand, 11, 100 Deference paid to, in U.S.A., reason

for u 6 Sentimentality towards Criminals, U.S.A., and elsewhere, ii. 96 & a.,

97, see also Condonation Senussi, the, 1 91 %. Separation of Powers, Doctrine of,

followed in France, L 257-8, 271, 311 & s.

Rome, H. 420, 411 Switzerland, in 23 U S.A., L 156, 552, 11, 12, 20, 22, 23,

46, 131, 177, 429, 431-2, 435, 514-15, 521 Serbia, Social Equality in, s. 477-8 Servius Tullius and the term Proletanate.

u 616 #. 2 Settlers, see also under Countries Individualism of, u 8

Seward, W. H., t. 118 Shakespeare, W., Age of, 11. 573 Sheep-farming, see under Australia, and New Zealand Sheep-sheaters, Australia, is. 198

Sheldon, -, on Bible support for Divine Right of Kings, L 99 Shelley, P. B., u 623 Shire Councils, Australia, 11, 218 Short Ballot movement, U.S.A., ii. 148

Short Terms of Office, see under Athens, Switzerland, and U.S.A. Short Views, in Australiana, ii. 275, 296, 720, 754-5, 167, 406

Siberia Cities of, growth of, it. 107 a. 1 Composite Population of, u. 556

Siegfried, A., book by, on Democracy in New Zealand, cited, ii. 302 s., 711 H., 330 H., 352 d R. 2

Sieyès, Atbé, on Second Chambers, 11 438 Signs of the Times, The, Address by Ex-President Taft, u. 138 m. 2

Simpley Loctschberg Railway, privately owned, s. 383 Sin, changed views on, n. 576

Single Chamber rule in England, L. 16 Single Tax, supporters of, on Taxation of

Land, n. 242

Single-Tax Law, U S.A., ii. 154 Sun Fein Party, L 140 Size of Countries 19 relation to Forms of Covernment free also Sentrer and. Orange Free State, etc.), 11. 791. 519 \$22- 548, 549. cci, 552-4, 550, 550, 562,

Slave holding Party, USA., and the Mencan War, IL 410 Slave States of American Union and Brazil, parallel between, L 225

Slavery Britain ends, it. <82 in Europe, 1, 10 in Greek Republica, 1 187 and Natural Equality, L 69 70 and Natural Inequality, 1, 70 and the Rights of Matt. L. 180 in Spanish America, L 211 # 1, 225 12 U.S.A. 11 5, 6, 25, 216

Slave, Polish and Serbian, 1 499 Shp Ticket, the in U.S A., ii 62 Small Landholders Canada, 1 504, 510, 519, 11 185, 186,

Lack of in Australia, u. 275 New Zealand, Land for, n 312 177 Small Landowner, Problem of, Swiss

solution of, 1 504 Small States, Value of, to Democracy, u 489 Smith, Goldwar, t. 116, 118 s. Snap Elections and Public Opinion, 1 179

Social Changes, acting on Democracy, ii 618 191 Social Conditions

in America after the War of Independ ence, u. 5 in New Zealand, Improvements in,

11. 334 and Political Institutions, il. 554 Social Democratic Party

in Germany, 1 45 New Zealand, 14 349 and the Right to Work, H 127 # 1

Social Environment, Unifying force of, in USA, IL 123 Social Equality

Bases of, 1. 74 5 in the British Dominions, 1. 37, 11 193 Defined L 68 9 European Countries in which greatest,

L 477 8 m Islam, 1. 93 ın Switzerland, 1 378, 484 199, 495 Social Inequalit # , 1 75, 237, 238 Social Influences commanded by Money Power (q v), 11. 571

Social Reconstruction, it 625 199

Social Remonsibility, Lost Sense of effect on Legislatures, ii 371 2 Social Structure as Factor in Self Covernment, 11 540

Social Welfare in New Zealand, by Lunk. 11. 711 m. Socialism and Socialists, see also under Countries, and State Socialism.

helova and Anarchism, Common Aims of, ii 626 Anti religious Colour of, ii. 627 and Christianity, L 97 197 Economic bases of, 1 140 41

of Labour Leaders, 11 258 9 d # , 626 Modern, in relation to the three French Revolutions.

625# 2 Socialist Methods, it 627 Socialist Ministry, French, firmness of, in dangerous Strike, ii 197

Socialist Parties, 1- 140 41, 299, 463 Evolution and bases of u 381 Methods of Action possible to, u 627-

Sects among U. 627

Solon, 1 204 Sanderbund, the, L 370 n 1, 383 n Sonderbund War, in Switzerland, 1 370 de 1, 392

South Africa, Public Opinion in, Race d fference as affecting, 1 178 South African Republics, Parsimony of,

1 487 South African War the, 11 397 # 2 British Public Opinion on, divisions in,

1 133 m, 11 387, 415, 416 Ch ef Cause of, 1 163 m South America, see also Latin America Republics of 1 200 #

South Australia Civil Service Examinations in, il 212 Legislature of, ii 197 Manhood Suffrage in, 11 188 Ministerial Changes in, il 200 Party Struggles in, ii 227

Self Government set up in, it 187 8 South Carolina, 1, 24 South Eastern Europe Self Government in it 548 # 2

Status of the People 10, 1 25 South German Princes, Swiss conflicts

with, 1 40 South Slavonic Methods of Land Alloca

tion, 1, 146 Southern States, U.S.A. Negro Problem 10, 11 135

Political Attitude of, Present-day, u 6 Souverameté Populaire, La, by Micheli, referred to, 1 416 # 2

MODERN DEMOCRACIES 740

Soviets, see Russian Soviets Spain Anarchists of, i 96, 155, u 660 Anti-Clericalism in, 1 96, 155, 11 7,

627 Celtiberians of Kings of 1 28 Communism in, ii, 626 Corruption in, ii. 591 Democratic backwardness in. u. 62 t

Elections in, u 660 Empire of, lost, 1 210, 212, 215 Executive of, Popular Control of, 11.

398

Intolerance in, u 572, 574 Local Party in, i. 140 Monarchy in, it 507, 588

National Unity in, 1 235 in North America circa 1792-1814, ii 6 Political Groups in, 11 376 Race differences in, 1 481

Religious Intolerance in, 1 155, 11 572 Republic in, u. 660 Senate of

Nominations to, u. 440 Power of, Bases of, 11 447 Small Landowners in, i. 504

Socialism in, ii 660 Anti-religious colour of, 11 627 Theological Orthodoxy in, i. 85 U.S A and, 11 134, 409, 410 Universal Suffrage in, ii. 376, 660

Spanish America, see Latin America Spanish-American War, the, it 134, 410 Sparta, L 85 Speaker, the, of

Australian House of Representatives. 11 206 & # 2 House of Representatives, U.S.A.,

i 194, 11. 44, 61 Special Convention, Swiss Disapproval of, L 427 B. Specialists, need of, 1 73-4

Spencer, Earl, u 611 # Spiritual Freedom, Idea of, inculcated by Christianity, 1. 100, 101-2 Spoils System (chiefly in US A.), Working of, 1. 132, 405, 515, 553,

11 30-31 4 #, 40, 65, 84, 102, 146, 148, 401, 479, 578 Sport in Australasia, u. 268, 269, 357

Squatters, see under Australia " Squeeze," the, in China, ii 557 Standards of Conduct, 1. 152, 153 Standing Committees, Canada, 1 540 Standing Orders, Great Britain, is \$5 Star Chamber, the, i. 58 State, the

as Business Concern, it 64¢ de m Devotion to, the Roman Ideal, 1 154 State-continued

as Employer, Position of, in face of Strikes, u. 635 Political Coercion of, by Labour, ii.

627-4 State Action (see also State Activities under New Zealand), Effect of, on Individual, i. 61 see-

и 313, 362 State Assembly District Convention.

US.A, n 35 State (or National) Committees, U.S.A.,

11. TAT #. Platforms of, 11. 43-4 State Conventions, U.S.A., Delegates

from, for Presidential Elections, 11. 35 de # , 76 Platforms of, u. 43

State Employees Control and Dismissal of, it. 538-9 Individual Responsibility of u 538

Influence exercised by, u. 622 Slacking by (Government Stroke), i

205 de n 2, 11. 257, 323, 325 & # State Experiments in Australia and New

Zealand, by Pember Reeves, u 311 s State Purchase of Railway in France,

1 265, 310 State Senatorial District Convention, USA., 11 35

State Socialism (see also under Countries), i. 43

Dislike for, of French Senate, 1, 265 Inadequate data for Study of, 1. 12, 12 d # Stare Socialism in New Zealand, by

Rossignol and Stewart, cited, u 308 de n , et pasum en notes State Trading (see also State Activities, under Australia and New Zealand), 11. 579, 624; book

cited on, is. 652 s. States

Australian, and U.S.A., see under those

Countries Independence of, Preservation of, and Foreign Policy, 12. 404

Various Aims of, n 654-5 States General, in France, s. 348 Statemen in New Countries, Standards

by which to be judged, it 207-8

Statutes, Constitutionality of, Right of Canadian Courts to pronounce on, i. 542

Steed, W., Hapsburg Monarchy by, cited, u. 612 d s. 2

Sweden-connect

Second Chamber in

Emigration from, to U.S.A., ii 24 Estates in, ii, 437

Party Government in, z. 130 Monarchy in, ii 507

463, 472

Equal Political Rights of, 1 370

Steering Committee, U.S.A., u. 61

Constitut on of, 1 249

a Democracy, 1, 26

"Stonewalling," and the Closure, in

Australia, ii 206 Stor Thing, Norway, ii 441 #

Story of the California Legislature of 1911,

Storey, M., books by, 11 323 # , 498 #

by F. Hichborn, u 148 # Indirect Election to, if 440 Stout, Sir R., on Municipal Government Ones of, a 434 the Ynglings of, 1 28 in New Zealand, 11 305 & "Swing of the Pendulum," in Politics, пп. 206. 211 п Strength, importance of, in Rulers, it 601 11 399 "Strike," special meaning of, in USA, Swiss Confederation, see also Switzerland, heloen Recognition of, 1 41, 769 Strike, General, Anti Democratic, 1 362 # Strikes Swiss Delegates in Paris, 1801, Speech to. Australian, Political repercussion of, it by Napoleon I., 1 416, 454 5 Swiss Guards, at the Tuileries, 1702, 1 754 224. 225 Extended range of, 11 624 Swiss Guides, Intelligence of, 1 482 as Tools of Labour or Socialist Parties. Swiss Learne of Mutual Defence, 1 768 o Switzerland 11 627 8 Sympathetic, it 628 de n Administration in, 1 373 1971 II with Violence, 11 197 de nn 540 # 2, 578 Administrative Cases in, how dealt Strikes and Strike Riots in Australia, 11 224, 225, 239, 246 7, With, 1 401 & s 251 477, 280-81, 284, 495, Administrative Council in, 1 379, 11 508 496, 497, 578 Stuarts, the, and their followers, 1 126 Administrative Talent in, how secured for the Nation, 1 398 9 Studies in History and Jurisprudence, by Aliens in (see also Immigration, below), the Author, referred to, i 1 161 # 145 #, 250 #, # 500 # 2 Naturalization of 1 272 Subscriptions by M P's, as Indirect Army of, and System of Defence, 1 181. 395, 407 & n 199, 495, 504 Cost of, 1 408, 410 Corruption, 11 528 9 Subventions, see also Bounties and Grants Discontinuance, why suggested, ii 176 Elite of 1 407, 408 Swiss_1 407, 411 Organization of, 1 407 & s sqq, Succession Duties, New Zealand, u 217 504, 11 796 Suffrage Abuses, alleged, connected with, i Character of, as determining what is a 412, 497 Political Community, 1 24 Central Control of, 1 395 Educational and Property Qualifications Reserves, 1 407, 408 for, 1 32, 33, 36, 37, 71 de n, Strength of 1 408 72, 80 197 Assemblies in, see Gemeinde, and Electoral Fitness for, 11 547, 555 Landesgemeinde, below Equal and Universal, apparently un-Budget excepted from Referendum, 1 avoidable, 1 172 Extensions, Great Britain, 1 33 177, 47 Bureaucracy disliked in, 1 406, 409, and Labour Legislation, ii 623 430, 434, 482 m 1, 496 Candidates in, Choice of, 1 128, 468, Ignorance and, 1 71 d # , 79 soq Suffrages, see under each Form, and under 460 de nn., 477 each Country Cantonalist Feeling in, 1 377, 487 Suffragettes, excesses of, condoned, u Cantons of, 1 369, 370, 371, 373, 397 # 2 176 599 Assemblies of, 1 377 177 Sugar Bounties and Export Duty, Age tralia, 11 245 Constitutions of, 1 376 7, 178, 419 # Supplementary Votes in Belgium, 1 71 # . Councils of, 1 39 40, 379, 380, 11 445, 433, 484 Surtax, Graduated, in USA,, ii 317 18 Payment of Members, 1 379-80 Sweden Elections in, 1 379 199, 405, 445,

Switzerland-continued Cantons of-continued Covernments of is 176 \$27 Alleged abuses in, 1 413-14 Lessons from, p. 554

Rights and Powers of 1 370 \$77 Working of, suggested Changes in,

Initiative as applicable to, i. 418, 419, 421-2 199 Judiciaries of, t. 179, 402 179

Local Self-Government in, 1 374 Names of, 1 376 de #. Political Life in, t. 459-61

Populations of, L 376 & st. Public Service a Duty in 1 181 Referendum in, 1 418, 419

Representation of, in Federal Legislature, 1 376, 385-6 Spirit of Intrigue in, it 493

Subventions by, to Employees, 1 407 Subventions to, from Federal Funds, 1 384, 411

Capital Punishment in, 1, 184, 487 Centralization disliked in, 1. 375, 409,

487, 496 Cities of, alliance of, with the Three Cantons, 1. 368-9

Citizenship of Admission to, 1. 169, 172 de m 1, 373, 408 de m, 486 A R

Civic Education in, 1 86 & n. Civic Sense in, Strength of, p. 154, 323, 375, 381, 448 #, 472,

495, 498, 503-4, 507, 11-269, 500 Civil Code of, 1. 377 * 1, 383, 432

Civil Service of 1 195, 404 199 Relations of, to Political Life, 1 405-6 Status of, 1 400 d #., 410

Class-hatred absent from, 1 471 Clerical party of, Influence, etc., of, 1

463, 468, 472, 473 Communes of, Local Self-Government in, 1 373 527 Councils of, t. 174-5, tt. 481, 484

Compulsory Military Service in, 1 407, u 396 Compulsory Voting in, 1, 425, 466, u

Conservation in, 1. 435-6, 444, 483,

H 521 Constitutionalism in. 1 160 Constitutions of, at different times (see also Federal Constitution,

below, and Cantonal, above), L 40, 370, 416, 454-5, 456

Controversial Issues in, i 460-61 Corruption rare in, ii. 410, 408

Switzerland-continued Conneil of States in, i 186, ii 439 Composition and Powers of, 1, 186

Councils in, see under Cantons, and Communes, above, see also

Federal Council, below Decentralization in, 1 260, ii. 492, 493

Demagogism sare in, t. ecq

Democracy in, Antiquity, Character, Evolution, Success, and Faults of, i 3, 7, 38-41, 87-8, 150,

408. H 12 m. 1. 493, 593, 504

Examples from, s. 499 177 Future of, 1 506-7

Ments and Dements considered, i.

494 199.

Unique features of, i 7 Direct Legislation in, by The People, 379, 382, 385, 389-90, 398, 407, 409-10, 415 177,

48a, IL 191, 509 Arguments on, and Origin of, re-

capitulated, 1. 439 de # 199 Methods of see also Landesgemeinte. helozu

A. Referendum, i 418, 419 427, 472

B Imtiative, 1 418, 419, 421 597 , 448 179 , 11. 463 d # Divorce in, 1, 431, ii 576

Early conflicts of, i 40 Education in, 1 375, 383-4, 495, 503-4, u. 408

Electrons in, all kinds, i. 374, 375 4 #, 379 197. 385, 386, 389, 405, 445, 463, 464, 467,

472 Conduct of, 1 467 Cost of, 1 467 Frequency of, i 465

Party Influence on, i 463 197. Equality in, love for, i. 493, 497 Etateme 10, 1 434, 461 & # 1 Ethnography and Ethnology of, a 367-

168, 172 Executive of, 1. 385, 393 192 , 488, 491 Compared with American and British,

1 500 Party Influence on, i. 472

Executive Council, see Federal Council,

below Federal Constitution of, i. 191, 249, 367, 370, 376, 378, 382-4

Amendments of, opposed by and proposed by Instistive and Referendum, 1. 390, 418, 419,

428 d # , 429 177-, 11. 461 Authorities comprised by, 1. 385 177Federal Constitution of-cont nued Changes proposed in, L 494 Federal Tribunal in relation to, a 401.2 Prescription of, on Cantonal Constitution, 1 419 # Revision procedure, 1 182-1 Rights under, of Cantons, 1 376 7 Separation of Powers in 11 23 Federal (Executive) Council of, 1 251, 385 de m. 3, 393 477, 11. 500-501, 506, 519, 520 Advantages secured by, 1 398-9, 491,

Switzerland-continued

COO-COL. IL CT9 Allegations adverse to, 1 411 199 Composition and Function of, 1 394, COI de # Finance controlled by, 1 391, 395 Foreign Policy of, 1 408-9, 11 519 Membership of, 1 189 90, 194,

491, 12 1920, 1 501 2 Overloading of, 1 411 Usages of, L. 401 2 Federal Government, 1 48z 199 Frame of, and Working of, 1 185 177 . u 479, 492 3, 506, 508 a. \$19 177

Applicability of, to other Countries. 11. 499 501, 519 20 as expressing Popular Will, ii 509, 519, 52t Powers of Concurrent with those of Cantons, 1 377, 383 4

Federal Legislature of, 1 377 & m. t, 185 127 11 508 9 Cantonal Representation in, 1 376, 185-6

Constitutional Limitations on, 1 339 190 Debates in, 1 388, 424 Evolution of, 11 519 Intellectual Level of, 1 393 Members of, Elections of, 1 185, 186,

280 Character of, 1 476 7 Not considered as Delegates, in 387 Numbers in each House, s. 185 Payment, 1 386 Qualities shown by, 1 187 192

German , and French Speaking, 1 387 8 Methods of dealing with Bills, etc., 1 390 91, 395

Party Action in, L 470 71 Power of, over the Federal Tribunal, 1 401 2

Proceedings in, 1 388 \$77, 479 Federal Tribunal of i 385 de n 1, 399, 400 d nn , 401-2

Finance Bills, dealt with by Federal Council, 1 391, 395 Financial Parsimony of, 1 41s, 418, 464, 487, 11 579

Switzerland-continued

Foreign Affairs and Policy of tree also Neutrality, below), 1 383, 394, 396 de m., 460, 11 80, 408-9, 519

Freemasonry m, 1, 414 Freemen of. Assemblies of, see Gemeinde, Landesgemeinde, Councils in Cantons and Communes, and Federal Council

Salient Features of, 11 492 2, 506 Forest Cantons, Popular Assembly Government in, i. 19, 176, 378. II 506

French Revolutionary Influence on, 1 40, 369, 416-17, 439, 435

Government, Popular, in, see also Councils, under Cantons and Commune, see also Federal Government, abox e

Federal, 1 367, 370, 382 197 Local, 1 373 197 and Administration in General, I

410 177 History of, Outline of, 1 39 177, 768 522

Immigration into, 1 372, 457, 485, Institute in (see also that head, and under Direct Legislation,

abore) 11 462 199 Institutions in see Federal Council. Instative, and Referendum

Question of fitness of, for other Lands, 1 499-501, 11 519-

Italian subjects of, 1 39, 63 Jesuits in, t 384, 487 Judges up, Cantonal and Federal,

1 379, 399, 400, 402, 405, 11 422 199 Judiciary, Cantonal, see under Cantons,

above

Federal, Status of, etc , : 385 de n 1, 399 199-542 #-, ti 578 Justices of the Peace in, 1 402

Labour Party in, it 38t Labour Troubles in (1919), L 496

Landesgemeinde ef, i 147 & n , 190 n , 377 de mm, 416, 417, 418, 420, 439 40, 448 # , 454

Languages used in, 1 370-71, 376 Law and Order in, Maintenance of, L 448, 11, 397, 578

744 MODERN DEMOCRACIES

Disallowed only by Referendum, i.

...

Switzerland-continued

Lews

Distinguished from Decrees in, L
195 %.
on Religious Orders, i. 384 and Resolutions, Referendum as
applicable to, 1. 419 199
Lezders, Political, m, s. 473-4, 483, st. 346, 515, 609
and League of Nations, 1 420, 489
Legislatures, see Cantons, Councils,
Legislatures, see Cantons, Councils, and Governments of, and
Federal Legislature, above
Local Abuses, alleged, us, 1. 413-14
Local Self-Government in, past and
present, 1. 367-9, 371, 373
Democracy in relation to, 1. 150
Educative Effects of (see also Civic
Sense, above), 1. 375, 483-4,
498, p. 492, 609
Manhood Suffrage in, 1. 379, 493
Ministers and Ministries in, Position, Re-Election, and Stability of,
L 390, 393, 394, 446, 448,
458, 491, 503, IL 393, 394
Money Power practically inoperative
in, 1 495, 11 278 de n., 285,
493
Municipalities of, Government of, a
372-3, 495 National Assembly of, 1 385, 386, 478,
National Characteristics, 1 372, 375,
381, 387-8, 434, 435, 436,
438, 439, 469, 474, 481 199
486 177-, 499, 521, 11. 612,
615
National Council of
Elections to, Sessions of, Members
paid, etc., s. 385-6 277 Groups in, 1 457 #
Powers of, 1. 386 199
Radical Strength in, 1 457 d *.
National Unity in. 1 268, 270-71, 408
Neutrality of, t. 300 d. n., 407, u.
408-0
Noble Families of, and Politics, L 477.
u 346 Officialism disliked in, see Bureaucracy,
Officials in, Appointments and Choice
of, L 404-5
Oligarchy and Democracy in Albance
between, 1 10
Parties in, i. 389, 390, 392, 456 177,

Switzerland-convinued Party in. i 281-2 Influence of, Manufestations and Methods of, i 182, 411, 461-4 177-468 177- 470-71, 472 Long predominance of one, and the results, i. 392, 474, 491, 493 et alibi Opposition in, i. 192, 474, 493 Organization in. L. 461-4, 408 Pastors and School Teachers, Elections of, for Short Terms, 1-375 d. n. Patriotism in. 1 154, 268, 371, 372, 474, 498, 507 Patronage in, 1 406-7, 412 Pessants' Party in, L. 141, 457, il. 281 Congress of, 11 373 Penal Code, in Preparation (1919), i. 377 m. I Pensions not approved of in. 1 407. 4.12 Police of, under Cantonal Rule, i 179 Political Equality in, 1 367 & passim Pelitical Institutions in 1, 373 177, 490 Concluding Reflections on, 1 401 509. Politicians of, Status of, 1, 181, 189, 190 Politics in Cheappers of, i. 464 Punty of 1 410, H 303 Religion as influencing, s. 369, 384, 414, 426, 430, 447, 458, 461, 481, 487, 496 Polling in, for Elections, Referenda, etc., 1 280, 424, 426, 428, 472, 502 Popular Government in Sabent Features of, 11 492-3 Population of, 1 co2 Ethnic Elements of, s. 168, 170, 372, 387-8, 481 Occupations of, 1 372 Presidents of, Position, Powers, and Pay of, L 257, 394-5

and Vice-Prevident, v. 35, 27 Press Influence in, v. 435, 485, in-610-11 Professional Politicians absent from, i. 47, 279 Proportional Representation in, i. 381, 457 m., 465, views oc., in 25, 14, 456 Ponhe Latein Profile 4, 410, 493, in. 303 Theoref. 4, 440, 45, 179, 495 Wardom the Note of, in. 500 Public Manner in, 497 Switzerland-con inved Public Meeting of Freemen in certain Cantons in, 1 39 40, see also Landesgemeinde

Public Opinion in, 1 322, 333, 481 177 . 496, 11, 271, 408 9, 502, 503 Public Service in, 1 379 80, 181, 397,

405, 406, 407, 461, 466 7, 474, 475, 476, 498 Public Works in, 1 495

Railways of, National property, 1 181. 191, 405, 406, 412, 461

Re-election of Officials in, 1 379, 389,

395, 399, 405, 468, 475, 491, 500, 601 Referendum in, tee under Direct Legisla

tion, above, see also Chap XXIX Vol I and Chap LXV, Vol II

Religions in, 1 369, 370 din 1, 372 d n 2

Constitutional provisions concerning. 1 184

Religious Influence in Politica, see under Polit cs, above

Reserved Subjects 10, 11 433 Resolutions, Urgent and other, in, i

419, 420 d # Roman Church's Influence in, 1 384 Salient Characteristics of, 1 271 Self Government in, 1 39, 367 177

parson, 11 551 n Senate of, Council of State, 1 385, 186. 11 410

Separation of Powers in, 1 379, il 23 Short Terms of Office in, 1 375, 379, 399, 402, 403, 405, 465,

Social Equality in, 1 69, 75, 378, 478, 484 197, 405 Socialism and Socialist Party in, t.

407,457 4 #,458,460,463, 464, 471, 473, 485, 501 m, Sovereignty of the People in, 1 207,

see also Direct Legislation, ahome

State Socialism dishked in, 1 487 Subventions in and to Cantons, 1 184,

Tatiffs in, 1 392, 409, 461 Territories comprised in, ii 510 & n 2, 551 n

Trial by Jury 10, 1 384, 402 Universal Military Service in, 1 407. 504, 11 196

Voters in, Independence of, 1 28 War of Secession, 1847, 1 456

War of 1914-18 as affecting, 1 396, 496

Switzerland-continued Wealth in, Distribution and Taxation

of. L 497 & # . 499 Sycophants, the, at Athens, 1 199-200 Sydney, N S W. Dominance of, it 274, 291

Export trade of, Growth of, 11 185 "Sympathetic" Strikes, # 628 Syndicalism in U.S.A., it 118 # 1 Syndicalists Australia, p. 252

New Zealand, is. 348, 354 Syracuse, 1. 40, 186, 189 #

Voters of, 1. 81

Tableaux politiques de la France de Pouest, by Siegfried, 1 271

Tacitus, on the Meetings of the early Teutonic Peoples, 1 377 #. 2. 415, h 437 6 # 2

Taft, Ex-President W. H., book by, on Popular Government, u 95

и, 96 и, 166 и г on Defective Criminal Justice, USA, u 95 & n, 96 n, 498 n; on the Money Power in

USA., 11 138 # 2 Tabiti, Chiefs' rule in, u 450, 451 Taine, H A., 1 7, 15, 319, 364

Talk as forming Public Opinion, 1 81, 175 6, 312 Talleyrand, on Scarcity of Talent among

Party Chiefs, 11 609 Tammany Hall, Influence of, at 112 100 . 120

Tammany Ring, the, USA, n 113 14 Tariffs, see under Countries Tasmania

Civil Service in, ii 212 Democracy in, Historical Evolution of,

L 37 8 Leg slature of, u 193 Population of (1901), it 204 Proportional Representation in, u 200 Public Opinion in, u 268

Railways 10, 11 254 5 Self Government set up in, n 183

Taxation, see under Countries

Taylor, General Z President, USA, Military Fame of it 608

Teachers, Australian, salaries and status of, 11 219, 220 Teachers' Union, Swiss, 1 375 #

Testing Orders, Dissolution of, in

France, t 244 Tennyson, Lord, no successors of, t 364 Thayer, W. R. on the Senate and

Foreign Policy, USA, # 409 din

Thebes, i. 191 Themistocles, i. 201, 205 Theoretical Foundations of Democracy,

1 48 177 Thesmothetar, the, 1 195 Thiers, Adolphe, 1 279, 240, 252 Thing, the, of Norse Races, 1 144, 146,

377, 11. 554 Thinking, definition of, t. 81 Thoughts on the Union between England

and Soutand, by Decey and Rart, n. 434 # 2 Thucydides, cited, 1. 187 # 2, 205, 207 #,

и 502 п. Tibet, Buddhısın ın, 1 92

"Tidal Wave," in Politics, U S A., is. 199 Tilden, S L, on Boss Tweed and Tammany, u. 113

Times, The, cited, 11 640 Timocracy in Early Greece, L. 191 Tithings, 11 554

Titles as weapons of Money Power, it Tobacco monopoly in France, 1 210

Tocqueville, A de, 1 4, 6, 7, 15, 359, 11. 21-2 on American Democracy, 1 319, in 3, on Love of Equality and of

Liberty, 1 77; on the Status of the French President, L 257, on the U S.A Senate, a 634 on the Tyranny of the Majority, i. 487, u 131-2,

Tolerance of Abuses, see Condonation, etc. Tolston, Count Leo, Influence of his Watings, u. 608

Toronto, population of, 1. 509, 520 Torture chambers, 11. 583 & # Tory Party, the, 11 380; origin of, 1

rad ; Social Mixture in, 1 140, 750, views of, on Franchise Extension, : 34, iı 672 Town Meetings of New England, i 377,

504 m., it 483, 554 Towns and Communes, Directly Elected

Officials of, u. 483 Towns and Townships (see also Cities), see under Australia, and U.S.A. Toyabee, P., cated on Dante, u 533 s.

Tozer, H. F , Commentary of, on Dante. er'ed, 11 533 m. "Trade, a," in USA., Senate, 11. 65

Trade and Labour Councils, Congress of, New Zealand, 11 347 Trade Unions and Unionism, see also mader

Countries, and see Labour Evolution from, of Labour Parties, i. 119-43

Trade Unions and Unionism -continued Powers of, it 598 d # , 619, 624 Right of Peaceful Picketing of, tisks

from, 11. 397 Triple Alliance of (G B), 11. 635 Tradition(s) and Authority as Base of Power of Second Chambers, u. 445-6

Causes destroying, i. 158-9 Definition of, t. 155 Influence of, on the making of Capters, i. 85, 87

in relation to Democracy, i. 151 197. as Forming Tone, in Public Life, L 141 Traditions

Good, and Bad, 1 152 199-, 157-8 Influence of, Historical Instances of, i.

154-5 Lacking in Australisia, ii 355 Operation of, in English Politics, i.

350 Rise and decay of, 1 158 Train Robberres, U.S.A., ii. 98 Trajan, 12. 588 Trans-Australian Railway, it. 255 #.

Transport (see also Railways, under Countries), 11. 597 Control of Private and Public, n. 255

Transvaal, the Form of Government in (pre 1899), II. 509 # 2

Military Organization in (same date), L 180 Suffrage in, 1 24, 163 %.

Treaties and Commissions between Canada and USA., i 523 Submission of, to the Senate, U.S A., 11, 19, 65-6, 418 Submission of, to Swiss Referendum,

1 420-21, si 408 de #. I Treaties of Westphalia, L. 169 "Treating" at French Elections, L. 271 Treaty of Berlin, 11. 414 Treaty of Versuilles, 1919, 1 421

Trebizond, 1 186 Trent affair, Queen Victoria's counsel 00, 1 255 & R. Trul by Jury

in France, 1 306 s in Switzerland, s. 384, 403 Trials, Criminal, U.S.A., 11. 95 477-Tribal organization, s. 28-9

Tribunes, Roman, 11 431 Triple Albance [of Unions], Great Britain, ı 615

Trapetage, in France, i 340
Tropical South American Republics, i. 209 €

Trotsky, L., on Compulsory Labour in Rusna, u. 629 #. 2

Trusts, Amalgamations and Monopolies, in 624 State Trading versus, in 254 577 Tsardom, Russian, downfall of, t. 5, ii 548, 549 Tunis, t. 358

Tupec Amaru, Insurrection of, 1 215 Turkey Corruption in, 1 497

Government in, u 413, 547
Monarchy in, before 1905, u 586
Turkish atrocities and the Russo-Turkish

War, 12 414 Turkish Domination in Hungary, effects of, 1 43 Turner, H G., book by, referred to, 11

Tweed, W M (Boss Tweed), and the Tammany Ring, is 113 14

Tyranny and Republics, | 202 Tyranny of Demos Arustotle on, 1, 206

Evils of, u 567
Tyranny of the Majority, 1 487, u 121 2,

Tyrants, Greek, L 202, 212

Ulster Protestants in Virginia etc., ii 7 Undesirable Immigrants Bill, of 1891, New Zealand, ii 340 Unemployment

Government liability as to, Australian yiew on, n 258

New Zealand demands concerning, u 3^{27} π 2 State action concerning, effects of, u

Unicameral Legislatures, see House of Representatives, see also Unitary Governments, below 'Unification of Australian States, pro-

'Umfication of Australian States, pro ject for n 264 Umon of South Africa and the British Election of 1905, n

Constitut on of, 1 249
a Democracy, 1 26 n t
Government of, Powers of, 11 189
Parliamentary System in, 1 555

Parliamentary System in, 1 555
Senate of, Powers of, relative, n 441
Unitary Governments is 222, 264
Second Chambers in, is 439 40

United Federation of Labour, New Zen iand, n 347 United States of America, 1 209, n 1,

Administrative Boards in, for control of Parties, 1 143 4

Parties, 1 143 4
Anti Catholic parties in, 1 139

United States of America—continued Army and Navy, the, ii 395 the President the Chief of, ii 80 Average Man, the, iii, ii 129 177 Bar the, iii, ii 69 Bar the, iii, ii 69

Censorship exercised by, 11 94
Judges chosen from, 11 426 7
Standard of, 11 95, 169, 424, 427
Bar Association in, and Reform, 11 97,

'Big Business" in, Influence of, ii 138, 154, 510, 531-2, tee also Money Power, below

Bills insdequately debated in, ii 62 Boss Influence in, ii 42, 70, 84, 86, 88, \$10.397-171, \$29

Cabinet in, t. 501, 552, ii 44, 101, 394 Disconnection of, from Congress, 1

501, 11 20 French parallel to, 1. 255 and Canada

Relations between, 1 523 4, ii
135 6 n, 411
Resemblances and Comparisons, 1

510, 512 13, 516, 517, 520, 530, 531, 534, 535, 538, 539, 540, 542, 545, 546, 550 127, 556 558, 559, 560,

561, 564 5 Cand dates in, Choice of, Methods of, 1 128, 129 11 18, 30 179, 38, 41 177, 45, 53 70, 140,

Capital in, accumulation of, ii 25, 186
Capitalists of, see Big Business above,
and Money Power below
Central Executive lacking in, 1776-80,

1. 369
Child Labour Acts of, ii 136
Cit es of (see also Immigrants and
Municipal, below), Rapid
Growth of Results of, ii

Growth of Results of, u
107 & n 1, 108 199, 484
199
Civic Sense in, 1 177, 11 5, 16, 38, 39

56 199, 122, 126, 289 495, 499 500 Civil Equality in, ii 12 199, 20 21, 172 Civil Liberty ii 1 162, ii 12 199, 20 21 Civil Order iii (see also Law and Order, and Lawlessness, and Lyuch

ing), is 89 197 Civil Self Government of fice also Local Self Government, below),

Nelf Government, besons), practice of u 5 Civil Service, Federal Permatent, Organization and Working of, u 101, 102 499, 395 Reforms affecting u 103 United States of America-connected Civil Service, Federal-continued Salaries 19. IL 101 Summer! 50, in 400, 401 Civil War of, see War of Secession Class Antaronisms of at 124 & .. Closure in Rules for in Congress, in 62. 64-5 & K. Coal in, t. 609 Coloured Forulation of Distribucion of, n. c d a Practical Exclusion of, from Franchise, u. 18, 51-2, 44, 82 d. #- I, 127, 175, 547 Committees un. u. 16, see also under Names Committees of Congress, see under Congress, below Congress, see also House of Representagives, Legislatures, and Senste Cabinet not connected with a con-1 20 Candidates for, Nomination and Florgion of, in 18, 35 Closure in, Rules for, n. 62, 64-5 & x. Committees of, ii. 60 "Steering," L 194 Compared with French and Australian Legislatures, u. 44, 195 Composition of, L 278, IL 18-19 Debates sa. s. 6c Not read by the People, 11. 69, 159-60 Decline of, and Causes, L 345, 12. 56-67, 58, 69, 275 Defects in, a 345, in 56-7, 58, 69, 168, 171, 172 Eloquence in, ii. 606-7, 608 Legislation by, invading States' Privileges, 11, 126 Members of Payment of, u 19, 71 Quality of, 11 56 172 Ministers excluded from, 1. 293, 294 No " Groups " 10, 1 67, 287 "Peculiar and Essential Qualities of," 11 12 Pout cal Corruption rare in, 1 535 Popular Attitude to, n. 56, 67 Powers of, u. 12, 22, 80, 189 Lumitations in, il. 22 d m. 541 President's relations with, u. 69, 81, 399 and the Recall, 12 163 m. Rise in, of Party, 1 128 Scene: 10, 1, 200 Useges in, Ongo of, 1 492 a. 2 Woman Member of, at 51 Congressmen, Patronage of, IL 103

135, 136, 192, 204 # 369 Comparison of, with those of Austraha and Canada, i, (12-13, 514, in 194-6 Effect on, of the Referendum, ii. 116 Machinery for Altering and Interpreting, i. (12 de g., ii. 28. 99, 412, 459 as Model for those of Spanish - American Republica L 212, 220 Switzerland, 1 383 Nature of, 1. 191, 249, ii. 10, 17, 89.90 75 m. t. 79 m. 140 177. Countres in Local Government of, u. 15 Defects of, p. 106 & s. 498 4 4, 578 Demagogues in, il. 516 d #. 2 Democracy in, 1. 12, 26 & # 2 Bases of, 1. 3 Beginning, n. 3 177. Early, 11, 621, 626 Defects, 1L 28, 168-0 Causes of Political, n. 169 177 Slow Evolution of, L 233 Successes of, is. 171 177. ii. 23 577. 1L T15# 2

United States of America - continued

Constitution, Federal, of, L 2, 7, ii.

Abstract Conceptions in, i. 166 de n. 2

Amendments of, a. 18, 11-2, 64 a.s. t,

Constitutional Government in book on, by Woodrow Wilson, il. Conventions (see also National, and Party, below), al. 24-5, 36, Cotroption to, 1, 271 d. s. t. c15, il. 54-5, 67, 86, 95, 497, 526, Elections of Officials of, IL 15, 16 Importance of, in the South, it. 59 Criminal Law in, Lax Enforcement of, 11. 95 de m., 96 m., 169, 170 Delegates in, in 35, 37, 38, 140, 141 Characteristics instilled by, ii. 112 Compared with that in Canada, i. 553 Non-Political, ii. 171 422 Fundamental Principles of, in 9 General Review of u. 168 177 Historical Evolution of, a. 16-7 Ideas contributing to, ii. 171 177-Supervenient Changes as modifying, Development of, book on, by Farrand, Difficulties of, in application of Demogratic Principles, ii. 546-7

United States of America—con meed
D plomate relations of 1 411 12
D reet Election in applications of 1
SS1 in 64, 151 2 176 7
375, 440, 642 3 494
D reet Popular Legalation in (ce all o

D rect Popular Legislation in (ee al s Initiative and Referendum below): 140 153 499 160 61 450 Direct Primanes in 83 m 2 142

143 de n 144 5
D vorce in 576
Dominance in of the Few ii 597
Educat on in under Local Authorities

Education in under Local Authorities
480
Election Expenses in, Legislation on
1 528
Electrons in D. General, Methods

Elections in General, Methods
Cost, etc ef 253
14, 16 17 18 19 29 dn
31 32 33 52 297 64 73
dn 129 92 108 110 n
141 145 dn m 147 dn
151 1767 375 38 140
442 3 444 5 485 297 494
518 dn m

Frequency of Reasons for and
Results of u 23 32 39
52 3 121 494
Three Sets of u 52 200 also Pres

dential, below

Elective Administ at ve Offices in,
308 551

E ecto al Suff age in, ii 50 de # 51 2

Electoral System (se al o Elect ons

above) Cap tal Fault of
147
Electors powers of 553
Each to shapes IP 884 F

English in, changes in 184 5 Execut ve in Cho ce of 130

n relation to Jud cature, 1 513 & m 90 432 Powers of when greatest S1 2 vested in the Pres dent, 500 Respons b lity of 258

Kespons b lity of 258
Veto of 195 431 433 514 # 2
516
Execut ve Offic als Select on of (by

Appointment or Election)
19 52 71 81
Fede al Constitution, re Constitution

above
Federal Cou ts of 423
Fo e gn Pra se of 4945
Federal Government se Covernment,

bel eu
Federal Legislatu es see Legislatures
Federal
Federal Offic als see under Offic als

United States of America—com mud Federal Senate us Senate, below Federal System, Others modelled on 1 367 8 Finance in, Senate's Powers over F times for Office little heeded in 1 72 n 2 u 2 1 39 170, 172 3

Foreign Policy of 134 5 174 409
410, 412

Pres dent al Powers concerning 80 409 10 412 Fore an Relations Committee of Senate

Fore gn Relations Committee of Schate 409 418 Freak Bils rejected in by Referenda 150 467

Freemasonry in 1 139 Government Federal or Nat onal

Frame of 11 10 237-, 17 20 31
46 50 177 479
as affected by Recall of Dec
sons etc 166 & # 167
Belief in which constructed

Frances of 1 177
Powers of Growth and Limita
t on of 22 135 6
Simulus g ven by to Popular
interest in Public Questions
1 122
Law and Order under 1 391

Macaulay a detum on, 195
Salient Features in 493 5
Subjects reserved to 422 3
Tone of 497
We king of 1 50 197
State, see under States below

Growth of m 19th Century 1 4 Heroes of Lofty Cha acter n, 1 156 7 House of Rep esentatives Federal 18 61 441

the Few 12 11 597
Powers of in relation to those of
the Senate, 441

Houses of Representatives of States

Idealism and Selfishness in Struggle between 179 80 Immigrants into 504 24 42 52

imigrants into 504 24 42 52 119 20 174 179 485 494 626

626 Exclus on of under rable 342

Exclusion of under rable 342
Rights of Eastern As at c, Insecurity
of 98
Impeachments in 13 19 2 91

92, 164 & n Income tax m : 136

Influence of in Cuba and Hat

United States of America-continued Institutive and Referendum in, see under States, below Interstate Commerce Commission, Australian Copy of, 11. 215

Interviewing in. ii. 127 Journalism in, n. 127

Judges in, see also under States, below Federal

Appointment, Election of, Salanes, Status, Terms of Office, etc., L 551,1L 20, 52, 89 199, 109,

150, 172, 422-4, 494 Impeachment of, u. 91, 92, 164 ıέπ. Promotion of, s. 342 Onalities peeded in it. 91

Recall as applied to, it. 20, 153-4, 166 m., 167 Re-election of, il. 92

Local (see also States, Judges of, below), 11. 15 Judiciary Federal and State, s. 20, 89 177

Defects in, ii. 94 \$77 Tolerance of, st. 99-100 in relation to Executive, L 517 d # .

IL 90, 432 Reform movement as affecting, u. 97, 99 m., 150 de mm. Restrictions of, 1. 304

Junes 12, 11 95, 96 "Fixing" of, 11. 96, 526 Instice in, Defects in, it. 94-5 d n, 96 # 99-100, 169, 170, 172,

408 d # 578 Labour Disputes in, IL 138 # 1 Labour Organizations, Nationalism ad-

vocated by, p. 127 Labour Party, 11. 43 s., and Socialism, U. 125 #

Labour Troubles threatening in (1920), n 179 Labour Union Congresses in, ii. 373 Law and Order in, Maintenance of, and

Defects in, u. 7, 8, 89 197. 97, 98, 170, 172, 197-8, 497, 578, see also Lynching, below

Law Courts of, 1L 28, 90-91, 164 Supreme Court, 11 20, 91 Lawyers (see also Bar, above) Influence of, on Public Opinion, u,

125. 126 Leaders, in relation with Average Men,

in, u. 615 Leadership in, ii. 43-4, 65, 120, 148 Lack of Official is 61

Training for (see also Local Self-Government, below), u. 495, 600

United States of America—contraved Legislatures, see also Congress, House of Representatives, Senate, etc.

Federal, u. 18, 56 177 Attitude to, of the People, it, 55, 67 177- 459 Decline in, ii. 167-8, 176

Possible Remedies, u. 376 Dissolution of, s. 552 " Filibustering " in. ii. 62, 6¢ a.,

378 sqq. Lenslation by Methods of, and Value of

Results, 11, 56, 60, 62 Non-Party, is. 45, 173-4 Materialism in, L 745

Members of, ii. 56 son. Payment of, u. 190 s., 372 Popularly elected, ii. 38

Quality of, u. 55 197., 68, 69 Municipal, u. 16, see also Municipal, State (see also under States), in 17

Liberty in Social and Political, il. 173 177. " Lobbying " in, ii. 529-30, 535

Local Authorities in. 1, 247 Education under, u. 480 Local Committees, n. 221

Local Improvements in, and Corruption, 11 481 Local Self-Government in (see also

Municipal, below), L 149, 150, 247, 504, 11. 8, 14 577-105, 106 d m, 218 Educative Results of, 12. 495, 609

Fundamental Principles carried out ın, ıı. 2 [Non-Party Elections for, u. 16, 29 #. Party Influence on Elections in, il.

16, 485 277 Three Types of, IL 14 177 Localism in, ii. 8, 16, 31 477-, 57, 58,

" Log-rolling " m, is. 67, 153, 156 Lynching in, ii 97-8, 398, 497, 578 Majority Tyranny in, u. 173, 571 Manhood Suffrage in, ii. 13, 18, 50, 83.

Materialism in, erres 1820-60, in 571 in Legislatures to-day, L 345 Mayors of, functions of, Elections of,

etc. (see also Municipal Self-Covernment), IL 16-17

Veto of, u. 17 Mexican Affairs, and Restraint shown over, ii. 174, 409, 411-12 Mexico, War with, in 1846, it. 410 Ministerial Instability in, u. 399: 497

Honted States of America-continued Ministers in Excluded from Congress, 1 297, 294 Responsibility of, 11, 541 Scandals (past), concerning, ii 526 Money Power us (see also Business,' abore), 1, 510, 11. 25 6, 138 a # 2, 154, 169. 170,171, 186, 486, 494, 499, 524, 531 2 Municipal Government in (see also Chicago, Galveston, New York, Philadelphia, etc.), 1 149 50, 192, 11. 14 17, 105, 106 193 Book on, by Goodnow, referred to, и 110 л. 146 н Commissions System of, # 485 Defects in, Causes and Consequences, L 149, 356, 11 129, 138 9 151, 169, 170, 172, 484 5, see also Immi grants Two forms of, u 16-17

Two forms of, u 16-17 Municipal Officers in, ii 16-17, 35 108, 110 **
Municipalhicies of, see also Chief Cities under Nama:
Moralty, Political, of, u 177 Reforms in, u 40-150 /97 Vigilance Committees of, u 488 National Characteritics, u 4, 7, 8, 22 3, 39, 40, 129 47,

Nationalization views in, ii 137 & m., 138
Natural Resources of, ii 24
Natural Resources of, ii 24
Negroes of, are Coloured Citizens, above
Nominating Conventions in, ii. 35 197
Nominations in, ii. 31 197, 80
of Presidenta unsuccessfully tried, iii.
31.8 ii 1

Non Party Associations of Business Men in, 1 529 Officials in

Federal
Attitude to, of the People, 11 5, 8
Choice and Appointment of,
Methods of, and Reforms
simed st, 12 n. 2 II 19 20,
21, 140, 147, 147, 537

United States of America—continued
Officials in—con mued
Federil—continued
Direct Election of, Lessons from,
ii. 1767
Removal of, see Recall, see also

Impeachment
State, see under States, below
Parish and Town in, t. 147 & n 2
Parties in, see also under Names
Balance of, and absence of Groups, is

44 5, 67, 381 Criticism on, 1 31 2 New, 11 381 # Old Historic, 1 140, 299, 11 381 Partisanship 10, 11, 29-30, 66, 67, 68, 128

Party in, u. 28-9 199, 49 Growth of, u 26, 27 Influence of, on Foreign Affairs, u. 409 Senatonal Elections, u 29, 443 State Elections of Judges, u 92

State Elections of Jodges, u 92 Inspirers of, 1. 298 Legal Recognition of, u 142, 143 499 Public Opinion not ruled by, u 124, 5 Rise of, 1. 128 Party Committees in, u 33, 4 m 1 Party Conference in u 164 dip National,

abore) 11. 372
Party Convention in (see also National, abore), Functions of, 11. 11, 74. 75 & s. 1, 87, 111, 372
Party Discipline in, 11. 387, 566
Effect of loss of 1 136

Party Feeling and Social Relations in, 1 528-9 Party Funds in, restrictions on, 11 55, 528

Party Gatherings in, Status of, ii. 43 Party Machine in (ice also Tamminy) Public attitude to, ii. 41, 42, 138-9,

140 145
Responsibility within, u 542
Party Organization in, u 532, ii. 27,
28, 32 3 45, 52, 70, 104,
107 197, 373, 498, 549
and Choice of State Officials, ii. 542

Defects III, II. 146, 169 199
Extra legal Power of, II 45, 46,
177, 493 4
Four Roots of, II. 146 7
Influences Flections II. 16, 20

Influencing Elections, ii 16, 29

177, 34, 485 179, 542

and the Jud cature (2 10) ii. 424

Main Features of, ii 33 179

Main Features of, ii 33 299 Members of Legislatures as Nominees of, ii 58 as affecting Monicipalities, ii. 107-9

Ossification of, 11 134

and the Party Machine, u. 41 177. Postulates of, n 141

Reforms aimed at, ii. 140 177 as Selecting Leaders, u 609 Strength of, 1, 560, 11, 493, 516 Unifying Action of, 11 42, 46, 107,

110-20 Party, Primary, u. 33, 34-35 d. n Functions of, u 35, 144 & m.

Objections to, il. 145 Party Control of, 11, 111

Reform of, Efforts for, 11. 39, 140 Senatorial il 61 # 2

Working of, u. 36 122 Party Platforms, H. 4.7 d #

Party Policy by whom declared, is 44

in whom resting, it 48-9 Party System in, ii 28 197 , 47, 48

Paternahet tendencies in, il 136 199 Patnotism in, il. 122 Patronage in (see also " Pork Barrel "

and Spoils System), s. 160, 11. 10-11 a s. 65, 87, 100. 102, 122, 400, 401

Pensions Scandals in a 559, ii 62, 57 Personal Rights in, Insecurity of, ii 98, 169, 170

Police m. 11 98, 481 Political Characteristics, it 22-3 Political Committees in, 1 301, 702 Political Equality in, il. 9, 172 Political Institutions of, see Constitu-

tion, etc., etc. Geographical and Economic Changes

as affecting, 11, 24 177 Political Reforms in, is 140 197 Political System of, compared with that

of Canada, 1. 550 177 Political Traditions of, L 156-7 Polling in, is. 54

for Institute, u zey d # , 158 Poorer Class in, improvement in con-

ditions of, 11 25 # Popular Government in Salient

Features of, in 493-5 Popular Sovereignty in (see also Direct Legislation, Direct Voting,

and Impative and Referendum), 1. 4, 11. 12 Devotion to the Idea of, t. 553 Historical Evolution of, 1 47 Principle of, Working of, 11, 172-3 Rule of, in Party Organization, ii

16, 18, 74 as Secured in State Constitutions, il. 12 177- 10-21

United States of America-continued Population

Ethnic Elements in, 1 504, it 5, 18, 24 d = , 27 d = , 51, 52, 107 de na., 123, 363, see also

Coloured Population and Immigrants Expansion of, ii. 24

Immigrant

Difficulties due to, u. 138 # 1, 170 Ideas introduced by, u. 124, 125,

138 & # 1, 179

Party Unification of, ii 42, 46, 107, 110-20 and Tammany Hall, u. 112

Small Landowners (q v), a stable element in, 1 504

" Pork Barrel," The, 10, 11. 68 d 2., 324 #L

Presidency of, il. 71

Qualifications for, il 71 177, 608 President of

Election of, see Presidential Elections, belown

Executive Vested in, i. 500 Functions, Powers, and Status of (see also Veto of, below), i. 253,

258, 501, 552, 553, 11. 19, 20, 44, 46, 71 177, 80 4 8, 177-8, 375, 394, 409-10, 412, 508, 514 d m. 2, 517-18, 540-41

Fears concerning, ii, Bt . Restrictions on, Checks on, 5. 18-19, 79, 177, 399, 514-

SICA # 2 Model on which created, is, 519 # 1 Nomination of tried mesuccessfully,

11. 518 n. I Patronage of, u. 31, 102 Re-Eberbilty of, IL Ste d # 2

Relations with Congress of, a. 69, Sr. 199 Responsibility of, to the People, i.

258, 12 517, 540-41 Veto of, it 19, 80, 195, 431, 433,

435, 514 m. 2, 516 Candidates. Presidential Nominations, Polling, etc., 1 253, 11 19, 20, 29, 34, 33, 54, 69, 71, 73 177, 142, 147 # # 518 # ##.

Presidential System of Government in,

it. 71 et pettem, 506, 508, 514, 516, 521 "Presidential Timber," is. 75 d # 2

Press, the, in, Influence, Methods, and Status of, L 117, 120-21, 547, it. 122, 125, 126 477-120. 611

United States of America-con. sued Private Bill Legislation us, ii 85 d #-, Professional Politic ans in, L 292 499

Prohib tion in 526 u 45 108 # 1 136 d # 171 4 Proportional Rep esentat on in, # 54

Protectionists in Tolerance of Abuses by 11 42 115 Public Affars of General Interess in,

11 122 see al a C vic Serue alm E Public Life in

Dearth of Talent in 11 38 160 171 ree al o Legislatures Declare in core

345 2 169 171 180 Tone of 534. 564 5 614 322 de m., 333 Public Opinion in,

334 88 121 77 177 8 273 502 178 Assumpt one in,

Better instruc ed than in Europe 1256

Changes in-133 147 as Check on Pres dent, L 81 on Enfo coment of Law and Order

ц 397 8 and Foegn Affars 400 410 12 Gene al Rightness of 4 6 Independent of Party 124 5 Influence of on P es dent 1 Elect on,

75 78 79 Influence on, of Business men, 11 125 126 #

Newspapers and the Press 120 22 Unive s ties is 125 26 de #

Power of as to Reforms in 104 152 3 Tendences to be noted in, 114

135 99 the True Ruler 10, 11 132 Two classes of u 125 & m 126

Un fying Effect of 481 on the Was of the last Hund ed

Yea : 410 11 Race D fficulties in see Colonted Popu lation, and Immigrants, above

Races unassimilated by 121 Rat ways 12 Political Cont bl of 1. 324

Pesdents of n. 111 P vate Owne ship of L 255 State Management of during the War Cost of 323 7

4 Recall n, application of µ 2 153 4 579 162 3 79 466 494, 540 544

Lested States of America-c a sund Reforming Sp nt, Reform Movement, and Reforms in Ams Objects and Agencies of a

\$6 103 177- 179 Beginnings of it. 133 4 affection C r I Serv ce, results of

103 177 D ficulties et 1 167 \$ Leaders in 126 Most dangerous Enemy of 116

in Music pal Government, ii 140 150 177 Public Op n on and u tos 152 3

Recent Movements 1. 14.3 127 Temporary (e el o lammany)

113 14, 115 Three Mos og forces of 138-9 Rehmous D fferences in. 1. 7 123-4

Religious Sense n Strength of Respons b lity in, of Executive. 58 Party Machine, 542

Pres dent, 1 258 11. 517 540-41 on of 541 see also Separation of I owers, below Subd son of

Roman Catholics in, 123 Second Chambe ee Senate, blow Secretary of State for Fore go Affairs B 409

Selectmen, Elections of Senate, Fede al. 18 439 Compos tion Cho ce Election etc 0 1 255 # 1 18 20 63 39 136 204 d # 315

375 440 442 Party Influence on, 29 441 Function and Powers of 11 20

63 sqq 441 as Chrok on Pres dent, 1 261 1 18 19 177 514 # 2 as to Fo ego Affa rs u 19 65 6

SD 409 418 to Declare War Tuácal. 19 21

to Reject B Us 449 Reat ve to those of House of Representatives 551 1 65 449

Ind spensab hey of 11 66 Leadership in, Members of 614 Payment of

Senator al Primaries in

10 Qua ty Status and Opportunities οÉ 56 63 4 65 66 Origin of,

63 Renewal by ret ements 63 454 # Superiority of to what due 63 4 Sena e State se unde States b lors

United States of America-continued Semonty in, Deference to, u 65 Separation of Powers in, i. 156, 552, ¢66 x 2, 11. 12, 20, 22, 23, 46, 131, 177, 429, 431-2, 475, 497-4, 514-15, 521, 541 Short Ballot movement in, ii. 148 Short Terms of Office in, it. 9, 13, 14, 15, 16, 20, 21, 30, 32, 52,

83 # 2, 92, 100, 102, 109, 110, 121, 150, 170, 172 Slavery in, and its Consequences, see Coloured Population, above Small Landowners in, i. 504 & m., il.

185, 186, 242, 275 Social Equality in, 1 69 Socialism, Socialists, etc. in, ii. 117,

124, 125, 137-8 x , 626 de x , see also Immigrants Spoils System in, 1. 132, 515, 531, 11. 31 & s., 102, 40-41, 401, 479.

see also " Pork Barrel," above States of

Administrative Officials of, see Officials, below Budgets of, Reforms concerning, u

140 d #. Cabinet of State Officers, in 149 & #. I CO

Citic Education in, L 86 a Civil Service in, 1 551, ii 105 Companson of, with Canadian Provinces, 1 550 197

Swiss Cantons, 1 374 passim Compulsory Voting sa, n. 580 Constitutions of, ii. 12 197, 189 Working of, 11. 50 177 , 83 177

Corruption in, ii. 493, 497, 525, 526 Councils not always existent in, u.

Defects in, u. 168 :27., 198, 497, 498 Direct Popular Election in, 1 551, LL 508 # 2 Direct Popular Legislation in see

Institute and Referendum. below Elections in, 1. 551, 11 13, 52, 83, 87, 508 # 2, 542

Evolution of, u. 8, 50 Finance of, n. 84 Government of

Frame of, 1. 550 199-, 11. 508 & # 2 Working of, in 50 177, 82 177 Governors of, Election, Nomination, Functions,

Status of, L 378, 551, 11 13, 15, 71, 87-8, 100, 177, 375, increase in Powers of, it \$41, 612 Veto of, u 85, 87, 106, 475

Powers, and

United States of America-contrased States of-continued

Houses of Representatives in, u. 13, 84.440 Impeachments of Officials Tried in,

by Senates, is. 17, 21 Institute and Referendum in, a

432 m., 440, 540, 551, ii 153, 156, 369, 375, 432, 434, 458 177- 494, 508 # 2, 612, 614

Cost of, 11. 155 Polling for, in 157 de #, 158, 463 d n.

Use made of, 11 461 477. Judges of, Selection, Appointment. Salaries, and Status of, in.

14, 91, 92, 170, 426, 482, 494, 498, 526, 593 Judicianes of Defects and their Causes in,

Summarized, 11 168-9, 179, 172, 498, 578 Quality of, 11 92 127 Legislatures of, il. 12

Conduct of, u. 84 Faults of, u. 153, 168 197- 363,525 Remedies for, 11. 153 177 Limitations on, u. 22 s., 169 Members of

Quality of, 11. 87 d a. 2, 84 Women, IL CI Popular Distrust of, n 154 Power of, whither gone, in 374-5 Private Bill Legislation in, it.

85 d m., 86 Manhood Suffrage in, it. 13, 18, 50, 83, 441 Officials of

Election, Appointment, Salanes, and Functions of, 11 13, 14. 87, 105, 148 #., 508 #. 7. 537, 542 Retorms in Method of choosing,

D \$47 \$22 Irresponsibility of it. 541-2 "Recall " as used in, ser that head,

above. Representation, equal, ii. 18, 190

Rights reserved to, Narrowing of, ii 135-6 de m.

Senates of, Elections to, Powers of, and Status of, n. 13, 83, 203. 442, 441

Quality of, u \$3, 442 Respect for, it. 446 Social Reform Legislation in, n. \$5-6

Southern, Negro Problem in (see also Coloured Population, about). 12. 546-7

Un ted States of America—continued
States of—continued
Suffrages, varying in, is 18

Suffrages, varying in, ii 18
Varying Sizes of, i 376
Vote-counting in, ii 518 # 2
Westerm, attitude of, to Railways, i
538

Manners in, circa 1840, ii 575
States' Rights' Sentiment in, ii 263
Suffrages in, iee Manhood, Universal,
and Woman

Swiss Examples worth note by, 1 500,

Taniffs in, and Party Politics, is 29, 42,

Taxation in, ii 108 317 18
Territorial Expansion and Economic
Changes in, ii 24 6
Results of, on Pohical Institutions,

u 26 7
Tolerance in, of Abuses, ii 38-9, 42,
111, 118, 152, 167 8, 171,
398 497, 498 et alibi

Town, Townships, see also Cities and Municipalities Elections in, ii 16, 29 n

European parallel to, 1 316 Local Government of, 11 14, 15, 16 Localism of, 11 8

States evolved from, 11 8, 59
Town Meeting in (see also under New England), 11 7, 14, 15
Thal by Jury in, 1 306 n, 11 95, 96,

526 Trusts m, n 624

Universal Suffrage in 11 13, 18, 190, 194, 199 267 Universities of, Status and Influence of 1 545, 11 104, 105, 125 6

& n, 220
Urbanization of, ii 634
Vetos, are Executive, Mayor, Presidential, State Governor, etc.

Voters and Voting Methods in, 1 164, 281 ii 50, 53 War, Declarations of, ii 80 and the War of 1914-18, ii 122 3,

132 & n 135, 411
Wat of Secession, or Civil Wat (see al o
that head), 11 132 & n, 133,
136, 216

Ward Commuttees in, n 32 4, 119
Ward Primaries, Delegates from, n 35
Wars of, since 1840, n 396, 410 11
Weman Suffrage in, n 18, 45, 50 6

Universal Suffrage, see also under Countries as Panaces, Failure of, ii 554 5, 584 Universities see also under Countries Civic Education in, i 87 VOL. II

University Clubs, U S A., and Reform, is 179 Unterwalden, Democracy of, 1 39 Upper Class, absence of, in Australia, ii

Upper Germany, Old League of, 1 371, 506
Urbanization, effects of, 11 634

Uri, Democracy of, 1 39
Uringney, Republic of, 1. 209 s
Church interests 10, 1 214

a Democracy, 1 26
Government, Legislature, Faction, etc
in, 1 25, 222, ii 515
Insurrection of 1910 in, 1 222
Parties in, Origin of, 1 226
Political Civilization of, 1 232

Population of, Ethnic purity of, 1 210, 22 President's power in, 1 222, u 515 Progress in, n 561 Railways of, 1 222 s True Republic, 1 216

True Republic, 1 222

True Republic, 1 216

Democracy evolving in, 1 221

Wars of, 5 214 n

Civil, 1 222

Vallées et Suzeraintés, see Andorra Valour, Traditions of, 1 152, 155 Permanence of, 1 159 Van Buten, M, 11 102 n Vancouver, population of, 1 520 Venezaela, Republic ef, 1 209 p

Boundary troubles of, 1895 ii 411 Low Political Level of, 1 215, 229 Venice, Mediaeval, 11 588 ii Loreign Affairs in, ii 80 ii , 407

Oligarchic rule in, 1 79 n, 11 590, 592
Vestry, English, American Evolution from
11 15

veto
of Executives, 1 515, 11 13, 17, 19 21,
80 87, 190, 193, 195, 398,
431, 433, 435, 514 # 2, 516
Popular, in Switzerland (use also Re-

Popular, in Switzerland (ite ferendum), 1 417 of Roman Tribunes, 11 431 Victoria Australia

Civil Service in, ii 212
Export and Trade Centre of, ii 185
Gold in, ii 183 186, 198
Legislature of, ii 193
Ministerial Changes iii ii 209

Party Struggles in, ii 221 Protectionist views in, ii 186, 222 Railwaymen's Pressure in, on Legisla tors, ii 212 d. g.

tors, 11 213 & # Self Government established 10, 11 187 188*

Voting in, it 200